

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") is dated August 6, 2015 and should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements of Maxim Power Corp. ("MAXIM" or the "Corporation") for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015. The MD&A should also be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and MD&A for the year ended December 31, 2014. The unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements do not include all the information required for the annual financial statements. MAXIM prepares its unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements in accordance with International Accounting Standards ("IAS") 34 Interim Financial Reporting, under International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"), as set out in Part 1 of the Handbook of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants ("GAAP"). In this MD&A, MAXIM also reports certain non-GAAP measures. See page 20 for an explanation of non-GAAP measures.

Capitalized and abbreviated terms that are used but not otherwise defined herein are defined in the Glossary of Terms. Throughout this MD&A, dollar amounts within tables are in thousands of Canadian dollars unless otherwise noted.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION.....	2
OVERALL PERFORMANCE.....	3
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.....	5
LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES.....	12
OUTLOOK.....	15
ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES.....	16
ENVIRONMENTAL AND CLIMATE CHANGE LEGISLATION.....	17
SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION.....	19
NON-GAAP MEASURES.....	20
ADDITIONAL GAAP MEASURES.....	21
CRITICAL ACCOUNTING JUDGEMENTS AND ESTIMATES.....	22
NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS.....	22
TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES.....	24
CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.....	24
GLOSSARY OF TERMS.....	26

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Certain information in this MD&A is forward-looking information ("FLI") and is subject to important risks and uncertainties. The results or events predicted in this information may differ from actual results or events. Factors which could cause actual results or events to differ materially from current expectations include the ability of the Corporation to implement its strategic initiatives, the availability and price of energy commodities, government and regulatory decisions, plant availability, competitive factors in the power industry and prevailing economic conditions in the regions that the Corporation operates. Forward-looking statements are often, but not always, identified by the use of words such as "anticipate", "plan", "estimate", "expect", "may", "project", "predict", "potential", "could", "might", "should" and other similar expressions. The Corporation believes the expectations reflected in forward-looking statements are reasonable but no assurance can be given that these expectations will prove to be correct. These forward-looking statements speak only to the date of this MD&A. The Corporation disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise except as required pursuant to applicable securities laws.

Readers are cautioned that management's expectations, estimates, projections and assumptions used in the preparation of such information, although considered reasonable at the time of preparation, may prove to be imprecise and, as such, undue reliance should not be placed on forward-looking statements. With respect to forward-looking statements contained within this MD&A, MAXIM has made the following assumptions:

- MAXIM will continue its operations for the foreseeable future and will be able to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities and commitments in the normal course of business.

MAXIM has a revolving Canadian facility with the Bank of Montreal ("BMO") to a maximum of \$40.0 million in two tranches. Under the first tranche, MAXIM can borrow up to \$25.0 million subject to 90% of the book value of the Corporation's Canadian accounts receivable balance and 50% of the book value of the Corporation's Canadian property, plant and equipment. Under the second tranche, MAXIM can borrow up to \$15.0 million, under the same terms of the first tranche, and subject to twelve month trailing earnings before interest, tax, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") being equal to or greater than \$15.0 million and MAXIM being in compliance with all financial covenants for a minimum of two consecutive quarters. The borrowing limit as at June 30, 2015 was \$25.0 million. As of that date, \$nil was drawn in borrowings and \$11.4 million was drawn as letters of credit on this facility.

As at June 30, 2015, MAXIM breached its net funded debt to EBITDA ratio financial covenant in relation to its Canadian bank facilities. Management obtained a waiver for the June 30, 2015 covenant breach from the bank on July 30, 2015. Current Alberta power forward price curves suggest low Alberta power prices in the near term and under these low prices, the Corporation will likely breach the net funded debt to EBITDA ratio and interest coverage ratio in the third quarter and would likely breach the debt service coverage ratio ("DSCR") financial covenant in the third and fourth quarter of 2015. Based on power forward price curves, the Corporation anticipates it will be onside with all financial covenants commencing in the first quarter of 2016. In the absence of obtaining a waiver for the future periods if any covenant is not met, these facilities may become due on demand. As a result, significant doubt may exist with respect to the ability of the Corporation to continue as a going concern.

MAXIM is currently in the process of pursuing various smaller asset sales and financing options which would, in management's view, enable the Corporation to achieve its business plan. The proceeds from these may in part be used to cash collateralize existing letters of credit under the Canadian credit facility and repay any draws on the revolver occurring in 2015, thereby mitigating the risk of covenant default and decreasing the Corporation's debt service charges. No further agreements have been reached as of the date of this MD&A and there can be no assurance that such agreements will be reached.

- MAXIM's approved capital budget is based on engineering estimates to maintain facility equipment, which are set by the equipment manufacturer. In France, MAXIM's capital expenditures are based on the capital required in order to obtain renewal of contracts with the French electrical utility company.

- Development projects, including Summit Coal ("SUMMIT"), Milner 2 ("M2"), Milner expansion project ("M3"), Forked River Expansion, Deerland, and Buffalo Atlee, are based upon current estimates of capital cost, projected returns on investment, the duration of the regulatory approval process, and the ability to obtain the necessary financing.
- MAXIM expects that the long-run average price forecast for metallurgical coal will allow for the economically viable development of SUMMIT.
- Management estimates that cash flows for scheduled maintenance programs will be funded by its existing cash on hand, revolving BMO credit facility and potentially asset sale proceeds. This estimate is based upon current budgets and forecasts. Interruptions to production, higher than anticipated operating costs, lower realized power prices, unfavourable moves in interest rates and foreign exchange rates, failure of counterparties to meet their obligations, and various other factors may inhibit the Corporation from meeting its obligations.
- MAXIM estimates total capital expenditures of \$27.2 million to be incurred in 2015. These costs are based upon estimates and may differ from the actual costs to complete.
- The HR Milner generating facility ("M1") is currently offline due to a cooling tower outage. The net capital cost to the Corporation is expected to be \$0.5 million and the unit is expected to return to service during the fourth quarter of 2015. As a result, third quarter revenue is anticipated to be lower than the same quarter in 2014. The impact to EBITDA is not anticipated to be material based on the current low forward Alberta electricity prices.
- In determining potential development sites, management estimates future electricity demand and power prices in these areas. The actual future demand and power prices in these areas may be different from expected.
- MAXIM anticipates all necessary provincial, state and federal regulations for environmental and climate change legislation will be met. Changes to environmental legislation and operational issues may affect the ability of MAXIM to comply with regulations.
- MAXIM anticipates that it will continue to maintain a working capital surplus over the next twelve months. This expectation is based on current Alberta and ISO New England ("ISO-NE") forecasted power prices, which have an impact on trade and other receivables. MAXIM also makes assumptions about cost of fuel and other operating costs, including plant maintenance costs based on equipment manufacturer's specifications. If actual equipment performance differs or maintenance requirements vary, there may be an impact to trade and other payables. A material decrease in Alberta and the ISO-NE forward power prices or unplanned plant maintenance could have an adverse effect on MAXIM's working capital surplus over the next twelve months.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE

Highlights and Notable Events

On June 25, 2015, the Government of Alberta announced that it is amending Alberta's Specified Gas Emitters Regulation ("SGER"). The price for emission fund credits increases from \$15 per tonne of carbon dioxide ("CO₂") to \$20 per tonne on January 1, 2016 and then to \$30 per tonne on January 1, 2017. Over that same period, intensity reduction stringencies will move from the current level of 12% below the baseline to 15% on January 1, 2016 and then 20% on January 1, 2017. MAXIM is well positioned under the amended agreement. M1 has the flexibility of burning natural gas and coal to manage adherence to its intensity baseline and create resalable emission performance credits. The Corporation also considers its Buffalo Atlee wind power development project to hedge against further potential changes to emissions legislation.

On June 18, 2015, MAXIM entered into a termination and mutual release agreement that cancels the terminal services agreement ("TSA") between Ridley Terminals Inc.'s and MAXIM's wholly owned subsidiary, SUMMIT Coal Inc. The TSA was entered into on December 9, 2011 and provided SUMMIT with capacity at Ridley Terminals to ship coal through this port for a period of ten years. Pursuant to the termination agreement, MAXIM forgoes certain benefits under the TSA, including a deposit, while eliminating commitments of \$63.2 million.

In May 2015, the Corporation implemented measures to reduce costs at M1. The Corporation reduced its fuel costs by terminating a coal supply agreement that was set to expire in December 2015 and by entering into a new short-term agreement with another coal supplier for a lower-price for thermal coal. On an annual basis, this will save the Corporation \$11.4 million to \$13.4 million. Further, staffing levels at the facility were reduced by 33%. The total cost saving from this reduction, on an annualized basis, is anticipated to be \$3.1 million. These reductions will enable M1 to be profitable during lower priced hours, improve M1's competitiveness across all dispatch hours and provide greater returns for the Corporation over the long-term.

On May 8, 2015, the Corporation received further regulatory approval to construct and operate the Skaro substation for the Deerland peaking station. The project is fully approved to commence construction.

MAXIM's France operating segment has secured debt financing of \$8.9 million (6.4 million Euro) for two 2015 renovation projects. The terms of the loans are favorable with leveraging ranging from 80% to 83% of the project investment and fixed interest rates ranging from 2.8% to 3.5%. Total 2015 capital expenditures related to the two projects in the renovation program in France are anticipated to be \$10.3 million (7.4 million Euro), in addition, \$0.7 million (0.5 million Euro) will be incurred in the first half of 2016 related to these two projects. MAXIM has deferred one additional renovation project, originally included in the 2015 renovation program scope, to the first half of 2016.

Key Performance Indicators

	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30	
(\$000's, unless otherwise noted)	2015	2014	2015	2014
Revenue	23,027	19,138	70,548	88,270
Adjusted EBITDA ⁽¹⁾	7,025	(3,604)	13,621	12,969
Adjusted net income (loss) ⁽¹⁾	18	(4,566)	(6,135)	(751)
Net income (loss) attributable to shareholders	2,581	(4,325)	(3,346)	(838)
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share attributable to shareholders (\$ per share)	0.05	(0.08)	(0.06)	(0.02)
FFO ⁽²⁾	3,455	(3,922)	8,440	11,079
Total assets	386,767	382,427	386,767	382,427
Loans and borrowings	57,575	50,289	57,575	50,289
Total generation (MWh)	123,636	219,644	361,685	557,865
Average Alberta market power price (\$ per MWh)	57.22	42.43	43.20	51.46
Average Milner realized power price (\$ per MWh)	143.14	51.73	88.75	65.06
Average Northeast U.S. realized power price (US\$ per MWh)	50.27	56.65	114.22	234.02

⁽¹⁾ Select financial information was derived from the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements and is prepared in accordance with GAAP, except adjusted EBITDA, and adjusted net income (loss). Adjusted EBITDA is provided to assist management and investors in determining the Corporation's approximate operating cash flows attributable to shareholders before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization and certain other income and expenses. Adjusted net income (loss) is used to compare MAXIM's results among reporting periods without consideration of unrealized gains and losses and to evaluate MAXIM's performance attributable to shareholders. Adjusted EBITDA and adjusted net income (loss) do not have any standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other companies. Refer to the Non-GAAP measures section of this MD&A for reconciliations between non-GAAP financial measures and comparable measures calculated in accordance with GAAP.

⁽²⁾ Funds from operating activities before changes in working capital ("FFO") is an Additional GAAP measure provided to assist management and investors in determining the Corporation's cash flows generated from operations before the cash impact of working capital fluctuations. Refer to the Additional GAAP measures section of this MD&A for a discussion on how MAXIM uses FFO to assess the Corporation's operations.

Financial Results

Revenue, adjusted EBITDA, adjusted net income and FFO have increased in the second quarter of 2015 when compared to 2014. The increase in these financial measures is primarily due to higher realized power prices at M1 and higher generation in the Northeastern U.S. The increases in adjusted net income and FFO were partially offset by costs incurred in the restructuring of Alberta operations.

Revenue, adjusted net income and FFO have decreased in the first half of 2015 when compared to 2014. The decrease in these financial measures is primarily due to lower generation in Alberta as a result of suppressed market prices, lower realized Northeast U.S. power prices and lower revenue in France in the first quarter. Adjusted net income and FFO also decreased as a result of costs incurred in the restructuring of Alberta operations.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Summary of generation by segment:

Segment	Three months ended June 30, 2015		Three months ended June 30, 2014	
	MWh	% of Total	MWh	% of Total
Canada	85,465	69	204,304	93
United States	38,171	31	15,340	7
France	-	-	-	-
Total MWh Generation	123,636	100	219,644	100

Segment	Six months ended June 30, 2015		Six months ended June 30, 2014	
	MWh	% of Total	MWh	% of Total
Canada	185,688	51	401,677	72
United States	94,062	26	71,711	13
France	81,935	23	84,477	15
Total MWh Generation	361,685	100	557,865	100

The above table includes the operating results of Vancouver Landfill Project until it was sold by MAXIM with a closing date of July 17, 2014. Forked River and Basin Creek are excluded as these facilities provide capacity in exchange for monthly capacity payments.

Revenue

Summary of revenue by segment:

Segment (\$000's)	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Canada	12,240	10,601	16,495	25,861
United States	10,171	7,651	30,183	33,181
France	616	886	23,870	29,228
Revenue	23,027	19,138	70,548	88,270

Second quarter revenue earned by MAXIM increased from \$19.1 million in 2014 to \$23.0 million in 2015, which is an increase of \$3.9 million or 20%. The increase was primarily due to higher realized power prices at M1 during peak periods and an increase in generation volumes in the Northeastern U.S.

On a year to date basis, revenue earned by MAXIM decreased from \$88.3 million in 2014 to \$70.5 million in 2015, which is a decrease of \$17.8 million or 20%. The decrease was primarily due to lower generation at M1 as a result of suppressed power prices in Alberta and lower realized power prices in Northeastern U.S. from lower weather-based demand. In addition to this, lower revenues were driven by fewer dispatches of France's generation facilities that were operating in dispatch mode in the first quarter of 2015 due to lower weather-based demand.

Canada:

Revenue in the second quarter of 2015 increased \$1.6 million or 15% to \$12.2 million as compared to \$10.6 million in 2014, primarily due to an increase in the realized power prices at M1, partially offset by lower generation when compared to 2014. This increase was largely due to higher weather-based demand and supply disruptions in the Alberta power market during a five week period at the end of the second quarter of 2015. This resulted in higher realized prices on a smaller amount of generation when compared to the second quarter of 2014. During the second quarter of 2015, M1 realized an average price of \$143.14 per megawatt-hour ("MWh") of generation in 2015, which represents a \$91.41 per MWh or 177% increase in comparison to \$51.73 per MWh realized in the second quarter of 2014.

Revenue for the first six months of 2015 decreased \$9.4 million or 36% to \$16.5 million as compared to \$25.9 million in 2014. This decrease was largely due to lower weather-based demand and excess power capacity in the Alberta power market in 2015 which resulted in lower generation hours. This was partially offset by higher realized prices realized during a five week period in the second quarter of 2015. M1 realized an average price of \$88.75 per MWh of generation in 2015, which represents a \$23.69 per MWh or 36% increase in comparison to \$65.06 per MWh realized in 2014.

United States:

Second quarter revenue in the United States increased from \$7.7 million in 2014 to \$10.2 million in 2015, which is an increase of \$2.5 million or 32%. This increase was primarily due to higher generation volumes, higher capacity revenues due to Pawtucket taking on additional capacity supply obligations and the appreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar during 2015 as compared to 2014. This was partially offset by lower realized prices as a result of reduced weather-based demand during the second quarter of 2015.

Revenue for the first six months of 2015 decreased from \$33.2 million in 2014 to \$30.2 million in 2015, which is a decrease of \$3.0 million or 9%. This decrease was primarily due to lower realized prices as a result of reduced weather-based demand during the first six months of 2015. This unfavourable variance was partially offset by higher generation volumes, the appreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar during 2015 as compared to 2014 and higher capacity revenues due to Pawtucket taking on additional capacity supply obligations during the first six months of 2015.

France:

Revenue in the second quarter in France is comparable to the same period in 2014.

Revenue for the first six months of 2015 decreased from \$29.2 million in 2014 to \$23.9 million in 2015, which was a decrease of \$5.3 million or 18%. The decrease in operating results was due to lower generation and thermal heat produced as a result of fewer dispatches of generation facilities that were operating in dispatch mode in the first quarter of 2015 due to lower weather-based demand and the depreciation of the Euro relative to the Canadian dollar during 2015 as compared to 2014.

Summary of revenue by fixed versus spot price:

	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30	
(\$000's)	2015	2014	2015	2014
Electricity, thermal heat and capacity sales at fixed prices	8,424	8,285	40,691	45,440
Electricity sales at spot prices	14,603	10,853	29,857	42,830
Revenue	23,027	19,138	70,548	88,270

Second quarter fixed price revenue was \$8.4 million in 2015, which is comparable to the same period in 2014. In the first half of 2015 fixed price revenue decreased from \$45.4 million in 2014 to \$40.7 million in 2015, which is a decrease of \$4.7 million or 10%. The decrease is primarily due to lower generation and thermal heat produced as a result of fewer dispatches of France generation facilities that were operating in dispatch mode in the first quarter of 2015 due to lower weather based demand, less revenues as a result of the sale of MAXIM Power (B.C.) Inc. ("MAXIM BC") in the third quarter of 2014 and the depreciation of the Euro relative to the Canadian dollar during 2015 as compared to 2014. This unfavourable variance was partially offset by the appreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar during 2015 as compared to 2014.

Second quarter electricity sales at spot prices increased from \$10.9 million in 2014 to \$14.6 million in 2015, which is an increase of \$3.7 million or 34%. The increase was due to an increase in realized power prices at M1 during peak periods and an increase in generation volumes in the Northeastern U.S. In the first half of 2015 sales at spot prices decreased from \$42.8 million in 2014 to \$29.9 million in 2015, which is a decrease of \$12.9 million or 30%. The decrease was primarily due to lower generation at M1 as a result of lower power prices in Alberta and lower realized power prices in Northeastern U.S. from lower weather-based demand. This unfavourable variance was partially offset by increased generation in the Northeastern U.S. and the appreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar during 2015 as compared to 2014.

Plant Operations

Summary of plant operations expense by type and segment:

Three months ended June 30 (\$000's)	2015			2014		
	Fuel	O&M	Total	Fuel	O&M	Total
Canada	2,199	4,876	7,075	6,143	6,537	12,680
United States	1,248	6,713	7,961	876	6,111	6,987
France	83	1,596	1,679	7	1,526	1,533
Total	3,530	13,185	16,715	7,026	14,174	21,200
Percent	21%	79%	100%	33%	67%	100%

Six months ended June 30 (\$000's)	2015			2014		
	Fuel	O&M	Total	Fuel	O&M	Total
Canada	10,311	10,027	20,338	13,389	12,534	25,923
United States	10,018	14,321	24,339	15,071	12,434	27,505
France	9,162	7,883	17,045	13,265	7,367	20,632
Total	29,491	32,231	61,722	41,725	32,335	74,060
Percent	48%	52%	100%	56%	44%	100%

Second quarter total plant operating expenses during the year decreased from \$21.2 million in 2014 to \$16.7 million in 2015, which is a decrease of \$4.5 million or 21%. The decrease in plant operating expenses was primarily driven by lower generation in Canada and lower per unit fuel costs in Canada and Northeastern U.S., partially offset by higher generation in the Northeastern U.S.

For the first six months of 2015, total plant operating expenses during the year decreased from \$74.1 million in 2014 to \$61.7 million in 2015, which is a decrease of \$12.4 million or 17%. The decrease in plant operating expenses was primarily driven by lower fuel costs in all segments due to lower generation volumes in Canada and France, as well as lower per unit fuel costs in all segments. Partially offsetting this was a \$4.2 million write-down of the coal inventory to its net realizable value in the Canada segment.

Canada:

Canada's second quarter operations and maintenance ("O&M") costs decreased \$1.6 million in 2015 or 25%, from \$6.5 million in 2014 to \$4.9 million in 2015, primarily due to lower variable O&M costs incurred which are directly linked to lower generation volumes and lower personnel costs as a result of cost cutting initiatives, partially offset by higher legal fees related to the line loss factor proceedings. For the first six months of 2015, O&M costs decreased \$2.5 million or 20%, from \$12.5 million in 2014 to \$10.0 million in 2015, primarily due to the same factors impacting the second quarter.

Second quarter fuel costs in Canada decreased \$3.9 million or 64%, to \$2.2 million in 2015 from \$6.1 million in 2014. The decrease is primarily due to lower coal consumption as a result of lower generation and lower average per unit cost of coal from the \$4.2 million write-down of coal inventory in the first quarter of 2015. For the first six months of 2015, fuel expenses decreased from \$13.4 million in 2014 to \$10.3 million in 2015, which is a decrease of \$3.1 million or 23%. Excluding the non-cash inventory write-down of \$4.2 million, fuel costs decreased \$7.3 million or 55% due primarily to lower coal consumption as a result of a decrease in generation and lower natural gas prices in the first six months of 2015.

United States:

Second quarter O&M expenses in 2015 increased \$0.6 million or 10% to \$6.7 million in 2015 from \$6.1 million in 2014. The increase is primarily due to the appreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar during 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014, as well as increased property taxes and contractor expenses, partially offset by lower legal costs associated with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") inquiry.

O&M expenses in the first six months of 2015 increased \$1.9 million or 15% to \$14.3 million in 2015 from \$12.4 million in 2014. The increase is primarily due to the same factors impacting the second quarter.

Second quarter fuel costs in the United States in 2015 increased \$0.3 million or 33%, to \$1.2 million in 2015 from \$0.9 million in 2014. The increase is primarily due to higher volumes consumed as a result of higher generation and the appreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar during 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014, partially offset by lower natural gas prices in the second quarter of 2015.

Fuel costs in the first six months of 2015 decreased \$5.1 million or 34%, to \$10.0 million in 2015 from \$15.1 million in 2014. The decrease is primarily due to lower natural gas prices in the first half of 2015, partially offset by higher generation volumes and the appreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar during the first six months of 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014.

France:

Second quarter O&M costs in France were \$1.6 million in 2015, which is comparable to the same period in 2014. O&M expenses in the first six months of 2015 increased \$0.5 million or 7% to \$7.9 million in 2015 from \$7.4 million in 2014. This increase is primarily due to an engine failure at one cogeneration facility, engineering and site maintenance expenses, partially offset by the depreciation of the Euro relative to the Canadian dollar in 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014. The repair costs associated with the engine failure are fully offset by insurance proceeds recognized in other income.

Second quarter fuel costs in France were \$0.1 million in 2015, which is comparable to the same period in 2014. France fuel costs for the first six months of 2015 were \$9.2 million, which was a decrease of \$4.1 million or 31%, from the \$13.3 million incurred in 2014. The decrease in fuel costs was due to lower generation volumes, lower per unit costs and the depreciation of the Euro relative to the Canadian dollar in 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014.

General and Administrative Expense

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30		June 30	
(\$000's)	2015	2014	2015	2014
Total general and administrative expense	1,372	1,529	2,375	3,165

General and administration expense incurred in the second quarter of 2015 was \$1.4 million, which is comparable to the same period in 2014.

General and administration expense in the first six months decreased \$0.8 million or 25%, from \$3.2 million in 2014 to \$2.4 million in 2015. This decrease is primarily due to expenses incurred in 2014 related to MAXIM's review of strategic alternatives for U.S. and France operating segments and cost cutting initiatives at corporate offices.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30		June 30	
Total depreciation and amortization	3,336	3,732	11,730	11,559

Depreciation expense in the second quarter of 2015 decreased from \$3.7 million in 2014 to \$3.3 million in 2015, which is a decrease of \$0.4 million or 11%. The decrease is primarily due to a change in estimated salvage value at M1 which resulted in less depreciation in the quarter, partially offset by a higher asset base in the U.S. and the appreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar.

Depreciation expense in the first six months of 2015 was \$11.7 million, which is comparable to the same period in 2014. The increase in depreciation expense in the first quarter of 2015 has been offset by the factors impacting the second quarter.

Asset Impairment Charges and Reversals

In the first quarter of 2015, due to a significant change in fixed capacity rates in future periods in the ISO-NE market, the Corporation reversed a previously recognized asset impairment charge of US\$1.2 million with respect to a generating facility in the United States operating segment.

During the second quarter of 2014, the Corporation entered into an agreement to sell its wholly-owned subsidiary, MAXIM BC. An impairment charge of \$0.5 million was recognized as the carrying amount of the assets net of liabilities was greater than the anticipated net proceeds under the terms of the purchase and sales agreement.

Loss (gain) on Derivative Coal Contract

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30		June 30	
(\$000's)	2015	2014	2015	2014
Total unrealized (gain) loss on derivative coal contract	(3,418)	(321)	(3,719)	116

MAXIM had a second quarter \$3.4 million unrealized gain on the derivative coal contract in 2015, as compared to a \$0.3 million gain for the same period in 2014. These unrealized gains and losses relate to the long-term coal supply agreement for M1, which as of June 30, 2015 has been terminated. The gain recognized in the second quarter represents the reversal of previously recognized unrealized losses.

For the first six months of 2015, MAXIM had a \$3.7 million unrealized gain as compared to a \$0.1 million unrealized loss for the same period in 2014. The unrealized gain recognized in the six months ended June 30, 2015 is due primarily to the same factor impacting the second quarter.

Other Income (Expense), Net

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30		June 30	
(\$000's)	2015	2014	2015	2014
Other income (expense), net	(4,920)	17	(3,898)	2,175

Net other income in the second quarter of 2015 decreased from \$nil in 2014 to an expense of \$4.9 million in 2015. The decrease is primarily due to costs incurred to terminate agreements in order to restructure the Corporation's Alberta operations as explained in the Highlights and Notable Events section on page 3 and 4. This was partially offset by the reversal of previously recognized terminal capacity provisions and recognition of performance penalty income from France service providers and legal fee insurance recoveries related to the FERC investigation.

Net other income in the first half of 2015 decreased from income of \$2.2 million in 2014 to an expense of \$3.9 million in 2015, which is a decrease of \$6.1 million. The decrease is primarily due to costs incurred to terminate agreements in order to restructure the Corporation's Alberta operations. This was partially offset by performance penalty income from France service providers and legal fee insurance recoveries related to the FERC investigation.

Finance Expense (Income), Net

	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30	
(\$000's)	2015	2014	2015	2014
Interest expense	877	751	1,762	1,595
Amortization of deferred financing costs	51	81	106	100
Accretion of provisions	87	63	131	119
Foreign exchange loss (gain)	(67)	(1,394)	1,865	(57)
Finance expense (income)	948	(499)	3,864	1,757
Interest income	(11)	(26)	(25)	(29)
Total finance expense (income), net	937	(525)	3,839	1,728

Net finance expense incurred in the second quarter decreased from income of \$0.5 million in 2014 to an expense of \$0.9 million in 2015. The decrease is primarily due to a decrease in foreign exchange gains from \$1.4 million in 2014 to \$0.1 million in 2015, which is caused primarily by the net impact of foreign exchange rate movement for US dollars and Euros on foreign intercompany liabilities held in Canada. These foreign exchange gains are offset by losses in other comprehensive income.

Net finance expense in the first six months increased from \$1.7 million in 2014 to \$3.8 million in 2015. The increase is primarily due to the same factor impacting the second quarter.

Income Tax Expense (Benefit)

	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30	
(\$000's)	2015	2014	2015	2014
Current tax expense (benefit)	(220)	(1,396)	401	329
Deferred tax benefit	(3,180)	(1,275)	(4,960)	(330)
Total income tax benefit	(3,400)	(2,671)	(4,559)	(1)

Income tax benefit in the second quarter increased from \$2.7 million in 2014 to \$3.4 million in 2015. The increase is primarily a result of higher enacted tax rates in Alberta which resulted in a higher value of existing deferred tax assets. In addition, there were changes in the source of taxable income and losses among the Corporation's legal entities located in difference tax jurisdictions.

MAXIM's income tax benefit increased in the first six months of 2015 from \$nil million in 2014 to \$4.6 million in 2015. The increase is primarily due to the same factors impacting the second quarter as well as an increase in losses before taxes during the first six months of 2015 as compared to 2014.

Financial Position

The following highlights changes in the unaudited condensed consolidated interim Statement of Financial Position from December 31, 2014 to June 30, 2015.

As at (\$000's)	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014	Increase (Decrease)	Primary factors explaining change
Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	8,747	17,142	(8,395)	Financing and investing cash outflows exceed operating inflows
Trade and other receivables	19,285	20,269	(984)	Seasonality of MAXIM's operations in France, partially offset by higher M1 revenues in the month of June vs. December
Inventories	17,020	22,947	(5,927)	Write-down of coal inventory and coal consumption in excess of required purchases of coal
Property, plant and equipment, net	259,919	246,904	13,015	Foreign exchange translation of U.S. assets, capital expenditures (see page 13), reversal of impairment (see page 9), partially offset by depreciation
Net deferred tax assets	21,475	16,632	4,843	Net deferred income tax benefit in 2015 due to changes in enacted tax rates and taxable losses
Net other assets	44,830	52,707	(7,877)	Extension of Milner's useful life reduces present value of decommissioning reimbursement and forfeiture of a long-term deposit
Liabilities & Equity				
Trade and other payables	19,004	26,437	(7,433)	Seasonality of MAXIM's operations
Derivative coal contract	-	3,719	(3,719)	Termination of coal supply agreement
Loans and borrowings	57,575	56,356	1,219	Debt issuance in France and foreign exchange translation on U.S. debt, partially offset by scheduled debt and lease payments
Provisions for decommissioning	22,151	22,506	(355)	Decreased due to changes in inflation rates, discount rates and decommissioning assumptions at Milner
Equity	272,546	267,583	4,963	Foreign exchange rates on self-sustaining operations, partially offset by a net loss for the period

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity

MAXIM utilizes existing cash, cash flows from operations and revolving credit facilities to provide liquidity to the Corporation's operations in order to finance maintenance-of-business capital expenditures and to finance development initiatives. MAXIM plans for major maintenance initiatives and preserves cash and credit through its revolving credit facilities to finance these initiatives. In certain years, it is possible that capital requirements will exceed these sources of financing. In these situations, MAXIM will arrange for debt financing.

MAXIM has a revolving Canadian credit facility with the BMO to a maximum of \$40.0 million in two tranches. Under the first tranche, MAXIM can borrow up to \$25.0 million subject to 90% of the book value of the Corporation's Canadian accounts receivable balance and 50% of the book value of the Corporation's Canadian property, plant and equipment. Under the second tranche, MAXIM can borrow up to \$15.0 million, under the same terms of the first tranche, and subject to twelve month trailing EBITDA being equal to or greater than \$15.0 and MAXIM being in compliance with all financial covenants for a minimum of two consecutive quarters. This facility matures on August 31, 2016. The borrowing limit as at June 30, 2015 was \$25.0 million. As of that date, \$nil was drawn in borrowings and \$11.4 million was drawn as letters of credit on this facility.

As at June 30, 2015, MAXIM breached its net funded debt to EBITDA ratio financial covenant in relation to its Canadian bank facilities. Management obtained a waiver for the June 30, 2015 covenant breach from the bank on July 30, 2015. Current Alberta power forward price curves suggest low Alberta power prices in the near term and under these low prices, the Corporation will likely breach the net funded debt to EBITDA ratio and interest coverage ratio in the third quarter and will likely breach the DSCR financial covenant in the third and fourth quarter of 2015. Based on power forward price curves, the Corporation anticipates it will be onside with all financial covenants commencing in the first quarter of 2016. In the absence of obtaining a waiver for the future periods if any covenant is not met, these facilities may become due on demand. As a result, significant doubt may exist with respect to the ability of the Corporation to continue as a going concern.

MAXIM is currently in the process of pursuing various smaller asset sales and financing options which would, in management's view, enable the Corporation to achieve its business plan. The proceeds from these may in part be used to cash collateralize existing letters of credit under the Canadian credit facility and repay any draws on the revolver occurring in 2015, thereby mitigating the risk of covenant default and decreasing the Corporation's debt service charges. No further agreements have been reached as of the date of this MD&A and there can be no assurance that such agreements will be reached.

Cash flow summary:

Six months ended June 30 (\$000's)	2015	2014
Cash on hand, net of bank indebtedness, unrestricted, December 31	17,142	21,362
Cash flow from operations:		
• FFO	8,440	11,079
• Changes in working capital	(6,075)	10,936
Cash flow used in financing	(2,081)	(10,749)
Available for investments	17,426	32,628
Cash flow generated from (used in) investing	(9,180)	(7,575)
Effect of foreign exchange rates on cash	501	16
Cash on hand, unrestricted, June 30	8,747	25,069
Undrawn revolving credit facility	13,633	27,448
Net liquidity available, June 30	22,380	52,517

FFO decreased from \$11.1 million in 2014 to \$8.4 million in 2015, which is a decrease of \$2.7 million or 24%. The decrease is primarily due to lower generation in Alberta and lower realized prices in the Northeast U.S. as a result of reduced weather-based demand, cash costs incurred in the restructuring of Alberta operations and the depreciation of the Euro relative to the Canadian dollar. Partially offsetting this was the appreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar during 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014.

Fluctuations in working capital in the first six months of 2015 represented a cash outflow of \$6.1 million in 2015 compared to a cash inflow of \$10.9 million in 2014. See below for further discussion of working capital.

During the first six months of 2015, MAXIM's debt and capital lease repayments exceeded financing cash inflows, resulting in a net financing outflow of \$2.1 million during the year. MAXIM's financing outflows included debt and capital repayments lease repayments of \$2.8 million and \$0.4 million respectively, and \$1.8 million in interest payments. Partially offsetting these outflows were the issuances of long-term debt for \$2.9 million.

During the first six months of 2014, MAXIM's debt and capital lease repayments exceeded financing cash inflows, resulting in a net financing outflow of \$10.7 million during the year. MAXIM's financing outflows included net payments on the revolving BMO credit facility of \$5.7 million, debt and capital lease repayments of \$2.9 million and \$0.4 million respectively, and \$1.7 million in interest payments.

MAXIM's investing activities in the first six months of 2015 represented a cash outflow of \$9.2 million, which primarily consisted of \$12.7 million in property, plant and equipment ("PP&E") purchases, \$0.5 million decrease in non-current deposits, partially offset by proceeds on sale of emission performance credits for \$3.4 million and a change in non-cash working capital of \$0.6 million. The \$12.7 million in PP&E expenditures is comprised of \$4.6 million on facility renovations and other improvements in France, \$4.0 million on improvements at Forked River, \$2.6 million on improvements at Pittsfield, \$0.4 million on improvements at CDECCA and \$1.1 million on development initiatives as well as improvements to other North American facilities.

MAXIM's investing activities in the first six months of 2014 represented a cash outflow of \$7.6 million, which primarily consisted of \$9.8 million in PP&E purchases, and a \$0.3 million increase in non-current deposits. These purchases were partially offset by a change in non-cash working capital of \$2.4 million and a \$0.1 million decrease in restricted cash. The \$9.8 million in PP&E expenditures is comprised of \$1.0 million on improvements to M1, \$1.3 million on improvements at Pittsfield, \$1.5 million on improvements at CDECCA, \$1.4 million on improvements to Pawtucket, \$0.5 million on facility renovations in France, \$2.4 million on the development of Mine 14 ("M14"), \$0.5 million on the development of Deerland, and \$1.2 million on other development initiatives as well as improvements to North American facilities.

The following table represents the net capital of the Corporation:

As at (\$000's)	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Long-term debt	55,548	53,913
Capital lease obligation	2,027	2,443
Less: Unrestricted cash (net of bank indebtedness)	(8,747)	(17,142)
Net debt	48,828	39,214
Shareholders' equity	271,858	266,977
Capital	320,686	306,191
Net debt to capital	15.2%	12.8%

The Corporation uses the percent of net debt to capital to monitor leverage. The increase in net debt to capital from December 31, 2014 to June 30, 2015 is primarily due to a decrease in cash as a result of unfunded capital spending and the timing of monetization of working capital balances, as well as new debt obtained in the first half of 2015. Partially offsetting these increases to net debt is an increase in shareholder's equity, which is due to an increase in accumulated other comprehensive as a result of foreign exchange changes. The Corporation anticipates that net debt to capital will increase through the remainder of 2015 as non-cash working capital increases and further debt-financed renovations in France are carried out. This preceding statement represents FLI and users are cautioned that actual results may vary.

Working Capital

The Corporation's working capital surplus of \$23.8 million at June 30, 2015 represents a \$3.1 million decrease from the working capital surplus of \$26.9 million at December 31, 2014. The total decrease was due to a \$14.0 million decrease in current assets and a \$10.9 million decrease in current liabilities.

The decrease in current assets is due to a \$8.4 million decrease in unrestricted cash, a \$5.9 million decrease in inventory primarily due to a coal inventory write-down and consumption at M1 and a \$1.0 million decrease to accounts receivable. This was partially offset by a \$0.9 million increase to prepaid expenses and deposits due to the timing of property tax payments and a \$0.4 million increase in income taxes recoverable due to lower comparable earnings.

The decrease in current liabilities is due to a \$7.4 million decrease in trade and other payables primarily due to seasonality of MAXIM's operations and a \$3.7 million decrease to the derivative coal contract due to the termination of the coal supply contract. This was partially offset by a \$0.2 million increase to the current portion of loans and borrowings.

MAXIM anticipates that it will continue to maintain a working capital surplus over the next twelve months. This preceding statement represents FLI and users are cautioned that actual results may vary.

Contractual Obligations

During the second quarter of 2015, the Corporation restructured its Alberta operations in order to reduce ongoing operating costs. As part of this restructuring the Corporation terminated its 10 year terminal services agreement for port capacity relating to coal exports from SUMMIT. In addition, the Corporation terminated a coal supply agreement set to expire in December 2015 and entered into a new agreement with another coal supplier for lower priced thermal coal. Further details can be found in the Highlights and Notable Events section on page 3 and 4..

Contingencies

The Corporation operates in a regulatory and commercial environment that exposes it to regulatory, contractual and litigation risks. As a result, the Corporation is involved in certain disputes and legal proceedings, including litigation, arbitration, and regulatory investigations. Such cases are subject to many uncertainties, and the outcomes are often difficult to predict, including the impact on operations or on the financial statements, particularly in the earlier stages of a case. In certain circumstances, to avoid the expense and distraction of legal proceedings, the Corporation may, based on a cost-benefit analysis, enter into a settlement even though denying any wrongdoing. The Corporation makes provisions for cases brought against it when, in the opinion of management after seeking legal advice, it is probable that a liability exists, and the amount can be reliably estimated.

The FERC has continued its inquiry related to MAXIM's supply of electricity to the ISO-NE market. In the first quarter of 2014, FERC's Office of Enforcement ("OE") communicated to MAXIM its preliminary findings on this matter. OE is asserting that MAXIM received unjust gains of approximately US\$23 million for which it should be required to repay amounts not already repaid (MAXIM repaid approximately US\$3 million in 2010 through the ISO-NE mitigation program), and pay a civil penalty calculated based on the amount of unjust gains. In the fourth quarter of 2014, FERC issued a Notice of Alleged Violations ("Notice") concerning the OE inquiry. In the first quarter of 2015, FERC issued an Order to Show Cause ("Show Cause Order") concerning certain offers to supply electricity during July and August of 2010. The preliminary findings of the OE, the Notice and the Show Cause Order do not constitute findings of FERC. In the second quarter of 2015, FERC issued an Order Assessing Civil Penalties ("Penalties Order") concerning the Show Cause Order. The Penalties Order has assessed penalties of US\$5 million against MAXIM and US\$50 thousand against an employee. MAXIM has filed an election with FERC that requires FERC to initiate a judicial proceeding in which a federal district court will review the facts and the law de novo. Subsequent to June 30, 2015, FERC filed a petition seeking a court order to affirm these civil penalties related to certain offers to supply electricity during July and August of 2010. MAXIM intends to vigorously defend itself and is confident it can demonstrate that the conduct set forth in the Show Cause Order did not violate FERC's anti-manipulation rule or any other rule. MAXIM and its external legal counsel strongly disagree with the preliminary findings of the OE on the other matters for which Show Cause Orders have not been issued.


In addition to the regulatory inquiry described in the preceding paragraph, the Corporation has received claims for additional costs from suppliers in France. Costs in relation to these claims and potential claims are only recognized when they become probable and based on the information presently known it is the view of the Corporation that these claims and potential claims are without merit. The actual outcome of these claims and potential claims, including the timing and amount of any cash outflow or the possibility of reimbursements, is not yet determinable.

Capital Resources

The following represents FLI and users are cautioned that actual results may vary. The Corporation is currently estimating capital and development expenditures of approximately \$27.2 million for 2015. These expenditures include \$13.1 million on required facility renovations in order to obtain renewal of contracts with the French electrical utility company and \$0.5 million on other sustaining capital in France, \$1.1 million on plant improvements at M1, including the net capital costs of the cooling tower, \$10.3 million on plant improvements at generating facilities in Northeast U.S., \$0.2 million on the development of Mine 14, \$0.4 million on the development of Deerland, \$0.8 million for the development of an ash management site and the development of M2 and M3, \$0.7 million for the Forked River Expansion and \$0.1 million on other development projects in North America. Management plans to fund the France renovations with approximately 80% debt financing. Remaining expenditures will be financed through cash flows from operations and existing cash balances.

OUTLOOK

The Corporation's outlook is significantly impacted by Alberta electricity and fuel prices. Alberta electricity prices are a key revenue determinant for MAXIM's M1. Alberta power prices fluctuate based on the supply of and demand for electricity within Alberta, the cost of key inputs such as natural gas, and other market factors. The following chart compares the average annual Alberta power price to Alberta natural gas price since deregulation of the electric industry in Alberta. The break in correlation is the result of tighter generation capacity relative to demand since 2011, which led to higher power prices from 2011 to 2013. Commencing in 2014, it was noted that Alberta power prices became more closely correlated to gas prices as new supply came on the system. This trend is expected to continue for the foreseeable future.



In the Northeast U.S., growing demand for natural gas as a fuel source for electricity generation, as well as constraints on existing gas pipeline infrastructure, have increased natural gas price volatility during periods of peak gas consumption. This volatility is expected to have a positive impact on MAXIM's power plants as energy margins are positively correlated to natural gas prices, resulting in higher margins at higher gas prices. Natural gas price volatility is expected to persist until natural gas supply constraints in the region are addressed. It is also expected that capacity revenue will increase as the market becomes more constrained with capacity shortcomings due to the retirement of generating facilities that do not have natural gas as an option for their fuel source.

ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES

MAXIM is continuing its Independent Power Producer strategy through the advancement of its development initiatives as described herein. Supply and demand for electricity, reserve margins, tariff structures, and the regulatory environment will be key fundamental factors in determining the pace at which to pursue opportunities. Demand is highly correlated to economic growth.

Deerland

MAXIM has received regulatory approvals to construct and operate the Deerland peaking station, a 190 MW natural gas-fired peaking facility. In May 2015, MAXIM received further regulatory approval to construct and operate the Skaro substation for the Deerland peaking station. MAXIM has entered into agreements to secure firm natural gas transportation service for the Deerland peaking station. MAXIM expects that full-scale construction of the facility will commence pending commercial arrangements, which the Corporation is actively pursuing, and strengthening of prices in the Alberta power market.

SUMMIT

SUMMIT is MAXIM's development initiative located north of Grande Cache, Alberta that owns metallurgical coal leases for M14 and Mine 16S ("M16S"). Current estimates for M14 are 18.9 million tonnes of low-mid volatile metallurgical coal reserves with a mine life of 17 years based on the NI 43-101 Technical Report filed on SEDAR on March 21, 2013. M16S is located 30 kilometers northwest of M14 and represents 1,792 hectares or 29% of SUMMIT's total area of coal leases. A NI 43-101 Technical Report has not been prepared for M16S.

M14 is permitted for a run-of-mine production rate of up to 1,300,000 tonnes per year. MAXIM has also received approval from the Alberta Energy Regulator to construct and operate a Coal Beneficiation Plant. This Coal Beneficiation Plant, to be located on MAXIM's existing M1 industrial complex, will bifurcate M14's run-of-mine coal into an estimated annual production of 950,000 tonnes of high-quality, low-mid volatile and metallurgical coal for shipment to export markets. These approvals provide SUMMIT with all of the requisite government and regulatory approvals to construct and operate M14.

In November 2014, MAXIM received delivery of five pieces of mine equipment including two continuous miners and three shuttle cars. The units are in storage awaiting development of M14.

The Corporation expects that the long-run average price forecast for metallurgical coal will allow for the economically viable development of this project.

M2

On June 4, 2014, the Alberta Utility Commission ("AUC") approved MAXIM's application to convert the fuel source for M2 from coal to natural gas and to increase the generating capacity of the proposed expansion from 500 MW to 520 MW. The M2 facility is to be located adjacent to the existing 150 MW M1. The existing infrastructure at the M1 site allows MAXIM to leverage benefits including electrical connection, fuel delivery, water licenses, and a skilled operations team.

M3

MAXIM is proposing to increase generating capacity at the M1 site by building M3, which will comprise of two gas-fired turbines located next to M1. The development of M3 will also result in a reduction to total greenhouse gases and air emissions from current levels. Exhaust energy from M3's gas turbines will be converted to steam and utilized to generate electricity in the existing M1 steam turbine, displacing coal-sourced steam. M3, before giving effect to the development of M2, will increase the nameplate capacity at the Milner site from 150 MW to 236 MWs. Total emissions of carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides, sulfur oxides and particulates at the M1 site will decrease compared to running the existing M1.

On February 12, 2015, MAXIM received approval from the AUC to construct and operate M3. MAXIM's construction and operation of M3 is now pending approval from Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development, which is expected to be received in the third quarter of 2015.

Forked River Expansion

MAXIM continues to advance an expansion initiative at the Forked River site. Based on studies by the local utility, PJM has confirmed sufficient local infrastructure to support a potential increase of 100MW at the Forked River site. MAXIM has commenced the process of permitting of the project; configuration, size and timing are being evaluated to ensure the highest value for the expansion project. An expansion of the facility will provide additional capacity to participate in future capacity auctions and realize cost savings because this expansion project will be on the existing Forked River site.

Financing

MAXIM requires capital (debt and equity) to finance development initiatives and for larger acquisitions. MAXIM maintains the flexibility to manage the timing of its acquisition and development initiatives. MAXIM accounts for its development projects as assets under construction included in property, plant, and equipment. Capitalization of costs associated with these projects commences once technical and economic feasibility is established. If a project no longer meets these criteria, any capitalized costs for the project are expensed in the period.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND CLIMATE CHANGE LEGISLATION

M1 was commissioned in 1972, and accordingly, is allowed to operate to its full capacity to December 31, 2019 under Canadian greenhouse gas ("GHG") regulations. After December 31, 2019, M1 is allowed to operate at an annual capacity factor of up to 9% (using coal as a supply), which is approximately 113,500 MWh per annum, until December 31, 2029.

On June 25, 2015, the Government of Alberta announced that it is amending SGER. The price for emission fund credits increases from \$15 per tonne of CO₂ to \$20 per tonne on January 1, 2016 and then to \$30 per tonne on January 1, 2017. Over that same period, intensity reduction stringencies, which require lowering production of CO₂ relative to a baseline, will move from the current level of 12% below the baseline to 15% on January 1, 2016 and then 20% on January 1, 2017.

MAXIM is well positioned under the amended SGER regulation. M1 has the flexibility of burning natural gas and coal to manage adherence to its intensity baseline and create resalable emission performance credits. The increased cost of emission fund credits is expected to drive an increase in the market price for emission performance credits as either form of credit can be used to satisfy an emitter's obligations under the regulation. This change, coupled with the previously announced changes on June 10, 2015 to position M1 as a low cost producer of electricity in Alberta, is expected to mitigate any adverse impact associated with the stringency reduction. It is anticipated that increases in industry-wide SGER compliance costs will result in higher Alberta power prices that will ultimately be borne by Alberta power consumers.

In addition to the GHG, Canadian federal and Alberta provincial environmental regulations are also being developed and/or revised for other air pollutants such as sulfur dioxide ("SO₂"), nitrogen oxides ("NO_x"), volatile organic carbons, and particulate matter. The Clean Air Strategic Alliance ("CASA") is an Alberta based, multi-stakeholder group of representatives from industry, government and nongovernment organizations. This group creates strategies to assess and improve air quality for Albertans and influences air emission regulations. CASA developed a framework to manage air emissions from the electricity sector in Alberta, and the provincial government is currently reviewing GHG emissions, by each industry, in Alberta. A primary issue under review is the current misalignment of federal greenhouse gas coal regulations with the Alberta air emission regulations. The risk arising from this misalignment is the potential early retirement of coal generating plants to avoid significant uneconomic expenditures with only a few years left to operate.

Up to 2013, MAXIM generated NO_x credits at M1. As of January 1, 2013, MAXIM commenced consumption of these credits to maintain compliance under CASA. Under current legislation and production profile, MAXIM does not anticipate requiring additional NO_x credits and/or implementing other mitigation alternatives for the foreseeable future. M1's rate of consumption of these credits is heavily influenced by coal-fired generation and, as such, may fluctuate given changes in the levels of production and the fuel source used for production at M1.

MAXIM also has been able to generate SO₂ credits at M1 up to December 31, 2012. Under current legislation, MAXIM anticipates that these credits would not be exhausted until after M1 is no longer able to operate at its full capacity while using coal as a fuel supply. Similar to the NO_x credits, the consumption of these credits is driven by coal-fired generation and as such may fluctuate given changes in the levels of production and the fuel source used for production.

MAXIM continues to advocate through various industry working groups and direct discussions with the provincial regulators for a reasonable and timely resolution to what it believes is a misalignment of the federal GHG and provincial air pollutant regulations.

The state of environmental regulation in the U.S. remains fluid. While the U.S. Congress has not enacted comprehensive climate change legislation, the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("U.S. EPA") has proposed and promulgated regulations under the Clean Air Act ("Act") that limit GHG emissions from certain new and expanded power plants.

On August 3, 2015, the U.S. EPA proposed final rules under the Act that will decrease U.S. CO₂ by 870 million tonnes, or 32% below 2005 levels, by 2030. The rules provide performance standards for new, modified and reconstructed emission sources under section 111(b) of the Act and existing emission sources under section 111(d) of the Act. The specific GHG requirements for existing power plants will be determined by the states, which are not due to submit plans implementing the proposed limits until September 6, 2016, a deadline which may be extended upon a state's request. In response to a recent lawsuit, a United States appellate court recently ruled that EPA's proposed regulations of existing power plants GHG emissions are not subject to judicial challenge until they have been finalized, although additional challenges to those regulations and to state implementation plans are anticipated in the years ahead. The U.S. EPA is also seeking comment on a rule to enforce these requirements if states fail to submit their own approvable plans to the U.S. EPA.

MAXIM is in compliance with currently enacted environmental legislation. Such legislation includes the Climate Change and Emissions Act (Alberta) and the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative, which limit carbon dioxide emissions from facilities located in Alberta and the Northeast U.S., respectively. In the European Union, MAXIM's France operating segment meets all current emission guidelines.

Until proposed U.S. regulations have been finalized, management does not have sufficient information to assess and quantify their future implications on the finances and competitive position of the Corporation. Management is not aware of any new legislation or environmental policies affecting current European Union regulations.

SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Key performance indicators

Quarter ended: (unaudited) (\$000's)	30-Jun 2015	31-Mar 2015	31-Dec 2014	30-Sep 2014	30-Jun 2014	31-Mar 2014	31-Dec 2013	30-Sep 2013
Revenue	23,027	47,521	30,437	24,208	19,138	69,132	36,807	45,046
Adjusted EBITDA ⁽¹⁾	7,025	6,596	(1,401)	3,430	(3,604)	16,573	(3,633)	14,828
Adjusted net income (loss) ⁽¹⁾	18	(6,153)	(7,117)	(1,186)	(4,566)	3,815	(9,812)	9,685
Net income (loss) attributable to shareholders	2,581	(5,927)	(6,860)	(1,415)	(4,325)	3,487	(9,082)	7,640
Basic and diluted income (loss) per share attributable to shareholders	0.05	(0.11)	(0.13)	(0.03)	(0.08)	0.06	(0.17)	0.14
FFO ⁽²⁾	3,455	4,985	(90)	2,611	(3,922)	15,001	(3,867)	13,998
Total assets	386,767	407,548	391,679	380,132	382,427	410,741	394,450	383,364
Average Alberta electricity price (\$ per MWh)	57	29	30	64	42	61	49	84
Average Milner realized electricity price (\$ per MWh)	143	42	36	92	52	79	50	109
Average Northeast U.S. realized electricity price (\$USD per MWh)	50	158	82	66	57	282	151	116

(1) Adjusted EBITDA is provided to assist management and investors in determining the Corporation's approximate operating cash flows attributable to shareholders before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization and certain other income and expenses. Adjusted net income (loss) is used to compare MAXIM's results among reporting periods without consideration of unrealized gains and losses and to evaluate MAXIM's performance attributable to shareholders. Adjusted EBITDA and adjusted net income (loss) do not have any standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other companies. Refer to the Non-GAAP measures section of this MD&A for reconciliations between non-GAAP financial measures and comparable measures calculated in accordance with GAAP.

(2) FFO is an Additional GAAP measure provided to assist management and investors in determining the Corporation's cash flows generated from operations before the cash impact of working capital fluctuations. Refer to the Additional GAAP measures section of this MD&A for a discussion on how MAXIM uses FFO to assess the Corporation's operations.

Quarter over quarter revenue and adjusted EBITDA are affected by planned and unplanned outages, market demand, market prices, timing of acquisitions and divestitures, weather conditions and seasonal Alberta power prices. Alberta power prices tend to be higher during winter and summer peak load months and are further affected by supply constraints such as outages at other Alberta generation facilities. Similarly, results in the Northeast U.S. tend to trend with weather based demand with higher earnings during the winter and summer peak periods versus non-peak periods.

In addition to the factors noted above, net income (loss) attributable to shareholders is affected by certain non-cash and non-recurring transactions as follows.

The second quarter of 2015 had a \$6.9 million expense for costs relating to the restructuring of Alberta operations and a \$3.4 million unrealized gain relating to the termination of a coal supply agreement. The first quarter of 2015 had a \$4.2 million write-down of coal inventory, a reversal of asset impairment charge for \$1.5 million relating to a US generating facility, \$0.3 million unrealized gain on the derivative coal contract, and a \$1.6 million gain on the approval of emission performance credits.

The first quarter of 2014 had a \$0.4 million unrealized loss on the derivative coal contract, and a \$1.7 million gain on the approval of emission performance credits. The second quarter of 2014 had a \$0.3 million unrealized gain on the derivative coal contract and \$0.5 million loss related to asset impairment charges related to the sale of MAXIM BC. The third quarter of 2014 had a \$0.3 million unrealized loss on the derivative coal contract. The fourth quarter of 2014 included the recognition of impairments of \$0.3 million at Gold Creek and \$0.5 million for capital spares at the Northeast U.S. facilities and a \$0.3 unrealized gain on the derivative coal contract.

The third quarter of 2013 had a \$1.1 million unrealized loss on the derivative coal contract, a \$1.6 million unrealized loss on a firm financial commodity swaps, and a \$0.7 million gain from sale of Hartland. The fourth quarter of 2013 had a \$1.2 million unrealized gain on the derivative coal contract and a \$0.2 million unrealized loss on a firm financial commodity swap.

NON-GAAP MEASURES

Management evaluates MAXIM's performance using a variety of measures. The non-GAAP measures discussed below should not be considered as an alternative to or to be more meaningful than revenue, net income attributable to shareholders of the Corporation or net cash generated from operating activities, as determined in accordance with GAAP, when assessing MAXIM's financial performance or liquidity.

These measures do not have any standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other companies.

Adjusted EBITDA

	Three months ended June 30		Six months ended June 30	
(\$000's)	2015	2014	2015	2014
GAAP Measures from Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income				
Net income (loss)	2,565	(4,327)	(3,238)	(720)
Income tax benefit	(3,400)	(2,671)	(4,559)	(1)
Finance expense (income), net	937	(525)	3,839	1,728
Depreciation and amortization	3,336	3,732	11,730	11,559
EBITDA	3,438	(3,791)	7,772	12,566
Adjustments:				
Inventory write-down	-	-	4,234	-
Restructuring of Alberta operations	6,854	-	6,854	-
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivative coal contract	(3,418)	(321)	(3,719)	116
Share-based compensation	185	11	293	38
(Reversal of) asset impairment charges	-	538	(1,500)	538
EBITDA from non-controlling interests	(34)	(41)	(313)	(289)
Adjusted EBITDA	7,025	(3,604)	13,621	12,969

Adjusted EBITDA is calculated as described above, adjusted for specific items that are significant but not reflective of the Corporation's underlying operations. Adjustment of these specific items is subjective; however, management uses its judgment and informed decision-making when identifying items for adjustment.

Adjusted EBITDA is provided to assist management and investors in determining the Corporation's approximate operating cash flows attributable to shareholders before finance expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, and certain other income and expenses. Financing expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization are excluded from the EBITDA calculation, as they do not represent cash expenditures that are directly affected by operations. Furthermore, EBITDA is used in MAXIM's bank covenant calculations, which requires these items to be omitted. Management believes that presentation of this non-GAAP measure provides useful information to investors and shareholders as it provides predictive value and assists in the evaluation of performance trends. Management uses adjusted EBITDA to compare financial results among reporting periods and to evaluate MAXIM's operating performance and ability to generate funds from operating activities.

In calculating adjusted EBITDA for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 management excluded certain non-cash and non-recurring transactions. In the second quarter of 2015, adjusted EBITDA excluded expenses relating to the restructuring of Alberta operations, a gain for the unrealized expenses relating to the termination of a coal supply agreement, non-cash expenses related to share-based compensation and EBITDA from non-controlling interests. In the second quarter of 2014, adjusted EBITDA excluded an unrealized gain on the derivative coal contract, an asset impairment change from the sale of MAXIM BC, non-cash expenses related to share based compensation and EBITDA from non-controlling interest.

Adjusted EBITDA for the six months of 2015 excluded expenses relating to the restructuring of Alberta operations, a coal inventory write-down at M1, a gain for the unrealized expenses relating to the termination of a coal supply agreement, the reversal of impairment charge relating to a US generating facility, non-cash expenses related to share-based compensation and EBITDA from non-controlling interests. In 2014, adjusted EBITDA excluded an unrealized loss on the derivative coal contract, an asset impairment change from the sale of MAXIM BC, non-cash expenses related to share based compensation and EBITDA from non-controlling interest.

Adjusted Net Income (Loss)

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30		June 30	
(\$000's)	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net income (loss)	2,565	(4,327)	(3,238)	(720)
Unrealized loss (gain), net of tax	(2,563)	(241)	(2,789)	87
Non-controlling interest loss (income)	16	2	(108)	(118)
Adjusted net income (loss)	18	(4,566)	(6,135)	(751)

Adjusted net income (loss) provides management and investors with information on net income excluding unrealized non-cash items and non-controlling interests. Second quarter 2015 adjusted net loss excluded a \$2.6 million unrealized gain, net of tax, on the derivative coal contract. In the second quarter 2014, adjusted net income excluded a \$0.2 million unrealized gain, net of tax, on the derivative coal contract.

For the first six months of 2015, adjusted net loss excluded a \$2.8 million unrealized gain, net of tax, on the derivative coal contract and \$0.1 million non-controlling interest income. For the first six months of 2014, adjusted net income excluded a \$0.1 million unrealized loss, net of tax, on the derivative coal contract and \$0.1 million non-controlling interest income.

ADDITIONAL GAAP MEASURES

Income from operations

MAXIM's consolidated statement of income includes a subtotal, income from operations, which is not required under IAS 1 - *Presentation of financial statements*. This additional GAAP measure is included in the statement of income to increase the usefulness and understandability of the Corporation's financial results.

Income from operations reflects revenues less expenses related to the operations of the Corporation. This additional GAAP measure can be used to assess the operating efficiency of the Corporation, which excludes the impact of financing and taxes as these measures are not related to the efficiency of MAXIM's operations. Management reviews income from operations on a quarterly basis as part of their assessment of adjusted EBITDA in order to monitor MAXIM's performance.

Funds from operating activities before changes in working capital

MAXIM's consolidated statement of cash flows includes a subtotal, FFO, which is not required under IAS 1 - *Presentation of financial statements*. This additional GAAP measure is included in the statement of cash flows to increase the usefulness and understandability of the Corporation's financial results. This description has been updated from the prior year in order to provide a more meaningful name to stakeholders.

FFO reflects cash generated from operations before changes in non-cash working capital. This additional GAAP measure can be used to assist management and investors in determining cash generated from operations before the impact of working capital fluctuations, which vary based upon timing differences and are not considered representative of underlying operational performance. Management reviews funds from operating activities before changes in working capital on a quarterly basis.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING JUDGEMENTS AND ESTIMATES

The use of judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the condensed consolidated interim financial statements has been applied consistently for all periods presented and are unchanged from the judgments and estimates disclosed in the notes to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014, with exception to the following:

Change in useful life

During the first quarter of 2015, the Corporation revised its business plan for M1. This facility is now expected to remain a coal-fired facility until 2019, at which point it will be converted to a natural gas-fired facility and have a 40 year life-extension. As a result, the expected useful life of coal-fired components of the equipment has decreased and the estimated residual value of other components, not specifically related to coal-fired generation, has increased. The effect of these changes on actual and expected depreciation expense is not significant. The effect of these changes on the Statement of Financial Position was disclosed in the Corporation's condensed consolidated interim financial statements for the first quarter ended March 31, 2015.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

IFRS Standards Issued Not Yet Effective

The International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") has issued the following new standards to August 6, 2015. These standards have not been applied in preparing MAXIM's second quarter 2015 condensed consolidated interim financial statements as the effective date falls in a subsequent period.

There are no other standards that have been issued, but are not yet effective, that the Corporation anticipates will have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements once adopted.

Financial Instruments

IFRS 9, Financial Instruments, was issued in November 2009 and addresses classification and measurement of financial assets. It replaces the multiple category and measurement models in IAS 39 for debt instruments with a new mixed measurement model having only two categories: amortized cost and fair value through profit or loss. IFRS 9 also replaces the models for measuring equity instruments. Such instruments are either recognized at fair value through profit or loss or at fair value through other comprehensive income. Where equity instruments are measured at fair value through other comprehensive income, dividends are recognized in profit or loss to the extent that they do not clearly represent a return of investment; however, other gains and losses (including impairments) associated with such instruments remain in accumulated comprehensive income indefinitely.

Requirements for financial liabilities were added to IFRS 9 in October 2010, which largely carries forward existing requirements in IAS 39, Financial Instruments – Recognition and Measurement, except that fair value changes due to credit risk for liabilities designated at fair value through profit and loss are generally recorded in other comprehensive income.

In November 2013, an amendment to IFRS 9 was issued which represents a substantial overhaul of hedge accounting that will better reflect risk management activities in the financial statements. In addition the amendment will enable entities to change the accounting for liabilities that they have elected to measure at fair value, before applying any of the other requirements in IFRS 9. This change in accounting would mean that gains caused by a worsening in an entity's own credit risk on such liabilities are no longer recognized in profit or loss.

In July 2014, the IASB completed the final element of IFRS 9. The IASB has introduced a new, expected-loss impairment model that will require more timely recognition of expected credit losses. Specifically, the new Standard requires entities to account for expected credit losses from when financial instruments are first recognized and to recognize full lifetime expected losses on a more timely basis. In addition to this, the IASB has issued the mandatory effective date of the new Standard.

The new standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018. Early adoption is permitted whereby the standard must be applied retrospectively. Management is currently assessing the impact of the application of this standard, but does not anticipate that it will early adopt this new standard.

Revenue from Contracts with Customers

IFRS 15, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, was issued in May 2014 and replaces IAS 18 and IAS 11 and related Interpretations. IFRS 15 establishes a model that will apply to revenue earned from a contract with a customer, except for those covered by standards on leases, insurance contracts, financial instruments consolidated financial statements, joint arrangements, separate financial statements and investments in associates and joint ventures. The core principle of the new Standard is for companies to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to customers in amounts that reflect the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The new Standard will also result in enhanced disclosures about revenue, provide guidance for transactions that were not previously addressed comprehensively and improve guidance for multiple-element arrangements.

The new standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018. Early adoption is permitted whereby the standard must be applied retrospectively. Management is currently assessing the impact of application of this standard, but does not anticipate that it will early adopt this new standard.

IFRS amendments

The IASB has issued the following amendments to August 6, 2015. These amendments have not been applied in preparing MAXIM's second quarter 2015 condensed consolidated interim financial statements as the effective date falls in a subsequent period.

Standard amended	Issued Date	Effective Date ⁽¹⁾	Impact on MAXIM
<i>IFRS 10 Consolidated Financial Statements</i>	September 2014	January 1, 2016	No significant impact
<i>IFRS 10 Consolidated Financial Statements</i>	December 2014	January 1, 2016	Not applicable to MAXIM
<i>IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements</i>	May 2014	January 1, 2016	No significant impact
<i>IFRS 12 Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities</i>	December 2014	January 1, 2016	Not applicable to MAXIM
<i>IAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements</i>	December 2014	January 1, 2016	No significant impact
<i>IAS 16 Property, Plant and Equipment</i>	May 2014	January 1, 2016	No significant impact
<i>IAS 16 Property, Plant and Equipment</i>	June 2014	January 1, 2016	Not applicable to MAXIM
<i>IAS 27 Separate Financial Statements</i>	August 2014	January 1, 2016	Not applicable to MAXIM
<i>IAS 28 Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures</i>	September 2014	January 1, 2016	No significant impact
<i>IAS 28 Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures</i>	December 2014	January 1, 2016	Not applicable to MAXIM
<i>IAS 38 Intangible Assets</i>	May 2014	January 1, 2016	No significant impact
<i>IAS 41 Agriculture</i>	June 2014	January 1, 2016	Not applicable to MAXIM

⁽¹⁾ Effective for annual periods beginning on or after effective date

The Corporation does not anticipate that it will early adopt these amendments.

TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

The Corporation did not enter any related party transactions during the first six months of 2015, with the exception of transactions with the Corporation's Directors and members of the Executive Committee in the normal course of business. These transactions in the normal course of business are detailed in note 26 of the 2014 audited annual financial statements.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and the Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), together with management have designed and maintained disclosure controls and procedures to provide reasonable assurance that: (i) material information relating to the Corporation is made known to the CEO and the CFO by others, particularly during the period in which the annual and interim filings are being prepared; and (ii) information required to be disclosed by the Corporation in its annual filings, interim filings or other reports filed or submitted by it under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in securities legislation. Such officers have concluded that the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures are not effective for the foregoing purposes due to the material weakness discussed below for internal control over financial reporting.

The CEO and the CFO are also responsible for designing and maintaining internal control over financial reporting, as defined under rules adopted by the Canadian Securities Administrators, within the Corporation that are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP. During the fourth quarter of 2014, MAXIM adopted the 2013 Internal Control – Integrated Framework published by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations ("COSO Framework") for the design of its internal control over financial reporting.

The CEO and CFO have evaluated, or caused to be evaluated under their supervision, the design and effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting and have identified the following material weakness in the design of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting. The Corporation, predominately in its France segment, does not have a sufficient number of finance personnel with the required technical knowledge to address all complex accounting and tax issues that may arise and this may result in inaccuracies in financial reporting. Management mitigates this weakness by periodically utilizing outside consultants for assistance as required to the fullest extent reasonable or by developing in-house expertise or recruiting personnel with the necessary expertise; however, such mitigating procedures do not constitute a compensating control for the purposes of National Instrument 52-109 Certification of Disclosure in Issuers' Annual and Interim Filings. The Corporation is required to disclose herein any change in the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period beginning January 1, 2015 and ended on June 30, 2015 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting. No material changes in the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting were identified during such period that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

As a result, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting is not effective as of June 30, 2015. The Corporation has determined that it is not cost-effective to fully remediate this weakness and, accordingly, a weakness will continue in the foreseeable future.

Additional information relating to MAXIM including the Annual Information Form is posted on SEDAR at www.sedar.com under Maxim Power Corp. and at the Corporation's website www.maximpowercorp.com.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

The following listing includes definitions of certain terms used throughout this MD&A:

Act	Clean Air Act
AESO	Alberta Electric System Operator
Alberta power prices	The hourly price established by the AESO for electricity bought and sold through the Alberta Power Pool
Alberta power price - Milner realized	The average price paid to Milner for sale of electricity in \$/MWh
AUC	Alberta Utilities Commission
Basin Creek	Basin Creek generating station, a 55 MW generating facility located in Butte, Montana was acquired by Maxim in April, 2005
BMO	Bank of Montreal
Capacity	The rated continuous load-carrying ability, expressed in megawatts, of generation equipment (throughout the MD&A references to electric and thermal capacity are stated in nameplate capacity)
CASA	Clean Air Strategic Alliance
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
CFO	Chief Financial Officer
CO₂	Carbon Dioxide
Coal Beneficiation Plant	A coal beneficiation plant is a facility that handles coal by washing it of impurities and prepares it for transportation to the end user or market.
Cogeneration	The combined, simultaneous generation of heat (usually in the form of hot water or steam) and power (usually in the form of electricity)
COSO Framework	2013 Internal Control – Integrated Framework published by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations
CDECCA	CDECCA Power Plant, a 62.1 MW generating facility located in Hartford, CT was acquired by MAXIM on October 1, 2006
Emission Performance Credits	Emission performance credits are generated by facilities that have reduced emission of greenhouse gases by 12% since July 1, 2007
Deerland	Deerland is a development project for a 190 MW natural gas-fired peaking station located near Bruderheim, Alberta
DSCR	Debt service coverage ratio
EBITDA	Earnings Before Interest, Tax, Depreciation and Amortization
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission is the United States federal agency with jurisdiction over interstate electricity sales, wholesale electric rates, hydroelectric licensing, natural gas pricing and oil pipeline rates.
FFO	Funds from operation activities before changes in working capital is an Additional GAAP measure used in determining cash flows generated from operation before the impact of working capital fluctuations
FLI	Forward-looking information
Forked River	Forked River generating station, a 87 MW generating facility located in Forked River, New Jersey was acquired by MAXIM on April 17, 2008
GAAP	IFRS, as set out in Part 1 of the Handbook of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants
GHG	Greenhouse gas
Gold Creek	Gold Creek generating facility, a 6.5 MW generating facility acquired by MAXIM in 2001, utilizes waste heat from a main line gas compressor to generate power
Hartland	Hartland Landfill Project, a 1.6 MW generating facility, was constructed by MAXIM in 2003,

	operates through a 20-year green energy agreement with B.C. Hydro
IAS	International Accounting Standards
IASB	International Accounting Standards Board
IFRS	International Financial Reporting Standards
ISO-NE	ISO New England is an independent, non-profit Regional Transmission Organization managing several states in the Northeast United States.
M1	HR Milner, a 150 MW (nameplate capacity) coal-fired generating facility located near the town of Grande Cache, Alberta has been in continuous operation since 1972 and was acquired by MAXIM on March 31, 2005
M14	Mine 14 is a development project of Summit Coal and is located north of Grande Cache, Alberta
M16S	Mine 16S is a development project of Summit Coal containing 1,792 hectares of coal leases and is located 30 kilometers northwest Mine 14 in the Smokey River Coalfield
M2	Milner expansion initiative to develop a 520 MW natural gas-fired generation facility
M3	Milner expansion initiative to develop a 86 MW natural gas-fired cogeneration generating facility
MAXIM or the Corporation	Maxim Power Corp.
MAXIM BC	MAXIM Power (B.C.) Inc., whose principal asset was VLF, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of MAXIM
MD&A	Management's Discussion and Analysis
MW	Megawatt, a measure of electrical generating capacity that is equivalent to one million watts
MWh	Megawatt-hour, a measure of electricity consumption equivalent to the use of 1,000,000 watts of power over a period of one hour
NOx	Nitrogen oxide
Notice	Notice of Alleged Violations issued by FERC
O&M	Operations and maintenance
OE	FERC's Office of Enforcement
Pawtucket	Pawtucket generating station, a 64 MW generating facility located in Pawtucket, Rhode Island began operations on November 10, 2005
Penalties Order	Order Assessing Civil Penalties issued by FERC
Pittsfield	Pittsfield generating station, a 181 MW electric power plant in Pittsfield, Massachusetts, was acquired by MAXIM on August 6, 2008
PP&E	Property, plant and equipment
SGER	Specified Gas Emitters Regulation
Show Cause Order	Order to Show Cause issued by FERC
SO2	Sulphur dioxide
SUMMIT	Summit Coal is a wholly-owned MAXIM subsidiary, which owns the Mine 14 and Mine 16S development projects
TSA	Terminal services agreement
Unplanned outage	Shutdown of a generating unit due to an unanticipated breakdown
U.S. or United States	The United States of America
U.S. EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
VLF	Vancouver Landfill Project is a 7.4 MW electrical and 9.1 MW thermal landfill gas cogeneration project in Delta, BC.

Words importing the singular number, where the context requires, include the plural, and vice versa, and words importing any gender include all genders.