

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

In the following discussion, "McEwen Mining", the "Company", "we", "our", and "us" refers to McEwen Mining Inc. and as the context requires, its consolidated subsidiaries.

The following discussion updates our plan of operation as of September 30, 2019, for the foreseeable future. It also analyzes our financial condition at September 30, 2019 and compares it to our financial condition at December 31, 2018. Finally, the discussion analyzes our results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2019 and compares those to the results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018. With regard to properties or projects that are not in production, we provide some details of our plan of operation. We suggest that you read this discussion in conjunction with MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS and our audited consolidated financial statements contained in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018.

The technical contents of this management's discussion and analysis has been reviewed and approved by Chris Stewart, P.Eng., President & Chief Operating Officer and a Qualified Person as defined by Canadian Securities Administrator National Instrument 43-101 "Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects".

The discussion also presents certain non-GAAP financial performance measures, such as earnings from mining operations, cash costs, cash cost per ounce, all-in sustaining costs, all-in sustaining cost per ounce, average realized price per ounce, and liquid assets that are important to management in its evaluation of our operating results and financial condition and which are used by management to compare our performance to what we perceive to be our peer group mining companies and relied on as part of management's decision-making process. Management believes these measures may also be important to investors in evaluating our performance. For a detailed description of each of the non-GAAP financial performance measures and certain limitations inherent in such measures, please see the discussion under "**Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures**" below, on page 35.

Reliability of Information: Minera Santa Cruz S.A. ("MSC"), the owner of the San José Mine, is responsible for and has supplied to us all reported results from the San José Mine. The financial and technical information contained herein is, with few exceptions as noted, based entirely on information provided to us by MSC. Our joint venture partner, a subsidiary of Hochschild Mining plc, and its affiliates other than MSC do not accept responsibility for the use of project data or the adequacy or accuracy of this information.

OVERVIEW

We were organized under the laws of the State of Colorado on July 24, 1979. Since inception, we have been engaged in the exploration for, development of, production and sale of gold and silver, and since 2012, exploration for copper. Robert R. McEwen, our Chairman and CEO, made his initial investment in our company in July 2005 and became our Chief Executive Officer at that time. We own the Gold Bar gold mine in Nevada, the Black Fox gold mine in Ontario, Canada, the El Gallo Project in Sinaloa, Mexico, the Los Azules copper deposit in San Juan, Argentina, the Fenix silver-gold project in Sinaloa, Mexico, and a portfolio of exploration properties in Nevada, Canada, Mexico and Argentina. We also own a 49% interest in Minera Santa Cruz S.A. ("MSC"), owner of the producing San José silver-gold mine in Santa Cruz, Argentina, which is operated by the joint venture majority owner, Hochschild Mining plc.

In this report, "Au" represents gold; "Ag" represents silver; "oz" represents troy ounce; "t" represents metric tonne; "gpt" represents grams per metric tonne; "ft." represents feet; "m" represents meter; "km" represents kilometer; "sq." represents square; and C\$ refers to Canadian dollars. All of our financial information is reported in United States (U.S.) dollars, unless otherwise noted. Throughout this Management Discussion and Analysis ("MDA"), the reporting periods for the three months ended March 31, 2019, June 30, 2019, September 30, 2019 and September 30, 2018 are abbreviated as **Q1/19**, **Q2/19**, **Q3/19** and **Q3/18**, respectively, and the reporting periods for the nine months ended September 30, 2019 and 2018 are abbreviated as **9M/19** and **9M/18**, respectively.

In addition, Cash Costs, a Non-GAAP financial performance measure defined and reconciled to GAAP financial measures under the section "Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures" in this MDA, for our 100% owned operations equals Production Costs Applicable to Sales (excluding depreciation and depletion), and is used interchangeably throughout the document for these operations.

Further, in this report, **gold equivalent ounces (“Au Eq. oz”)** includes gold and silver ounces calculated based on a **75:1** silver to gold ratio for periods up to and including the first quarter of 2019, **88:1** for the second quarter of 2019 and **87:1** for the third quarter of 2019. Going forward, we have adopted a variable silver:gold ratio for reporting that approximates the average price during each fiscal quarter.

Note: We ceased active mining and processing at the El Gallo mine in the second quarter of 2018. Where comparative results for mining operations are presented for prior periods, we continue to use the term “El Gallo Mine.” We use the term “El Gallo Project” to refer to the ongoing reclamation and residual heap-leaching that is taking place at the formerly-producing mine.

Index to Management’s Discussion and Analysis:

Operating and Financial Highlights	22
Selected Consolidated Financial and Operating Results	24
Consolidated Performance	24
Consolidated Financial Review	25
Liquidity and Capital Resources	26
Operations Review	28
<i>Mexico Segment</i>	28
<i>El Gallo Project operating results</i>	28
<i>Advanced-Stage Properties – Fenix Project</i>	29
<i>Canada Segment</i>	29
<i>Black Fox mine operating results</i>	29
<i>Exploration Activities – Timmins</i>	30
<i>U.S.A Segment</i>	31
<i>Gold Bar mine operating results</i>	31
<i>Exploration Activities – Nevada</i>	31
<i>MSC Segment</i>	33
<i>MSC operating results</i>	33
<i>Los Azules Segment</i>	34
<i>Los Azules Project</i>	34
Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures	35
Off – Balance Sheet Arrangements	40
Critical Accounting Policies	41
Forward-Looking Statements	41
Risk Factors Impacting Forward-Looking Statements	41

OPERATING AND FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Highlights for Q3 2019 are included below and discussed further in Consolidated Financial Performance:

- **Liquid assets⁽¹⁾** of \$18.5 million at September 30, 2019, including \$13.0 million of cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash.
- **Total production** of 35,043 gold ounces and 947,146 silver ounces for 45,930 gold equivalent ounces, including 24,415 gold equivalent ounces from the San José mine⁽²⁾.
- **Gold Bar mine** which achieved commercial production on May 23, 2019, produced 11,029 gold ounces in Q3. Since reaching commercial production, the mine has been able to reach several operational benchmarks, with the gold output increasing during the second half of 2019.
- **Black Fox mine** produced 7,421 gold ounces. Production was below our expectations, primarily because of insufficient working areas related to delays in advancing underground development.

- **El Gallo project** continued to perform as expected on its gold production from the residual heap leaching activities and produced 3,018 gold ounces. Work continued to progress on the Fenix Project feasibility study and we received the environmental permits for the in-pit tailings storage and the process plant for phase 1, which would entail the construction of the Carbon-In-Leach (CIL) mill circuit for reprocessing the heap leach pile.
- **Total sales** of 35,237 gold ounces and 916,080 silver ounces, or 45,767 gold equivalent ounces, including 23,209 gold equivalent ounces from the San José mine⁽²⁾.
- **Revenue from gold and silver sales** of \$32.7 million increased by 22% compared to Q3/18, representing 22,557 gold equivalent ounces sold from our 100% owned properties at an average realized price of \$1,478⁽¹⁾ (21% higher than the average realized price for Q3/18)
- **Gross profit** of \$1.6 million or \$9.1 million net of depreciation and depletion of \$7.5 million.
- **Net loss** of \$11.5 million (\$0.03 per share), including \$15.8 million spent on exploration and advanced projects.
- **Strong commitment to exploration** with \$13.7 million and \$23.7 million spent in Q3/19 and 9M/19 respectively.

(1) As used here and elsewhere in this report, this is a Non-GAAP financial performance measure. See “Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures” beginning on Page 35.

(2) At our 49% attributable interest.

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING RESULTS

The following tables present selected financial and operating results of our company for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2019 and 2018:

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2019	2018	2019	2018
	(in thousands, except per share)			
Revenue from gold and silver sales	\$ 32,691	\$ 26,896	\$ 84,657	\$ 101,743
Production costs applicable to sales	\$ (23,584)	\$ (17,740)	\$ (59,432)	\$ (62,078)
Loss before income and mining taxes	\$ (13,657)	\$ (14,903)	\$ (37,190)	\$ (28,772)
Net loss	\$ (11,465)	\$ (13,290)	\$ (34,615)	\$ (23,881)
Net loss per share	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.07)
Cash (used in) operating activities	\$ (12,764)	\$ (1,455)	\$ (21,916)	\$ (834)
Additions to mineral property interests and plant and equipment	\$ (2,543)	\$ (25,823)	\$ (27,027)	\$ (53,228)

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2019	2018	2019	2018
	(in thousands, except per ounce)			
Produced - gold equivalent ounces ⁽¹⁾	45.9	43.7	128.1	135.3
100% owned operations	21.5	22.0	60.6	71.2
San José Mine (49% attributable)	24.4	21.7	67.5	64.1
Sold - gold equivalent ounces ⁽¹⁾	45.8	45.0	129.3	144.9
100% owned operations	22.6	22.6	63.0	80.7
San José Mine (49% attributable)	23.2	22.4	66.3	64.2
Average realized price (\$/Au Eq. oz) ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	\$ 1,478	\$ 1,217	\$ 1,372	\$ 1,292
P.M. Fix Gold (\$/oz)	\$ 1,472	\$ 1,213	\$ 1,364	\$ 1,282
Cash cost (\$/Au Eq. oz sold): ⁽²⁾				
100% owned operations	\$ 1,046	\$ 785	\$ 943	\$ 770
San José Mine (49% attributable)	\$ 915	\$ 856	\$ 883	\$ 864
AISC (\$/Au Eq. oz sold): ⁽²⁾				
100% owned operations	\$ 1,272	\$ 945	\$ 1,215	\$ 941
San José Mine (49% attributable)	\$ 1,204	\$ 1,028	\$ 1,179	\$ 1,078
Silver : Gold ratio ⁽¹⁾	87:1	75:1	84:1	75:1

(1) Silver production is presented as a gold equivalent. See Page 22 for more information.

(2) As used here and elsewhere in this report, this is a Non-GAAP financial performance measure. See "Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures" beginning on Page 35.

(3) On sales from 100% owned operations only, excluding stream. As used here and elsewhere in this report, "Average Realized Price" is a Non-GAAP financial performance measure. See "Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures" beginning on Page 35.

CONSOLIDATED PERFORMANCE

The decrease in the net loss and loss per share for Q3/19 compared to the same period in 2018 reflects an increase in revenues of \$5.8 million as a result of 22% higher average realized gold price, while number of ounces sold was same in both periods, and a significant smaller loss from our investment in MSC (\$0.3 million for Q3/19 or \$4.7 million lower than the same period in 2018).

Starting in Q2/19, we adopted a variable silver:gold ratio for reporting gold equivalent ounces produced and / or sold, which approximates the average market ratio; previously we used a fixed 75:1 silver:gold ratio. The change in the silver:gold ratio primarily impacts produced and sold ounces as well as cash cost and all-in sustaining cost per gold equivalent ounce for the San Jose Mine.

At the El Gallo mine, mining and crushing activities ceased during the second quarter of 2018, with production activities since that time limited to residual leaching. The Gold Bar Mine achieved commercial production in the last week of May 2019, with the first gold ingot produced in mid February 2019.

Production for Q3/19 of 45,930 Au Eq. oz is slightly higher than production in Q3/18, reflecting higher production from the San Jose Mine; the change in the silver:gold ratio resulted in a decrease of 1,730 attributable San Jose mine produced ounces for Q3/19 (3,405 Au Eq. oz decrease for 9M/19). Production from the 100% owned operations in Q3/19 is comparable to the same period in 2018, with lower production from El Gallo in Q3/19 (7,400 fewer Au Eq. oz) more than replaced by Gold Bar mine production (11,000 Au Eq. oz in Q3/19), partially offset by lower ounces produced at the Black Fox Mine (4,200 fewer Au Eq. oz produced in Q3/19).

Q3/19 gross profit of \$1.6 million, or \$9.1 million before depreciation and depletion of \$7.5 million, was comparable to Q3/18, with the impact of higher average realized price on revenues in Q3/19 offset by higher production costs applicable to sales due to higher costs per ounce. The higher cost per ounce in Q3/19 reflects the partial replacement of lower cost per ounces from El Gallo mine with ounces from the Gold Bar mine which entered commercial production in late May 2019; in addition, Black Fox mine per ounce costs in Q3/19 were higher compared to the same period in 2018 as a result of operational challenges during 2019.

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL REVIEW

Revenue from gold and silver sales in Q3/19 increased by 22% to \$32.7 million compared to Q3/18, reflecting a higher average realized price per gold equivalent ounce sold in Q3/19 compared to same period in 2018 (\$1,478/oz in Q3/19 or \$261/oz higher) over a similar number of ounces sold in both periods.

Revenue from gold and silver sales in 9M/19 decreased by 17% to \$84.7 million compared to the same period in 2018. The decrease reflects 17.6 thousand fewer ounces sold in 9M/19 compared to same period in 2018, partially offset by a higher average realized price (\$1,372/oz or \$80/oz higher than in 9M/18). The decrease in ounces sold includes 28.3 thousand fewer Au Eq. oz sold from the El Gallo Project as the operation transitioned to residual leaching in mid 2018, 9.8 thousand fewer ounces sold from the Black Fox mine due to operational issues in 2019, both partially offset by 20.5 thousand ounces sold from the Gold Bar mine (none in 9M/18).

Production Costs applicable to sales in Q3/19 increased by 33% to \$23.6 million compared to Q3/18, reflecting higher cost per ounce sold in the various operations. Production costs applicable to sales in 9M/19 decreased by 4% to \$59.4 million compared to the same period in 2018; gold equivalent ounces sold in 9M/19 were 22% fewer than in 9M/18 but at a higher cash cost per ounce sold as explained in the “Selected Consolidated Financial Performance and Operating Results” section above.

Depreciation and depletion for Q3/19 and 9M/19 of \$7.5 million and \$17.5 million, respectively, increased by \$4.3 million and \$7.2 million compared to the same periods in 2018, primarily reflecting the higher depreciation and depletion per ounce sold from the Gold Bar mine which more than offset the impact of fewer ounces sold from El Gallo and Black Fox, both at a lower depreciation and depletion per ounce.

Advanced projects of \$2.1 million and \$6.9 million, respectively for Q3/19 and 9M/19, decreased by \$3.1 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, from the same periods in 2018. Advanced projects in 2019 included spending on the Fenix project in Mexico and pre-production spending at the Gold Bar mine up to February 16, 2019.

Exploration costs of \$13.7 million and \$23.7 million, respectively for Q3/19 and 9M/19, increased by \$5.5 million and decreased by \$6.0 million from the same periods in 2018. Exploration significantly ramped up during Q3/19 as we increased our efforts in Timmins and Nevada; 9M/19 spending includes \$11.0 million of flow-through qualifying exploration expenditures.

General and administrative expenses of \$2.6 million and \$8.1 million, respectively in Q3/19 and 9M/19 were comparable to expenditures in the same periods in 2018.

Loss from investment in MSC of \$0.3 million and \$6.8 million, respectively in Q3/19 and 9M/19, decreased by \$4.7 million and \$0.7 million, from the same periods in 2018, reflecting primarily higher revenues (48% and 19% higher, respectively in Q3/19 and 9M/19 compared to same periods in 2018), offset by slightly higher operating costs, including the impact of export taxes introduced in 2018 by the Argentina government, higher exploration expenditures and deferred income taxes

payable. The increase in revenue primarily reflects a higher gold and silver price realized in Q3/19 and 9M/19 compared to same periods of 2018.

Revision of estimates and accretion of asset retirement obligations of \$0.5 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, in Q3/19 and 9M/19, increased slightly by \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million from the same periods in 2018, primarily reflecting an increased estimate of the closure obligation for the Gold Bar mine as a result of additional disturbances during the period, partially offset by the reduction of the Black Fox mine estimated closure obligation by \$5.5 million. The decrease in the closure obligation for the Black Fox mine reflects the approval by the relevant Canadian authorities of an amended closure plan for the mine.

Interest and other finance expense, net of \$0.7 million and \$3.9 million, respectively in Q3/19 and 9M/19 compares to \$0.8 million and \$0.6 million expense in Q3/18 and 9M/18, respectively. The change is due primarily to the interest expense on the long-term debt facility finalized on August 10, 2018. Included in interest and other finance expense, net, are interest and other charges associated with the final ruling against us in relation to our lawsuit filed in respect of the constitutional validity of the Mexican Tax Reform issued on October 31, 2013. The Tax Reform included a 0.5% precious metals royalty applicable to mining companies such as ours.

Other income of \$4.8 million and \$6.3 million for Q3/19 and 9M/19, respectively, compares to \$1.1 million and \$0.8 million in the same periods of 2018. The increase in other income in 2019 is primarily due to realized gains (\$3.4 million) on the sale of certain marketable securities, as well as unrealized and realized foreign currency gains due to fluctuations of C\$, Mexico and Argentina pesos against the U.S. dollar.

Income and mining tax recovery of \$2.2 million and \$2.6 million, respectively for Q3/19 and 9M/19, increased by \$0.6 and decreased by \$2.3 million, respectively from Q3/18 and 9M/18. The tax recovery for both years resulted from the devaluation of the Argentine peso against the U.S. dollar on the Company's peso-denominated deferred tax liability as well as the amortization of the flow-through premium as we incur eligible flow through Canadian exploration expenditures.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our cash balance at September 30, 2019 of \$13.0 million, which includes cash and cash equivalent of \$4.2 million and restricted cash of \$8.8 million, decreased from the \$30.4 million at December 31, 2018 (\$15.7 million cash and cash equivalents and \$14.7 million of restricted cash). The decrease in cash and cash equivalents at September 30, 2019 is due to operational challenges faced at the Black Fox mine during 9M/19 as well as the startup challenges at the Gold Bar mine during the first six months of the year. Restricted cash represents proceeds from flow-through shares issued in December 2018 and is committed to be spent on our exploration programs in Ontario no later than December 31, 2019.

Working capital at September 30, 2019 of \$16.5 million decreased by \$6.9 million from December 31, 2018, due to \$4.0 million of the term loan coming due in the next 12 months as well as the declining cash balance, partially offset by the build up of the in-process and precious metals inventory at the Gold Bar heap leach operations.

On October 28, 2019, the Company amended the terms of the three year term loan facility. The amendment reduces the minimum working capital covenant to \$nil at December 31, 2019 and September 30, 2020. The remainder of the agreement remains in full force and effect.

A total of \$21.9 million of cash was used in operations in 9M/19 compared to \$0.8 million in same period in 2018, reflecting lower revenues (17% lower in 9M/19 compared to 9M/18 as explained in the "Consolidated Financial Review" section) resulting in \$17.7 million lower proceeds from gold and silver sales, as well as \$3.9 million interest related to the term loan paid in 9M/19 (\$0.7 million in 9M/18). Cash used in operating activities in 9M/19 includes \$102.7 million paid to suppliers and employees (same amount in 9M/18).

Cash used in investing activities of 18.8 million in 9M/19 compared to \$41.6 million in the same period in 2018, with the difference primarily due to \$26.2 million less spending for mineral property interest and plant and equipment as the construction of Gold Bar was completed in May 2019, partially offset by less dividends received from MSC in 9M/19.

During 9M/19, we spent \$27.0 million on mineral property and plant and equipment, with the spending primarily related to underground development at Black Fox and construction and pre-stripping prior to first production at Gold Bar. We also received \$4.0 million in dividends from MSC and net proceeds of \$4.7 million from the sale of marketable securities, primarily shares of Great Bear Resources Ltd. (“Great Bear”) in Q3/19. Subsequent to Q3/19, we collected \$0.9 million of the proceeds related to the Q3/19 sale of Great Bear shares and sold our remaining shares for gross proceeds of \$1.2 million.

Financing activities provided \$23.6 million in cash in 9M/19 compared to \$45.4 million in 9M/18; the 9M/19 financing activities included net proceeds of \$24.8 million from an equity offering and at the market share issuances, partially offset by \$1.6 million on lease obligations payments.

Management believes that our working capital at September 30, 2019, combined with forecasted cash to be generated over the next 12 months, will be sufficient to satisfy our non-discretionary obligations related to our existing mining operations and corporate activities due in the next 12 months.

Management continues to evaluate capital and development expenditure requirements to advance Los Azules, Black Fox, other Timmins projects, Gold Bar and the Project Fenix in Mexico. If the working capital is not sufficient to continue advancing these projects, we will defer these initiatives and other non-discretionary expenditures and will consider raising capital through various financing methods which may include incurring debt, issuing additional equity, and other forms of financing.

Furthermore, if we make a positive decision to develop one or more of these initiatives, we will require additional financing.

OPERATIONS REVIEW

Mexico Segment

The Mexico segment includes the El Gallo Project (formerly “El Gallo 1” or “El Gallo Mine”) and the advanced-stage Fenix Project, located in Sinaloa.

El Gallo Project

Mining and crushing activities ceased during the second quarter of 2018. Prior to cessation, El Gallo 1 was a mature operation, at which we mined and depleted various pits during its operating life. Current activities are limited to residual leaching production that will continue until the end of 2020, as well as additional closure and reclamation activities.

The following table sets out total production and sales, revenue from gold and silver sales, total cash cost, cash cost per ounce, all-in sustaining costs and all-in sustaining costs per ounce of gold equivalent sold at the El Gallo Project for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2019 and 2018:

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2019	2018	2019	2018
Operating Results	(in thousands, unless otherwise indicated)			
Mined mineralized material (t)	—	—	—	823
Average grade (gpt Au)	—	—	—	1.43
Processed mineralized material (t)	—	—	—	830
Average grade (gpt Au)	—	—	—	1.51
Gold ounces:				
Produced	3.0	10.4	13.8	33.4
Sold	3.3	12.8	14.5	42.7
Silver ounces:				
Produced	3.4	1.5	6.0	6.6
Sold	6.6	—	6.8	8.7
Gold equivalent ounces:				
Produced	3.1	10.4	13.8	33.5
Sold	3.4	12.8	14.5	42.9
Revenue from gold and silver sales	\$ 4,967	\$ 15,501	\$ 19,577	\$ 55,299
Cash costs ⁽¹⁾	\$ 3,878	\$ 8,561	\$ 14,609	\$ 30,367
Cash cost (\$/Au Eq. oz sold) ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,153	\$ 671	\$ 1,005	\$ 709
All-in sustaining costs ⁽¹⁾	\$ 3,959	\$ 8,708	\$ 14,870	\$ 32,072
AISC (\$/Au Eq. oz sold) ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,177	\$ 683	\$ 1,023	\$ 748
Silver : gold ratio	87 : 1	75 : 1	83 : 1	75 : 1

(1) As used here and elsewhere in this report, this is a Non-GAAP financial performance measure. Cash costs for the Company's 100% owned operations equal Production costs applicable to sales. See “Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures” beginning on page 35 for additional information.

Production and revenue decreased in Q3/19 and 9M/19 reflecting the cessation of active mining as the operation moved into residual heap leaching in mid 2018. The decrease in revenue was partially offset by a higher average realized gold price.

Production costs applicable to sales and All-in sustaining costs for Q3/19 and 9M/19 decreased as expected compared to same periods in 2018 due to reduced production and sales as noted above - \$4.7 million and \$15.8 million decrease in production costs and \$4.7 million and \$17.2 million decrease in all-in sustaining costs. All-in sustaining costs in 9M/18 included \$1.4 million of in mine exploration spending (\$nil in 9M/19). Cash cost and AISC per Au Eq. oz sold in Q3/19 and 9M/19 increased from same period in 2018 as certain fixed costs are spread over fewer ounces produced and sold.

Advanced-stage Properties – Fenix Project

The Fenix Project is located adjacent to the El Gallo Project and is similarly accessible. The Fenix Project contemplates a two-phase development process. Phase 1 includes the reprocessing of material on the gold heap leach pad from the existing El Gallo Project, and Phase 2 includes the processing of open pit gold and silver mineralization from El Gallo Silver, Palmarito, El Encuentro and Carrisalejo.

Mine permitting is progressing as expected and during Q3/19 we received the environmental permit approval for in-pit tailings storage in the Samaniego pit and the additional approval for the process plant for phase 1, which entails the construction of the Carbon-In-Leach (CIL) mill circuit.

We incurred \$0.5 million and \$2.1 million during Q3/19 and 9M/19, respectively, on activities required to advance the project. The Fenix Project PEA is available for review on our website and SEDAR (www.sedar.com).

Potential Sale

In Q1/19, the Board of Directors approved the evaluation of the potential sale of our Mexican business. At that time, the Fenix Project feasibility study and permitting effort was in progress. The permits for in-pit tailings storage and phase 1 development were received in Q3/19, and work on the feasibility study continues to advance. It has not been determined what, if any, action will be taken with respect to the sale of the Mexican business once the feasibility study is completed.

Canada Segment

The Canada segment is comprised of the Black Fox Complex, which includes the fully operational Black Fox gold mine and the Grey Fox, Stock, Tamarack and Froome advanced-stage exploration projects, the Black Fox Stock Mill, and other gold exploration properties located in Timmins, Ontario, Canada.

Black Fox mine

The following table sets out total production and sales in gold equivalent ounces, revenue from gold and silver sales, total cash cost, cash cost per ounce, all-in sustaining costs and all-in sustaining costs per ounce of gold equivalent sold at the Black Fox mine for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2019 and 2018:

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2019	2018	2019	2018
	(in thousands, unless otherwise indicated)			
Operating Results				
Mined mineralized material (t)	48	66	145	200
Average grade (gpt Au)	4.82	4.97	5.05	5.80
Processed mineralized material (t)	58	71	164	209
Average grade (gpt Au)	4.02	4.76	4.99	5.45
Gold equivalent ounces:				
Produced	7.4	11.6	25.8	37.8
Sold	8.0	9.8	28.0	37.8
Revenue from gold and silver sales	\$ 11,147	\$ 11,395	\$ 36,139	\$ 46,444
Cash costs	\$ 7,550	\$ 9,179	\$ 24,025	\$ 31,711
Cash cost (\$/Au Eq. oz sold)	\$ 941	\$ 932	\$ 859	\$ 839
All-in sustaining costs	\$ 10,939	\$ 12,650	\$ 37,097	\$ 43,823
AISC (\$/Au Eq. oz sold)	\$ 1,363	\$ 1,285	\$ 1,326	\$ 1,159
Silver : gold ratio	87 : 1	75 : 1	83 : 1	75 : 1

Production decreased by 4.2 thousand and 12.0 thousand gold equivalent ounces, respectively, in Q3/19 and 9M/19 from the same periods in 2018, with production in Q3/19 affected primarily by insufficient working areas related to delays in advancing underground development. Production for 9M/19 was also impacted by some temporary operational challenges at the mine during the first half of the year, including a surface fire at the crushing plant operated by a local contracting company and water infiltration into the mine due to an unusually heavy spring run-off.

Revenue from gold and silver sales decreased in Q3/19 and 9M/19 reflecting fewer ounce sold, a consequence of the operational challenges noted above, partially offset by an increase in average gold price realized in Q3 and 9M/19.

Production costs applicable to sales and All-in sustaining costs in Q3/19 and 9M/19 decreased from same periods in 2018 following lower production and sales as discussed above - \$1.6 million and \$7.7 million decrease in production costs applicable to sales and \$1.7 million and \$6.7 million decrease in all-in sustaining costs. Cash cost and AISC per Au Eq. oz were higher in Q3/19 and 9M/19 compared to same periods in 2018 (1% and 2% higher cash costs/Au Eq. oz and 6% and 14% respectively higher AISC/Au Eq.oz) reflecting lower grade mined and milled as well as the impact of certain costs being spread over fewer ounces

Exploration Activities – Timmins

Black Fox mine and Stock Mine Properties

We remain focused on our exploration goal to cost-effectively grow adjacent gold deposits to contribute to near-term gold production. We incurred \$8.7 million and \$15.2 million, respectively in Q3/19 and 9M/19 for exploration initiatives.

Grey Fox

The Grey Fox deposit resources are contained in three zones that are referred to as: 147, Contact, and South. On July 25, 2019, we announced the growth of our Grey Fox resource estimates as a result of new geological and structural interpretation of the 147 Zone and an initial resource estimate for 147NE. Drilling is currently testing the 147NE shoot at depth and upcoming drilling is planned in target areas with potential for similar mineralization. New drill results announced on September 10, 2019, confirmed the continuity of high grade mineralisation and indicated an extension of the main zone at 147NE (mineralized shoot) down plunge by approximately 100 meters.

Stock

The Stock Property is the site of our Stock Mill, which currently processes ore from our Black Fox Mine. Previously the mill processed ore from the historical underground Stock Mine, which was in intermittent production from the early 1980s until 2004.

On September 4 and 30, 2019, we announced high grade intersections from drilling at our Stock East deposit and from exploration drilling below the workings of the Stock Mine. Drilling continues at Stock East with a planned resource update in the fourth quarter of 2019.

At Stock West, drilling also took place 1.1 km (0.7 miles) along strike to the west of the Stock Mine and intersected encouraging alteration and mineralization. Drilling continues on this target in the fourth quarter of 2019.

U.S.A. Segment

The U.S.A. segment is comprised of the Gold Bar mine (“Gold Bar”) and certain exploration properties. We poured our first gold ingot from Gold Bar on February 16, 2019 and achieved commercial production at the mine on May 23, 2019.

Gold Bar mine

The following table sets out total production and sales in gold equivalent ounces, revenue from gold and silver sales, total cash cost, cash cost per ounce, all-in sustaining costs and all-in sustaining costs per ounce of gold equivalent sold at the Gold Bar mine for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2019

	Three months ended Nine months ended	
	September 30, 2019	
	(in thousands, unless otherwise indicated)	
Operating Results		
Mined mineralized material (t)	631	1,302
Average grade (gpt Au)	0.88	0.93
Processed mineralized material (t)	867	1,690
Average grade (gpt Au)	0.92	0.91
Gold equivalent ounces:		
Produced	11.0	21.0
Sold	11.2	20.5
Revenue from gold and silver sales	\$ 16,577	\$ 28,941
Cash costs	\$ 12,156	\$ 20,798
Cash cost (\$/Au Eq. oz sold)	\$ 1,088	\$ 1,014
All-in sustaining costs	\$ 13,795	\$ 24,613
AISC (\$/Au Eq. oz sold)	\$ 1,235	\$ 1,200
Silver : gold ratio	87 : 1	83 : 1

There are no comparatives to Q3/18 and 9M/18 as the first gold ingot at Gold Bar was poured on February 16, 2019.

The ramp-up to full production saw delays in the first quarter which adversely impacted our gold production in the second quarter and our guidance for 2019. Less ore was placed onto the heap leach pad than planned, which in turn delayed the application of solution to the ore, and the recovery of gold as a result. Gold Bar saw improvements during the third quarter, achieving several key performance benchmarks for ore production, crushing throughput, and gold production. Production in September 2019 was in line with our plan and the designed capacity of the process plant.

Production costs applicable to sales were \$12.2 million and \$20.8 million, respectively for Q3/19 and 9M/19. Production costs mainly include contractor mining costs, processing costs related to tonnes placed on the heap leach, and site general and administrative costs.

Exploration Activities – Nevada

In Q3/19 and 9M/19, we spent \$4.3 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, on target-generating exploration activities. In Q3/19, a program of 174 drill holes was completed.

Drilling started in May at Gold Bar South with three primary objectives: collect material for required permit, mine design, and environmental testing programs, complete infill drilling for portions of the deposit in order to support reserve estimate conversion and continue to explore lateral and vertical ore extensions of the deposit.

25% of the new drill intersections were higher grade than the current resource average. In addition, several drill holes encountered significant mineralization outside the existing resource. These results suggest that there is potential to increase the size of the Gold Bar South resource. Economic studies and permitting are on schedule. Gold Bar South will be included into the Gold Bar mine plan this year, and we are expecting approval for development by year-end in 2020.

36 reverse circulation (RC) holes have been drilled to test the lateral and vertical extent of a near-surface target at Pot Canyon where extensive alteration (silicification) and brecciation occurs at surface, and where several historical holes returned significant mineralization. In addition, a deep core hole drilled through multiple target zones, returning near-surface gold mineralization and Carlin-type alteration and pathfinder elements at several stratigraphic horizons at depth. This mineralization occurs near the Wall Fault, a regional structure interpreted to play an important role in the control of gold mineralization at Gold Bar.

MSC Segment

The MSC segment is comprised of the San José mine, located in Argentina.

MSC – Operating Results

The following table sets out total production and sales, revenue from gold and silver sales, average realized prices, total cash costs and total all-in sustaining costs for the San Jose mine for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2019 and 2018. Also, included at the bottom of the table are certain production, cash costs and all-in sustaining costs figures on a 49% attributable basis, representing our interest on the San Jose mine.

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2019	2018	2019	2018
Operating Results				
San José Mine—100% basis				
Mined mineralized material (t)	140	136	400	383
Average grade (gpt)				
Gold	6.9	6.5	6.8	6.9
Silver	498	449	476	468
Processed mineralized material (t)	147	145	399	409
Average grade (gpt)				
Gold	6.6	5.9	6.8	6.2
Silver	456	376	450	396
Average recovery (%):				
Gold	89.2	86.9	88.5	86.9
Silver	89.4	86.6	88.2	86.4
Gold ounces:				
Produced	27.7	24.0	76.8	70.9
Sold	26.1	24.7	75.0	70.7
Silver ounces:				
Produced	1,925	1,517	5,087	4,499
Sold	1,852	1,573	5,041	4,527
Gold equivalent ounces:				
Produced	49.8	44.2	137.7	130.9
Sold	47.4	45.6	135.3	131.0
Revenue from gold and silver sales	\$ 70,908	\$ 47,814	\$ 179,708	\$ 150,748
Average realized price:				
Gold (\$/Au oz)	\$ 1,542	\$ 1,151	\$ 1,424	\$ 1,236
Silver (\$/Ag oz)	\$ 18.53	\$ 13.62	\$ 16.39	\$ 15.23
Cash costs	\$ 43,344	\$ 39,046	\$ 119,392	\$ 113,168
All-in sustaining costs	\$ 57,015	\$ 46,894	\$ 159,449	\$ 141,304
McEwen Mining—49% basis				
Ounces produced:				
Gold	13.6	11.8	37.7	34.7
Silver	943	743	2,493	2,204
Gold equivalent	24.4	21.7	67.5	64.1
Cash costs	\$ 21,239	\$ 19,132	\$ 58,501	\$ 55,451
Cash cost (\$/Au Eq. oz sold)	\$ 915	\$ 856	\$ 883	\$ 864
All-in sustaining costs	\$ 27,938	\$ 22,977	\$ 78,129	\$ 69,238
AISC (\$/Au Eq. oz sold)	\$ 1,204	\$ 1,028	\$ 1,179	\$ 1,078
Silver : gold ratio	87 : 1	75 : 1	84 : 1	75 : 1

The analysis below compares the operating and financial results of MSC on a 100% basis.

Gold Production increased by 15% and 8% and silver production by 27% and 13%, respectively in Q3/19 and 9M/19 from the same periods in 2018, reflecting higher average grade of the processed material and higher recovery.

Revenue from gold and silver sales increased by 48% and 19%, respectively in Q3/19 and 9M/19, compared to the same periods in 2018, reflecting more gold and silver ounces sold and higher average realized gold and silver prices (34% and 15% higher gold price and 36% and 8% higher silver price, respectively in Q3/19 and 9M/19, compared to same periods in 2018).

Cash costs increased by \$4.3 million and \$6.2 million, or 11% and 5% respectively, in Q3/19 and 9M/19 compared to the same periods in 2018, despite similar tonnes of mineralized material processed. The increase was due primarily to the impact of the export taxes introduced in 2018. All-in sustaining costs for Q3/19 and 9M/19 increased by \$10.1 million and \$18.1 million, respectively, compared to same periods in 2018, due to increased cash costs as well as higher spending in underground mine capital development and investment in plant and equipment.

Cash cost and *All-in sustaining cost per Au Eq. oz sold* were higher for Q3/19 and 9M/19 compared to same periods in 2018, reflecting higher cash costs and AISC as noted above, partially offset by higher grade mined and processed.

Cash cost and *All-in sustaining cost per Au Eq. oz sold* in 2019 were also impacted by the change in the silver:gold ratio starting in the second quarter of 2019 – the change from a fixed silver:gold ratio of 75:1, used up to and including March 31, 2019, to the actual average market price, reduced the number of gold equivalent ounces sold by MSC by 3.4 thousand and 6.9 thousand ounces, respectively in Q3 and 9M/19. Using the fixed silver:gold ratio of 75:1, the cash cost per Au Eq. oz in Q3/19 and 9M/19 would be \$854 and \$840, respectively or nominally lower and 3% lower, respectively, than the same periods in 2018; AISC per Au Eq. oz would be \$1,123 and \$1,121, respectively for Q3 and 9M/19, compared to \$1,028 and \$1,078, respectively for Q3/18 and 9M/18.

MSC Dividend Distribution (49%)

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2019, we received \$2.0 million and \$4.0 million in dividends from MSC, compared to \$2.1 million and \$9.4 million in dividends received during the same periods in 2018. For more details on our Investment in MSC, refer to Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, *Investment in Minera Santa Cruz S.A. (“MSC”) — San José mine*.

Los Azules Segment

Los Azules project is a copper exploration project located in San Juan, Argentina.

Los Azules Project

During Q3/19, work continued on preliminary engineering and developing cost estimates to advance the proposed low altitude all year access route (northern access route). We are also advancing the Los Azules water management plan to evaluate the project operating as a zero discharge development.

The environmental baseline monitoring work continued as well as other works, which were identified as necessary to develop a conforming Environmental Impact Assessment (“EIA”) submission. The environmental work included the geological mapping of the tailings dam design.

The preliminary economic assessment for the Los Azules Project, completed and announced in September 2017, is available on our website at www.mcewenmining.com.

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES

In this report, we have provided information prepared or calculated according to U.S. GAAP, as well as some non-GAAP financial performance measures. Because the non-GAAP performance measures do not have any standardized meaning prescribed by U.S. GAAP, they may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other companies. These measures should not be considered in isolation or as substitutes for measures of performance prepared in accordance with GAAP. There are limitations associated with the use of such non-GAAP measures. Since these measures do not incorporate revenues, changes in working capital and non-operating cash costs, they are not necessarily indicative of operating profit or loss or cash flow from operations as determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

The non-GAAP measures earnings from mining operations, total cash costs, total cash costs per ounce, all-in sustaining costs, all-in sustaining costs per ounce, average realized price per ounce, and liquid assets are not, and are not intended to be, presentations in accordance with U.S. GAAP. These measures represent, respectively, our earnings from mining operations, total cash costs, total cash costs per ounce, all-in sustaining costs, all-in sustaining costs per ounce and average realized prices per ounce related to our wholly-owned El Gallo Project, Black Fox and Gold Bar mines and our minority interest in the San José mine, owned by MSC. The portions of the costs and prices related to the minority interest may be found in *Item 1. Financial Statements, Note 8, Investment in Minera Santa Cruz S.A. (“MSC”) – San José Mine*. The amounts in the tables labeled “49% basis” were derived by applying to each financial statement line item the ownership percentage interest used to arrive at our share of net income or loss during the period when applying the equity method of accounting. We do not control the interest in or operations of MSC and the presentations of assets and liabilities and revenues and expenses of MSC do not represent our legal claim to such items. The amount of cash we receive is based upon specific provisions of the joint venture operating agreement and varies depending on factors including the profitability of the operations.

We provide the Non-GAAP measures because we believe they assist investors and analysts in evaluating our revenue, production costs applicable to sales and sales revenue, including both our wholly-owned properties and our interest in the San José mine, when read in conjunction with our reported results under U.S. GAAP. The presentation of these measures including the minority interest has limitations as an analytical tool. Some of these limitations include:

- The amounts shown on the individual line items were derived by applying our overall economic ownership interest percentage determined when applying the equity method of accounting and do not necessarily represent our legal claim to the assets and liabilities, or the revenues and expenses; and
- Other companies in our industry may calculate their earnings, costs and average realized prices differently than we do, limiting the usefulness as a comparative measure.

Because of these limitations, these non-GAAP measures should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for our financial statements as reported under U.S. GAAP. We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our U.S. GAAP results and using the non-GAAP measures supplementally.

Earnings (loss) from Mining Operations

The term earnings (loss) from mining operations used in this report is a non-GAAP financial measure. We use and report this metric because we believe it provides investors and analysts with a useful measure of the underlying operating mines.

We define earnings (loss) from mining operations as revenue from gold and silver sales from our El Gallo Project, Black Fox and Gold Bar mines, and our 49% attributable share of the San José mine’s revenue from gold and silver sales, less their respective production costs applicable to sales and depreciation and depletion. To the extent that depreciation and depletion may include depreciation and amortization expense related to the fair value increments on historical business acquisitions (fair value paid in excess of the carrying value of the underlying assets and liabilities assumed on the date of acquisition), we exclude this expense in order to arrive at depreciation and depletion that only includes depreciation and amortization expense incurred at the mine site level. The San José mine revenue from gold and silver sales and production costs applicable to sales are presented, on a 100% basis, in Note 8 of the accompanying financial statements.

The following table presents a reconciliation of earnings (loss) from mining operations to revenue from gold and silver sales and production costs applicable to sales and depreciation and depletion, which are GAAP financial measures:

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2019	2018	2019	2018
	(in thousands)			
Gold Bar earnings from mining operations				
Revenue from gold and silver sales	\$ 16,577	\$ —	\$ 28,941	\$ —
Production costs applicable to sales	(12,156)	—	(20,798)	—
Depreciation and depletion	(3,790)	—	(6,510)	—
Add: Amortization related to fair value increments on historical acquisitions included in depreciation applicable to sales	1,194	—	2,248	—
Gold Bar earnings from mining operations	\$ 1,825	\$ —	\$ 3,881	\$ —
Black Fox earnings (loss) from mining operations				
Revenue from gold and silver sales	\$ 11,147	\$ 11,395	\$ 36,139	\$ 46,444
Production costs applicable to sales	(7,550)	(9,179)	(24,025)	(31,711)
Depreciation and depletion	(3,589)	(2,729)	(10,520)	(8,513)
Add: Amortization related to fair value increments on historical acquisitions included in depreciation applicable to sales	93	211	294	712
Black Fox earnings (loss) from mining operations	\$ 101	\$ (302)	\$ 1,888	\$ 6,932
El Gallo earnings from mining operations				
Revenue from gold and silver sales	\$ 4,967	\$ 15,501	\$ 19,577	\$ 55,299
Production costs applicable to sales	(3,878)	(8,561)	(14,609)	(30,367)
Depreciation and depletion	(109)	(414)	(471)	(1,819)
Depreciation of mining related assets	(6)	(101)	(32)	(406)
Add: Amortization related to fair value increments on historical acquisitions included in production costs applicable to sales	109	414	471	1,819
El Gallo earnings from mining operations	\$ 1,083	\$ 6,839	\$ 4,936	\$ 24,526
San José earnings (loss) from mining operations (49% basis)				
Revenue from gold and silver sales	\$ 34,745	\$ 23,429	\$ 88,057	\$ 73,867
Production costs applicable to sales	(25,107)	(23,614)	(68,832)	(66,153)
Third party smelting, refining and transportation costs and export taxes	(2,485)	(725)	(7,162)	(1,416)
On-site general and administrative costs	(817)	(818)	(2,487)	(2,635)
San José earnings (loss) from mining operations	\$ 6,336	\$ (1,728)	\$ 9,576	\$ 3,663

Cash Costs and All-In Sustaining Costs

The terms cash costs, cash cost per ounce, all-in sustaining costs, and all-in sustaining cost per ounce used in this report are non-GAAP financial measures. We report these measures to provide additional information regarding operational efficiencies on an individual mine basis, and believe these measures provide investors and analysts with useful information about our underlying costs of operations. For the San José mine, we exclude the share of gold or silver production attributable to the controlling interest.

Cash costs consist of mining, processing, on-site general and administrative expenses, community and permitting costs related to current operations, royalty costs, refining and treatment charges (for both doré and concentrate products), sales costs, export taxes and operational stripping costs, but exclude depreciation and depletion. The sum of these costs is divided by the corresponding gold equivalent ounces sold to determine a per ounce amount.

All-in sustaining costs consist of cash costs (as described above), plus environmental rehabilitation costs and amortization of the asset retirement costs related to operating sites, sustaining exploration and development costs, sustaining capital expenditures and sustaining lease payments. Our all-in sustaining costs exclude the allocation of corporate general and administrative costs. Following is additional information regarding our all-in sustaining costs:

- Sustaining operating costs represent expenditures incurred at current operations that are considered necessary to maintain current annual production at the mine site and include mine development costs and ongoing replacement of mine equipment and other capital facilities. Sustaining capital costs do not include costs of expanding the project that would result in improved productivity of the existing asset, increased existing capacity or extended useful life.
- Sustaining exploration and development costs include expenditures incurred to sustain current operations and to replace reserves and/or resources extracted as part of the ongoing production. Exploration activity performed near-mine (brownfield) or new exploration projects (greenfield) or any other activity not directly linked to the existing mine-site are classified as non-sustaining.

The sum of all-in sustaining costs is divided by the corresponding gold equivalent ounces sold to determine a per ounce amount.

Costs excluded from cash costs and all-in sustaining costs, in addition to depreciation and depletion, are income and mining tax expense, all corporate financing charges, costs related to business combinations, asset acquisitions and asset disposal, and any items that are deducted for the purpose of normalizing items.

The following tables reconcile these non-GAAP measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measure, production costs applicable to sales. Cash costs, all-in sustaining costs, and ounces of gold and silver sold for the San José mine are provided to us by MSC.

	Three months ended September 30, 2019				Nine months ended September 30, 2019			
	Gold Bar	Black Fox	El Gallo	Total (100% owned)	Gold Bar	Black Fox	El Gallo	Total (100% owned)
	(in thousands, except ounces and per ounce)				(in thousands, except ounces and per ounce)			
Production costs applicable to sales - Cash costs	\$ 12,156	\$ 7,550	\$ 3,878	\$ 23,584	\$ 20,798	\$ 24,025	\$ 14,609	\$ 59,432
Mine site reclamation, accretion and amortization	489	155	81	725	860	475	244	1,579
In-mine exploration	—	955	—	955	—	3,430	—	3,430
Capitalized underground mine development (sustaining)	—	1,711	—	1,711	—	7,286	—	7,286
Capital expenditures on plant and equipment (sustaining)	663	470	—	1,133	1,533	1,610	17	3,160
Sustaining leases	487	98	—	585	1,422	271	—	1,693
All-in sustaining costs	\$ 13,795	\$ 10,939	\$ 3,959	\$ 28,693	\$ 24,613	\$ 37,097	\$ 14,870	\$ 76,580
Ounces sold, including stream (Au Eq. oz)	11,168	8,025	3,364	22,557	20,518	27,978	14,534	63,030
Cash cost (\$/Au Eq. oz sold)	\$ 1,088	\$ 941	\$ 1,153	\$ 1,046	\$ 1,014	\$ 859	\$ 1,005	\$ 943
AISC (\$/Au Eq. oz sold)	\$ 1,235	\$ 1,363	\$ 1,177	\$ 1,272	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,326	\$ 1,023	\$ 1,215

	Three months ended September 30, 2018				Nine months ended September 30, 2018			
	Gold Bar	Black Fox	El Gallo	Total (100% owned)	Gold Bar	Black Fox	El Gallo	Total (100% owned)
	(in thousands, except ounces and per ounce)				(in thousands, except ounces and per ounce)			
Production costs applicable to sales - Cash costs	\$ —	\$ 9,179	\$ 8,561	\$ 17,740	\$ —	\$ 31,711	\$ 30,367	\$ 62,078
Mine site reclamation, accretion and amortization	—	175	87	262	—	481	260	741
In-mine exploration	—	701	189	890	—	1,951	1,445	3,396
Capitalized underground mine development (sustaining)	—	1,640	—	1,640	—	8,362	—	8,362
Capital expenditures on plant and equipment (sustaining)	—	955	(129)	826	—	1,318	—	1,318
Sustaining leases	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
All-in sustaining costs	\$ —	\$ 12,650	\$ 8,708	\$ 21,358	\$ —	\$ 43,823	\$ 32,072	\$ 75,895
Ounces sold, including stream (Au Eq. oz)	—	9,845	12,750	22,595	—	37,811	42,851	80,662
Cash cost (\$/Au Eq. oz sold)	\$ —	\$ 932	\$ 671	\$ 785	\$ —	\$ 839	\$ 709	\$ 770
AISC (\$/Au Eq. oz sold)	\$ —	\$ 1,285	\$ 683	\$ 945	\$ —	\$ 1,159	\$ 748	\$ 941

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2019	2018	2019	2018
San José mine cash and all-in sustaining costs (49% basis) (in thousands, except ounces and per ounce)				
Production costs applicable to sales	\$ 25,107	\$ 23,614	\$ 68,832	\$ 66,153
Less: Mine site reclamation, accretion and amortization	(147)	(157)	(421)	(441)
Depreciation	(8,750)	(7,157)	(24,120)	(18,044)
Mine-site general and administrative expenses	761	803	2,309	2,560
Refining, smelting, and transportation	2,485	725	7,162	1,416
Commercial discounts	1,727	1,289	4,562	3,733
Community costs related to current operations	56	15	177	74
San José mine cash costs (49% basis)	\$ 21,239	\$ 19,132	\$ 58,501	\$ 55,451
Mine site reclamation, accretion and amortization	147	157	421	441
Site exploration expenses	726	987	3,613	2,209
Capitalized underground mine development (sustaining)	3,994	2,556	9,830	8,238
Less: Depreciation	(329)	(190)	(849)	(616)
Capital expenditures (sustaining)	2,161	335	6,613	3,515
San José mine all-in sustaining costs (49% basis)	\$ 27,938	\$ 22,977	\$ 78,129	\$ 69,238
Ounces sold (49% basis) (Au Eq. oz)	23,209	22,357	66,281	64,200
Cash cost (\$/Au Eq. oz sold)	\$ 915	\$ 856	\$ 883	\$ 864
AISC (\$/Au Eq. oz sold)	\$ 1,204	\$ 1,028	\$ 1,179	\$ 1,078

Average realized price

The term average realized price per ounce used in this report is a non-GAAP financial measure. We prepare this measure to evaluate our performance against market (London P.M. Fix). Average realized price is calculated as gross sales of gold and silver, less streaming revenue, divided by the number of net ounces sold in the period, less ounces sold under the streaming agreement.

The following table reconciles the average realized prices to the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure, revenue from gold and silver sales. Ounces of gold and silver sold for the San José mine are provided to us by MSC.

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2019	2018	2019	2018
Average realized price - 100% owned (in thousands, except ounces and per ounce)				
Revenue from gold and silver sales	\$ 32,691	\$ 26,896	\$ 84,657	\$ 101,743
Less: revenue from gold sales, stream	380	479	1,231	1,742
Revenue from gold and silver sales, excluding stream	\$ 32,311	\$ 26,417	\$ 83,426	\$ 100,001
Gold equivalent ounces sold	22,557	22,595	63,030	80,662
Less: gold ounces sold, stream	690	895	2,244	3,244
Gold equivalent ounces sold, excluding stream	21,867	21,700	60,786	77,418
Average realized price per Au Eq. oz sold, excluding stream	\$ 1,478	\$ 1,217	\$ 1,372	\$ 1,292

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2019	2018	2019	2018
(in thousands, except ounces and per ounce)				
Average realized price - San José (49% basis)				
Gold sales	\$ 19,699	\$ 13,912	\$ 52,297	\$ 42,777
Silver sales	16,820	10,497	40,484	33,796
Gold and silver sales	\$ 36,519	\$ 24,409	\$ 92,781	\$ 76,573
Gold ounces sold	12,778	12,083	36,735	34,622
Silver ounces sold	907,478	770,571	2,470,319	2,218,392
Gold equivalent ounces sold	23,209	22,357	66,281	64,200
Average realized price per gold ounce sold	\$ 1,542	\$ 1,151	\$ 1,424	\$ 1,236
Average realized price per silver ounce sold	\$ 18.53	\$ 13.62	\$ 16.39	\$ 15.23
Average realized price per gold equivalent ounce sold	\$ 1,573	\$ 1,092	\$ 1,400	\$ 1,193

Liquid assets

The term liquid assets used in this report is also a non-GAAP financial measure. We report this measure to better understand our liquidity in each reporting period.

Liquid assets is calculated as the sum of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, investments, receivables from marketable securities sales and ounces of doré held in precious metals inventories, with precious metals valued at the London PM Fix spot price at the corresponding period. The following table summarizes the calculation of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, investments, receivable from the sale of marketable securities and precious metals amounts at September 30, 2019 and 2018:

	September 30,	
	2019	2018
(in thousands)		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,262	\$ 40,839
Restricted cash	8,764	—
Investments	3,224	3,162
Receivables from marketable securities sales	928	—
Precious Metals valued at market value	1,284	9,999
Total liquid assets	\$ 18,462	\$ 54,000

A reconciliation between precious metals valued at cost and precious metals valued at market value at the same dates is provided in the following table:

	September 30,	
	2019	2018
(in thousands, except ounces and per ounce)		
Precious metals (note 6 of the Consolidated Financial Statements)	\$ 1,278	\$ 8,520
Reconciliation of precious metals to precious metals valued at market value	864	8,424
Number of ounces of doré in inventory, net of streaming agreement		
London P.M. Fix, per ounce	\$ 1,485	\$ 1,187
Precious metals valued at market value	\$ 1,284	\$ 9,999

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

As of September 30, 2019, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements (as that phrase is defined by SEC rules applicable to this report) which have or are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Critical accounting policies and estimates used to prepare our financial statements are discussed with our Audit Committee as they are implemented and on an annual basis.

Significant changes in our Critical Accounting Policies since December 31, 2018 correspond to the adoption on January 1, 2019 of ASC 842 - Leases, as described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, *“Nature of Operations and Recent Accounting Pronouncements”*.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains or incorporates by reference “forward-looking statements”, as that term is used in federal securities laws, about our financial condition, results of operations and business. These statements include, among others:

- statements about our anticipated exploration results, costs and feasibility of production, production estimates, receipt of permits or other regulatory or government approvals and plans for the development of our properties;
- statements concerning the benefits or outcomes that we expect will result from our business activities and certain transactions that we contemplate or have completed, such as receipt of proceeds, increased revenues, decreased expenses and avoided expenses and expenditures; and
- statements of our expectations, beliefs, future plans and strategies, anticipated developments and other matters that are not historical facts.

These statements may be made expressly in this document or may be incorporated by reference to other documents that we will file with the SEC. Many of these statements can be found by looking for words such as “believes”, “expects”, “anticipates”, “estimates” or similar expressions used in this report or incorporated by reference in this report.

Forward-looking statements and information are based upon a number of estimates and assumptions that, while considered reasonable by management, are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties, risks and contingencies, and there can be no assurance that such statements and information will prove to be accurate. Therefore, actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements and information.

We caution you not to put undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report. Further, the information contained in this document or incorporated herein by reference is a statement of our present intention and is based on present facts and assumptions, and may change at any time and without notice, based on changes in such facts or assumptions. Readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

Risk Factors Impacting Forward-Looking Statements

The important factors that could prevent us from achieving our stated goals and objectives include, but are not limited to, those set forth in the “Risk Factors” section in our report on Form 10-K and the following:

- our ability to raise funds required for the execution of our business strategy;
- our ability to secure permits or other regulatory and government approvals needed to operate, develop or explore our mineral properties and projects;
- decisions of foreign countries, banks and courts within those countries;
- unexpected changes in business, economic, and political conditions;
- operating results of MSC;

- fluctuations in interest rates, inflation rates, currency exchange rates, or commodity prices;
- timing, quality of mineralized material processed and amount of mine production;
- our ability to retain and attract key personnel;
- technological changes in the mining industry;
- changes in operating, exploration or overhead costs;
- access and availability of materials, equipment, supplies, labor and supervision, power and water;
- results of current and future exploration activities;
- results of pending and future feasibility studies or the expansion or commencement of mining operations without feasibility studies having been completed;
- changes in our business strategy;
- interpretation of drill hole results and the geology, grade and continuity of mineralization;
- the uncertainty of reserve estimates and timing of development expenditures;
- litigation or regulatory investigations and procedures affecting us;
- changes in federal, state, provincial and local laws and regulations;
- local and community impacts and issues including criminal activity and violent crimes;
- accidents, public health issues, and labor disputes;
- our continued listing on a public exchange;
- uncertainty relating to title to mineral properties; and
- changes in relationships with the local communities in the areas in which we operate.

We undertake no responsibility or obligation to update publicly these forward-looking statements, except as required by law and may update these statements in the future in written or oral statements. Investors should take note of any future statements made by or on our behalf.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our exposure to market risks includes, but is not limited to, the following risks: changes in foreign currency exchange rates, equity price risks, commodity price fluctuations, credit risk and inflationary risk. We do not use derivative financial instruments as part of an overall strategy to manage market risk.

Further, our participation in the joint venture with Hochschild for the 49% interest held at MSC creates additional risks because, among other things, we do not exercise decision-making power over the day-to-day activities at MSC; however, implications from our partner's decisions may result in us having to provide additional funding to MSC or in a decrease in our percentage of ownership.

Foreign Currency Risk

In general, the devaluation of non-U.S. dollar currencies with respect to the U.S. dollar has a positive effect on our costs and liabilities which are incurred outside the U.S. while it has a negative effect on our assets denominated in non-U.S. dollar currency. Although we transact most of our business in U.S. dollars, some expenses, labor, operating supplies and property and equipment are denominated in Canadian dollars, Mexican pesos or Argentine pesos.

Since 2008, the Argentine peso has been steadily devaluing against the U.S. dollar by 10% to 40% on an annual basis. During the nine months ended September 30, 2019, the Argentine peso devalued 52% compared to a devaluation of 121% in the same period of 2018.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2019, the Mexican peso was relatively flat against the U.S. dollar, compared to the 5% appreciation in the same period of 2018.

The Canadian dollar experienced a 3% appreciation against the U.S. dollar for the nine months ended September 30, 2019, compared to the 3% devaluation in the comparable period of 2018.

The value of cash and cash equivalents denominated in foreign currencies also fluctuates with changes in currency exchange rates. Appreciation of non-U.S. dollar currencies results in a foreign currency gain on such investments and a depreciation in non-U.S. dollar currencies results in a loss. We have not utilized material market risk-sensitive instruments to manage our exposure to foreign currency exchange rates but may do so in the future. We hold portions of our cash reserves in non-U.S. dollar currencies.

Based on our Canadian cash balance of \$1.1 million (C\$0.8 million) at September 30, 2019, a 1% change in the Canadian dollar would result in a gain/loss of less than \$0.1 million in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations*. We also hold negligible portions of our cash reserves in Mexican and Argentina pesos, with the effect that a 1% change in these respective currencies would result in gains/losses immaterial for disclosure.

Further, we are also subject to foreign currency risk on the fluctuation of the Mexican peso on our VAT receivable balance. As of September 30, 2019, our VAT receivable balance was Mexican peso 22,963,142, equivalent to approximately \$1.2 million, for which a 1% change in the Mexican peso would have resulted in a gain/loss of less than \$0.1 million in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations*.

Equity Price Risk

We have in the past sought and will likely in the future seek to acquire additional funding by sale of common stock or other equity securities. Movements in the price of our common stock have been volatile in the past and may also be volatile in the future. As a result, there is a risk that we may not be able to sell equity securities at an acceptable price to meet future funding requirements.

We have invested and may continue to invest in shares of common stock of other entities in the mining sector. Some of our investments may be highly volatile and lack liquidity caused by lower trading volumes. As a result, we are inherently exposed to fluctuations in the fair value of our investments, which may result in gains or losses upon their valuation.

Commodity Price Risk

We produce and sell gold and silver, therefore changes in the market price of gold and silver could significantly affect our results of operations and cash flows in the future. Change in the price of gold and silver could materially affect our revenues. Based on our revenues from gold and silver sales of \$84.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2019, a 10% change in the price of gold and silver would have had an impact of approximately \$8.5 million on our revenues. Changes in the price of gold and silver can also affect the provisionally-priced sales that we make under agreements with refiners and other purchasers of our products. At September 30, 2019, we had no gold or silver sales subject to final pricing.

We have in the past and may in the future hold a portion of our treasury in gold and silver bullion, where the value is recorded at the lower of cost or market. Gold and silver prices may affect the value of any bullion that we hold in treasury.

We do not hedge any of our sales and are therefore subject to all changes in commodity prices.

Credit Risk

We may be exposed to credit loss through our precious metals and doré sales agreements with Canadian financial institutions and refineries if these customers are unable to make payment in accordance with the terms of the agreements. However, based on the history and financial condition of our counterparties, we do not anticipate any of the financial institutions or refineries to default on their obligation. As of September 30, 2019, we do not believe we have any significant credit exposure associated with precious metals and our doré sales agreements.

In Mexico, we are exposed to credit loss regarding our VAT receivable if the Mexican tax authorities are unable or unwilling to make payments in accordance with our monthly filings. Timing of collection on VAT receivables is uncertain as VAT refund procedures require a significant amount of information and follow-up. The risk is mitigated to the extent that the VAT receivable balance can be applied against future income taxes payable. However, at this time we are uncertain when, if ever, our Mexican operations will generate sufficient taxable operating profits to offset this receivable against taxes payable. We continue to face risk on the collection of our VAT receivables, which amount to \$1.2 million as at September 30, 2019.

In Nevada and Ontario, Canada we are required to provide security to cover our projected reclamation costs. As at September 30, 2019, we have surety bonds of \$31.4 million in place to satisfy bonding requirements for this purpose. The bonds have an annual fee of 2% of their value. Although we do not believe we have any significant credit exposure associated with these bonds, we are exposed to the risk that the surety bonds may no longer be accepted by the governmental agencies as satisfactory reclamation coverage, in which case we would be required to replace the surety bonding with cash.

Inflationary Risk

Argentina has experienced a significant amount of inflation over the last nine years and has now been classified as a highly inflationary economy. ASC 830 defines a hyperinflationary economy as one where the cumulative inflation rate exceeds 100% over the last three years preceding the reporting period. In this scenario, ASC 830 requires companies to change the functional currency of its foreign subsidiaries operating in a highly inflationary economy, to match the company's reporting currency. In our case, the functional currency of all our Argentine subsidiaries has always been our reporting currency, the U.S. dollar. As such, we do not expect the classification of Argentina's economy as a highly inflationary economy to change our financial reporting methodology.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

- (a) We maintain a system of controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Exchange Act”), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms and to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. As of September 30, 2019, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, management has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.
- (b) There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2019 that materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in Part I, Item 1A, of our Form 10-K.

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

During the quarterly period ended September 30, 2019, we issued a total of 353,570 shares of common stock in transactions that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”). On July 29, 2019, we issued 53,570 shares to Ivy Minerals Inc., a private Idaho corporation, in exchange for mining claims in proximity to Gold Bar gold mine in Nevada. The shares were valued at \$1.87 per share, or a total of \$100,000 for the transaction. On August 27, 2019, we issued 300,000 additional shares to Fremont Gold Ltd., a private British Columbia corporation, in exchange for additional mining claims near Gold Bar. These shares were valued at \$2.08 per share, or \$624,000 for the transaction.

In each of the transactions, the shares were issued directly by us to the recipient without participation of an underwriter or placement agent. The shares were issued pursuant to the exemption from registration provided by Section 4(a)(2) under the Securities Act. Each recipient was able to fend for itself in the transaction and the shares were offered and issued with no form of public solicitation. Further, resale restrictions were placed on certificates representing the shares.

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

At McEwen Mining, safety is a core value, and we strive for superior performance. Our health and safety management system, which includes detailed standards and procedures for safe production, addresses topics such as employee training, risk management, workplace inspection, emergency response, accident investigation and program auditing. In addition to strong leadership and involvement from all levels of the organization, these programs and procedures form the cornerstone of safety at McEwen Mining, ensuring that employees are provided a safe and healthy environment and are intended to reduce workplace accidents, incidents and losses, comply with all mining-related regulations and provide support for both regulators and the industry to improve mine safety.

The operation of our Gold Bar mine is subject to regulation by the Federal Mine Safety and Health Administration (“MSHA”) under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (the “Mine Act”). MSHA inspects our Gold Bar mine on a regular basis and may issue citations and orders when it believes a violation has occurred under the Mine Act. While we contract a majority of the mining operations at Gold Bar to an independent contractor, we may be considered an “operator” for purposes of the Mine Act and may be issued notices or citations if MSHA believes that we are responsible for violations.

We are required to report certain mine safety violations or other regulatory matters required by Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and Item 104 of Regulation S-K, and that required information is included in Exhibit 95 filed with this report.

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

The description of the Amendment to the Credit Agreement between the Company, Royal Capital Management Corp., as administrative agent, and Evanachan Limited, an Ontario corporation, Mark Shoom and Stephen Rider, as lenders in Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation – Liquidity and Capital Resources is hereby incorporated in lieu of what would be required by Item 1.01 of Form 8-K. Evanachan Limited is owned by Robert R. McEwen, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. McEwen also beneficially owns approximately 22% of our common stock.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are filed or incorporated by reference with this report:

- 3.1.1 Second Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Company as filed with the Colorado Secretary of State on January 20, 2012 (incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 24, 2012, Exhibit 3.1, File No. 001-33190).
- 3.1.2 Articles of Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Company as filed with the Colorado Secretary of State on January 24, 2012 (incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 24, 2012, Exhibit 3.2, File No. 001-33190).
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company (incorporated by reference from the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 12, 2012, Exhibit 3.2, File No. 001-33190).
- 10.1 Amendment dated October 28, 2019 to Credit Agreement dated August 10, 2018 between the Company, Royal Capital Management Corp., as administrative agent, and the Lenders party thereto.
- 31.1 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Robert R. McEwen.
- 31.2 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Dr. Merushe (Meri) Verli.
- 32 Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Robert R. McEwen and Dr. Merushe (Meri) Verli.
- 95 Mine safety disclosure.
- 101 The following materials from McEwen Mining Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2019 are filed herewith, formatted in iXBRL (Inline eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive (Loss) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2019 and 2018, (ii) the Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2019 and December 31, 2018, (iii) the Unaudited Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2019 and 2018, (iv) the Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2019 and 2018, and (v) the Unaudited Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.
- 104 The cover page from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2019, formatted in Inline XBRL and contained in Exhibit 101.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MCEWEN MINING INC.

Date: October 29, 2019

/s/ Robert R. McEwen

By: Robert R. McEwen,
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Date: October 29, 2019

/s/ Meri Verli

By: Meri Verli,
Chief Financial Officer