



Goldshore Intercepts 2.65 g/t Au over 14.6m in 200 m Step-Out Hole at Moss Lake

VANCOUVER, B.C., August 10, 2022: Goldshore Resources Inc. (TSXV: GSHR / OTCQB: GSHRF / FWB: 8X00) (“Goldshore” or the “Company”), is pleased to announce assay results from its ongoing 100,000-meter drill program at the Moss Lake Project in Northwest Ontario, Canada (the “Moss Lake Gold Project”).

Highlights:

- Four holes drilled to evaluate the eastern extension of the Southwest Zone have **confirmed gold mineralization within anastomosing shears in altered diorite** with intercepts of:
 - **39.75m @ 1.18 g/t Au from 44.25m depth** in MMD-22-023, including
 - **14.60m @ 2.65 g/t Au from 462.2m**
 - 11.65m @ 1.05 g/t Au from 37.35m depth, and
 - **18.70m @ 1.37 g/t Au from 151.1m** in MMD-22-031
 - 10.00m @ 1.05 g/t Au from 290.0m in MMD-22-035

President and CEO Brett Richards stated: “We are excited to continue to deliver consistent drilling results. I am encouraged about the high-grade sections we are seeing and have shared previously with the market, as this will provide optionality when we model the resource later in the year and start to look at economic pit shells. With this step out hole from historically drilled areas at Moss Lake, it will further increase the optionality.”

Technical Overview

Table 1 shows the significant intercepts. Table 2 and Figure 1 show the drill hole locations.

Table 1: Significant downhole gold intercepts

HOLE ID	FROM	TO	LENGTH (m)	TRUE WIDTH (m)	CUT GRADE (g/t Au)	UNCUT GRADE (g/t Au)
MMD-22-023	375.95	379.30	3.35	2.5	0.37	0.37
	440.25	502.10	61.85	49.4	0.84	0.88
Including	440.25	480.00	39.75	31.7	1.18	1.24
Including	462.20	476.80	14.60	11.7	2.65	2.83
and	490.35	502.10	11.75	9.6	0.32	0.32
	527.00	529.00	2.00	1.7	0.36	0.36
	565.40	584.35	18.95	16.2	0.32	0.32

Intersections calculated above a 0.3 g/t Au cut off with a top cut of 30 g/t Au and a maximum internal waste interval of 10 metres. Shaded intervals are intersections calculated above a 1.0 g/t Au cut off. Intervals in bold are those with a grade thickness factor exceeding 20 gram x metres / tonne gold. True widths are approximate and assume a subvertical body. The fact that cut and uncut assays are the same, shows that all samples assayed less than the 30 g/t Au top cut.




Figure 1: Drill plan showing the drill holes relative to the 2013 resource model and the new parallel zones

Table 2: Location of drill holes in this press release

HOLE	EAST	NORTH	RL	AZIMUTH	DIP	EOH
MMD-22-023	668300	5378663	433	135°	-50°	643.8m
MMD-22-027	668475	5378300	438	150°	-50°	494.0m
MMD-22-031	668500	5378300	439	120°	-50°	521.0m
MMD-22-035	668400	5378387	479	150°	-50°	623.05m
Approximate collar coordinates in NAD 83, Zone 15N						

Results have been received for four holes that have tested the previously undrilled eastern extension of the Southwest Zone. They show that mineralization is continuous in several structurally-controlled zones. The Southwest Zone remains open in both the east and west directions.

These holes intersected several broad zones of low-grade mineralization within the altered diorite intrusion host. Examples include 61.85m @ 0.84 g/t Au from 440.25m in MMD-22-023; 124.9m @ 0.48 g/t Au from 95.1m in MMD-22-027; 64.3m @ 0.61 g/t Au from 15.5m and 82.5m @ 0.66 g/t Au from 91.95m in MMD-22-031; and 137.65m @ 0.37 g/t Au from 184.75m in MMD-22-035 among several 10-20 meter wide zones of low-grade gold mineralization throughout all holes.

All of these low-grade zones occur as envelopes to higher-grade structures. An analysis of oriented core by structural geologist, Dr. Brett Davis, confirmed that these form a three-dimensional, anastomosing shear network that has developed in response to strain on the altered diorite intrusion. Results include the broad zones of +1 g/t Au mineralization shown in the highlights (e.g., 39.75m @ 1.18 g/t Au from 440.25m in MMD-22-023) and several narrow high-

grade intervals, including 0.8m @ 33.3 g/t Au from 462.2m in MMD-22-023; 0.3m @ 14.3 g/t Au from 172.3m in MMD-22-027; and 0.65m @ 15.5 g/t Au from 167.35m in MMD-22-031.

Pete Flindell, VP Exploration for Goldshore, said *“These drill results confirm the potential of the Moss Lake Gold Project. Drilling to test potential expansions to these parallel zones of mineralization will continue into the end of the year.”*

Analytical and QA/QC Procedures

All samples were sent to ALS Geochemistry in Thunder Bay for preparation and analysis was performed in the ALS Vancouver analytical facility. ALS is accredited by the Standards Council of Canada (SCC) for the Accreditation of Mineral Analysis Testing Laboratories and CAN-P-4E ISO/IEC 17025. Samples were analyzed for gold via fire assay with an AA finish (“Au-AA23”) and 48 pathfinder elements via ICP-MS after four-acid digestion (“ME-MS61”). Samples that assayed over 10 ppm Au were re-run via fire assay with a gravimetric finish (“Au-GRA21”).

In addition to ALS quality assurance / quality control (“QA/QC”) protocols, Goldshore has implemented a quality control program for all samples collected through the drilling program. The quality control program was designed by a qualified and independent third party, with a focus on the quality of analytical results for gold. Analytical results are received, imported to our secure on-line database and evaluated to meet our established guidelines to ensure that all sample batches pass industry best practice for analytical quality control. Certified reference materials are considered acceptable if values returned are within three standard deviations of the certified value reported by the manufacture of the material. In addition to the certified reference material, certified blank material is included in the sample stream to monitor contamination during sample preparation. Blank material results are assessed based on the returned gold result being less than ten times the quoted lower detection limit of the analytical method. The results of the on-going analytical quality control program are evaluated and reported to Goldshore by Orix Geoscience Inc.

About Goldshore

Goldshore is an emerging junior gold development company, and owns the Moss Lake Gold Project located in Ontario. Wesdome is currently a large shareholder of Goldshore with an approximate 27% equity position in the Company. Well-financed and supported by an industry-leading management group, board of directors and advisory board, Goldshore is positioned to advance the Moss Lake Gold Project through the next stages of exploration and development.

About the Moss Lake Gold Project

The Moss Lake Gold Project is located approximately 100 km west of the city of Thunder Bay, Ontario. It is accessed via Highway 11 which passes within 1 km of the property boundary to the north. The Moss Lake Gold Project covers 14,292 hectares and consists of 282 unpatented and patented mining claims.

The Moss Lake Gold Project hosts a number of gold and base metal rich deposits including the Moss Lake Deposit, the East Coldstream Deposit (Table 3), the historically producing North Coldstream Mine (Table 4), and the Hamlin Zone, all of which occur over a mineralized trend exceeding 20 km in length. A historical preliminary economic assessment (the **“Moss Lake Historical Estimate”**) was completed on the Moss Lake Gold Project in 2013 and published by Moss Lake Gold Mines Ltd. (**“Moss Lake Gold Mines”**)^{1,3}. A historical mineral resource estimate

(the “**East Coldstream Historical Estimate**”) was completed on the East Coldstream Deposit in 2011 by Foundation Resources Inc.^{2,3} In addition to these zones, the Moss Lake Gold Project also hosts a number of under-explored mineral occurrences which are reported to exist both at surface and in historically drilled holes. The Moss Lake Deposit is a shear-hosted disseminated-style gold deposit which outcrops at surface. It has been drilled over a 2.5 km length and to depths of 300 m with 376 holes completed between 1983 and 2017. The last drilling program conducted in 2016 and 2017 by Wesdome Gold Mines Ltd. (“**Wesdome**”), which consisted of widely spaced holes along the strike extension of the deposit was successful in expanding the mineralized footprint and hydrothermal system 1.6 km to the northeast. Additionally, the deposit remains largely open to depth. In 2017, Wesdome completed an induced polarization survey which traced the potential extensions of pyrite mineralization associated with the Moss Lake Deposit over a total strike length of 8 km and spanning the entire extent of the survey grids.

The East Coldstream Deposit is a shear-hosted disseminated-style gold deposit which locally outcrops at surface. It has been drilled over a 1.3 km length and to depths of 200 m with 138 holes completed between 1988 and 2017. The deposit remains largely open at depth and may have the potential for expansion along strike. Historic drill hole highlights from the East Coldstream Deposit include 4.86 g/t Au over 27.3 m in C-10-15.

The historically producing North Coldstream Mine is reported to have produced significant amounts of copper, gold and silver⁴ from mineralization with potential iron-oxide-copper-gold deposit style affinity. The exploration potential immediately surrounding the historic mining area is not currently well understood and historic data compilation is required.

The Hamlin Zone is a significant occurrence of copper and gold mineralization, and also of potential iron-oxide-copper-gold deposit style affinity. Between 2008 and 2011, Glencore tested Hamlin with 24 drill holes which successfully outlined a broad and intermittently mineralized zone over a strike length of 900 m. Historic drill hole highlights from the Hamlin Zone include 0.9 g/t Au and 0.35% Cu over 150.7 m in HAM-11-75.

The Moss Lake, East Coldstream and North Coldstream deposits sit on a mineral trend marked by a regionally significant deformation zone locally referred to as the Wawiag Fault Zone in the area of the Moss Lake Deposit. This deformation zone occurs over a length of approximately 20 km on the Moss Lake Gold Project and there is an area spanning approximately 7 km between the Moss Lake and East Coldstream deposits that is significantly underexplored.

Table 3: Historical Mineral Resources^{1,2,3}

Deposit	INDICATED			INFERRED		
	Tonnes	Au g/t	Au oz	Tonnes	Au g/t	Au oz
Moss Lake Historical Estimate						
Open Pit Potential	39,795,000	1.1	1,377,300	48,904,000	1.0	1,616,300
Underground Potential	-	-	-	1,461,100	2.9	135,400
Moss Lake Total	39,795,000	1.1	1,377,300	50,364,000	1.1	1,751,600

East Coldstream Historical Estimate						
East Coldstream Total	3,516,700	0.85	96,400	30,533,000	0.78	763,276
Combined Total	43,311,700	1.08	1,473,700	80,897,000	0.98	2,514,876

Notes:

- (1) Source: Poirier, S., Patrick, G.A., Richard, P.L., and Palich, J. "Technical Report and Preliminary Economic Assessment for the Moss Lake Project", prepared for Moss Lake Gold Mines Ltd. The qualified persons for the Moss Lake Historical Estimate are Pierre-Luc Richard, MSc, PGeo (InnovExplo Inc), and Carl Pelletier, BSc, PGeo (InnovExplo Inc), and the effective date of the Moss Lake Historical Estimate is February 8, 2013. In-Pit results are presented undiluted and in situ, within Whittle-optimized pit shells. Underground results are presented undiluted and in situ, outside Whittle-optimized pit shells. The Moss Lake Historical Estimate includes 18 gold-bearing zones and 1 envelope containing isolated gold intercepts. Whittle parameters: mining cost = C\$2.28; pit slope angle = 50.0 degrees; production cost = C\$9.55; mining Dilution = 5%; mining recovery = 95%; processing recovery = 80% to 85%; gold price = C\$1,500. In-Pit and Underground resources were compiled at cut-off grades from 0.3 to 5.0 g/t Au (for sensitivity characterization). A cut-off grade of 0.5 g/t Au was selected as the official in-pit cut-off grade and a cut-off grade of 2.0 g/t Au was selected as the official underground cut-off grade. The Moss Lake Historical Estimate is based on 352 diamond drill holes (90,978 m) drilled from 1983 and 2008. A fixed density of 2.78 g/cm³ was used. A minimum true thickness of 5.0 m was applied, using the grade of the adjacent material when assayed or a value of zero when not assayed. Capping was established at 35 g/t Au, supported by statistical analysis and the high grade distribution within the deposit. Compositing was done on drill hole sections falling within the mineralized zone solids (composite = 1 m). Resources were evaluated from drill hole samples using the ID2 interpolation method in a multi-folder percent block model using Gems version 6.4. Based on geostatistics, the ellipse range for interpolation was 75m x 67.5m x 40m. The Indicated category is defined by combining the blocks within the two main zones and various statistical criteria, such as average distance to composites, distance to closest composite, quantity of drill holes within the search area. Ounce (troy) = metric tons x grade / 31.10348. Calculations used metric units (metres, tonnes and g/t). The number of metric tonnes was rounded to the nearest thousand. Any discrepancies in the totals are due to rounding effects; rounding followed the recommendations in NI 43-101.
- (2) Source: McCracken, T. "Technical Report and Resource Estimate on the Osmani Gold Deposit, Coldstream Property, Northwestern Ontario", prepared for Foundation Resources Inc. and Alto Ventures Ltd. The East Coldstream Historical Estimate is based on a 0.4 g/t Au cut-off grade. The qualified persons for the East Coldstream Historical Estimate are Todd McCracken, P.Geo. (Tetratex Wardrop), and Jeff Wilson, Ph.D., P.Geo. (Tetratex Wardrop), and the effective date of the East Coldstream Historical Estimate is December 12, 2011. Resources are presented unconstrained, undiluted and in situ. The East Coldstream Historical Estimate includes 2 gold-bearing zones. A cut-off grade of 0.4 g/t Au was selected as the official resource cut-off grade. The East Coldstream Historical Estimate is based on 116 diamond drill holes drilled from 1986 to 2011. A fixed density of 2.78 g/cm³ was used. Capping was established at 5.89 g/t Au and 5.70 g/t Au for domains EC-1 and EC-2, respectively. This is supported by statistical analysis and the high grade distribution within the deposit. Compositing was done on drill hole sections falling within the mineralized zone solids (composite = 1 m). Resources were evaluated from drill hole samples using the ID2 interpolation method in a multi-folder percent block model using Datamine Studio 3 version 3.20.5321.0. Resource categorization is based on spatial continuity based from the variography of the assays within the drillholes. Ounce (troy) = metric tons x grade / 31.10348. Calculations used metric units (metres, tonnes and g/t). The number of metric tonnes was rounded to the nearest thousand. Any discrepancies in the totals are due to rounding effects; rounding followed the recommendations in NI 43-101.
- (3) The reader is cautioned that the Moss Lake Historical Estimate East and the East Coldstream Historical Estimate (the "Historical Estimates") are considered historical in nature and as such is based on prior data and reports prepared by previous property owners. The reader is cautioned not to treat them, or any part of them, as current mineral resources or reserves. The Company has determined these historical resources are reliable, and relevant to be included here in that they demonstrate simply the mineral potential of the Moss Lake Gold Project. A qualified person has not done sufficient work to classify the Historical Estimates as current resources and Goldshare is not treating the Historical Estimates as current resources. Significant data compilation, re-drilling, re-sampling and data verification may be required by a qualified person before the Historical Estimates can be classified as a current resource. There can be no assurance that any of the historical mineral resources, in whole or in part, will ever become economically viable. In addition, mineral resources are not mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Even if classified as a current resource, there is no certainty as to whether further exploration will result in any inferred mineral resources being upgraded to an indicated or measured mineral resource category. The Historical Estimates relating to inferred mineral resources were calculated using prior mining industry standard definitions and practices for estimating mineral resource and mineral reserves. Such prior definitions and practices were utilized prior

to the implementation of the current standards of the Canadian Institute of Mining for mineral resource estimation, and have a lower level of confidence.

Table 4: Reported Historical Production from the North Coldstream Deposit⁴

Deposit	Tonnes	Cu %	Au g/t	Ag	Cu lbs	Au oz	Ag oz
Historical Production	2,700,0000	1.89	0.56	5.59	102,000,000	44,000	440,000

Note:

(4) Source: Schlanka, R., 1969. Copper, Nickel, Lead and Zinc Deposits of Ontario, Mineral Resources Circular No. 12, Ontario Geological Survey, pp. 314-316.

Peter Flindell, P.Geo., MAusIMM, MAIG, Vice President – Exploration of the Company, a qualified person under NI 43-101 has approved the scientific and technical information contained in this news release.

Neither the TSXV nor its Regulation Services Provider (as that term is defined in the policies of the TSXV) accepts responsibility for the adequacy or accuracy of this release.

For More Information – Please Contact:

Brett A. Richards
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Goldshore Resources Inc.

P. +1 604 288 4416 M. +1 905 449 1500
E. brichards@goldshorerresources.com
W. www.goldshorerresources.com

Facebook: GoldShoreRes | **Twitter:** GoldShoreRes | **LinkedIn:** goldshorerres

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This news release contains statements that constitute “forward-looking statements.” Such forward looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the Company’s actual results, performance or achievements, or developments to differ materially from the anticipated results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Forward looking statements are statements that are not historical facts and are generally, but not always, identified by the words “expects,” “plans,” “anticipates,” “believes,” “intends,” “estimates,” “projects,” “potential” and similar expressions, or that events or conditions “will,” “would,” “may,” “could” or “should” occur.

Forward-looking statements in this news release include, among others, statements relating to expectations regarding the exploration and development of the Moss Lake Gold Project, and other statements that are not historical facts. By their nature, forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements, or other future events, to be materially different from any future

results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors and risks include, among others: the Company may require additional financing from time to time in order to continue its operations which may not be available when needed or on acceptable terms and conditions acceptable; compliance with extensive government regulation; domestic and foreign laws and regulations could adversely affect the Company's business and results of operations; the stock markets have experienced volatility that often has been unrelated to the performance of companies and these fluctuations may adversely affect the price of the Company's securities, regardless of its operating performance; and the impact of COVID-19.

The forward-looking information contained in this news release represents the expectations of the Company as of the date of this news release and, accordingly, is subject to change after such date. Readers should not place undue importance on forward-looking information and should not rely upon this information as of any other date. The Company undertakes no obligation to update these forward-looking statements in the event that management's beliefs, estimates or opinions, or other factors, should change.

This news release does not constitute an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to buy, any securities in the United States. The securities have not been and will not be registered under the United States Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "U.S. Securities Act") or any state securities laws and may not be offered or sold within the United States or to U.S. Persons unless registered under the U.S. Securities Act and applicable state securities laws or an exemption from such registration is available.