



Comunicato Stampa

MOODY'S DOWNGRADES ATLANTIA, AUTOSTRADE PER L'ITALIA AND ADR

Rome, 3 January 2020 - The rating agency, Moody's, following the unilateral changes to existing motorway concession arrangements in Italy, introduced by the Milleproroghe decree, downgraded Autostrade per l'Italia from 'Baa3' to 'Ba1' and Atlantia (holding) from 'Ba1' to 'Ba2'; Moody's also downgraded to 'Baa3' from 'Baa2' the Aeroporti di Roma rating that is linked to the credit profile of the Atlantia Group.

As a result of persistent uncertainties weighing on the Group's credit profile and the decree's potential conversion into law, Moody's has also put the aforementioned ratings under review for downgrade.

The full text of the rating agency's announcement is provided below.

Investor Relations
e-mail: investor.relations@atlantia.it

Media Relations
e-mail: media.relations@atlantia.it

www.atlantia.it

MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE

Rating Action: Moody's downgrades ratings of Atlantia, ASPI and ADR by one notch and places under review for downgrade

03 Jan 2020

Madrid, January 03, 2020 -- Moody's Investors Service, ("Moody's") has today downgraded to Ba2 from Ba1 the senior unsecured rating and to (P)Ba2 from (P)Ba1 the rating of the euro medium-term note (EMTN) programme of Italian motorway and airport infrastructure company Atlantia S.p.A. (Atlantia). Moody's also downgraded to Ba1 from Baa3 the senior unsecured ratings and to (P)Ba1 from (P)Baa3 the senior unsecured EMTN programme rating of toll road operator Autostrade per l'Italia S.p.A. (ASPI). Concurrently, Moody's has withdrawn the issuer rating of ASPI and assigned a Ba1 corporate family rating to Atlantia. Moody's also downgraded to Baa3 from Baa2 the senior unsecured and senior secured ratings and to (P)Baa3 from (P)Baa2 the senior unsecured EMTN programme rating of airport operator Aeroporti di Roma S.p.A. (ADR). All ratings have been placed under review for downgrade.

A full list of affected ratings is provided towards the end of this press release.

RATINGS RATIONALE

The rating action reflects the increasing political pressure on the Atlantia's group and growing downside risks following the publication of the Decree-Law No 162 of 30 December 2019 (so called Milleproroghe Decree), in which the Italian government retroactively and unilaterally changed the terms and conditions of toll road concessions in the country, including that of ASPI. Even if the effects of this Decree could be only temporary, because it would cease to be effective if not converted into law by the Parliament within 60 days of publication, it signals a significant escalation of the confrontation between the government and Atlantia.

The rating action for ADR reflects its almost 100% ownership by Atlantia and the ability of Atlantia to move cash and debt around the group, notwithstanding that ADR exhibits a stronger stand-alone credit profile and benefits from some protections included in its debt structure and concession contract that provides for partial delinkage from the wider group.

Since the collapse of the Polcevera viaduct, in August 2018, Atlantia's group has faced (1) heightened regulatory pressures, as evidenced by ASPI's toll freeze in 2019, which was implemented on a voluntary basis, and in 2020, which was imposed by the government; (2) increased scrutiny of ASPI's motorway assets, as shown by the temporary closure of a few bridges over safety concerns during November 2019, that could ultimately result in significant additional maintenance costs; (3) legal investigations on ASPI's and SPEA's (an engineering subsidiary of Atlantia) employees who allegedly submitted inaccurate reports on the maintenance of two bridges, which, if proven, would highlight potential shortfalls in the group's control functions and undermine its credibility; (4) increasing political pressure from the government following Atlantia's unavailability to present a binding offer for the rescue of troubled airline Alitalia; and (5) persistent uncertainties in respect of the future of ASPI's concession.

The provisions for motorway concessions included in the Milleproroghe Decree follows the stagnation of the discussions with the Ministry of Transport and Infrastructure (MIT) over a potential renegotiation of ASPI's concession. If the provisions of the Decree were enforced it will negatively impact the group in case of revocation of ASPI's concession for material and continued non-performance.

While the cause(s) of the Genoa incident remain unknown, some government officials indicate that a decision on the future of the ASPI concession may be taken during the first month(s) of the year. ASPI's concession provides for a specific process for early termination of the contract and stipulates that compensation on termination would need to be paid in all circumstances. This compensation is calculated on the basis of the net present value of the expected cash flows until the end of the term of the concession, net of costs, liabilities, investments and projected taxes, less the outstanding net financial debt that the grantor may wish to assume. This compensation would be reduced by 10% and additional damages should the cause of termination be a breach of ASPI's obligation under the concession.

However, with the publication of the Milleproroghe Decree the Italian government has unilaterally changed the compensation amount that ASPI would be entitled to receive in case of revocation due to a breach of its

obligations. Under the new terms, the compensation in such scenario will be calculated as the value of investments completed plus ancillary charges net of depreciation. This amount would be substantially lower than the amount originally established on ASPI's concession.

In addition, the Decree establishes that the concessionaire will not be able to exercise the right of legal termination of the concession as a result of this change in contractual terms. This clause responds to ASPI's announced willingness to exercise its rights of legal termination of the concession following an unilateral change of its contractual terms.

The government move exacerbates the confrontational stance towards Atlantia's group, which suggests that an agreement on the renegotiation of the concession is far from being reached and a higher probability that the government will attempt to take detrimental actions against ASPI. This also increases the likelihood that both parties will be locked in lengthy litigation process involving Italian and European courts that could last years, resulting in potential sizeable legal costs, additional claims and higher uncertainty on the full extent of the financial impact of the collapse of the Polcevera viaduct.

In this respect, Moody's notes that in 2006 the European Commission started an infringement procedure against Italy following the publication of the Decree-Law No 262 of 3 October 2006 and established that concession arrangements cannot be modified unilaterally. In addition, the recent decision of the Regional Administrative Court for Liguria to refer the provisions of the Genoa Decree to the Italian Constitutional Court, validates the legal strength of ASPI's concession contract in the context of the application of the rule of law.

Moody's cautions that any formal assessment and notification of non-compliance with the concession obligations and/or the commencement of the corresponding revocation procedure would be a significant credit negative for ASPI and, in turn, Atlantia, given ASPI's significance in the context of the wider group's credit profile and the linkages between the two entities.

This is a significant risk because the compensation linked to revocation of the concession contract would be the source to meet potential bondholders' claims resulting from the voluntary put option granted under ASPI's bond documentation in such event. According to the provisions of the concession, ASPI should continue to manage the motorway assets until payment of the compensation is received. However, the Milleproroghe Decree states that the effectiveness of a termination of the concession is not subject to payment of compensation by the grantor, increasing the risk that the government decides to take over ASPI's concession without formalising the corresponding compensation on termination. In addition, ASPI's bond documentation lacks an explicit reference to the receipt of a compensation payment for the concession termination to be effective, which could result in uncertainties in respect of the timing of such concession termination.

In light of the protracted uncertainties, Moody's will also continue to monitor the liquidity and financial flexibility exhibited by the Atlantia group, the continued ability to access new funding, as well as measures aimed at preserving cash to mitigate the financial impact of the bridge collapse.

Notwithstanding the persistent downside risks linked to the collapse of the Polcevera viaduct, the Ba1 consolidated credit profile of the Atlantia group continues to reflect (1) its large size and the focus on the toll road and airport sectors; (2) the strong fundamentals of the group's toll road network, which is increasingly diversified following the acquisition of Abertis Infraestructuras S.A. (Abertis) and comprises essential motorway links mostly located in Spain, France, Chile, Brazil and Italy; (3) the reasonably established regulatory framework for its toll road operations, albeit characterised by increasing political pressures in Italy; (4) a track record of relatively prudent financial policies; (5) the group's fairly complex structure following the Abertis acquisition; (6) the relatively shorter average concession life of the combined Atlantia-Abertis group; and (7) the material increase in consolidated debt leverage post-transaction.

Atlantia's Ba2 rating is positioned one notch below the group's corporate family rating, reflecting the structural subordination of the creditors at the holding company. ASPI's Ba1 rating remains in line with the corporate family rating of the Atlantia group, while ADR's Baa3 rating reflects the stronger stand-alone credit profile of the entity and some delinkage from the wider group's credit quality.

In order to conclude the ratings review, Moody's will take into account whether the Parliament has or will likely approve and convert into law the provisions for toll roads concessions included in the Milleproroghe Decree.

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING UP/DOWN

In light of the current review for downgrade, upward rating pressure on Atlantia's, ASPI's and ADR's ratings is highly unlikely in the near future.

Downward pressure on Atlantia's and ASPI's ratings would materialise as a result of (1) the Parliament approval and conversion into law of the provisions for toll roads concessions included in the Milleproroghe Decree, or (2) the start of the revocation process of ASPI's concession by the MIT or any additional detrimental government actions linked to a revocation scenario, with the magnitude of any downgrade also depending on the potential size and timing of any compensation payment that ASPI may receive.

There would also be downward rating pressure if (1) the increased political pressure appears likely to result in significantly increased costs, loss of revenues, or a renegotiation of ASPI's concession terms leading to a considerably weaker financial profile of the group; (2) there were new evidence of material governance or other failures by Atlantia or ASPI, which intensifies current challenges or increase the risk of imposition of adverse measures impacting the group's risk profile; or (3) there were a deterioration in the liquidity profile of the group.

With regard to ADR, notwithstanding some delinkage from the wider group's credit quality deriving from ADR's debt structure and terms and the protections included in its concession contract, negative pressures on Atlantia's credit profile would put downward pressure on the company's rating. In addition, negative pressure on ADR's rating would also result from (1) a weakening of the company's financial profile, with FFO/Debt below 15%; or (2) evidence of political interference, inconsistent implementation of the tariff-setting framework or material changes in the terms and conditions of ADR's concession.

The principal methodology used in rating Atlantia S.p.A. and Autostrade per l'Italia S.p.A. was Privately Managed Toll Roads published in October 2017. The principal methodology used in rating Aeroporti di Roma S.p.A. was Privately Managed Airports and Related Issuers published in September 2017. Please see the Rating Methodologies page on www.moodys.com for a copy of these methodologies.

LIST OF AFFECTED RATINGS

Placed on Review for Downgrade:

..Issuer: Atlantia S.p.A.

....Senior Unsecured MTN Program, Downgraded to (P)Ba2 from (P)Ba1

....Senior Unsecured Regular Bond/Debenture, Downgraded to Ba2 from Ba1

..Issuer: Autostrade per l'Italia S.p.A.

....Senior Unsecured MTN Program, Downgraded to (P)Ba1 from (P)Baa3

....Senior Unsecured Regular Bond/Debenture, Downgraded to Ba1 from Baa3

....Backed Senior Unsecured Regular Bond/Debenture, Downgraded to Ba1 from Baa3

...Issuer: Aeroporti di Roma S.p.A.

... Senior Unsecured MTN Program, Downgraded to (P)Baa3 from (P)Baa2

....Senior Unsecured Regular Bond/Debenture, Downgraded to Baa3 from Baa2

....Underlying Senior Secured Regular Bond/Debenture, Downgraded to Baa3 from Baa2

Withdrawals:

..Issuer: Autostrade per l'Italia S.p.A.

....LT Issuer Rating, Withdrawn, previously rated Baa3

Assignments:

..Issuer: Atlantia S.p.A.

....LT Corporate Family Rating, Assigned Ba1; Placed Under Review for Downgrade

Outlook Actions:

..Issuer: Atlantia S.p.A.

....Outlook, Changed To Rating Under Review From Negative

..Issuer: Autostrade per l'Italia S.p.A.

....Outlook, Changed To Rating Under Review From Negative

..Issuer: Aeroporti di Roma S.p.A.

....Outlook, Changed To Rating Under Review From Negative

Atlantia S.p.A. is the holding company for a group active in the infrastructure sector. Its main subsidiaries include Autostrade per l'Italia S.p.A., Abertis Infraestructuras S.A., Aeroporti di Roma S.p.A. and Azzurra Aeroporti S.p.A. (holding company for Aéroports de la Côte d'Azur, the latter rated Baa2 negative). The group's total EBITDA amounted to approximately EUR5.7 billion in the first nine months of 2019.

Autostrade per l'Italia S.p.A. is the country's largest operator of tolled motorways, which together with its subsidiaries, manages a network of 3,020 km of motorways under long-term concession agreements granted by the Italian government. The company generated EBITDA of EUR1.9 billion in the first nine months of 2019.

Aeroporti di Roma S.p.A. is the concessionaire for the Rome airport system, which reported total passenger volumes of 49 million in 2018. ADR reported EBITDA of approximately EUR460 million in the first nine months of 2019.

Moody's has decided to withdraw the issuer rating of ASPI for its own business reasons. Please refer to the Moody's Investors Service Policy for Withdrawal of Credit Ratings, available on its website, www.moodys.com.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series, category/class of debt or security this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series, category/class of debt, security or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the credit rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular credit rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

For any affected securities or rated entities receiving direct credit support from the primary entity(ies) of this credit rating action, and whose ratings may change as a result of this credit rating action, the associated regulatory disclosures will be those of the guarantor entity. Exceptions to this approach exist for the following disclosures, if applicable to jurisdiction: Ancillary Services, Disclosure to rated entity, Disclosure from rated entity.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Erica Gauto Flesch
Vice President - Senior Analyst
Infrastructure Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service Espana, S.A.
Calle Principe de Vergara, 131, 6 Planta
Madrid 28002

Spain
JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456
Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454

Neil Griffiths-Lambeth
Associate Managing Director
Infrastructure Finance Group
JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456
Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454

Releasing Office:
Moody's Investors Service Espana, S.A.
Calle Principe de Vergara, 131, 6 Planta
Madrid 28002
Spain
JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456
Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454



© 2020 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND/OR ITS CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES ITS PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO,

COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and Moody's Investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Investors Service credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an

opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.