



Cobre Limited A.C.N. 626 241 067 (**ASX: CBE**)

Level 10, Kyle House, 27 Macquarie Place

SYDNEY NSW 2000

Tel: + 61 407 123 143

www.cobre.com.au

29 September 2025

ASX Limited - [Company Announcements Platform](#)

SUCCESSFUL BENCH-SCALE TEST WORK PRODUCES COPPER CATHODE NGAMI COPPER PROJECT, BOTSWANA

HIGHLIGHTS

Cobre Limited (ASX: **CBE**, **Cobre** or **Company**) is pleased to announce the results from a bench-scale In-Situ Copper Recovery (**ISCR**) Solvent Extraction–Electrowinning (**SX–EW**) program which has successfully produced copper cathode from Cobre’s Ngami Copper Project (**NCP**) drill core.

- The bulk SX test successfully demonstrated stable extraction and stripping performance over ~44 h of operation. Peak copper extraction efficiencies of ~85–86% were achieved, showing strong circuit performance;
- The EW circuit produced a high-quality copper cathode at ~97% current efficiency with smooth, adherent electrodeposition. Electrolyte chemistry evolved as expected, with free acid increasing and iron remaining low; and
- Results confirm the technical viability of integrating SX–EW with Cobre’s ISCR process at larger scale.

Commenting on the test work results, Adam Wooldridge, Cobre’s Chief Executive Officer, said:

“This important phase of bench testing provides support for the viability of a large scale SX-EW process at NCP. The next key milestone will be securing environmental permits ahead of the pilot study.”

Commenting on test work results, Damian Connelly, Principal Consulting Engineer for METS, said:

“The bench scale testwork to produce cathode copper has confirmed the complete process at small scale and identified there are no risks producing LME cathode copper. This provides confidence to move forward to the onsite pilot scale testing for the Ngami In-Situ Copper Recovery project.”

COBRE

As part of a future ISCR operation at Cobre's NCP, an SX-EW circuit will be the central downstream process to recover the copper extracted from the insitu wellfield. A copper-rich Pregnant Leach Solution (PLS) will be extracted from the wellfield and fed to the SX process to recover a copper electrolyte from the copper PLS. The copper electrolyte will then feed the EW circuit where a copper cathode will be plated. In order to test the effectiveness of the planned SX-EW circuit, the PLS derived from a series of long-term leaching vessel tests, designed to simulate the insitu environment, was run through a bench-scale SX-EW circuit. These results have successfully demonstrated the viability of integrating an SX-EW process as part of Cobre's ISCR extraction at NCP.



Figure 1. Solvent extraction configuration (top), derived copper cathode (bottom left) and electrowinning circuit (bottom right) from the bench tests.



A locality map illustrating the project and area of interest for the current announcement is provided in Figure 2 and Figure 3.




Figure 2. Locality map illustrating the position of Cobre's projects in the KCB.




Figure 3. Locality map illustrating the position of the leach samples relative to the NCP project boundary.

Long term vessel tests

In Q1 2025, METS Engineering undertook a series of long-term vessel tests on 5 selected core samples from representative drill holes along the NCP mineralised contact (Table 1 and Figure 3) with results reported in ASX announcement *“Exceptional Copper-Silver Recoveries from Long Term Metallurgical Test Work”* released on 14 May 2025.

The core was placed in specially designed ISCR vessels in the condition it was received, without any beneficiation or comminution to ensure the results were as representative of in-situ material as possible. This approach has provided valuable insight into the leaching behaviour of the drill core and confidence in the operating parameters for future ISCR testwork.

The core was leached in separate leaching vessels for a duration of 4 months. Copper extraction exceeded insitu leaching expectations with NCP20A achieving the highest copper extraction at 82%. NCP33 achieved 71.3% copper extraction. NCP07 and NCP08 both achieved almost 30% copper extraction. NCP45 demonstrated intermediate performance, with a copper recovery of 48% at the termination of the 120-day test (Figure 4)

COBRE

The final extraction is calculated based on the difference in metal units in the final PLS and the metal units in the feed. The metal units in the feed are determined based on the assay of the total metal units in the PLS and metal units remaining in the residue.

Table 1. Sample details.

Hole ID	Sample ID	% Cu Extraction	Represents	Sample description
NCP20A	HY19131	82.2	Best case endmember for leaching	Highly fractured, cleavage and fracture hosted chalcocite dominant mineralisation
NCP08	HY19132	27.9	Worst case endmember for leaching	Unfractured, very competent core with cleavage hosted disseminated chalcocite mineralisation
NCP33	HY19133	71.3	Chalcocite with higher oxide component	Moderately fractured, cleavage and fracture hosted chalcocite and oxide mineralisation
NCP07	HY19134	29.8	Very competent core	Unfractured, relatively competent core with chalcocite dominant disseminated mineralisation
NCP45	HY19135	48.2	Representative sample of NCP mineralisation	Moderately fractured, chalcocite dominant cleavage and fracture hosted mineralisation

COBRE




Figure 4. Copper extraction (above) and PLS tenor (below) vs time. Samples from holes NCP20A and NCP33 achieved the highest copper extractions in a relatively short 30-day period. Excellent leach performance was also achieved at NCP45 which represents a typical benchmark sample. A chloride solution was added to NCP07 after 77 days demonstrating an associated Ag recovery stream.

Solvent Extraction Test Work

Testwork was carried out in on continuous SX circuit over 5 days using the PLS product from the long term vessel tests. The configuration of the continuous SX circuit is shown in Figure 5, which represents the process flowsheet.

- The organic phase was Acorga M5640 diluted in Recosol 78 (20% v/v).
- The strip circuit was pre-filled with "Syn EW Return" electrolyte (~25 g/L Cu, ~180 g/L H₂SO₄, ~6 L total volume).
- De-ionised water was used for the wash stages. Process operating conditions:

- Ambient temperature operation.
- pH and ORP tracked at each stage.
- Both aqueous and organic phases sampled at each transfer point.
- Final strip liquor collected and directed to electrowinning.
- Circuit configuration:
 - Counter-current operation.
 - Three sequential extraction stages (loading).
 - One intermediate wash stage.
 - Two stripping steps where Cu was transferred into acid electrolyte.
 - One final wash stage.




Figure 5. Continuous SX Flowsheet

The continuous SX run was operated for ~44 h and provided clear evidence of stable extraction and stripping behaviour under bench-scale conditions. Results are summarised as follows (Figure 6):

- Copper extracted from the Cobre Ngami ore using the current leaching conditions can be recovered from a suboptimum PLS and upgraded using an industry standard copper solvent extraction circuit.
- The bulk SX test successfully demonstrated stable extraction and stripping performance over ~44 h of operation. Peak copper extraction efficiencies of ~85–86% were achieved, showing strong circuit performance
- Raffinate copper dropped from ~201 mg/L to ~65 mg/L under steady-state operation.

- After ~1 day, extraction efficiency stabilised in the 75–80% range, indicating that the circuit achieved pseudo-steady state conditions.
- Strip electrolyte quality (Cu ~31 g/L, free H₂SO₄ ~175–183 g/L) was suitable for direct electrowinning feed, with impurities remaining at manageable levels.




Figure 6. Copper Recovery from Raffinate (%)

Electrowinning Test Work

The electrowinning test was undertaken using a bench scale EW cell with the copper-rich strip liquor generated during the bulk SX test providing the feed. The process is summarised below:

- The conditioned strip liquor was transferred into the EW cell; baseline parameters (pH, ORP, free acid, density) were logged.
- Electrolysis was conducted under fixed current density for the planned duration, with voltage recorded.
- pH and ORP were monitored at intervals throughout the run.
- On completion of the scheduled electrolysis time, the cell was de-energised, and the cathode was removed.

- Cathode was rinsed with de-ionised water to remove residual electrolyte, dried in an oven, weighed, and photographed.

Results from the EW test work are summarised as follows:

- SX strip liquor from ISCR leach tests was directly suitable for electrowinning.
- Electrolysis produced a smooth, adherent copper deposit at ~97% current efficiency.
- The cathode deposit was of good quality (smooth, pink–orange), with only minor nodules and flow marks observed.
- Electrolyte chemistry evolved as expected, with free acid increasing and iron remaining low.
- Overall performance confirmed the viability of integrating SX–EW for ISCR solutions at larger scale.

Geology and Mineralisation

Mineralisation at NCP is sedimentary-hosted, structurally controlled, copper-silver associated with the redox contact between oxidised Ngwako Pan Formation (**NPF**) red beds and overlying reduced marine sedimentary rocks of the D’Kar Formation (**DKF**) on the limbs of anticlinal structures. Non-mineralised surficial cover sequences of the Kalahari Formation (**KF**) unconformably overly the NPF and DKF.

Drilling has focussed on the southern anticlinal structure which extends for over 40km across the NCP with evidence for anomalous Cu-Ag mineralisation on both northern and southern limbs. Drilling results to date have returned consistent, wide intersections of anomalous to moderate-grade Cu-Ag values over extensive strike lengths with smaller structurally controlled higher-grade zones. This style of mineralisation is dominated by fine-grained chalcocite which occurs along cleavage planes (S_1) and in fractures rather than the vein hosted bornite with chalcopyrite more typical of the KCB style. Importantly, the chalcocite mineralisation is associated with well-developed fracture zones bounded by more competent hanging and footwall units satisfying key considerations for ISCR.

Relevant Exploration Results

List of previous Exploration Announcements with relevance to the current announcement are provided below.

Announcement date	<u>Title and link</u>
17-Jul-25	<u>Commencement of EIA, Mineral Modelling & Assay Results</u>
14-May-25	<u>Exceptional recoveries from long term vessel test work</u>
25-Oct-24	<u>Scoping Study Retraction -Positive Cu/Ag Metallurgical Tests</u>
04-Sept-24	<u>Injection-Pumping Demonstrates Hydrogeological Continuity</u>
08-Aug-24	<u>Trade-Off Study Supports ISCR Development</u>
09-Oct-23	<u>Metallurgical Test Work at NCP Highlights Recovery Potential</u>



Follow-up Work

Following on from successful test results the next key steps will be to assess the upscaled SX-EW on the pilot scale. In addition, economic assessments will be updated to incorporate SX-EW operating parameters to evaluate full scale ISCR application viability.

Cobre has engaged Loci Environmental to draft and submit an EIA as part of the permitting process ahead of commissioning a pilot ISCR study at the Comet Target. Additional permeability and porosity test work is also planned to assess lateral and vertical continuity of the fracture zones associated with mineralisation. This work will include slug and pump tests into recently completed diamond drill holes which have been cased appropriately for this exercise.

Target Model

The NCP area is located near the northern margin of the KCB and includes significant strike of sub-cropping DKF / NPF contact on which the majority of the known deposits in the KCB occur. Cobre is aiming to prove up a similar ISCR process to Taseko Mines Ltd's (TSX:TKO, NYSE:TGB) Florence Copper Deposit (320Mt @ 0.36% Cu) and Copper Fox' Van Dyke Deposit¹ (265.6Mt @ 0.29% Cu) in Arizona which both share a similar scale to NCP².

This ASX release was authorised on behalf of the Cobre Board by: Adam Wooldridge, Chief Executive Officer.

For more information about this announcement, please contact:

Adam Wooldridge

Chief Executive Officer

wooldridge@cobre.com.au

¹ [Home | Copper Fox Metals Inc.](#)

² [Florence Copper | Taseko Mines Limited](#)

COMPETENT PERSONS STATEMENT

The information contained in this report, relating to metallurgical results, is based on, and fairly and accurately represent the information and supporting documentation prepared by Mr Damian Connelly. Mr Connelly is a full-time employee of METS Engineering who are a Contractor to Cobre Ltd, and a Fellow of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Connelly has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration, and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Exploration Targets, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Connelly consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on the results in the form and context in which they appear.

Cautionary Statement about Forward-Looking Statements

This announcement contains certain “forward-looking statements” including statements regarding our intent, belief or current expectations with respect to Cobre’s business and operations, market conditions, results of operations and financial condition, and risk management practices. The words “likely”, “expect”, “aim”, “should”, “could”, “may”, “anticipate”, “predict”, “believe”, “plan”, “forecast” and other similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Indications of, and guidance on, future earnings, anticipated production, life of mine and financial position and performance are also forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause Cobre’s actual results, performance and achievements or industry results to differ materially from any future results, performance or achievements, or industry results, expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Relevant factors may include (but are not limited to) changes in commodity prices, foreign exchange fluctuations and general economic conditions, increased costs and demand for production inputs, the speculative nature of exploration and project development, including the risks of obtaining necessary licences and permits and diminishing quantities or grades of reserves, political and social risks, changes to the regulatory framework within which Cobre operates or may in the future operate, environmental conditions including extreme weather conditions, recruitment and retention of personnel, industrial relations issues and litigation.

Forward-looking statements are based on Cobre’s good faith assumptions as to the financial, market, regulatory and other relevant environments that will exist and affect Cobre’s business and operations in the future. Cobre does not give any assurance that the assumptions will prove to be correct. There may be other factors that could cause actual results or events not to be as anticipated, and many events are beyond the reasonable control of Cobre. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, particularly in the current economic climate with the significant volatility, uncertainty and disruption caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. Forward-looking statements in this document speak only at the date of issue. Except as required by applicable laws or regulations,



Cobre does not undertake any obligation to publicly update or revise any of the forward-looking statements or to advise of any change in assumptions on which any such statement is based. Except for statutory liability which cannot be excluded, each of Cobre, its officers, employees and advisors expressly disclaim any responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the material contained in these forward-looking statements and excludes all liability whatsoever (including in negligence) for any loss or damage which may be suffered by any person as a consequence of any information in forward-looking statements or any error or omission.

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report template

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections. Items flagged in bold are directly relevant to the current announcement)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.	- The information in this release relates to the technical details from the Company's exploration and drilling program at the Ngami Copper Project (NCP) located within the Ngamiland District on the Kalahari Copper Belt, Republic of Botswana. - Representative diamond half core samples are taken from zones of interest. Samples were taken consistently from the same side of the core cutting line. Core cutting line is positioned to result in two splits as mirror images with regards to the mineralisation, and to preserve the orientation line. Half core samples from selected holes were used, uncrushed, for the long-term leach tests. Samples were packed in specially designed vessels to simulate insitu conditions.
	Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used	- Diamond core sample representativity was ensured by bisecting structures of interest, and by the sample preparation technique in the laboratory. - The diamond drill core samples were selected based on geological logging and pXRF results, with the ideal sampling interval being 1m, whilst ensuring that the sampled interval does not cross any logged significant feature of interest. - Individual core samples were crushed entirely to 90% less than 2mm, riffle split off 1kg, pulverise split to better than 85% passing 75 microns (ALS PREP-31D). - Sample representivity and calibration for ICP AES analysis is ensured by the insertion of suitable QAQC samples. - Samples are digested using 4-acid near total digest

	Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.	and analysed for 34 elements by ICP-AES (ALS ME-ICP61, and ME-ICP61a). Over range for Cu and Ag are digested and analysed with the same method but higher detection limits (ALS ME-OG62).
	In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	- pXRF measurements are carried out with appropriate blanks and reference material analysed routinely to verify instrument accuracy and repeatability. Samples for long-term leach tests were specifically chosen to include representative samples from fractured and competent core as well as chalcocite dominant and mixed chalcocite-oxide mineralisation
Drilling techniques	Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).	- Cobre's Diamond drilling is being conducted with Tricone (KF cover sequences), followed by PQ/HQ/NQ core sizes (standard tube) with HQ and NQ core oriented using AXIS Champ ORI tool. - For the last phase of drilling PQ3, HQ3 and NQ3 core sizes were used with HQ3 and NQ3 core oriented using AXIS Champ ORI tool.
Drill sample recovery	Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.	Core recovery is measured and recorded for all drilling. Once bedrock has been intersected, sample recovery has been >98%.
	Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.	- pXRF samples are taken along the orientation line at consistent measured points to avoid sample biases. - Samples were taken consistently from the same side of the core cutting line to avoid bias. - Geologists frequently check the core cutting procedures to ensure the core cutter splits the core correctly in half. - Core samples are selected within logged geological, structural, mineralisation and alteration constraints. - Samples are collected from distinct geological

		<i>domains with sufficient width to avoid overbias.</i>
	<i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i>	• <i>Sample recovery was generally very good and as such it is not expected that any such bias exists.</i>
Logging	<i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i>	• <i>Cobre Diamond drill core is logged by a team of qualified geologists using predefined lithological, mineralogical, physical characteristic (colour, weathering etc) and logging codes.</i> • <i>The geologists on site followed industry best practice and standard operating procedure for Diamond core drilling processes.</i> • <i>Diamond drill core was marked up on site and logged back at camp where it is securely stored.</i> • <i>Data is recorded digitally using Ocris geological logging software.</i> • <i>The QAQC compilation data for all logging results are stored and backed up on the cloud.</i>
	<i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i>	• <i>All logging used standard published logging charts and classification for grain size, abundance, colour and lithologies to maintain a qualitative and semi-quantitative standard based on visual estimation.</i> • <i>Magnetic susceptibility readings are also taken every meter and/or half meter using a ZH Instruments SM-20/SM-30 reader.</i>
	<i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i>	• <i>100% of all recovered intervals are geologically logged.</i>
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i>	• <i>Selected intervals are currently being cut (in half) with a commercial core cutter, using a 2mm thick blade, for one half to be sampled for analysis while the other half is kept for reference.</i> • <i>For selected samples core is quartered and both quarters being sampled as an original and field replicate sample.</i>

	<i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry</i>	• <i>N/A</i>
	<i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation techniques</i>	• <i>Field sample preparation is suitable for the core samples.</i> • <i>The laboratory sample preparation technique (ALS PREP-31D) is considered appropriate and suitable for the core samples and expected grades.</i> • <i>Metallurgical intermittent bottle roll test work was carried out on a relatively fine reserve sample crush.</i> • <i>ISCR vessels were specially designed to emulate the insitu environment using uncrushed samples deemed to be more representative.</i>
	<i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i>	• <i>Metallurgical samples were composited, homogenised and split into test charges.</i>
	<i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i>	• <i>Sampling is deemed appropriate for the type of survey and equipment used.</i> • <i>The duplicate sample data (field duplicate and lab duplicates) indicates that the results are representative and repeatable.</i> • <i>Metallurgical samples were taken from several sites on both anticline limbs deemed to be representative of mineralisation across the target.</i>
	<i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i>	• <i>Initial metallurgical results quoted have been carried out on a fine crush sample.</i> • <i>ISCR tests utilise uncrushed sample in line with the insitu environment. .</i>
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i>	• <i>pXRF measurements undertaken on NCP55 and NCP56 are deemed appropriate for a first pass estimate of copper abundance and thickness. No grade-thickness results are provided or implied given the uncertainties in the analysis.</i> • <i>Cobre's core samples are being sent for 4-acid digest for "near total" digest and ICP-AES analysis (34 elements) at ALS laboratories in Johannesburg, South Africa.</i> • <i>The analytical techniques (ALS ME-ICP61 and ME-</i>

		OG62) are considered appropriate. • <i>Intermittent Bottle Roll Leach test work has been carried out on 6m composite samples from both high- and low- grade intersections in different portions of the Comet Target. Results provide an indication of the copper leach performance.</i> • <i>Comprehensive head assay was carried out on metallurgical samples to determine Cu speciation (acid soluble Cu, cyanide soluble Cu, residual Cu).</i> • Long-term leach testing included comparisons with ICP-AES, head grade and residual grade samples to ensure test result veracity. • <i>During Solvent Extraction an 8-element suite was assayed using ICP-OES (Inductively Coupled Plasma – Optical Emission Spectrometry) on raffinate and strip solutions for metallurgical balancing of the testwork circuit.</i> • <i>Electrowinning analysis of liquor solutions were performed using ICP-OES (Inductively Coupled Plasma – Optical Emission Spectrometry) for metallurgical balancing of the testwork circuit for copper and iron in solution.</i> • Solvent extraction and electrowinning testwork were performed by ALS laboratories in Balcatta, Western Australia.
--	--	---

	<i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i>	• <i>Cobre use ZH Instruments SM20 and SM30 magnetic susceptibility meters for measuring magnetic susceptibilities and readings are randomly repeated to ensure reproducibility and consistency of the data.</i> • <i>A Niton FXL950 pXRF instrument is used with reading times on Soil Mode of 120seconds in total.</i> • <i>For the pXRF analyses, well established in-house SOPs were strictly followed and data subject to QAQC before acceptance into the database.</i> • <i>A test study of 5 times repeat analyses on selected soil samples is conducted to establish the reliability and repeatability of the pXRF at low Cu-Pb-Zn values.</i> • <i>For the pXRF Results, no user factor was applied, and as per SOP the units calibrated daily with their respective calibration disks.</i> • <i>All QAQC samples were reviewed for consistency and accuracy. Results were deemed repeatable and representative:</i>
--	--	--

	<i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i>	• <i>Appropriate certified reference material was inserted on a ratio of 1:20 samples.</i> • <i>Laboratory coarse crush and pulp duplicate samples were alternately requested for every 20 samples.</i> • <i>Blanks were inserted on a ratio of 1:20.</i> • <i>ALS Laboratories insert their own standards, duplicates and blanks and follow their own SOP for quality control.</i> • <i>Both internal and laboratory QAQC samples are reviewed for consistency.</i> • <i>The inserted CRM's have highlighted acceptable laboratory accuracy and precision for Cu. The inserted CRM (OREAS96) highlighted acceptable accuracy and precision for results above 10ppm Ag. There is a rather poor precision for Ag at concentration levels of less than 10x the analytical method's detection limit (e.g. < 10ppm Ag).</i> • <i>The coarse Blank and lab internal pulp Blank results suggest a low risk of contamination during the sample preparation and analytical stages respectively.</i> • <i>The duplicate sample data indicates that the results are representative and repeatable for Cu and Ag.</i> • <i>External laboratory checks were carried out by Scientific Services Laboratories showing an excellent correlation and a high degree of repeatability of the results. The laboratory comparative sample data indicates that the analytical results from ALS Laboratories for Cu and Ag are representative and repeatable</i>
Verification of sampling and assaying	<i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i>	• <i>All drill core intersections were verified by peer review.</i>
	<i>The use of twinned holes.</i>	• <i>No twinned holes have been drilled to date.</i>
	<i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i>	• <i>All data is electronically stored with peer review of data processing and modelling.</i> • <i>Data entry procedures standardized in SOP, data checking and verification routine.</i> • <i>Data storage on partitioned drives and backed up on server and on the cloud.</i>

	Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	No adjustments were made to assay data.
Location of data points	Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.	- Cobre's drill collar coordinates are captured using Catalyst differential GPS with 1cm accuracy - During earlier drill programmes, drill holes were initially surveyed using handheld GPS and then re-surveyed with differential DGPS at regular intervals to ensure sub-meter accuracy. - Downhole surveys of drill holes are being undertaken using an AXIS ChampMag tool or AXIS gyro with downhole survey spacing generally less than 30m.
	Specification of the grid system used.	The grid system used is WGS84 UTM Zone 34S. All reported coordinates are referenced to this grid.
	Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	Topographic control is based on satellite survey data collected at 30m resolution. Quality is considered acceptable.
Data spacing and distribution	Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing, and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.	- Data spacing and distribution of all survey types is deemed appropriate for the type of survey and equipment used. - Drill hole spacing for the Comet MRE is approximately 130 m along strike and 45 m across strike. - Drill hole spacing for the Exploration Target varies between 500m to greater than 5000m, as might be expected for this stage of exploration. Long-term leach samples were collected from 5 drill holes on both northern and southern limb of the main NCP fold anticline.
	Whether sample compositing has been applied.	N/A
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.	- Drilling was typically completed perpendicular to the strike of the mineralisation, approximately 150° azimuth, at a dip of -60°. - Drillhole intersection angles with the mineralisation contact were sub-optimal (approximately 25° from the core axis) and may have affected sample selection at mineralisation boundaries. Future holes planned to be drilled towards the north-west to achieve higher intersection angles.

	<i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	• <i>Current available data indicates mineralisation occurs within steep, sub-vertical structures, sub-parallel to foliation.</i>
Sample security	<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	• <i>Sample bags are logged, tagged, double bagged and sealed in plastic bags, stored at the field office.</i> • <i>Diamond core is stored in a secure facility at the field office and then moved to a secure warehouse.</i> • <i>Sample security includes a chain-of-custody procedure that consists of filling out sample submittal forms that are sent to the laboratory with sample shipments to make certain that all samples are received by the laboratory. Prepared samples were transported to the analytical laboratory in sealed gravel bags that are accompanied by appropriate paperwork, including the original sample preparation request numbers and chain-of-custody forms</i>
Audits or reviews	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	• <i>Cobre's drill hole sampling procedure is done according to industry best practice.</i> • <i>Hydrogeological results are reviewed by WSP Australia Pty Ltd</i> • <i>Metallurgical test work was conducted by and reviewed by Independent Metallurgical Operations Pty Ltd.</i> • <i>Geological modelling was reviewed by WSP Australia Pty Ltd.</i> • <i>Gap Analysis undertaken by METS</i> • <i>ISCR processing was undertaken by ERM</i>

JORC Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	- Cobre Ltd holds 100% of Kalahari Metals Ltd. - Kalahari Metals in turn owns 100% of Triprop Holdings Ltd and Kitlanya (Pty) Ltd both of which are locally registered companies. - Triprop Holdings holds the NCP licenses PL035/2017 (306.76km²) and PL036/2017 (49.8km²), which are due for their next extension on 30/09/2026
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	- Previous exploration on portions of the NCP was conducted by BHP. - BHP collected approximately 113 soil samples over the NCP project in 1998. - BHP collected Geotem airborne electromagnetic data over a small portion of PL036/2012.
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	- The regional geological setting underlying all the Licences is interpreted as Neoproterozoic meta sediments, deformed during the Pan African Damara Orogen into a series of ENE trending structural domes cut by local structures. - The style of mineralisation comprises strata-bound and structurally controlled disseminated, cleavage and vein hosted Cu-Ag mineralisation.

Drill hole Information	A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i> <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i> <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i> <i>down hole length and interception depth</i> <i>hole length.</i> <i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i>	Summary table of all completed core drill holes on the NCP licenses is presented below. All coordinates are presented in UTM Zone 34S, WGS84 datum. All the holes have been re-surveyed with differentially corrected GPS. Drill holes designated TRDH are original holes drilled by Triprop in 2014, MW are monitoring wells and PW injection/pumping wells. Summary results of intersections are provided using a cut-off of 0.2% Cu ranked according to intersection width and grade. Summary results for of > 1% Cu over 1m are provided in the following table.				
Hole ID	Easting	Northing	RL	EOH	Dip	Azimuth
NCP01	594786.0	7694068.0	1052.0	76.4	-90.0	0.0
NCP01A	594786.0	7694070.0	1052.0	95.5	-90.0	0.0
NCP02	617226.0	7692104.0	999.0	344.7	-90.0	0.0
NCP03	594746.0	7693874.0	1034.0	294.0	-80.0	155.0
NCP04	590768.0	7691124.0	1054.0	107.0	-80.0	155.0
NCP05	590566.0	7691488.0	1053.0	177.0	-75.0	155.0
NCP06	590610.0	7691398.0	1050.0	283.1	-70.0	155.0
NCP07	599889.5	7685403.0	1099.2	387.3	-55.8	150.8
NCP08	598985.5	7684909.0	1101.9	171.3	-61.0	149.8
NCP09	598092.8	7684452.0	1102.5	246.3	-60.4	147.9
NCP10	601620.3	7686327.4	1092.4	351.5	-62.4	152.5
NCP11	598960.0	7684952.0	1068.0	45.4	-60	150
NCP11-A	598963.0	7684949.0	1083.0	81.3	-60	150
NCP11-B	598958.5	7684956.8	1101.9	384.4	-62.8	144.6
NCP12	599431.6	7685158.1	1100.5	252.3	-58.2	153.0
NCP13	598533.8	7684688.8	1102.8	210.2	-57.4	150
NCP14	600311.2	7685611.5	1097.5	276.3	-58.7	151.8
NCP15	601192.3	7686073.9	1095.5	243.3	-57.9	152.0
NCP16	602078.3	7686537.5	1092.0	225.3	-57.3	149.9
NCP17	599185.6	7685059.8	1100.6	261.3	-53.7	150.2

COBRE

NCP18	598730.0	7684840.0	1098.0	64.0	-60	150
NCP18A	598727.0	7684848.1	1102.1	317.7	-57.7	159.9
NCP19	599212.0	7685019.7	1100.3	186.3	-59.7	152.0
NCP20	598762.0	7684798.0	1115.0	68.6	-60	150
NCP20A	598758.7	7684796.7	1102.2	227.7	-63.1	150.6
NCP21	589690.1	7679006.7	1120.7	243.4	-58.7	147.3
NCP22	587386.0	7677006.9	1121.2	180.4	-59.4	150.9
NCP23	599161.4	7685097.5	1100.9	458.7	-59.5	152.7
NCP24	605248.0	7688073.3	1085.4	228.3	-57.7	146.0
NCP25	598876.3	7684850.8	1101.4	164.7	-61.0	145.6
NCP26	598643.5	7684747.6	1102.8	233.7	-62.4	147.8
NCP27	605504.4	7683638.7	1087.0	183.5	-62.5	328.2
NCP28	598622.2	7684786.0	1102.7	317.5	-57.9	147.7
NCP29	600752.0	7679852.5	1109.8	252.4	-59.2	328.2
NCP30	598851.9	7684887.0	1101.7	263.7	-57.7	148.9
NCP31	599441.0	7678120.0	1104.0	63.6	-60	325
NCP31A	599443.3	7678119.6	1114.0	378.5	-60.7	326.5
NCP32	610526.0	7686924.7	1066.0	104.7	-60.7	329.1
NCP33	610574.1	7686840.8	1063.7	278.9	-60.6	329.5
NCP34	590272.0	7679998.6	1121.1	450.4	-59.2	152.1
NCP35	610139.8	7686588.1	1059.1	290.6	-58.8	334.5
NCP36	601040.3	7679346.7	1107.4	537.3	-52.6	325.2
NCP37	612295.1	7687854.7	1062.3	227.6	-62.4	341.2
NCP38	612745.8	7688087.8	1062.7	305.6	-61.7	331.0
NCP39	600936.9	7679533.6	1108.4	363.5	-57.2	326.5
NCP40	611020.3	7687066.1	1066.4	320.8	-61.1	330.5
NCP41	592795.4	7681630.5	1108.5	468.5	-61.2	152.0
NCP42	607049.7	7688941.3	1076.2	194.6	-57.6	153.8
NCP43	599097.1	7684968.9	1101.3	197.6	-61.3	150.1
NCP44	586591.5	7676382.2	1123.7	318.5	-57.5	154.6
NCP45	600106.8	7685494.0	1099.4	236.6	-58.2	153.0
NCP46	600529.7	7685715.5	1096.7	202.0	-56.4	151.4
NCP47	595337.9	7670959.5	1133.1	520.0	-56.1	149.4
NCP48	601417.1	7686190.8	1093.7	206.6	-58.7	150.4
NCP49	600005.8	7685434.3	1100.4	116.6	-58.7	149.3
NCP50	599790.2	7685325.2	1097.3	215.6	-59.2	151.6
NCP51	597630.8	7684254.0	1101.2	254.6	-59.9	149.4
NCP52	598764.0	7684788.0	1101.0	146.6	-60.9	148.6
NCP53P	615131	7691128	1036	49	90	0.0
NCP54RC	615133	7691112	1028	116	90	0.0
NCP55	608861	7689805	1052.0	210.8	-60.0	150
NCP56	610659.0	7690689.0	1064.9	230.8	-60.0	150

COBRE

NCP57	599077.0	7685009.0	1101.0	303.0	60.0	155.0
NCP58	599320.0	7685093.0	1101.0	219.0	60.0	155.0
NCP59	599454.0	7685235.0	1100.0	509.0	60.0	155.0
NCP60	598193.0	7684565.0	1102.0	312.0	60.0	155.0
NCP61	598367	7684597	1101	174	60	155
NCP62	598423	7684721	1102	451	60	155
NCP63	599609	7685245	1099	294	60	155
NCP64	599683	7685354	1096	447	60	155
NCP65	599992	7685485	1097	390	60	155
NCP66	600183	7685564	1098	324	60	155
TRDH14-01	612247.8	7687953.7	1062.6	71.7	-90.0	0.0
TRDH14-02	612339.0	7687802.0	1047.0	58.6	-90.0	0.0
TRDH14-02A	612335.7	7687808.5	1062.4	83.9	-89.4	0.0
TRDH14-03	612293.6	7687885.6	1062.0	92.8	-89.9	0.0
TRDH14-04	609703.0	7686345.0	1040.0	149.7	-89.1	0.0
TRDH14-05	609595.7	7686510.3	1061.0	59.7	-89.9	0.0
TRDH14-06	609653.0	7686433.0	1038.0	59.7	-89.7	0.0
TRDH14-07	609663.0	7686414.0	1042.0	111.0	-60.0	331.6
TRDH14-08	607204.0	7684683.0	1056.0	71.4	-89.7	0.0
TRDH14-09	607133.0	7684805.0	1055.0	73.0	-89.6	0.0
TRDH14-10	607061.0	7684936.0	1024.0	68.3	-89.4	0.0
TRDH14-11	607150.0	7684776.0	1014.0	182.9	-62.6	331.4
TRDH14-12	600845.0	7685696.0	1080.0	71.2	-89.4	0.0
TRDH14-13	600924.0	7685567.0	1073.0	80.4	-87.6	0.0
TRDH14-14	600816.0	7685737.0	1070.0	110.4	-62.0	147.7
TRDH14-15	600721.0	7685893.0	1042.0	191.7	-60.0	150.0
TRDH14-16	600758.0	7685834.0	1081.0	49.2	-60.0	150.0
TRDH14-16A	600764.0	7685829.0	1083.0	200.7	-58.3	145.6
TRDH14-17	608880.0	7685776.0	1027.0	81.2	-60.0	330.0
TRDH14-17A	608862.0	7685805.0	1028.0	179.7	-60.0	330.0
MW_001	598846.1	7684767.8	1102.2	265.0	0	-90
MW_010	598817.1	7684772.7	1102.3	265.0	150	-82
MW_002	598840.0	7684690.7	1102.0	180.0	0	-90
PW_001	598816.8	7684742.0	1102.3	265.0	0	-90
MW_012	598791.9	7684712.7	1102.0	211.0	330	-87
PW_002	598760.7	7684684.3	1100.9	363.0	330	-83

COBRE

Hole Id	FROM	TO	Length	Intersection
PW_001	187.0	265.0	78.0	78m @ 0.75% Cu & 10 g/t Ag <i>drilled down-dip</i>
NCP20A	124.0	159.0	35.0	35m @ 1.3% Cu & 18g/t Ag
MW012	171	211	30.0	40m @ 0.63% Cu & 10 g/t Ag <i>drilled down dip</i>
NCP55	145.77	165.82	20.05	20.05m @ 0.85% Cu & 20g/t Ag
NCP08	125.0	146.9	21.9	21.9m @ 0.8% Cu & 13g/t Ag
MW_001	97.0	122.0	25.0	25m @ 0.63% Cu & 10 g/t Ag <i>drilled down-dip</i>
NCP56	164.3	191.8	26.3	26.5m @ 0.55% Cu & 12 g/t Ag
NCP66	295.98	314.49	18.5	18.5m @ 0.52% & 15 g/t Ag
NCP25	122.0	141.0	19.0	19m @ 0.5% Cu & 13g/t Ag
NCP63	264.9	283.6	18.7	18.7m @ 0.53% Cu & 11 g/t Ag
NCP40	269.0	298.0	29.0	29m @ 0.4% Cu & 3g/t Ag
NCP60	283.6	298.7	15.2	15.2m @ 0.6% Cu & 13.2 g/t Ag
NCP64	419.1	436.0	16.3	16.3m @ 0.52% & 14 g/t Ag
NCP45	188.9	204.6	15.7	15.7m @ 0.5% Cu & 15g/t Ag
TRDH14-07	62.0	87.5	25.5	25.5m @ 0.4% Cu & 1g/t Ag
NCP42	142.5	157.5	15.0	15m @ 0.5% Cu & 13g/t Ag
NCP43	157.0	174.8	17.8	17.8m @ 0.4% Cu & 10g/t Ag
NCP33	228.0	244.7	16.7	16.7m @ 0.5% Cu & 4g/t Ag
NCP65	360.52	377.22	16.7	16.7m @ 0.44% Cu & 10 g/t Ag
NCP51	221.2	238.9	17.7	17.7m @ 0.4% Cu & 12g/t Ag
NCP57	277.9	287.2	9.3	9.3m @ 6.9% Cu & 17 g/t Ag
NCP29	187.0	206.2	19.2	19.2m @ 0.3% Cu & 8g/t Ag
NCP50	177.9	192.0	14.1	14.1m @ 0.5% Cu & 11g/t Ag
NCP35	238.0	255.9	17.9	17.9m @ 0.4% Cu & 6g/t Ag
NCP49	177.8	190.8	12.9	12.9m @ 0.5% Cu & 13g/t Ag
NCP07	249.0	261.0	12.0	12m @ 0.5% Cu & 13g/t Ag
NCP38	261.0	272.6	11.6	11.6m @ 0.5% Cu & 7g/t Ag
TRDH14-11	125.9	140.5	14.6	14.6m @ 0.4% Cu & 1g/t Ag

COBRE

NCP18A	280.5	292.2	11.6	11.6m @ 0.5% Cu & 9g/t Ag
NCP09	108.2	121.3	13.1	13.1m @ 0.4% Cu & 7g/t Ag
MW_010	186.0	194.0	8.0	6.0m @ 0.77% Cu & 21 g/t Ag
NCP37	186.0	203.0	17.0	17m @ 0.3% Cu & 3g/t Ag
NCP19	147.3	157.0	9.7	9.7m @ 0.4% Cu & 10g/t Ag
NCP11-B	345.0	353.6	8.6	8.6m @ 0.5% Cu & 12g/t Ag
NCP59	480.2	488.6	8.5	8.5m @ 0.4% Cu & 12 g/t Ag
TRDH14-16A	169.2	173.7	4.5	4.5m @ 0.8% Cu & 4g/t Ag
NCP12	215.5	223.4	7.9	7.9m @ 0.5% Cu & 12g/t Ag
NCP10	311.3	319.2	7.9	7.9m @ 0.5% Cu & 12g/t Ag
NCP30	237.0	246.2	9.2	9.2m @ 0.4% Cu & 9g/t Ag
NCP23	424.0	431.7	7.7	7.7m @ 0.5% Cu & 9g/t Ag
NCP26	199.7	208.7	9.0	8.9m @ 0.4% Cu & 8g/t Ag
NCP48	171.2	182.0	10.8	10.8m @ 0.3% Cu & 6g/t Ag
NCP61	147.2	156.3	9.1	9.1m @ 0.36% Cu & 9 g/t Ag
NCP62	430.3	439.2	8.9	8.9m @ 0.35% Cu & 9 g/t Ag
NCP34	398.9	409.5	10.7	10.7m @ 0.2% Cu & 16g/t Ag
NCP17	236.8	243.5	6.6	6.6m @ 0.4% Cu & 11g/t Ag
NCP15	192.0	198.9	6.8	6.8m @ 0.4% Cu & 9g/t Ag
NCP24	178.0	191.3	13.3	13.3m @ 0.2% Cu & 3g/t Ag
NCP21	118.0	129.0	11.0	11m @ 0.2% Cu & 4g/t Ag
NCP14	232.0	238.6	6.6	6.6m @ 0.3% Cu & 10g/t Ag
NCP58	206.2	209.8	3.6	3.6m @ 0.6% Cu & 13 g/t Ag
NCP22	144.0	149.6	5.6	5.6m @ 0.3% Cu & 15g/t Ag
NCP46	170.0	175.4	5.4	5.4m @ 0.4% Cu & 3g/t Ag
NCP44	283.0	288.4	5.4	5.4m @ 0.2% Cu & 26g/t Ag
NCP27	152.4	156.2	3.8	3.8m @ 0.5% Cu & 6g/t Ag
NCP16	188.0	196.2	8.3	8.3m @ 0.2% Cu & 6g/t Ag
NCP28	274.0	279.9	5.9	5.9m @ 0.3% Cu & 6g/t Ag
NCP13	171.4	176.8	5.4	5.4m @ 0.2% Cu & 2g/t Ag

COBRE


NCP39	333.0	338.5	5.5	5.5m @ 0.2% Cu & 1g/t Ag
NCP43	123.6	126.0	2.4	2.4m @ 0.5% Cu & 9g/t Ag
NCP35	169.0	175.0	6.0	6m @ 0.2% Cu & 1g/t Ag
NCP36	509.5	514.2	4.7	4.7m @ 0.2% Cu & 2g/t Ag
NCP10	211.0	213.0	2.0	2m @ 0.4% Cu & 12g/t Ag
NCP26	135.0	136.0	1.0	1m @ 0.7% Cu & 4g/t Ag
NCP31A	310.1	311.8	1.7	1.7m @ 0.3% Cu & 17g/t Ag
NCP43	152.0	155.0	3.0	3m @ 0.2% Cu & 5g/t Ag
NCP10	149.0	151.0	2.0	2m @ 0.4% Cu & 4g/t Ag
NCP11-B	338.0	340.1	2.1	2.1m @ 0.3% Cu & 8g/t Ag
NCP52	106.5	108.7	2.2	2.2m @ 0.2% Cu & 5g/t Ag
NCP52	96.0	98.3	2.3	2.3m @ 0.2% Cu & 4g/t Ag
NCP41	435.1	436.5	1.4	1.4m @ 0.2% Cu & 12g/t Ag
Intersections of > 1% Cu				
Hole id	FROM	TO	Intersection	
MW_001	97.0	98.0	1m @ 1.4% Cu & 14 g/t Ag	
MW_001	106.0	107.0	1m @ 1.3% Cu & 18 g/t Ag	
MW_001	111.0	112.0	1m @ 1.1% Cu & 16 g/t Ag	
MW_010	189.0	190.0	1m @ 2.0% Cu & 22 g/t Ag	
MW_012	178.0	184.0	6m @ 1.6% Cu & 21 g/t Ag	
MW_012	187.0	190.0	3m @ 1.1% Cu & 16 g/t Ag	
NCP08	136.2	146.9	10.7m @ 1.3% Cu & 18g/t Ag	
NCP10	318.0	319.2	1.2m @ 1.1% Cu & 26g/t Ag	
NCP20A	148.7	158.0	9.3m @ 3.4% Cu & 30g/t Ag	
NCP25	133.0	136.0	3m @ 1% Cu & 15g/t Ag	
NCP26	207.7	208.7	1m @ 1.3% Cu & 16g/t Ag	
NCP29	198.7	201.0	2.3m @ 1.1% Cu & 14g/t Ag	
NCP33	240.2	242.0	1.8m @ 1% Cu & 12g/t Ag	
NCP38	270.7	272.6	1.9m @ 1.1% Cu & 21g/t Ag	
NCP40	296.8	298.0	1.2m @ 1.1% Cu & 1g/t Ag	

	NCP55	161.5	165.8	4.3m @ 2.2% Cu & 45g/t Ag		
	NCP56	188.7	189.4	0.7m @ 1.69% Cu & 28g/t Ag		
	PW_001	196	201	5m @ 1.2% Cu & 11 g/t Ag		
	PW_001	213	224	11m @ 1.1% Cu & 15 g/t Ag		
	PW_001	228	236	8m @ 1.1% Cu & 14 g/t Ag		
	TRDH14-16A	171.2	173.72	2.5m @ 1.4% Cu & 11g/t Ag		
Data aggregation methods	<i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i> <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i> <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i>			<i>Grades were capped at 2% Cu and 30 g/t Ag for the reporting of the Exploration Target.</i> <i>Length-weighted average was used in the reporting of the Exploration Target grade.</i> <i>No aggregation of intercepts has been reported.</i> <i>Copper equivalents were not reported for the Mineral Resource estimate or Exploration Target.</i>		
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i> <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i> <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i>			<i>Down hole intersection widths are used throughout.</i> <i>Diamond holes are drilled at -60° towards 150° azimuth, with mineralisation typically oriented sub-vertical resulting in a relatively low intersection angle.</i> <i>The hydrogeological percussion drilling was drilled down mineralisation in order to intersect the fracture zones associated with the mineralisation – this results in long-intersections which are noted in the intersection tables.</i>		

Diagrams

Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.

Section and plan maps of the appropriate drill hole are provided in the text.



Plan map illustrating the position of drill holes coloured by total Cu.m%.

Balanced reporting

Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.

- Results from the previous exploration programmes are summarised in the target priorities which are based on an interpretation of these results.
- The accompanying document is considered to be a balanced and representative report.

Other substantive exploration data

Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical

- The project area has been surveyed using high resolution magnetic data, airborne electromagnetics and airborne gravity gradient surveys. These results provide a guide to identifying the mineralised contact including evidence for further untested mineralised contact

COBRE

	<i>test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	• <i>11,400 soil samples, collected across the property have been analysed using a combination of pXRF, ICPMS and partial leach analysis. This data has been used successfully to target portions of the contact deemed to be better mineralised.</i>
Further work	• <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i> • <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive</i>	• <i>An EIA is currently in progress</i> • <i>Further hydrogeological work is planned to test the lateral continuity of fractures zones associated with mineralisation.</i> • <i>Additional diamond exploration drilling along the NCP Exploration Target</i>