

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

23 NOVEMBER 2016

MT STIRLING RESULTS

Torian Resources Limited (**Torian** or **Company**) (**ASX:TNR**) advises that the information in the ASX announcement released to the market on Friday 18th November 2016 titled More High Grade Results at Mt Stirling pertaining to mineral resources and exploration results was compiled by Mr. Matthew P. Sullivan, who is a member of Aus.I.M.M. Mr. Sullivan is the principal of Jemda Pty Ltd, geological consultants to the Company. Mr. Sullivan has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and the type of deposit that is under consideration and to the activity that he is undertaking to qualify as a competent person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves". Mr. Sullivan consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The Company confirms all results greater than 1g/t Au were released in the announcement. Any hole that does not appear in tables 2 or 3 intersected values less than 1 g/t Au.

Updated appendices are attached.

ABN: 72 002 261 565
Unit G4,
49 Melville Parade,
South Perth, 6151
Australia

Phone +61 8 6216 0424
Fax +61 8 6216 0425

info@torianresources.com.au
www.torianresources.com.au

About Torian:

*Torian Resources Ltd (**ASX:TNR**) is a highly active Australian gold company that is focused on developing the gold mines of tomorrow. The Company has four advanced projects located in the Goldfields region of Western Australia.*

Torian's flagship Project, the Zuleika JV, lies north and partly along strike of several major gold mines including Northern Star (ASX:NST), Tribune Resources (ASX:TBR) and Rand Mining's (ASX:RND) 7Moz East Kundana Joint Venture and Evolution's (ASX:EVN) Frogs Legs and White Foil operations.

Since May 2015, Torian has increased its landholding at the Zuleika Project by approximately 86% via eight separate acquisitions. Torian is now the second largest landholder in this highly sought after region and is focused on fast tracking its development.

Torian has commenced a large, 55,000m exploration program that is targeting its Zuleika and Mt Stirling projects and intends to further consolidate ground in this region.

Torian is also developing the high grade Mt Stirling Project which has an outcropping inferred resource located 40km northwest of Leonora. Following a successful infill drilling program in December, Torian is currently completing an internal economic study on the project to assess it as a standalone mining operation.

Torian's exploration team has an enviable track record of discovering and developing a number of multi-million ounce gold mines in this region. Torian is commencing an exciting phase in its development and we look forward to updating the market as things progress.

Appendix 1: Mt Stirling Well Drill Collars & Plan View

Hole	Local E	Local N	Depth	Dip	Azimuth	RL
SWC128	5355	10054	100	-90	0	400
SWC129	5353	10014	115	-90	0	400
SWC130	5396	10098	92	-90	0	400
SWC131	5394	10052	115	-90	0	400
SWC132	5393	10013	110	-90	0	400
SWC133	5436	10136	106	-90	0	400
SWC134	5434	10097	115	-90	0	400
SWC135	5434	10050	100	-90	0	400
SWC136	5432	10012	115	-90	0	400
SWC137	5315	10015	109	-90	0	400
SWC138	5275	10015	115	-90	0	400
SWC139	5121	10171	18	-90	0	400
SWC140	5122	10211	15	-90	0	400
SWC141	5124	10251	10	-90	0	400

Table 1: Collar details of all holes drilled to date in the current programme.

Detailed surveying will be completed in the near future to more accurately determine the coordinates of the holes.

Appendix 2: Mt Stirling Project JORC Tables

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	- Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. - Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. - Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. - In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	- All data and results referred to in this report are historic or new, and date from the late 1980s to the present day. This data has been judged to be reliable following independent research, including discussions with previous operators and explorers in person. Additional data is related to the RC drilling completed by Torian Resources over recent months. - Samples were collected via Reverse Circulation (RC) drill chips. - All drilling yielded samples on a metre basis. Reverse Circulation (RC) drilling is utilised to obtain 1 m samples which are cone split, from which approx. 2-3 kg is pulverised to produce a 40 g charge for fire assay. - Sample preparation method is total material dried and pulverized to nominally 85% passing 75 µm particle size. Gold analysis method is generally by 40g Fire Assay, with Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (AAS) finish (DL 0.01 – UL 50 ppm Au). Samples exceeding the upper limit of the method were automatically re-assayed utilizing a high grade gravimetric method.
Drilling techniques	Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).	RC drilling uses holes of usually 155mm in diameter. RC drilling was via a face sampling hammer.
Drill sample recovery	- Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. - Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. - Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	- Recoveries were logged onto paper logs during drilling. Recoveries were visually assessed. - Sample recoveries were maximised in RC drilling via collecting the samples in a cyclone prior to sub sampling. - No relationship appears from the data between sample recovery and grade of the samples.
Logging	- Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. - Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. - The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	- All drillholes were geologically logged. This logging appears to be of high quality and suitable for use in further studies. - Logging is qualitative in nature. - All samples / intersections are logged. 100% of relevant length intersections are logged.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	- If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. - If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. - For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample	- Non-core RC drill chip sample material is riffle split, where sample is dry. In case of wet sample a representative 'grab' sample method is utilized. - The sample preparation technique is total material dried and pulverized to nominally 85% passing 75 µm particle size, from which a 40g charge was

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>preparation technique.</i> • <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i> • <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i> • <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i>	representatively riffle split off, for assay. • Standard check (known value) sample were not used in all cases. Where used the known values correspond closely with the expected values. A duplicate (same sample duplicated) were commonly inserted for every 20 or 30 samples taken. • There is a significant amount of coarse gold at Mt Stirling Well. This is reflected in the poor repeatability of some samples and also was noted on the drill logs.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	• <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> • <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i> • <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i>	• Various independent laboratories have assayed samples from the project over the years. In general they were internationally accredited for QAQC in mineral analysis. • No geophysical tools have been used to date. • The laboratories inserted blank and check samples for each batch of samples analysed and reports these accordingly with all results.
Verification of sampling and assaying	• <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> • <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> • <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> • <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i>	• Selected significant intersections were resampled from original remnant sample material and analysed again. • No twinned holes have been used to date. • Documentation of primary data is field log sheets (hand written). Primary data is entered into application specific data base. The data base is subjected to data verification program, erroneous data is corrected. Data storage is retention of physical log sheet, two electronic backup storage devices and primary electronic database.
Location of data points	• <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> • <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> • <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i>	• Survey control used is hand held GPS for historic holes and differential GPS for the new holes. No down hole surveys were completed to date. As these areas contain drillholes to no more than 100m significant deviations are not expected. • Grid systems are various local grid converted to MGA coordinates. • Topographic control is nominal at the present for the holes. Surveying will be carried out in the near future to locate the holes more accurately.
Data spacing and distribution	• <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> • <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i> • <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i>	• The drill spacing of the historic drilling is variable but generally no greater than 200m by 40m, with some areas infilled to 80m by 40m. The new drilling is 20m by 20m or 40m by 40m spaced. • The areas have drilling density sufficient for JORC Inferred category. Further infill will be required for other categories. • Sample compositing has been used in areas where mineralisation is not expected to be intersected. If results return indicate mineralisation, 1m split samples will be submitted for analysis.
Orientation of data in relation	• <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i>	• The orientation of the drilling is approximately at right angles to the known mineralisation and so gives a fair representation of the mineralisation intersected.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>to geological structure</i>	<i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	No sampling bias is believed to occur due to the orientation of the drilling.
<i>Sample security</i>	<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	Samples were delivered to the laboratory in batches at regular intervals. These are temporarily stored in a secure facility after drilling and before delivery
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	The company engages independent consultants who regularly audit the data for inconsistencies and other issues. None have been reported to date.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i> <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i>	The Mt Stirling Well Prospect is wholly contained within P37/7172. This is beneficially held 100% by the company, transfers are pending. An application for Mining Lease M37/1305 has been submitted to the DMP, and is pending approval.
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i>	All work relating to previous exploration contained within this report was completed by other parties. Details are included in the references.
<i>Geology</i>	<i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i>	Details of the geology are found elsewhere in this report.
<i>Drill hole Information</i>	<i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i> <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i> <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i> <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i> <i>down hole length and interception depth</i> <i>hole length.</i> <i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i>	- Details of the drilling, etc are found within the various tables and diagrams elsewhere in this report. - No material information, results or data have been excluded.
<i>Data aggregation methods</i>	<i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i> <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such</i>	- Weighted averages were calculated by a simple weighting of from and to distances down each hole. Most samples are 1 metre samples. No top cuts were applied. Lower cut-offs used were – Mt Stirling 1.0g/t Au. - The high grade nature of the resource at Mt Stirling Well means that little low grade material has been included in the intersection table. At Mt Stirling a small amount of higher grade is consistently present in each intersection as shown in the drill

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>aggregations should be shown in detail.</i> <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i>	results tables above. No metal equivalent values are used
<i>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</i>	<i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i> <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i> <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i>	- Details of geology, and selected cross sections are given elsewhere in this report - At Mt Stirling Well the gently dipping nature of the mineralisation means that steeply inclined holes give approximately true widths. At Mt Stirling the steep dip of the mineralisation means that drill widths are exaggerated. These are shown in the tables above. The tables above show drill widths not true widths. In the case of Mt Stirling Well the drill widths are approximately the same as true widths.
<i>Diagrams</i>	<i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i>	Details of geology, and selected cross sections are given elsewhere in this report.
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	Details of the results, drilling, etc are reported elsewhere in this report.
<i>Other substantive exploration data</i>	<i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	Details of geology, and selected cross sections are given elsewhere in this report.
<i>Further work</i>	<i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i> <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i>	- Proposed work included drilling of selected twin holes followed by infill and step out RC drilling across all resources. The aim of such work is to increase confidence in the data and also to test for extensions to the known resources. Budgets are being prepared for this work at present. - In addition a significant number of additional prospects are known to exist within the projects as defined by previous RAB and RC drilling intersections. These will form the second phase of exploration. - Various maps and diagrams are presented elsewhere in this report to highlight possible extensions and new targets.