

Otavi Copper Project, Namibia

EXCEPTIONAL COPPER & SILVER INTERCEPTS AT MIDAS' T-13 DEPOSIT

Best copper-silver intercept at Otavi T-13 to date: 50m at >7.9% CuEq¹

- Preliminary assays received for initial nine infill holes drilled by Midas on the high-grade T-13 Copper-Silver Deposit at the Otavi Copper Project, Namibia
- Several highly significant intercepts returned, with numerous copper and silver assays still pending; Intercepts include:
 - 50m at 5.55% Cu and >125g/t Ag¹ or >7.9% CuEq¹ from 194m (T13DD005a), including:
 - 16.3m at 12.99% Cu and 360.2g/t Ag or 19.81% CuEq from 214.7m
 - Multiple intercepts over an 80.1m interval (T13DD007) including:
 - 43.2m at 2.05% Cu and 44.9g/t Ag or 2.90% CuEq from 218.6m
 - Incl. 10.6m @ 3.82% Cu and 92.3g/t Ag or 5.57% CuEq from 236.4m
 - 12.8m at 2.74% Cu and 7.0g/t Ag from 267.3m
 - Multiple intercepts over a 40.1m interval (T13DD010) including:
 - 12.9m at 2.68% Cu and 64.3g/t Ag or 3.90% CuEq from 204.9m
 - Incl. 3.1m @ 7.86% Cu and 212.1g/t Ag or 11.88% CuEq from 214.7m
 - 3.9m at 6.99% Cu and 174.1g/t Ag or 10.30% CuEq from 232m
 - 2.5m at 6.13% Cu and 58.6g/t Ag or 7.23% CuEq from 242.5m; and
 - 14.2m plus 6.6m, native copper bearing intervals, pending analysis
 - 12.1m at 6.59% Cu and >99.5g/t Ag from 108.9m (T13DD002) including:
 - 9.1m at 7.85% Cu and >111.0g/t Ag from 108.9m
 - 15.5m at 4.32% Cu and >53.0g/t Ag from 114.8m (T13DD003) including:
 - 9.5m at 6.65% Cu and >86.6g/t Ag from 120.8m
- Multiple intercepts over a 77.0m interval (T13DD006) with high silver and copper intervals pending analysis
- 11 additional holes drilled by Midas at T-13 are pending assay, with two diamond rigs operating
- Two RC rigs continue operating at Spaatzu, 12km from T-13, plans are underway to accelerate the program to a total of seven rigs on the Otavi Project.

Midas Managing Director Mark Calderwood commented:

"Having delivered an initial Inferred Mineral Resource at T-13 Copper-Silver Deposit of 10.5Mt at 2.0% CuEq, our initial infill drilling at T-13 is demonstrating excellent continuity for the exceptionally high-grade Main Zone. Based on this, I have high expectations that we can grow the Main Zone resource and also delineate high-grade mineralisation on the T-13 West zone. Based on the intercepts such as these reported today, I anticipate further infill and extensional drilling to continue at T-13 throughout 2026.

"With drilling at Spaatzu well underway, we are about to commence resource drilling at the Deblin Copper-Gold-Silver Deposit and have plans to add a fourth diamond drill rig to the program."

¹ Silver assays incomplete, values pending included as zero grade, refer to Appendix B.

Midas Minerals Ltd (ACN 625 128 770) (“Midas” or “the Company”) (**ASX: MM1**) is pleased to announce multiple significant intervals of high-grade copper and silver results from infill drilling on the T-13 Copper-Silver Deposit at its Otavi Copper Project, Namibia.

Several of these initial drill holes have high returned silver “over limit” assays, with re-assays pending. Others have intervals containing multiple species or copper minerals and are pending screen analysis and sequential copper analysis.

The results from this batch of assays are the highest-grade yet reported for T-13, demonstrating strong continuity of high-grade copper and silver mineralisation over significant down hole intervals. High copper tenor chalcocite-covellite are the dominant copper species with lesser chalcopyrite, native copper, bornite and malachite.

Most diamond drill holes at T-13 have excellent core recovery, however several will require twinning at larger diameter core size to ensure acceptable core recovery within the friable high-grade copper-silver zones. At Otavi, Midas currently has two diamond core rigs operating on the high-grade T-13 Copper-Silver Deposit, two RC rigs at Spaatzu, 12km west of T-13 and is about to commence drilling on the Deblin Copper-Gold-Silver Deposit.




Figure 1: Long Section T-13 Main.




Figure 2: Cross Section T-13 Deposit.




Figure 3: Core Photos T13DD005a.

Namibia: A world-class mining jurisdiction

Namibia is one of the best mining jurisdictions in Africa, ranked 4th on Investment Attractiveness Index – Africa (Fraser Institute 2024), due to its:

- Stable democracy with an independent judiciary;
- Diverse economy with political and social support of mining;
- Transparent system of mineral and surface title;
- Excellent physical (roads, power, water, rail) and social infrastructure; and
- Stable tax code and fair fiscal terms (37.5% tax on miners (other than diamonds), 3% royalty for precious and base metals, WHT for foreign dividends, 1% export levy (gold and copper), 15% VAT with exemptions for exporters).

Mining is a significant contributor to Namibia's foreign earnings and GDP and provides significant direct and indirect employment. With a long history of mining, sector skill levels are relatively high, and English is the official language.

Other miners and explorers in Namibia include: B2Gold, Sinomine, South 32, Vedanta Zinc, Shanjin International, Qatar Investment Authority, Koryx Copper, Paladin Energy, Deep Yellow, WIA Gold, China Nation Uranium, Bannerman Energy, Orano Group, Namdeb and Consolidated Copper.

The Board of Midas Minerals Ltd authorised this release.

For more information:

Mark Calderwood
Managing Director
E: info@midasminerals.com

Nathan Ryan
Media / Investor Relations
E: nathan.ryan@nwrcommunications.com.au

About Midas

Midas Minerals is a junior mineral exploration company with a primary focus on copper and precious metals. Midas' Board and management have a strong track record of delivering value for shareholders through mineral discoveries and mine development and growing microcap explorers into successful ASX100-ASX300 companies. The Company has the Newington and Challa Projects located in Western Australia, as well as two lithium projects in Canada. The Company owns 100% of the Otavi Project in Namibia and has an option to earn an interest in the South Otavi, West Otavi, Korixas West and Otjiwarongo Projects.

Otavi Project: Midas has acquired the ~1,776km² high-grade Otavi Copper Project in Namibia. The Otavi Project has exceptional exploration upside, with an abundance of historic shallow, high-grade drill intercepts including 17.2m at 7.24% Cu and 144.4g/t Ag (*refer ASX release dated 16 May 2025*), and significant untapped potential for future discoveries due to modern exploration covering <40% of the tenure. Midas has announced an initial Inferred Mineral Resource at the T-13 Deposit of 10.5Mt at 1.6% Cu and 21g/t Ag (*refer ASX announcement dated 16 April 2026*).

South Otavi Project: Midas has an option to acquire 80% of the ~195km² South Otavi Project in Namibia, located proximal to the Otavi Copper Project. Exploration has commenced to test extensive areas of known copper and gold anomalism.

West Otavi, Korixas and Otjiwarongo Projects: Midas has options to acquire up to 85% of the West Otavi, Korixas and Otjiwarongo Projects, located proximate to the Otavi Copper Project in Namibia. The Projects cover 1,488km² and have had limited prior exploration. Midas considers the Projects prospective for greenfield copper-gold and silver discoveries.

Newington Project: 212km² of tenements located at the north end of the Southern Cross greenstone belt, which are highly prospective for gold and lithium. The project has significant prior gold production and significant drill intercepts on existing mining leases including 4m at 16.6g/t and 2m at 17.5g/t (*refer ASX release dated 17 April 2024*) and Midas has identified a number of undrilled targets.

Challa Gold, Nickel-Copper-PGE Project: 848km² of tenements with limited but successful exploration to date. A number of significant PGE and gold-copper exploration targets have been defined. Significant rock chip samples by Midas include 3.38g/t 2PGE from Cr rich horizon within gabbro, 16.3g/t Au and 6.65% Cu from gabbro with veining and 16.15% Cu and 566g/t Ag from a copper rich gossan (*refer to MM1 prospectus released to ASX on 3 September 2021*).

Aylmer Project: ~139km² of mineral claims totalling 140km² located northeast of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories of Canada. Initial limited exploration has resulted in the discovery of multiple pegmatites which contains abundant spodumene.

Greenbush Lithium Project: ~13km² of mining claims located proximal to infrastructure, with little outcrop and no historic drilling. A 15m by 30m spodumene bearing pegmatite outcrop was discovered in 1955 and initial sampling by Midas has returned results up to 3.8% Li₂O from the main outcrop and surrounds (*refer ASX release dated 13 July 2023*).

Competent Person and Compliance Statements

The information in this announcement that relates to new Exploration Results is based on and fairly represents information and supporting documentation prepared by Mr Mark Calderwood, the managing director of the Company. Mr Calderwood is a shareholder of the Company and the Company does not consider this to constitute an actual or potential conflict of interest to his role as Competent Person due to the overarching duties he owes to the Company. Mr Calderwood is not aware of any other relationship with Midas which could constitute a potential for a conflict of interest. Mr Calderwood is a Competent Person and is a member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Calderwood has sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralisation under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves" ("JORC Code"). Mr Calderwood consents to the inclusion in this announcement of the matters based on his information and supporting documents in the form and context in which it appears.

For full details of previously announced Exploration Results in this announcement, refer to the ASX announcement or release on the date referenced in the text. The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcements and that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcements.

Metal equivalent ("CuEq") for intercepts has been calculated based on the following assumptions:

- Commodity prices: Copper price of US\$11,906 per tonne and Silver price of US\$2.254 per gram
- Metallurgical recovery factors: Equal recovery rates of 85% for both copper and silver which are based on sighter metallurgical testwork undertaken in 2024.
- The following copper equivalent formula has been applied for the MRE metal equivalents: $\text{CuEq (\%)} = \text{Cu(\%)} + (\text{Ag(g/t)} \times 0.018931216)$.
- It is the Company's opinion that all the elements included in the metal equivalents calculation have a reasonable potential to be recovered and sold.

Forward Looking Statements

This announcement may contain certain forward-looking statements and projections, including statements regarding Midas' plans, forecasts and projections with respect to its mineral properties and programmes. Although the forward-looking statements contained in this release reflect management's current beliefs based upon information currently available to management and based upon what management believes to be reasonable assumptions, such forward looking statements/projections are estimates for discussion purposes only and should not be relied upon. They are not guarantees of future performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors many of which are beyond the control of the Company. The forward looking statements/projections are inherently uncertain and may therefore differ materially from results ultimately achieved. For example, there can be no assurance that Midas will be able to confirm the presence of Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves, that Midas' plans for development of its mineral properties will proceed, that any mineralisation will prove to be economic, or that a mine will be successfully developed on any of Midas' mineral properties. The performance of Midas may be influenced by a number of factors which are outside the control of the Company, its directors, staff or contractors. The Company does not make any representations and provides no warranties concerning the accuracy of the projections, and disclaims any obligation to update or revise any forward looking statements/projections based on new information, future events or otherwise except to the extent required by applicable laws.

APPENDIX A: DRILL HOLE AND INTERCEPT SUMMARY

Hole ID	East	North	RL	Type	Depth	Decl.	Azm	From (m)	To (m)	Intercept (m)	Cu (%)	Ag (g/t)	CuEq (%)	CL (m)
T13DD001	689019	7830810	1450	DD	195	-69	349	142.9	145.1	2.2	1.36	2.9	1.41	
								180.9	185.7	4.8	0.88	4.4	0.96	
T13DD002	689080	7830866	1449	DD	162	-68	346	108.9	121.0	12.1	6.59	99.5#	8.48#	
							Incl.	108.9	118.0	9.1	7.85	111.0#	9.95#	
T13DD003	689019	7830811	1450	DD	179	-54	347	114.8	131.7*	15.5*	4.32	53.0#	5.32#	1.4
							incl.	120.8	131.7*	9.5*	6.65	86.6#	8.29#	1.4
							CL	131.7	135.7	4.0*				4.0
								135.7	140.4	4.7	1.51	19.3	1.87	
T13DD004	689079	7830862	1449	DD	164	-50	345	93.5	98.6	5.1	0.58	15.0	0.86	
								119.7	123.0	3.3	1.06	10.0	1.25	
T13DD005a	689040	7830730	1448	DD	254	-54	345	194.0	244.0	50.0	5.55	124.8^	7.91^	
						Incl.	<i>Nat. Cu</i>	201.0	206.8	5.8	1.86	<i>Pending</i>	<i>Pending</i>	
							<i>and</i>	214.7	231.0	16.3	12.99	360.2	19.81	
						<i>and</i>	<i>Nat. Cu</i>	231.0	244.0	13.0	2.93	<i>Pending</i>	<i>Pending</i>	
T13DD006	688977	7830802	1448	DD	204	-72	346	106.0	124.0	18.0	0.54	15.4	0.83	
								130.0	146.2	15.7*	2.31	50#	3.25#	0.5
							incl.	142.0	146.2	5.1	6.26	149#	9.09#	
								157.1	161.4	4.3	0.71	18.6	1.07	
								172.0	176.5	3.1*	3.02	12.3	3.26	1.5
							<i>Nat. Cu</i>	176.5	182.0	5.5	<i>Pending</i>			
								182.0	183.0	1.0	0.52	1.0	0.54	
T13DD007	689040	7830729	1448	DD	304	-64	345	212.9	216.6	3.7	0.61	13.1	0.86	
								218.6	261.8	43.2	2.05	44.9	2.90	
							Incl.	236.4	247.0	10.6	3.82	92.3	5.57	
								267.3	280.0	12.8	2.74	7.0	2.87	
								286.0	293.0	7.0	1.65	2.1	1.69	
T13DD008	688977	7830803	1448	DD	161	-58	347	102.3	112.1	9.8	1.10	3.6	1.17	
							CL	112.8	124.3	11.5	Redrill			11.0
								131.0	134.1	3.1	2.51	31.7	3.10	
T13DD010	688929	7830683	1449	DD	288	-55	345	204.9	217.8	12.9	2.68	64.3	3.90	
							Incl.	214.7	217.8	3.1	7.86	212.1	11.88	
							<i>Nat. Cu</i>	217.8	232.0	14.2	<i>Pending</i>			
								232.0	235.9	3.9	6.99	174.1	10.30	
							<i>Nat. Cu</i>	235.9	242.5	6.6	<i>Pending</i>			
								242.5	245.0	2.5	6.13	58.6	7.23	

Notes:

Pending - denotes assays pending.

Nat. Cu denotes: Interval with native copper with Cu and/or Ag pending analysis

* denotes: drill hole intervals reduced due to core loss, only recovered core portion of interval included (refer: Appendix B)

CL denotes: core loss and/or cavities

denotes: maximum silver assays currently capped at 200g/t (refer: Appendix B)

^ denotes: silver assays pending have been included as zero grade (refer: Appendix B)

CuEq: refer to Appendix C: JORC Table 1 Section 2

APPENDIX B: DETAILS OF MINERALISED INTERVALS

Hole	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Recovery (%)	Ag (g/t)	Cu (%)	Copper Minerals
T13DD001	140.8	142.9	2.2		Core loss and/or cavity		
	142.9	143.2	0.3	100	5	1.34	Chc, Mal
	143.2	144.2	1.0		4	2.19	Chc, Mal
	144.2	145.1	0.9		1	0.39	Chc, Mal
	180.1	180.9	0.8		Core loss and/or cavity		
	180.9	181.8	0.8	97	17	2.41	Chc, Mal
	181.8	182.3	0.6		8	2.11	Chc, Mal
	182.3	183.0	0.7	100	<1	0.17	Chc, Mal
	183.0	184.0	1.0		1	0.48	Chc, Mal
	184.0	185.0	1.0		<1	0.05	
	185.0	185.7	0.7		2	0.56	Mal
	108.9	109.9	1.0	89	8	4.53	Mal, Chc
	109.9	111.0	1.1		11	5.61	Mal, Chc
	111.0	112.0	1.0	95	13	5.62	Mal, Chc
T13DD002	112.0	113.0	1.0		33	6.91	Mal, Chc
	113.0	114.0	1.0		187	7.18	Mal, Chc
	114.0	115.0	1.0	100	>200	13.55	Chc, Mal
	115.0	116.0	1.0		156	7.63	Chc, Mal
	116.0	117.0	1.0		>200	9.06	Chc, Mal
	117.0	118.0	1.0	83	>200	10.75	Chc, Mal
	118.0	119.0	1.0		62	4.31	Mal, Chc
	119.0	120.0	1.0		95	3.61	Mal, Chc
	120.0	121.0	1.0	60	37	0.46	Chc, Mal
	114.8	115.8	1.0	100	2	0.51	Mal
	115.8	116.8	1.0	98	<1	0.61	Mal
	116.8	117.8	1.0		2	0.53	Mal
	117.8	118.8	1.0		1	0.67	Mal
	118.8	119.8	1.0	96	3	0.78	Mal
T13DD003	119.8	120.8	1.0		2	0.76	Mal
	120.8	121.8	1.0		4	2.51	Mal
	121.8	122.8	1.0		5	2.93	Chc, Mal
	122.8	123.8	1.0	95	10	4.61	Chc, Mal
	123.8	124.8	1.0		9	6.72	Chc, Mal
	124.8	125.2	0.4		22	7.86	Chc, Mal
	125.2	125.6	0.4		Core loss and/or cavity		
	125.6	126.7	1.1	98	160	1.85	Mal, Chc
	126.7	127.7	1.0		25	10.40	Mal, Chc
	127.7	128.7	1.0		41	7.46	Mal, Chc
	128.7	129.1	0.4		168	13.50	Mal, Chc
	129.1	129.5	0.3		142	6.64	Mal, Chc
	129.5	130.0	0.5		>200	7.47	Mal, Chc
	130.0	131.0	1.0		Core loss and/or cavity		
	131.0	131.4	0.3	85	>200	22.90	Mal, Chc
	131.4	131.7	0.3		30	9.29	Mal, Chc
	131.7	135.7	4.0		Core loss and/or cavity		
	135.7	136.4	0.7	80	24	1.81	Mal, Chc
	136.4	137.4	1.0	97	9	0.29	Chc, Cu, Mal
	137.4	138.4	1.0	95	22	0.96	Chc, Cu, Mal
	138.4	139.4	1.0		39	3.10	Chc, Cu, Mal
	139.4	140.4	1.0		4	1.48	Chc, Cu, Mal
T13DD004	93.5	94.0	0.5	100	31	1.95	Mal, Chc
	94.0	95.0	1.0		18	0.56	Mal, Chc
	95.0	96.0	1.0		16	0.43	Mal, Chc
	96.0	97.0	1.0		9	0.23	Mal, Chc
	97.0	97.6	0.6		11	0.39	Mal, Chc

Hole	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Recovery (%)	Ag (g/t)	Cu (%)	Copper Minerals
	97.6	98.6	1.0	100	11	0.47	Mal, Chc
	119.7	120.5	0.8		12	0.83	Mal, Chc
	120.5	121.5	1.0		7	0.77	Mal, Chc
	121.5	122.5	1.0		7	0.65	Mal, Chc
	122.5	123.0	0.5		19	2.87	Mal, Chc
T13DD005a	194.0	195.1	1.1	100	7	0.71	CP, Chc
	195.1	195.5	0.4		5	0.47	CP, Chc
	195.5	196.0	0.5		23	0.83	CP, Chc
	196.0	197.0	1.0		11	0.96	CP, Chc
	197.0	198.0	1.0		1	0.52	CP, Chc
	198.0	199.0	1.0		1	0.30	CP, Chc
	199.0	199.4	0.4		<1	0.01	
	199.4	199.8	0.4		<1	0.03	
	199.8	201.0	1.2		4	0.68	
	201.0	202.1	1.1		pending	0.43	Cu, Mal
	202.1	202.6	0.5		pending	1.93	Cu, Chc
	202.6	203.0	0.4		pending	1.12	Cu, Chc
	203.0	204.0	1.0		2	1.25	Cu, Chc
	204.0	204.4	0.4		pending	2.13	Cu, Chc
	204.4	205.0	0.6		125	4.49	Chc, Mal
	205.0	205.7	0.7		pending	3.92	Cu, Chc
	205.7	206.3	0.6		pending	1.01	Cu, Chc
	206.3	206.8	0.5		pending	1.79	Cu, Chc
	206.8	207.6	0.9		1	0.25	Chc
	207.6	208.3	0.7		56	2.55	Chc, Mal
	208.3	208.7	0.5		10	1.43	Chc, Mal
	208.7	209.4	0.7		64	4.31	Chc, Bo
	209.4	210.0	0.6		35	2.21	Chc, Bo
	210.0	211.0	1.0		17	1.12	Chc, Bo
	211.0	212.0	1.0		54	2.55	Chc, Bo
	212.0	213.0	1.0		42	1.13	Chc, Bo
	213.0	213.8	0.8		25	0.89	Chc, Bo
	213.8	214.3	0.5		31	1.08	Chc, Bo
	214.3	214.7	0.4		35	1.39	Chc, Bo
	214.7	215.5	0.8		110	3.84	Chc, Bo
	215.5	216.0	0.5		69	1.91	Chc, Bo
	216.0	217.0	1.0		155	4.15	Chc
	217.0	217.4	0.4		277	6.94	Chc
	217.4	218.3	0.9		352	10.75	Chc
	218.3	219.3	1.0		349	10.50	Chc
	219.3	220.2	0.9		458	13.35	Chc
	220.2	220.6	0.4		322	9.15	Chc
	220.6	221.3	0.7		280	13.15	Chc
	221.3	222.0	0.7		288	12.85	Chc
	222.0	223.0	1.0		335	15.20	Chc
	223.0	223.4	0.4		231	9.87	Chc
	223.4	224.3	0.9		227	8.62	Chc
	224.3	224.7	0.4		547	20.50	Chc
	224.7	225.2	0.5		789	28.80	Chc
	225.2	225.8	0.6		543	19.20	Chc
	225.8	226.2	0.4		557	17.70	Chc
	226.2	227.0	0.8		773	24.90	Chc
	227.0	228.0	1.0		655	20.70	Chc
	228.0	228.9	0.9		244	8.22	Chc
	228.9	229.5	0.6		421	13.45	Chc
	229.5	229.9	0.4		364	14.30	Chc
	229.9	231.0	1.1		154	14.80	Chc, Cu
	231.0	232.0	1.0	100	pending	3.45	Cu, Chc

Hole	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Recovery (%)	Ag (g/t)	Cu (%)	Copper Minerals
	232.0	232.5	0.5		pending	1.59	Cu, Chc
	232.5	232.9	0.4		pending	2.33	Cu, Chc
	232.9	234.0	1.1		pending	2.65	Cu, Chc
	234.0	234.9	0.9		pending	2.93	Cu, Chc
	234.9	235.3	0.4		pending	0.77	Cu, Chc
	235.3	236.0	0.7		pending	0.77	Cu, Chc
	236.0	237.0	1.0		pending	2.02	Cu, Chc
	237.0	238.1	1.1		pending	0.68	Cu, Chc
	238.1	238.5	0.4		pending	0.70	Cu, Chc
	238.5	239.2	0.7		pending	0.88	Cu, Chc
	239.2	239.6	0.4		pending	1.86	Cu, Chc
	239.6	240.0	0.4		pending	10.05	Cu, Chc
	240.0	241.0	1.0		pending	6.74	Cu, Chc
	241.0	242.0	1.0		pending	2.83	Cu, Chc
	242.0	242.4	0.4		pending	4.79	Cu, Chc
	242.4	243.0	0.6		pending	4.27	Cu, Chc
	243.0	243.6	0.6		pending	3.93	Cu, Chc
	243.6	244.0	0.4		pending	3.62	Cu, Chc
T13DD006	106.0	107.0	1.0	98	6	0.33	Cp
	107.0	108.0	1.0		34	0.31	Cp
	108.0	109.0	1.0	91	67	1.07	Cp
	109.0	110.0	1.0		11	0.49	Cp
	110.0	111.0	1.0		12	0.43	Cp
	111.0	112.0	1.0	100	9	0.30	Cp
	112.0	113.0	1.0		5	0.21	Cp
	113.0	114.0	1.0		5	0.29	Cp
	114.0	115.0	1.0		4	0.12	Cp
	115.0	116.0	1.0		28	1.32	Cp
	116.0	117.0	1.0		19	0.97	Cp
	117.0	118.0	1.0		2	0.08	Cp
	118.0	119.0	1.0		1	0.01	Cp
	119.0	120.0	1.0		15	0.77	Cp
	120.0	121.0	1.0		20	1.02	Cp
	121.0	122.0	1.0		17	0.91	Cp
	122.0	123.0	1.0		11	0.57	Cp
	123.0	124.0	1.0		11	0.56	Cp
	130.0	131.0	1.0		6	0.45	Cp
	131.0	132.0	1.0		3	0.33	Cp
	132.0	133.0	1.0		2	0.65	Cp
	133.0	134.0	1.0		8	0.83	Cp
	134.0	135.0	1.0		27	1.09	Cp
	135.0	136.0	1.0		12	0.48	Cp
	136.0	137.1	1.1		13	0.76	Cp
	137.1	137.4	0.3		2	0.10	
	137.4	138.0	0.7		19	0.97	Chc
	138.0	138.7	0.7		9	0.49	Chc
	138.7	139.0	0.3		27	1.47	Chc
	139.0	140.0	1.0		36	1.94	Chc
	140.0	141.2	1.2		21	1.34	Mal, Chc
	141.2	142.0	0.8		19	1.47	Mal, Chc
	142.0	143.0	1.0		33	2.41	Mal, Chc
	143.0	144.0	1.0		62	3.13	Mal, Chc
	144.0	144.4	0.5		Core loss and/or cavity		
	144.4	145.1	0.7	100	169	5.82	Chc, Mal
	145.1	145.6	0.5		>200	21.90	Chc, Mal
	145.6	146.2	0.6		>200	9.32	Chc, Mal
	157.1	158.0	0.9	95	15	0.85	Mal, Chc
	158.0	159.0	1.0		19	0.80	Mal, Chc

Hole	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Recovery (%)	Ag (g/t)	Cu (%)	Copper Minerals
	159.0	160.0	1.0		21	0.62	Mal, Chc
	160.0	161.0	1.0		18	0.62	Mal, Chc
	161.0	161.4	0.4		22	0.67	Mal, Chc
	161.4	162.0	0.6		4	0.07	Mal
	162.0	162.5	0.5	83	6	0.14	Mal
	162.5	163.1	0.6		18	0.50	Mal
	172.0	172.8	0.8	97	1	0.66	Mal
	172.8	173.8	1.0		Core loss and/or cavity		
	173.8	174.8	1.0	97	4	1.48	Mal
	174.8	175.3	0.5		Core loss and/or cavity		
	175.3	176.0	0.7	100	41	5.09	Mal
	176.0	176.5	0.5		8	7.02	Mal
	176.5	182.0	5.5	98	Screen assays pending		Cu
	182.0	183.0	1.0		1	0.52	Mal
T13DD007	212.9	213.9	1.0	100	1	0.46	Bo
	213.9	214.6	0.7		25	1.01	Bo
	214.6	215.6	1.0		20	0.73	Bo
	215.6	216.6	1.0		10	0.38	Bo
	218.6	219.6	1.0		19	0.72	Bo
	219.6	220.3	0.7		21	0.81	Chc
	220.3	221.3	1.0		16	0.58	Chc
	221.3	222.3	1.0		55	2.04	Chc
	222.3	223.3	1.0		68	3.06	Chc
	223.3	224.3	1.0		87	3.68	Chc
	224.3	225.0	0.7		106	4.28	Chc
	225.0	225.3	0.3		39	1.67	Chc
	225.3	226.3	1.0		77	3.39	Chc
	226.3	227.4	1.1		85	3.77	Chc
	227.4	228.4	1.0		49	2.29	Chc
	228.4	229.4	1.0		25	1.18	Chc
	229.4	229.7	0.3		29	1.42	Chc
	229.7	230.4	0.7		50	2.47	Chc
	230.4	231.4	1.0		16	0.80	Chc
	231.4	232.4	1.0		19	0.98	Chc
	232.4	232.7	0.3		26	1.34	Chc
	232.7	233.4	0.8		19	1.03	Chc
	233.4	234.4	1.0		52	2.81	Chc
	234.4	235.4	1.0		75	4.02	Chc
	235.4	236.4	1.0		27	1.51	Chc
	236.4	237.4	1.0		59	3.14	Chc
	237.4	238.2	0.8		50	2.43	Chc, Bo
	238.2	238.4	0.2		72	3.14	Chc, Bo
	238.4	239.4	1.0		61	2.64	Chc, Bo
	239.4	240.4	1.0		93	3.80	Chc, Bo
	240.4	241.4	1.0		124	4.65	Chc, Bo
	241.4	241.7	0.3		88	3.30	Chc, Bo
	241.7	242.4	0.7		41	1.71	Chc, Bo
	242.4	243.4	1.0		30	1.23	Chc, Bo
	243.4	244.4	1.0		77	3.14	Chc, Bo
	244.4	245.4	1.0		238	9.76	Chc, Bo
	245.4	245.7	0.3		42	1.84	Chc, Bo
	245.7	246.4	0.7		108	5.04	Chc, Bo
	246.4	247.0	0.6		170	5.72	Chc, Cp
	247.0	248.0	1.0		1	0.10	Chc, Cp
	248.0	249.0	1.0		1	0.14	Chc, Cp
	249.0	249.3	0.3	100	7	0.49	Chc, Cp
	249.3	250.0	0.7		6	0.40	Chc, Cp
	250.0	251.0	1.0		9	0.54	Cp

Hole	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Recovery (%)	Ag (g/t)	Cu (%)	Copper Minerals
	251.0	252.0	1.0		13	0.80	Cp
	252.0	253.0	1.0		12	0.74	Cp
	253.0	254.0	1.0		10	0.57	Cp
	254.0	254.4	0.4		32	1.80	Cp
	254.4	255.0	0.6		<1	0.13	Cp
	255.0	256.0	1.0		23	1.30	Cp
	256.0	257.0	1.0		10	0.65	Cp
	257.0	257.3	0.3		21	1.41	Cp
	257.3	258.0	0.7		14	0.82	Cp
	258.0	258.6	0.6		<1	1.23	Cp
	258.6	259.2	0.6		<1	0.85	Cp
	259.8	260.8	1.0		2	0.59	Chc
	260.8	261.8	1.0		3	0.65	Chc
	267.3	267.7	0.4		<1	0.43	Chc, Cp
	267.7	268.7	1.0		<1	1.16	Chc, Cp
	268.7	269.9	1.2		6	2.74	Chc, Cp
	269.9	270.4	0.5		12	4.49	Chc, Cp
	270.4	271.1	0.7		11	2.28	Chc, Cp
	271.1	272.0	0.9		17	1.55	Chc, Cp
	272.0	273.0	1.0		11	4.52	Chc, Cp
	273.0	273.6	0.6		8	3.67	Chc, Cp
	273.6	274.0	0.4		8	4.27	Chc, Cp
	274.0	275.0	1.0		9	4.36	Chc, Cp
	275.0	276.0	1.0		8	4.53	Chc, Cp
	276.0	277.0	1.0		6	2.83	Chc, Cp
	277.0	278.0	1.0		4	1.85	Chc, Cp
	278.0	279.0	1.0		4	1.69	Chc, Cp
	279.0	280.0	1.0		3	1.35	Chc, Cp
	286.0	286.6	0.6		2	0.99	Chc, Cp
	286.6	287.6	1.0		2	1.09	Chc, Cp
	287.6	288.6	1.0		0	0.22	Chc, Cp
	288.6	289.4	0.8		3	2.68	Chc, Cp
	289.4	290.1	0.7		3	2.01	Chc, Cp
	290.1	291.0	0.9		1	0.62	Cp
	291.0	292.0	1.0		5	4.76	Cp
	292.0	293.0	1.0		1	0.81	Cp
T13DD008	102.3	103.0	0.7	100	6	1.04	Mal
	103.0	103.3	0.3		3	0.72	Mal
	103.3	104.3	1.0		2	0.46	Mal
	104.3	104.9	0.5		2	0.43	Mal
	104.9	105.3	0.5		2	0.85	Mal
	105.3	106.3	1.0		1	0.29	Mal
	106.3	107.3	1.0		2	0.22	Mal
	107.3	108.1	0.8		3	0.25	Mal
	108.1	109.1	1.0		1	0.20	Mal
	109.1	109.4	0.3		13	9.79	Mal
	109.4	110.1	0.7		7	3.92	Mal
	110.1	111.1	1.0		2	0.30	Mal
	111.1	111.4	0.3		11	3.24	Mal
	111.4	112.1	0.7		9	1.65	Mal
	112.1	112.6	0.5		3	0.18	Mal
	112.6	112.8	0.3		3	0.27	Mal
	112.8	124.3	11.5	16	Core loss and/or cavity – to be redrilled		
	131.0	131.6	0.6	100	69	2.75	Mal, Chc
	131.6	132.0	0.4	100	48	1.75	Mal, Chc
	132.0	133.0	1.0		17	1.79	Mal, Chc
	133.0	134.1	1.1		19	3.29	Mal, Chc

Hole	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Recovery (%)	Ag (g/t)	Cu (%)	Copper Minerals
T13DD0010	204.9	205.3	0.3	100	3	0.37	Cp, Chc
	205.3	205.9	0.6		10	1.36	Cp, Chc
	205.9	206.6	0.7		8	0.79	Cp, Bo
	206.6	207.6	1.0		8	0.42	Cp, Chc
	207.6	208.6	1.0		36	1.84	Cp, Chc, Bo
	208.6	209.0	0.4		17	0.90	Cp, Chc, Bo
	209.0	209.4	0.4		7	0.35	Cp, Chc
	209.4	210.0	0.5		14	0.71	Cp, Chc
	210.0	210.7	0.8		21	1.12	Cp, Chc
	210.7	211.5	0.8		8	0.46	Cp, Chc
	211.5	212.4	0.9		16	0.88	Cp, Chc
	212.4	213.2	0.8		23	1.43	Chc, Cp
	213.2	214.1	0.9		35	1.95	Chc, Cp
	214.1	214.7	0.6		21	1.15	Chc, Cp
	214.7	215.0	0.3		218	7.06	Chc, Cp
	215.0	216.0	1.0		209	7.33	Chc, Cp
	216.0	217.0	1.0		223	8.12	Chc, Cp
	217.0	217.5	0.5		161	6.84	Chc, Cp
	217.5	217.8	0.3		267	11.30	Chc, Cp
	217.8	232.0	14.2		Screen assays pending		Cu, Chc, Bo
	232.0	232.8	0.8		179	8.58	Chc
	232.8	233.8	1.0		220	9.47	Chc
	233.8	234.5	0.7		182	6.64	Chc
	234.5	234.9	0.4		131	5.21	Chc
	234.9	235.9	1.0		137	4.26	Chc
	235.9	242.5	6.6		Screen assays pending		Cu, Chc
	242.5	242.9	0.4		120	5.35	Chc, Cp
	242.9	243.6	0.7		131	12.15	Chc
	243.6	244.2	0.6		6	3.86	Cp, Chc
	244.2	245.0	0.8		4	2.99	Cp, Chc

Notes:

Chc = chalcocite, Cp = chalcopyrite, Bo = bornite, Cu = native copper, Mal = malachite

APPENDIX C: JORC CODE 2012 EDITION - TABLE 1 FOR EXPLORATION RESULTS

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	- Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. - Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representativity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. - Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	- All drilling conducted by Midas was completed under the supervision of professional geologists who were responsible and accountable for the planning, execution, and supervision of all exploration activity as well as the implementation of quality assurance programs and reporting. All Midas holes being reported are diamond drill holes. Drill core was marked for splitting during logging and was sawn using a diamond core saw with a mounted jig to ensure the core is cut lengthwise into equal halves. - Half of the cut core is placed in individual plastic bags with the appropriate sample tag. QA/QC samples are inserted into the sample stream at prescribed intervals. - Triple tube tooling was used regularly to improve core recovery and where possible HQ3 drilling was favoured over NQ3, overall recoveries in mineralisation were high except in shallow holes. All significant intervals were photographed prior to sampling. - The samples were transported to the ALS sample preparation facility in Okahandja, Namibia. The remaining core was retained and incorporated into Midas sample library located in Otavi. All analysis was completed at SANAS accredited ALS laboratory in South Africa or Canada. The samples were dried, crushed, and pulverised as described below. Duplicate sample pulps and fine crush rejects will be returned to storage. - Drilling and sampling and assaying was undertaken to an acceptable industry standard.
Drilling techniques	Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.).	Core drilling completed by Midas is Boart Longyear size NQ3 and HQ3. producing nominal 45.1mm and 61.1mm core. Hole depths are included in Appendix A. Core drilling was oriented where possible using a Trucore™ Upix instrument.
Drill sample recovery	- Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. - Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. - Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	- Triple tube tooling was used regularly to improve core recovery and where possible HQ3 drilling was favoured over NQ3, overall recoveries in mineralisation were high except in shallow holes. - Recovery is measured as percentage of recovered core from drill interval, recorded on core blocks. - There is no apparent bias between core recovery and grade.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary				
Logging	- Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. - Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography. - The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	- The drill core was geologically logged in its entirety, photographed, and then marked and tagged for sampling and splitting. Core logging describes variations in lithology, alteration, and mineralisation data associated with core logging and related assay results and other downhole information including orientation surveys. Measured parameters include structural orientation with respect to core axis, lost core as a percentage of recovered length, and fracture density. Logging is qualitative, recovery records and structural measurements are quantitative. - The total length of core logged was approximately 289m, representing 100% of the relevant intersections logged.				
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	- If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. - If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry. - For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. - Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. - Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. - Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	- Core samples were sawn half core. Some samples were quarter cut with the original and duplicate both submitted for QAQC purposes - Each core sample is assigned a tag with a unique identifying number. Sample lengths were typically 1.0m or less depending on zone and core block intervals. - The sampling process included 5.2% duplicate readings and 4% blanks and 4% Standards. - Core samples were delivered to ALS, Okahandja, Namibia, independent accredited laboratory, drill samples were dried, crushed to approximately 70% <2mm and split using a riffle splitter to approximately 250g. A ring mill is used to pulverize the sample split to 85% passing -75um. - This sampling technique is industry standard and deemed appropriate.				
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	- The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. - For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. - Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.	- Assay determinations were undertaken at ALS, Gauteng, South Africa. Screen assays for native copper are undertaken by ALS Canada. - The method used was:<table><tr><td>ME-ICP61a</td><td>High Grade method combining a four-acid digestion with ICP-AES instrumentation. The method dissolves most geological materials. Cu limit of 10% and Ag limit of 200g/t Method Precision: ± 5-10%</td></tr></table> - Elements assayed in core included: Ag, Al, As, Ba, Be, Bi, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ga, K, La, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Ni, P, Pb, S, Sb, Sc, Sr, Th, Ti, Tl, U, V, W, Zn.<table><tr><td>ME-OG62</td><td>Ore Grade method (>10% Cu, 200g/t Ag) combining a four-acid digestion with ICP-AES instrumentation. The method dissolves most geological materials. Method Precision: ± 5%</td></tr></table>	ME-ICP61a	High Grade method combining a four-acid digestion with ICP-AES instrumentation. The method dissolves most geological materials. Cu limit of 10% and Ag limit of 200g/t Method Precision: ± 5-10%	ME-OG62	Ore Grade method (>10% Cu, 200g/t Ag) combining a four-acid digestion with ICP-AES instrumentation. The method dissolves most geological materials. Method Precision: ± 5%
ME-ICP61a	High Grade method combining a four-acid digestion with ICP-AES instrumentation. The method dissolves most geological materials. Cu limit of 10% and Ag limit of 200g/t Method Precision: ± 5-10%					
ME-OG62	Ore Grade method (>10% Cu, 200g/t Ag) combining a four-acid digestion with ICP-AES instrumentation. The method dissolves most geological materials. Method Precision: ± 5%					


Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary		
		<table><tr><td>Cu-SCR21</td><td>Dry screening to 100 micron. Duplicate assay by four acid digest on undersize and entire oversize fractions. Calculate and report total copper content. The method suitable for native copper. Method Precision: ± 5%</td></tr></table> - The Company included standards and blanks at the rate of 4% each and quarter core duplicates at 3.3% and coarse reject duplicate at 1.9%. As part of the QA/QC program duplicate, blank and Certified Reference Material (CRM) samples are inserted alternately, at the total rate of about 9 total per 100 samples for drill samples. In addition to the Company QAQC samples within the batch the laboratory included its own CRM's (Certified Reference Materials), blanks and duplicates. Sample assay results continue to be evaluated through control charts, log sheets, sample logbook and signed assay certificates to determine the nature of any anomalies or failures. - No significant QA/QC issues were noted.	Cu-SCR21	Dry screening to 100 micron. Duplicate assay by four acid digest on undersize and entire oversize fractions. Calculate and report total copper content. The method suitable for native copper. Method Precision: ± 5%
Cu-SCR21	Dry screening to 100 micron. Duplicate assay by four acid digest on undersize and entire oversize fractions. Calculate and report total copper content. The method suitable for native copper. Method Precision: ± 5%			
Verification of sampling and assaying	- The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. - The use of twinned holes. - Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. - Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	- There are no purpose twinned holes in the dataset. - No adjustments made to sample intervals or to the assay data. - All data was recorded digitally at the time of drilling and logging. - The Competent Person has undertaken check audit of laboratory reports against values in the database.		
Location of data points	- Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. - Specification of the grid system used. - Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	- All co-ordinates have been reported in WGS84 / UTM Zone 33 South. - The drill hole collar locations surveyed by DGPS are within 1m accuracy. - The downhole survey of the drillholes was measured with a Veracio Truprobe Gyro™ tool with readings at 10m intervals. After the drillholes were completed, holes were capped. - The DGPS survey points were used for general topographic control. Acceptable topographic control information is available.		
Data spacing and distribution	- Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. - Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. - Whether sample compositing has been applied.	- No Mineral Resource estimation is being reported. - No sample compositing was applied.		

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	- Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. - If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	- The orientation of sampling is considered unbiased considering the deposit type. - The true width of intercepts at T13 are estimated to be between 60% and 90% of true width. - No bias is considered to have been introduced by the existing sampling orientation.
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	Assay samples were delivered to the ALS laboratory in Okhandja by Midas staff. Sample pulps were airfreighted to South Africa.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	Only logging audits have been undertaken to date.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	- Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. - The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	- The Otavi Project comprises ten exclusive prospecting licenses totalling 1,776km² located in the Otjozondjupa and Khomas Regions of Namibia. - The Company owns 100% of Otjitombo Mining Ltd, which is the 100% legal and beneficial owner of the licences. - Environmental Clearance Certificates (ECC) in respect of exploration activities are required for exploration to commence. Currently ECC are valid for all licenses. - Apart from a 1% royalty to be held by Nexa Resources (to which the Company may acquire half), there are no overriding royalties other than to the state. - No special indigenous interests, historical sites or other registered settings are known on the Project area. - As the tenure falls on private farms, land access agreements are required to undertake exploration. Agreements are in place for a number of the farms. - On application of a mining licence, the Company will be obliged to divest a portion (up to 15%) of beneficial ownership of the licence to a Namibian owned legal entity or Namibian natural person.
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	- This release refers to prior exploration results by Nexa - refer to Midas' ASX announcement dated 16 May 2025, titled 'Transformational Project Acquisition'. - The area has been held by other companies, but no substantive additional exploration data has been obtained in which the Competent person considers relevant given the level of recent exploration completed.
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	- The Otavi Project is situated within the Otavi Mountain Land, part of the northern carbonate platform of the Pan-African Damaran Orogen. This region is geologically significant for hosting world-class deposits of copper, lead, and zinc. These deposits are associated with the Proterozoic Otavi Group, a sedimentary sequence predominantly composed of dolostones, conglomerates, limestones, and shales. - At T13 Copper mineralisation is structurally controlled by a shear zone that transects the Chuos Diamictite and the finely laminated limestones, graphitic shales, and ferruginous siltstones of the Berg Aukas Formation. The shearing is associated with a strike-parallel thrust fault located along the southern limb of the Merwe regional-scale anticline.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Drill hole Information	- A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: - easting and northing of the drill hole collar - elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar - dip and azimuth of the hole - down hole length and interception depth - hole length. - If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	Refer Appendix A of this announcement for a summary of all Midas diamond drill holes drilled at T-13 for which assays are reported.
Data aggregation methods	- In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. - Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. - The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	- All drill hole intersections are reported above a lower cut-off grade of 0.4% Cu. For samples of varying or equal lengths, a length-weighted average is applied for the reported intersection. Lower grade intervals of up to 4m were included, also on the same basis. The formula is $(\sum(\text{grade} \times \text{sample length})/\text{total interval width})$. - For Appendix A, grades of Cu and CuEq reported in % to 2 decimal places, grades of Ag reported in g/t to 1 decimal place. - Metal equivalent (“CuEq”) for intercepts has been calculated based on the following assumptions: - Individual metal assay grades - Commodity prices: Copper price of US\$11,906 per tonne and Silver price of US\$2.254 per gram - Metallurgical recovery factors: Equal recovery rates of 85% for both copper and silver which are based on sighter metallurgical testwork undertaken in 2024. The following copper equivalent formula has been applied for the MRE metal equivalents: $\text{CuEq (\%)} = \text{Cu(\%)} + (\text{Ag(g/t)} \times 0.018931216)$. It is the Company's opinion that all the elements included in the metal equivalents calculation have a reasonable potential to be recovered and sold. - For Appendix A and B; grades of Cu, CuEq reported in % to 2 decimal places. - For Appendix A and B; grades of Ag reported in g/t to 1 decimal place.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	- These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. - If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported - If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').	All intersections reported in the body of this announcement are down hole, however the calculated true thickness of mineralisation are: - T13DD002 - ~60% of intercept width - T13DD003 - ~85% of intercept width - T13DD005a - ~85% of intercept width - T13DD006 - ~65% of intercept width - T13DD007 - ~80% of intercept width - T13DD010 - ~90% of intercept width For all other intersections, T13DD001, T13DD004 and T13DD008 the true width has not been estimated.
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	- Figure 1 (long section) shows relative location of referenced drill holes and prior drilling. - Figure 2 (cross section) shows four of the reported drill holes. - A plan view of the recently drill hole collars is included below.  - Figures included in the body of this announcement as deemed appropriate by the Competent Person.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Balanced reporting	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	- Appendix A contains a list of all diamond holes completed by Midas at T13 for which assays have been received to 28 April 2026. - The Company has comprehensively reported all assay information available to it at the date of this announcement.
Other substantive exploration data	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	All relevant and material exploration data for the target areas discussed, have been reported or referenced.
Further work	- The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). - Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	- Further exploration, including drilling, is warranted to test anomalies. - All relevant diagrams have been incorporated in this announcement.