

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F

☐ REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

☒ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018

OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

☐ SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of event requiring this shell company report _____

Commission file number: 0-30198



INTERNET GOLD – GOLDEN LINES LTD.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter
and translation of Registrant's name into English)

Israel

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

2 Dov Friedman Street, Ramat Gan 5250301, Israel

(Address of principal executive offices)

Doron Turgeman, CEO, +972-3-9240000 (phone), +972-3-6796111 (fax)

2 Dov Friedman Street, Ramat Gan 5250301, Israel

(Name, Telephone, E-mail and/or Facsimile Number and Address of Company Contact Person)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Trading Symbol	Name of each exchange on which registered
Ordinary Shares, NIS 0.01 Par Value	IGLD	NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **None**

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act: **None**

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report:

Ordinary Shares, par value NIS 0.01 per share28,003,186
(as of December 31, 2018)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes ☐ No ☒

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Emerging growth company	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>

If an emerging growth company that prepares its financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards[†] provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. ☐

[†] The term "new or revised financial accounting standard" refers to any update issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board to its Accounting Standards Codification after April 5, 2012.

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP <input type="checkbox"/>	International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other <input type="checkbox"/>
------------------------------------	---	--------------------------------

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow:

Item 17 ☐ Item 18 ☒

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes ☐ No ☒

This report on Form 20-F is being incorporated by reference into our Registration Statement on Form F-3 (333-215744)

INTRODUCTION

We are a holding company whose principal asset is a 51.95% stake in B Communications Ltd. (“BCOM” or “B Communications”) formerly known as 012 Smile.Communications Ltd.) our subsidiary that owns 26.34% of Bezeq Ltd., the leading communication group in Israel.

Our shares are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market and on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange, or TASE.

On April 14, 2010, our principal subsidiary, B Communications, completed the acquisition of 30.44% interest in Bezeq (TASE:BZEQ), Israel’s largest telecommunications provider, from Ap.Sb.Ar. Holdings Ltd. (a consortium of Apax Partners, Saban Capital Group and Arkin Communications) for an aggregate cash purchase price of approximately NIS 6.5 billion. In accordance with the terms of the transaction, B Communications designated seven directors to replace the Apax-Saban-Arkin Group’s representatives on Bezeq’s then 11 person Board of directors. We began consolidating B Communications’ financial results into our financial statements effective as of the closing of the acquisition. B Communications currently owns 26.34% of Bezeq’s outstanding shares. B Communications’ ordinary shares are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market (symbol: BCOM) and on the TASE.

The Bezeq Group operates the most comprehensive telecommunications infrastructure in Israel, with a broad range of telecommunications services across all of its markets. Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, the Bezeq Group is a leading provider in Israel of fixed-line telephony services, fixed-line broadband internet infrastructure access services, Internet service provider, or ISP, services, cellular telephony services, international telephony, or ILD, services, international and domestic data transfer and network services and information and communication technology, or ICT, services, pay television services and other communications infrastructures and services. In each of these markets, the Bezeq Group holds a significant market share.

As used in this annual report, the terms “Internet Gold”, “we,” “us” and “our” mean Internet Gold - Golden Lines Ltd., “B Communications” or “B Communications” means B Communications Ltd., “SP1” means B Communications (SP1) Ltd., “SP2” means B Communications (SP2) Ltd., “Eurocom Communications” means Eurocom Communications Ltd., “Bezeq” means Bezeq - The Israel Telecommunications Corp. “Bezeq Group” means Bezeq and its subsidiaries, Ltd.; “Pelephone” means Pelephone Communications Ltd., “Bezeq International” means Bezeq International Ltd. and “YES” (the trade name for DBS) and DBS mean DBS Satellite Services (1998) Ltd. Bezeq, Pelephone, Bezeq International and DBS are sometimes collectively referred to as the “Bezeq Group” in this annual report.

Our consolidated financial statements appearing in this annual report are prepared in New Israeli Shekels and are translated into U.S. dollars at the representative rate of exchange at December 31, 2018 (NIS 3.748= \$1.00). The dollar amounts so presented should not be construed as representing amounts receivable, payable or incurred in dollars or convertible into dollars. All references in this annual report to “dollars” or “\$” are to U.S. dollars and all references in this annual report to “NIS” are to New Israeli Shekels.

Statements made in this annual report concerning the contents of any contract, agreement or other document are summaries of such contracts, agreements or documents and are not complete descriptions of all of their terms. If we filed any of these documents as an exhibit to this annual report or to any registration statement or annual report that we previously filed, you may read the document itself for a complete description of its terms.

Forward Looking Statements

Except for the historical information contained in this annual report, the statements contained in this annual report are “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended, with respect to our business, financial condition and results of operations. Such forward-looking statements reflect our current view with respect to future events and financial results. We urge you to consider that statements which use the terms “anticipate,” “believe,” “do not believe,” “expect,” “plan,” “intend,” “estimate,” “anticipate” and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. We remind readers that forward-looking statements are merely predictions and therefore inherently subject to uncertainties and other factors and involve known and unknown risks that could cause the actual results, performance, levels of activity, or our achievements, or industry results, to be materially different from any future results, performance, levels of activity, or our achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements are also included in Item 4 – “Information on the Company” and Item 5 – “Operating and Financial Review and Prospects.” Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. Except as required by applicable law, including the securities laws of the United States, we undertake no obligation to publicly release any update or revision to any forward-looking statements to reflect new information, future events or circumstances, or otherwise after the date hereof. We have attempted to identify significant uncertainties and other factors affecting forward-looking statements in the Risk Factors section that appears in Item 3.D. “Key Information - Risk Factors.”

It is hereby clarified that the business estimates detailed in this report regarding the Bezeq Group are estimates received from Bezeq.

TABLE OF CONTENTS (TBD)

	Page
PART I	1
ITEM 1. <u>IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS</u>	1
ITEM 2. <u>OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE</u>	1
ITEM 3. <u>KEY INFORMATION</u>	1
A. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	1
B. <u>Capitalization and Indebtedness</u>	2
C. <u>Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds</u>	2
D. <u>Risk Factors</u>	2
ITEM 4. <u>INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY</u>	18
A. <u>History and Development of the Company</u>	18
B. <u>Business Overview</u>	19
C. <u>Organizational Structure</u>	90
D. <u>Property, Plants and Equipment</u>	90
ITEM 4A. <u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	91
ITEM 5. <u>OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS</u>	91
A. <u>Operating Results</u>	91
B. <u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	105
C. <u>Research and Development, Patents and Licenses</u>	121
D. <u>Trend Information</u>	121
E. <u>Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements</u>	121
F. <u>Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations</u>	121
ITEM 6. <u>DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES</u>	122
A. <u>Directors and Senior Management</u>	122
B. <u>Compensation</u>	124
C. <u>Board Practices</u>	125
D. <u>Employees</u>	129
E. <u>Share Ownership</u>	130
ITEM 7. <u>MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS</u>	130
A. <u>Major Shareholders</u>	130
B. <u>Related Party Transactions</u>	131
C. <u>Interests of Experts and Counsel</u>	132
ITEM 8. <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	133
A. <u>Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information</u>	133
B. <u>Significant Changes</u>	150
ITEM 9. <u>THE OFFER AND LISTING</u>	150
A. <u>Offer and Listing Details</u>	150
B. <u>Plan of Distribution</u>	150
C. <u>Markets</u>	150
D. <u>Selling Shareholders</u>	150
E. <u>Dilution</u>	150
F. <u>Expense of the Issue</u>	150
ITEM 10. <u>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</u>	151
A. <u>Share Capital</u>	151
B. <u>Memorandum and Articles of Association</u>	151
C. <u>Material Contracts</u>	155
D. <u>Exchange Controls</u>	155
E. <u>Taxation</u>	155
F. <u>Dividends and Paying Agents</u>	161
G. <u>Statement by Experts</u>	161
H. <u>Documents on Display</u>	161
I. <u>Subsidiary Information</u>	161
ITEM 11. <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS</u>	162
ITEM 12. <u>DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	163

	Page
PART II	
ITEM 13. DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARAGES AND DELINQUENCIES	164
ITEM 14. MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS	164
ITEM 15. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	165
ITEM 16. [RESERVED]	166
ITEM 16A. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT	166
ITEM 16B. CODE OF ETHICS	166
ITEM 16C. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	166
ITEM 16D. EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES	167
ITEM 16E. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS	167
ITEM 16F. CHANGES IN REGISTRANT'S CERTIFYING ACCOUNTANT	167
ITEM 16G. CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	167
ITEM 16H. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE	168
PART III	
ITEM 17. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	169
ITEM 18. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	169
ITEM 19. EXHIBITS	170

PART I

ITEM 1. IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

Not applicable.

ITEM 3. KEY INFORMATION

A. Selected Financial Data

The tables below as of and for the five years ended December 31, 2018 set forth selected consolidated financial data, which is derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. The audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2017 and 2018 and for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2017 and 2018 appear in this annual report.

Consolidated Statement of Income Data:

(Amounts in millions, except share and per share data)

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2014	2015	2016 (NIS)	2017	2018	2018 (U.S. \$)
Revenues	9,055	9,985	10,084	9,789	9,321	2,487
Depreciation and amortization	1,873	2,131	2,161	2,117	2,387	637
Salaries	1,771	1,960	2,017	2,008	1,995	532
General and operating expenses	3,371	3,878	4,024	3,911	3,405	909
Loss from impairment of assets	-	-	-	129	2,294	611
Other operating expenses	535	3	21	20	635	170
	6,480	7,972	8,223	8,185	10,716	2,859
Operating profit (loss)	2,575	2,013	1,861	1,604	(1,395)	(372)
Finance expense	1,329	759	1,108	652	664	177
Finance income	(635)	(164)	(133)	(75)	(94)	(25)
Finance expense, net	694	595	975	577	570	152
Profit (loss) after financing expenses, net	1,881	1,418	886	1,027	(1,965)	(524)
Share of profit (losses) in equity- Accounted investee	170	(12)	5	5	3	1
Profit (loss) before income tax	1,711	1,430	881	1,022	(1,968)	(525)
Income tax expenses	667	347	442	347	(59)	(16)
Net profit (loss) for the year	1,044	1,083	439	675	(1,909)	(509)
Profit (loss) attributable to owners of the company	(103)	87	(202)	(15)	(717)	(191)
Income attributable to non-controlling interest	1,147	996	641	690	(1,192)	(318)
Net profit (loss) for the year	1,044	1,083	439	675	(1,909)	(509)
Basic earnings (loss) per share.	(5.38)	4.54	(10.52)	(0.82)	(29.77)	(7.94)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	(5.50)	4.47	(10.52)	(0.82)	(29.77)	(7.94)

Statements of Financial Position data:
(Amounts in millions)

	December 31,					
	2014	2015	2016 (NIS)	2017	2018	2018 (U.S. \$)
Cash and cash equivalents	732	619	810	2,408	1,173	313
Restricted cash	65	155	-	-	-	-
Total assets	21,558	22,410	20,527	20,834	19,514	5,206
Total current liabilities	3,974	5,352	4,407	4,224	7,647	2,040
Non-current liabilities	14,818	14,457	13,383	14,154	11,703	3,123

B. Capitalization and Indebtedness

Not applicable.

C. Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

D. Risk Factors

Investing in our ordinary shares involves a high degree of risk and uncertainty. You should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below before investing in our ordinary shares. If any of the following risks actually materializes, our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations could be harmed. In that case, the value of our ordinary shares could decline and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Directly Related to Internet Gold – Golden Lines

We have a substantial amount of existing debt, restricting our operating and financing flexibility. We are facing default and immediate action by our debenture holders. We may sell our company or our holding interest in B Communications to a potential bidder because of our financial situation.

Our significant level of debt could have critical consequences, including, but not limited to, the following:

- we may have insufficient cash flows from operations to service our debt;
- we are vulnerable to, and have limited flexibility to respond to, general adverse economic and industry conditions;
- impeding our ability to obtain additional debt or equity financing and increasing the cost of any such financing, particularly due to the financial and other restrictive covenants contained in the agreements governing our debt; and
- adversely affecting the public's perception of us.

Our credit rating impact the cost and availability of future borrowings and, accordingly, our cost of capital.

In June 2018, Midroog downgraded the rating of our debentures (Series C and D) from Baa1.il to Baa3.il. In October 2018, Midroog downgraded the rating of our Series C and Series D from a Baa3.il to a Ba2.il. In January 2019, Midroog downgraded the rating of our debentures (Series C and D) from Ba2.il to Ca.il. In March 2019, Midroog downgraded the rating of our debentures (Series C and D) from Ca.il to C.il. The downgrades reflect Midroog's assessment of a high certainty of default (as defined by Midroog's standards).

In April 2019, TRYMG International Communications Ltd. (formerly Zeevi International Communications Ltd.), a company owned by Mr. Gad Zeevi, as well as Searchlight Capital Partners, or SCP submitted two different and competitive offers for the purchase of the Company's shares in B Communications and for additional investment in B Communications. SCP offer was chosen by the holders of Internet Gold's debentures for the purpose of promoting a transaction and received limited time exclusivity. Both offers are subject to various terms.

While Internet Gold's debenture holders decided to advance the SCP proposal (and grant SCP exclusivity), TRYMG submitted to B Communications a final offer for an investment of NIS 950 million against issuance of shares by B Communications to TRYMG at market value (according to its financial statements). The offer is subject to various terms.

At this stage, the holders of the debentures of the two companies, and the companies themselves, examine the various proposals.

SCP offer was due to expire on May 10, 2019, but following advanced negotiations among our company, SCP and Internet Gold (as well as with the debenture holders of our company and Internet Gold), the deadline for approval of the transaction (as set by SCP) has been extended by a week (i.e., until May 17, 2019).

On May 14, 2019, an updated offer was received from SCP for the purchase of the controlling shares B Communications while executing a cash investment in B Communications in the total amount of NIS 640 million. The offer is subject to the approval of our debenture holders and of B Communications, as well as the Israeli courts. The transaction, if it shall be executed, will include early repayments of the main principal payments of B Communications' debentures until the end of 2023 and shall include updated conditions for their Series C Debentures. A substantial part of the expected investment amount will be injected by us into B Communications against debt and equity.

The risk that we may not successfully complete a financing and the risk that we may not have adequate liquidity to service our debt, even if a financing is completed within the time and upon the terms contemplated, may raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Our plans with respect to addressing these matters are discussed in greater in this Annual Report and in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements. Our future viability is dependent on our ability to execute these plans successfully or otherwise address our liquidity shortfall. If we fail to do so for any reason, we would not have adequate financial resources to service our debt, would not be able to continue as a going concern and could potentially be forced to seek relief through a filing under the bankruptcy laws.

Taking into consideration the following: (1) the Company currently does not have sufficient resources to pay its debts to its creditors; (2) the decline in the prices of both Bezeq and B Communications shares; (3) the failure of the sale process of the shares of B Communications in a manner that could enable the Company to service its liabilities; (4) the Company's decision to withhold payments to financial creditors at this stage; and (5) B Communications' decision to withhold payments to financial creditors at this stage.

In light of the above, the execution of a transaction for the sale of B Communications shares, all in a manner that will be approved by the bond holders of the Company, will be required in order to enable the Company to make any payments of its financial debt. At this stage and until such transaction enters into advanced stages, there is a substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

We have a substantial amount of existing debt in the form of debentures, which we historically serviced with dividend payments received from B Communications. Bezeq's determination to suspend the payment of dividends has materially affected our ability to repay our debt.

As of May 14, 2019, we had approximately NIS 739 million (\$197 million) of debt, which we have historically serviced with the proceeds of dividend payments from B Communications. Bezeq paid total cash dividends of NIS 1.8 billion, NIS 1.4 billion, and NIS 1.3 billion in the years ended December 31, 2015, 2016 and 2017, of which B communications received NIS 545 million, NIS 380 million and NIS 338 million. On March 6, 2018, Bezeq's Board of Directors updated its dividend distribution policy to distribute a dividend of 70% of its half-yearly profit (after tax). As a result of increased competition which impacted Bezeq's earnings and the lower distribution rate, we did not receive any dividends from B Communications in 2018.

On March 28, 2019, Bezeq reported a NIS 1.07 billion loss for 2018 after write-offs during the year and announced that due to the losses, dividend payments would be halted for the next two years. Accordingly, we cannot expect to receive any dividends for the next two years. which determination will severely impact our ability to service our debt.

Neither we nor B Communications exercise management or operational control over Bezeq and Bezeq Group companies.

Bezeq is an Israeli issuer (TASE: BEZQ) and is prohibited under Israeli securities law from passing confidential information about its business operations to B Communications and to us, or to any other third-party. Only limited non-public financial information is periodically reported by Bezeq to B Communications, in order for us to meet our reporting requirements as issuers. Therefore, we are generally not privy to non-public information inside Bezeq. In addition, Bezeq's interests may not be aligned with our best interests.

We and B Communications are subject to the Control Permit for holding the controlling interest in Bezeq. Failure to comply with this permit or other regulatory provisions relating to the control of Bezeq may result in the revocation of the Control Permit and our rights with respect to our Bezeq interest would be adversely impacted, which would materially and adversely affect our business and financial position.

Pursuant to the Communications Order, we were required to obtain the prior written consent of the Ministers of Communications and Finance, or the Ministers, in order to obtain a permit to acquire Bezeq. Under the Communications Order, no person may hold, directly or indirectly, "significant influence" over Bezeq or 5% or more of any particular class of Means of Control in Bezeq, nor may any person, together with any other person, appoint, elect or dismiss the general manager of Bezeq or cause the election, appointment or dismissal of any director of Bezeq, without the prior written consent of the Ministers. Subject to certain exceptions, prior written approval of the Ministers is also required to increase the holdings or other rights in excess of those determined in the initial approval, including by means of an agreement (including a voting agreement). No person may transfer control, "significant influence" or Means of Control in Bezeq to another, if, as a result of the transfer, the holdings of the transferee would require approval pursuant to the Israeli Communications Law or Communications Order and the transferor is aware that the transferee is not in possession of the requisite approval. For the foregoing purposes, "significant influence" means the ability to significantly influence the activity of a corporation, whether alone or together with or through others, directly or indirectly, other than as a result of holding Means of Control in that corporation or in another corporation, and including the ability derived from the corporation's articles of association, a written, oral or other kind of agreement, or from any other source. In this context, the right to appoint an officer or holding 25% of our Means of Control is presumed to confer significant influence. "Means of Control" means the right to vote at a general meeting of Bezeq, appoint a director or general manager of Bezeq, or to participate in the profits of Bezeq or a share of the remaining assets of Bezeq after payment of its debts upon liquidation.

According to the Control Permit, the control must be held by an “Israeli Party,” as defined in the Communications Order. Currently, B Communications and we are deemed to be “Israeli Parties,” so long as B Communications and we are controlled by a citizen and resident of Israel. Persons with certain criminal convictions may also not hold a Control Permit. Persons with certain criminal convictions may also not hold a Control Permit and the Ministers have very broad discretion in that regard.

The Control Permit includes several other conditions, including the requirement that SP2, the subsidiary which holds our controlling interest in Bezeq, be controlled exclusively by the other parties to the Control Permit and that the parties to the Control Permit hold not less than 30% of any type of Means of Control of Bezeq and SP2. In February 2011, the Ministers permitted such percentage to decrease to 29% for a period of six months commencing from the date such holdings fall below 30%, in the event of dilution resulting from the exercise of options by Bezeq employees.

Despite the 30% rule, according to Article 3(a3) of the Communications Order, which is included as part of the Control Permit, the parties may hold less than 30% under certain circumstances, including the requirement that the parties control Bezeq and maintain at least a 25% ownership interest in Bezeq. We received explicit approval to hold a 25% stake in Bezeq and still maintain control.

In addition, the Control Permit requires that 19% of the issued share capital of SP2 be held at all times by an “Israeli Party,” as defined in the Communications Order. The Control Permit also includes certain notice requirements regarding changes in the composition of the board of directors and certain holdings in B Communications and us. If we, B Communications or any other party subject to the Control Permit fails to comply with the terms of the Control Permit or with other regulatory provisions relating to the control of Bezeq, such permit could be revoked and our rights with respect to our Bezeq interest would be adversely impacted, which would have a material adverse effect on our business and financial position.

Any event in which a receiver is appointed with respect to our holdings in SP2 or SP2’s holdings in Bezeq will constitute grounds for the cancellation of the Control Permit. In addition, in the event that the Ministers determine that a material change in the details included in the application for the Control Permit has occurred or the members to the Control Permit failed to provide requisite notifications in accordance with the Control Permit, and there is a real concern that the essential service provided by Bezeq will be harmed, the Ministers may cancel the Control Permit or set conditions for its continuation pursuant to the provisions of the Israeli Communications Law.

In the event that the Control Permit is cancelled and an application to reissue another control permit is denied, our holdings in Bezeq must be liquidated within 15 to 60 days (depending on the cause for such cancellation) pursuant to the Communications Order.

In accordance with the Concentration Law, if either B Communications or we are unable to delist our ordinary shares from the TASE and redeem any publicly held debt or go private prior to December 10, 2019, we will not be permitted to hold the control permit in Bezeq after such date.

Under the Concentration Law, a second-tier company (i.e., a company with publicly held debt or equity securities that is subject to reporting obligations under the Israeli Securities Law and controlled by a first-tier company), is prohibited from controlling another tier company. In the case of existing companies, a second-tier company is entitled to continue to control another tier company that it controlled on the publication date of the Concentration Law for a period of six years from the date of publication of the Concentration Law, i.e., until December 10, 2019. In the event that a second-tier company controls another tier company contrary to the provisions of the Concentration Law, a district court may appoint a trustee, who will be awarded the Means of Control in such tier company for the purpose of selling such Means of Control. The trustee shall act pursuant to the orders of such court with respect to the Means of Control. Such court may, instead of appointing a trustee and under certain circumstances, order that the Means of Control held by the controlling shareholder shall not provide any rights whatsoever. Until the appointment of a trustee by a district court, the Means of Control held by a tier company that illegally controls another tier company shall not grant any voting rights at the illegally held tier company’s shareholder meetings. The Concentration Law sets forth certain mechanisms intended to enable a tier company to make various arrangements for the repurchase of its publicly-held shares and the early redemption of publicly-held debt in order to comply with the provisions of the law.

We are deemed to be a first-tier company, B Communications is a second-tier company and Bezeq is deemed to be a third-tier company under the Concentration Law. Accordingly, if either B Communications or we are unable to redeem any publicly held debt, which is unlikely at this time, and delist our ordinary shares from the TASE (which would require 90-days' prior notice to the TASE) or go private prior to December 10, 2019, we will not be permitted to control Bezeq after such date and our holdings in Bezeq may be transferred to a trustee for the purpose of selling such holdings. Furthermore, if a trustee is appointed, he may motion a district court to order the cancellation of distributions made by Bezeq prior to his appointment if they are deemed not to be in Bezeq's interest.

An application has been made to the Ministry of Justice, whose goal is to establish a legal position according to which the Concentration Law will not apply to the current structure of our company, B Communications and Bezeq. We believe that taking into consideration the legal status of Eurocom Communications, and in particular, the appointment of a Liquidator and special managers on behalf of the Court, the control group in Bezeq is no longer a "Pyramid holding", which is prohibited under the provisions of the Concentration Law. We believe that as a result of the ongoing liquidation of Eurocom Communications and the appointment of the special managers, we should no longer be considered a "first layer company" as this term is defined in the Concentration Law.

The purpose of the Concentration Law was to prevent a situation in which an "Ultimate Controlling Shareholder" controls the structure of three public companies, but under the current situation we believe that this situation no longer exists. We believe that this conclusion has been reinforced in the light of our current discussions with the holders of the Debentures and the appointment of representatives of the debenture holders due to our decision to suspend payments to the debenture holders.

As reported extensively in our periodic reports, both we and B Communications have received financing proposals that would provide a solution to our reaching compliance with the Concentration Law. The proposals include several alternative options to assure compliance with the Concentration Law:

- The sale of our shares in B Communications in a way that "disconnects a public layer".
- Significant capital injection into B Communications in consideration for the issuance of such number of our ordinary shares that would result in a new controlling shareholder which is not a public company and does not constitute a "layer company" (which is prohibited by the Concentration Law).
- The replacement of existing public debt in our company with private debt - in a manner that constitutes a full legal solution to the Concentration Law.

In practice, all the alternatives would create an updated corporate structure that would resolve the Concentration Law issue through a new controlling shareholder which is not a public company.

No assurance can be given that such alternative solutions will be reached on a timely basis.

If we do not maintain control of Bezeq (through B Communications) under the definition of the Investment Company Act of 1940, we may be deemed to be an "investment company" under the Investment Company Act of 1940, which could materially and adversely affect our business.

Section 3(a)(1)(A) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, or the Investment Company Act, defines an investment company as any issuer that is, holds itself out as being, or proposes to be, primarily engaged in the business of investing, reinvesting or trading in securities and Section 3(a)(1)(C) of the Investment Company Act defines an investment company as any issuer that is engaged or proposes to engage in the business of investing, reinvesting, owning, holding or trading in securities and owns or proposes to acquire "investment securities" (within the meaning of the Investment Company Act) having a value exceeding 40% of the value of the issuer's total assets (exclusive of U.S. government securities and cash items) on an unconsolidated basis. However, an issuer will be deemed not to be an investment company if no more than 45% of the value of such issuer's total assets (exclusive of government securities and cash items) consists of, and no more than 45% of such issuer's net income after taxes (for the last four fiscal quarters combined) is derived from, securities other than, among other things, securities issued by companies which are controlled primarily by such issuer. Primary control is presumed if the issuer owns over 25% of the controlled company's voting securities and the issuer has control greater than that of any other person. Accordingly, as long as we maintain control of Bezeq (under this specific definition of the Investment Company Act), we will not be deemed an investment company. Recent events may further bring the Control Permit issue into possible question in the future. According to the Control Permit, the control must be held by an "Israeli Party," as defined in the Communications Order. Currently, B Communications and we are deemed to be "Israeli Parties," so long as B Communications and we are controlled by a citizen and resident of Israel. Persons with certain criminal convictions may also not hold a Control Permit.

If we were to no longer maintain control of Bezeq (through B Communications and under the Investment Company Act definition), we could, among other things, be required either (i) to change substantially the manner in which we conduct our operations to avoid being subject to the Investment Company Act or (ii) to register as an investment company. An investment company that is organized under the laws of a foreign country may not register as an investment company, or publicly offer its securities through interstate commerce in the United States, unless Bezeq applies to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC for an order permitting Bezeq to register under the Investment Company Act, and to make a public offering in the United States. The SEC may issue an order granting the application if it finds that, by reason of special circumstances or arrangements, it is both legally and practically feasible effectively to enforce the provisions of the Investment Company Act against the issuer, and further finds that granting the application is otherwise consistent with the public interest and the protection of investors.

If we were required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act, we would become subject to substantial regulation with respect to our capital structure (including our ability to use leverage), management, operations, transactions with certain affiliates, reporting, record keeping, voting, proxy and disclosure requirements, and meeting these requirements would be costly, if at all possible.

Due to the material weakness pertaining to the design of Bezeq's internal control over financial reporting, such material weakness was considered a material weakness in our reports. We may fail in the future to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, which could have an adverse effect on our financial results and the market price of our ordinary shares.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 imposes certain duties on us and our executives and directors. Our efforts to comply with the requirements of Section 404(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 governing internal control and procedures for financial reporting have resulted in increased general and administrative expense and a diversion of management time and attention, and we expect these efforts to require the continued commitment of significant resources.

As a consequence of the investigations of Bezeq and several of its directors and senior officers by both the Israel Security Authority, or the ISA, and Israel's Police, we attempted to assess these investigations through the scope of our own internal control over financial reporting. However, due to provisions of Israeli law concerning obstructing investigation proceedings both Bezeq and we are prevented from examining all matters known to us that were raised in the investigations and accordingly we are unable to fully assess the effects of the investigations on our financial statements and internal controls over financial reporting. Subject to these limitations, in last year's annual report, we reported that in connection with our assessment of our internal controls over financial reporting that we completed the work necessary to identify a material weakness pertaining to the design of Bezeq's internal control over financial reporting relating to certain matters, principally the subjects of the investigations.

Our management then assessed the effectiveness of our own internal control over financial reporting within the framework of the "Internal Control – Integrated Framework" (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations, or the COSO. Due to the material weakness pertaining to the design of Bezeq's internal control over financial reporting, such material weakness was also considered a material weakness in our reports. Our auditors issued a "qualified opinion" on our financial statements because of the inability to obtain sufficient supporting evidence as to the effect, if any, of the investigations' proceedings on the consolidated financial statements. Our auditors also issued a "disclaimer of opinion" on our internal controls based on their inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to provide a basis for an audit opinion on the effectiveness of Bezeq's internal controls.

Bezeq published its 2018 financial report on March 27, 2019. Part 5 of that report, entitled, "Report on Effectiveness of Internal Controls," summarizes the process and results of an internal audit lead by Bezeq's Board of Directors and senior management in their remediation efforts. Bezeq's management concluded that that Bezeq's internal control over financial reporting for the period ending December 31, 2018 was "effective," given that the incidents that were identified, in the aggregate, as giving rise to a material weakness in 2017 were remediated during the reporting period. We therefore believe Bezeq's deficiencies were remedied in the subsidiary level.

We will maintain our own constant review of internal controls. We may in the future identify material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in our assessments of our internal control over financial reporting. Failure to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting could result in investigation or sanctions by regulatory authorities and could adversely affect our operating results, investor confidence in our reported financial information and the market price of our ordinary shares.

Our success depends on the continued service of certain key executives and personnel of Bezeq and the management of our company. The recent turnover in the management of Bezeq, B Communications and our company may adversely affect our strategy and the execution of our business plans.

Many of the Bezeq Group's key executives, including its CEO, CFO and executives of certain of its subsidiaries have been replaced in the recent past as a result of various criminal investigations. While we believe that the current management has significant experience and knowledge relating to the operations of the Bezeq Group, we cannot assure you that the Bezeq Group will be successful in retaining their services or that the Bezeq Group would be successful in hiring and training suitable replacements without undue costs or delays. As a result, the loss of any of these key executives and employees could cause significant disruptions in the Bezeq Group's business operations, which could materially adversely affect our results of operations. Similarly, our management has been instrumental in our success and loss of any key members of our management could materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Recent events have caused the replacement of certain key executives with our consent.

Our business could be negatively affected as a result of actions of activist shareholders, and such activism could impact the trading value of our securities.

In recent years, certain Israeli issuers listed on United States exchanges have been faced with governance-related demands from activist shareholders, as well as unsolicited tender offers and proxy contests. Although as a foreign private issuer we are not subject to U.S. proxy rules, responding to these types of actions by activist shareholders could be costly and time-consuming, disrupting our operations and diverting the attention of management and our employees. Such activities could interfere with our ability to execute our strategic plan. In addition, a proxy contest for the election of directors at our annual meeting would require us to incur significant legal fees and proxy solicitation expenses and require significant time and attention by management and our board of directors. The perceived uncertainties due to these potential actions of activist shareholders also could affect the market price and volatility of our securities.

Risks Related to Our Relationship with B Communications and Eurocom Communications Ltd. (as managed by the Special Managers)

Conflicts of interest may arise between B Communications, Eurocom Communications (and its special managers), other companies within the Eurocom Group and us that could be resolved in a manner unfavorable to us

Eurocom Communications has encountered financial difficulties and are attempting to sell its assets. An Israeli court issued a liquidation order for Eurocom Communications in April 2018. Subsequently, a liquidator was appointed and attorneys Pinchas Rubin, Amnon Lorch and Uri Gaon were appointed as special managers.

Eurocom Communications' attempts to sell its assets may not align with our or with B Communications' best interests.

In addition, the various offers to purchase shares in our company as well as in B Communications may create conflicting interests between our companies and between our respective debenture holders.

Risks Relating to the Entire Bezeq Group

Bezeq's current situation is extremely volatile, and this has led to increased scrutiny of our company as well.

Bezeq is currently actively seeking new financing alternatives since its market value has rapidly deteriorated in recent months. The market for Bezeq's securities, the regulatory environment and Bezeq's specific situation continue to remain highly volatile. We are a holding company and do not have any material assets other than the shares of Bezeq. Therefore, any major disruption to Bezeq's business will be catastrophic to the operations of the company.

In view of the latest developments, we are currently under a specific reporting situation in Israel, where we are required to report according to the "Hybrid Disclosure Model" pursuant to the rules of the Israel Securities Authority. Reporting under this model entails higher expenses on our company which may further harm our financial condition.

Former Executives of Bezeq, DBS and Eurocom Communications are under criminal investigation or facing indictments subject to hearings in Israel

The Israeli Police and the Israel Securities Authority, or the ISA, have recommended indictments of several former Bezeq, DBS and Eurocom Communications executives. Our Audit Committee engaged outside U.S. counsel to conduct an assessment of our internal controls and, as applicable, those of Bezeq in connection with the preparation of our financial statements to determine whether there have been any violations of the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act or any other laws. We incurred expenses in connection with such assessment and we may also incur substantial fines, civil or criminal sanctions, including fines and sanctions against our directors and officers, or third-party claims if any of our officers are directors are held liable under criminal laws and regulations. The Israeli law system might be insufficient to defend us and preserve our rights. We could also be subjected to risks to our reputation and regulatory action on account of any unethical acts by any of our employees, directors or other related individuals. These criminal developments have also added complexity to our corporate compliance regime. The Investigation may adversely affect the market price of our ordinary shares and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Bezeq Group does not have complete information about the investigations, their content, the material and evidence in the possession of the statutory authorities on this matter. Furthermore, in view of the provisions of Israeli law and concern of obstructing the investigation, at this stage Bezeq must refrain from conducting any checks relating to matters that arose in the course of those investigations. This limits Bezeq's ability to operate, including in connection with performing audit activity and reviews for the purpose of publishing Bezeq's reports, as further described below. The lack of information and uncertainty have also led to our auditors issuing a "qualified opinion" on our financial statements because of the inability to obtain sufficient supporting evidence as to the effect, if any, of the investigations' proceedings on the consolidated financial statements. Our auditors also issued a "disclaimer of opinion" on our internal controls based on their inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to provide a basis for an audit opinion on the effectiveness of Bezeq's internal controls.

While all the former directors of our company and the directors and executives of Bezeq who were allegedly involved in criminal actions are no longer associated with us or the Bezeq Group, the companies in the Bezeq Group may be indirectly implicated in the alleged criminal behavior.

In March 2019, we were informed that the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission had issued a Formal Order of Private Investigation with respect to our company. The Formal Order authorizes an investigation of possible violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act with respect to the facts uncovered in the criminal investigations in Israel.

The Bezeq Group companies, B Communications and us and certain of our current and former officers and directors have been named in shareholder class action lawsuits related to the recent criminal investigations in Israel, and may be named in further litigation, government investigations and proceedings, which could require significant additional management time and attention, result in significant additional legal expenses or result in government enforcement actions, any of which could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition, liquidity and cash flows.

The Bezeq Group companies, B Communications and us and certain of our current and former officers and directors have been named in shareholder class action lawsuits relating to the recent criminal investigations in Israel, and may become subject to further litigation, government investigations or proceedings arising out of the restatement. The pending litigation and settlements have been, and any future litigation, investigation or other actions that may be filed or initiated against us or our current or former officers or directors may be, time consuming and expensive. We cannot predict what losses we may incur in these litigation matters, and contingencies related to our obligations under the federal and state securities laws, or in other legal proceedings or governmental investigations or proceedings related to the restatement.

To date, we have incurred significant costs in connection with the internal investigation and pending litigation. Any legal proceedings, if decided adversely to us, could result in significant monetary damages, penalties and reputational harm, and will likely involve significant defense and other costs. We have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our directors and certain of our officers, and our amended and restated certificate of incorporation requires us to indemnify each of our directors and officers, to the fullest extent permitted by law, who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending or completed action, suit or proceeding by reason of the fact that he or she is or was a director or officer of the Bezeq Group Companies. Although we maintain insurance coverage in amounts and with deductibles that we believe are appropriate for our operations, our insurance coverage may not cover all claims that have been or may be brought against us, and insurance coverage may not continue to be available to us at a reasonable cost. As a result, we have been and may continue to be exposed to substantial uninsured liabilities, including pursuant to our indemnification obligations, which could materially adversely affect our business, prospects, results of operations and financial condition.

Apart from the recent major events, the Bezeq Group companies have historically been parties to legal proceedings, including class actions, which could result in their being ordered to pay significant sums, most of which cannot be estimated, and therefore, no provisions have been made in Bezeq's financial statements for most of them. In addition, Bezeq's insurance policies are limited to defined cover limits and to certain causes of action, and might not cover claims for certain types of damages. In recent years, class actions against large commercial companies have become more numerous and severe. By their very nature, class actions may result in significant judgments or settlements. In addition, since Bezeq provides communications infrastructures as well as billing services to other licensees, parties suing those licensees in other class actions are also likely to try to involve Bezeq as a party to such proceedings. For more details on class action pertaining to the Bezeq Group, see "Item 8A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information - Legal Proceedings".

The Bezeq Group companies are subject to governmental control and regulation.

The Bezeq Group companies are subject to government control and regulation relating, among other things, to the licensing of operations, setting permitted areas of operation, setting tariffs, operation, competition, payment of royalties, relations between Bezeq and its subsidiaries and a ban on ceasing or limiting its services (which could oblige Bezeq to provide services under uneconomic circumstances). The continuing governmental control and regulation has at times resulted in government intervention that Bezeq believes impedes its business activities. Bezeq is exposed to the imposition of various sanctions by the Ministry of Communications, including fines. In addition, the Minister of Communications has the authority to change the terms of the licenses of the Bezeq group companies, affect existing tariffs and marketing offerings, and impose directives on them. Significant changes in the regulatory principles applicable to the communications industry as a whole and to the Bezeq Group companies in particular, could require Bezeq to make changes to its strategic plans and harm its ability to plan its business activities for the long term.

Bezeq is subject to restrictions on intercompany relations with its principal subsidiaries, which harms its ability to compete and adversely affects its business.

Bezeq's general license obligates it to ensure that its relationships with its principal subsidiaries do not result in favoring them over their competitors. Separation is required between the managements of Bezeq and those companies, as is separation between the business, financial and marketing systems, assets and employees, which causes duplication and high administration overheads. In addition, Bezeq is currently limited in its ability to offer joint service bundles with those companies. Due to the entry of companies into direct competition with Bezeq based on the provision of service bundles to customers and the option of providing wholesale services in order to offer customers end-to-end services, the risk that this factor will affect Bezeq's operations and results of operations has increased.

Bezeq's assets include a deferred tax asset of NIS 1.166 billion for carry-forward losses for tax purposes of DBS. Utilization of this tax asset is contingent on receiving Ministry of Communications approval to cancel the structural separation in Bezeq. Bezeq is working to obtain these approvals. Nevertheless, there is no certainty as to if and when the approvals will be received. Accordingly, there is a risk that Bezeq's current assessment will change and result in the writing off of all or part of this tax asset, recording of the loss and a decrease in Bezeq's equity.

Bezeq's operations are subject to market risks such as currency fluctuations, inflation in Israel and the general economic environment and financial condition of the capital markets in Israel and worldwide.

Bezeq measures exposure to changes in exchange rates and inflation by the surplus or deficit of assets against liabilities, based on the type of linkage. While Bezeq's exposure to changes in currency exchange rates against the shekel is low, its exposure to inflation rates is high, and therefore Bezeq takes steps to cover part of the inflation exposure. As a result, the annual rate of inflation and its distribution during the year can have a material influence on the erosion of Bezeq's tariffs and its revenues and expenses during the year, which in turn could have a material adverse impact on its operating results.

From time to time, the Bezeq Group engages in currency hedging transactions to reduce the impact on its cash flows and results of operations of currency fluctuations. The Bezeq Group recognizes freestanding derivative financial instruments as either assets or liabilities in the statements of financial position and it measures those instruments at fair value. However, accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative instrument, such as a currency hedging instrument, depends on the intended use of the derivative instrument and the resulting designation. For derivative instruments that are not designated as cash flow hedges, changes in fair value are recognized in our income statement without any reference to the change in value of the related budgeted expenditures. These differences could result in fluctuations in Bezeq's quarterly results of operations.

Negative developments in, or the general weakness of, Israel's economy, in particular increasing levels of unemployment, may have a direct negative impact on the spending patterns of retail consumers, both in terms of the products they subscribe for and usage levels. Stability in the financial market and the strength of economies in countries around the world, have recently been subjected to high volatility. While the Israeli economy has displayed economic resilience, reflected in economic expansion, low levels of unemployment and inflation rates within government targets, the continued increase in of housing prices, global economic shocks and uncertainty in the political and defense arenas may cast doubt over a continuation of these trends. In the event the local economy is negatively impacted following external or internal events, Bezeq's business results may be harmed as consequence of lower revenues (including revenues from affiliates) or due to an increase in finance costs.

The Bezeq Group's operations are vulnerable to damage or interruption, which could expose it to material risks.

Bezeq provides services using various infrastructure systems that include exchanges; transmission, data communication and access networks; cables; computer systems and others. The Systems have critical importance in operating Bezeq's business and fulfill a vital function in its ability to perform its activities successfully. Hacking, interference, damage or collapse of the systems may impair Bezeq's business. Some of Bezeq's Systems have backups, but nevertheless, damage to some or all of these systems, whether due to a technical fault (including in the event of termination of a contract with a supplier who is relied on for support of the Systems), a natural disaster (earthquake, catastrophe, fire), damage to physical infrastructures by communications service providers using them or malicious damage (including through cyber-attacks as set out below), could cause extreme difficulties in providing service, including if Bezeq is unable to repair the systems.

Bezeq has a "cyber risk", meaning a risk of occurrence of an activity intended to affect use of a computers, stored data or communication systems. This kind of an attack may lead to interference in the business, theft of information, reputational damage, damage to systems and information breach. As a leading communications company that provides diverse communications services in various segments, it is a target for and experiences cyber-attacks, which are handled by it.

Bezeq is an entity overseen by Israel National Cyber Directorate and is obligated to comply with stringent information security standards. Bezeq implements a protection policy that includes advanced security systems, which are operated in a manner that combines effective security with the operational needs of Bezeq and layers of security to protect its infrastructures and systems, which are designed to prevent and reduce the possibility of malicious or unintentional use of the mapping data of Bezeq's network by an internal or external entity, and the possibility of an external entity taking control and managing network components or abusing information about Bezeq's infrastructures and networks in any manner.

Bezeq overseas implementation of its protection policy, including testing its level of effectiveness and Bezeq's readiness, as part of which, Bezeq conducts periodic tests and drills at different frequencies for different scenarios (including through external companies specializing in this field).

Despite Bezeq's investments in means of reducing these risks, it is unable to guarantee that these efforts will succeed in preventing harm or interference in the systems and the information related to them.

The Bezeq Group companies could be subject to labor disruptions.

The Bezeq Group companies are subject to collective bargaining agreements that may reduce managerial flexibility and result in additional costs. The implementation of human resources and organization plans, including retirement and restructuring plans, involves coordination with the labor unions and with the employees' committees. The implementation processes of such plans may cause unrest in labor relations and be damaging to the Bezeq Group's ongoing activities.

Bezeq may face difficulties in obtaining some of the building and environmental permits required for the establishment and operation of its network sites, which could have an adverse effect on the coverage, quality and capacity of its network.

Bezeq is subject to the Israeli Non-Ionizing Radiation Law, which regulates the emission of electromagnetic radiation from broadcast facilities. While Bezeq is working to obtain permits to set up and operate its various broadcast installations, the difficulties it faces in this area, including difficulties stemming from the change in policy by relevant entities and amendments to statutes and standards, could have an adverse impact on the infrastructure of these installations and on the continuity of services using them, and as a result, on Bezeq's revenues from these services. Bezeq's third-party liability policy does not currently cover liability for electromagnetic radiation.

Frequent technological changes may negatively impact Bezeq's operations and the value of its assets.

The communications sector is characterized by frequent technological changes and the shortening of the economic life of new technologies. The trend has created a need to invest significant resources in technology upgrades, has caused the lowering of barriers to entry into the sector by new competitors, increased depreciation rates, and in certain cases, resulted in the redundancy of technologies and networks owned by Bezeq (the cost of investment in which is still recorded on its balance sheets).

Specific Risks Relating to Bezeq's Fixed - Line Communications

Competition from other providers could adversely affect the Bezeq's business, results of operations and financial condition.

The competition in the domestic fixed-line communications industry has recently intensified, both from other domestic carriers including HOT, Bezeq's principal competitor in this segment, and secondarily from other cellular operators. Competition strengthened significantly upon implementation of the wholesale market by the principal communications groups in Israel and other communications operators (holders of special or unified licenses) who compete with Bezeq in selling end-to-end service packages based on Bezeq's infrastructures at prices prescribed by the Ministry of Communications and not pursuant to commercial terms determined by negotiation. Bezeq may also face competition in the future from potential infrastructure owners. The increased competition has led to the churn of some of Bezeq's customers and has caused Bezeq to lower its prices for certain services and to an increase in the cost of acquiring new customers and retaining existing ones. The entities competing with Bezeq at present or those that might compete with it in the future, benefit from greater business flexibility than Bezeq, including the ability to cooperate with subsidiaries and affiliates for marketing joint packages of services. The ability of HOT to offer "Triple Play" and other packages with tariff flexibility compared with the restrictions that prevent Bezeq from doing the same, has adversely impacted Bezeq's ability to compete and its cash flow from operations.

In March 2019, Cellcom reportedly signed an agreement to purchase (together with another investor) 70% of the share capital of IBC, which may adversely affect Bezeq.

Bezeq's tariffs for fixed-line services are subject to governmental control, which could have a material adverse effect on its business.

Bezeq's tariffs for its main services (including interconnect fees) are subject to government control and intervention. The Minister of Communications is authorized to intervene in existing tariffs and marketing offers and impose directives on Bezeq. On average, controlled tariffs erode in real terms. Significant changes in Bezeq's controlled tariffs, if implemented could have a material adverse effect on Bezeq's business and results. Additionally, the restrictions applicable to Bezeq in marketing alternative payment bundles may make it difficult for Bezeq to provide an appropriate competitive solution to market changes and have placed Bezeq at a disadvantage to those competing with it in the sale of end-to-end service packages using wholesale Bitstream Access services, or BSA services, supplied by Bezeq. In the context of the implementation of a wholesale market, the Ministry of Communications has the power to set the price for which Bezeq will sell its services to license holders. The low prices set by the Ministry of Communications may adversely affect Bezeq's level of revenues and profits.

Specific Risks Relating to Pelephone

Competition from other providers has adversely affected Pelephone's business and results of operations.

Competition in the cellular telephony industry has intensified since 2012. This has led to lower prices and higher customer churn rates, which in turn has affected the results of Pelephone. Pelephone expects competition to continue to increase amid the changing legislation in Israel and consolidation in the telecommunications industry that permits certain service providers to market a combination of fixed-line telephony, fixed-line broadband internet infrastructure access, ISP and pay television services, or a "bundle", for an aggregate price which is lower than the price of the individual products and services in the bundle. These competitive forces may create further downward pressure on prices, which may result in a decrease in the Pelephone's average revenue per user, or ARPU, and increase churn rates. Furthermore, the costs of establishing, maintaining and operating a mobile telephony network per subscriber is expected to be higher for Pelephone if it will not be allowed to operate under some form of network sharing model.

Pelephone is subject to governmental control and regulation

The cellular industry in Israel is subject to legislation and standardization relating to issues such as the environment, increased competition, tariffs, product warranty and repair. Regulatory intervention in the industry may materially impact Pelephone's structure of competition and operating costs. Changes in the regulatory principles applicable to the cellular industry as a whole and to Pelephone in particular, could require Pelephone to make changes to its strategic plans and harm its ability to plan its business activities for the long term.

Pelephone's results of operations are subject to privacy concerns and hacking.

Pelephone operates information security systems to protect against unauthorized hacker access to the network and critical systems. Hacking events could impair performance or adversely affect Pelephone's business.

In May 2018, provisions concerning privacy protection came into force under the Protection of Privacy Regulations (Information Security) 2017 that are expected to significantly affect the operations of many companies. These regulations apply to various companies, including potentially Pelephone, where some of them apply to all types of databases and others are relate to the level of database security.

Pelephone's operations are subject to market risks such as currency fluctuations.

Pelephone is exposed to exchange rate risks as most of its terminal equipment, accessories, spare parts and infrastructure equipment are purchased in US dollars. While its revenues are in NIS. Any erosion of the NIS against the US dollar may affect Pelephone's profitability if it is unable to adjust selling prices promptly.

Frequent technological changes may negatively impact Pelephone's operations and finances.

The cellular market in Israel and worldwide is characterized by substantial capital investments in the deployment of infrastructure. The frequent technological changes in infrastructure and terminal equipment and the fierce competition in various market segments impose a heavy financial burden on the companies operating in the market, requiring them to update their infrastructure technology from time to time.

Pelephone provides its services through various infrastructure systems, including switches, data communications and access transmission networks, cables, computer systems and physical infrastructures. Pelephone's business is highly dependent on these systems. Pelephone has partial backup systems, however in the event of damage to some or all of the systems, whether due to a large-scale technical malfunction, natural disaster (such as an earthquake, fire, etc.), or damage to physical infrastructures (such as the introduction of viruses and cyber-attacks as set out below), significant difficulties may arise in providing of services, including in the event that Pelephone is not able to restore the systems quickly.

Information security, customer data protection and cyber risks - as a leading cellular company that provides service to hundreds of thousands of customers, Pelephone is a target for cyber-attacks aimed at harming the use of information systems or the information itself. Such attacks or hacking may cause interruption of business, theft of information/money, damage to reputation, damage to systems and information leakage.

Pelephone has experienced cyber-attacks and part of its defensive strategy includes testing of its effectiveness and readiness. Pelephone conducts various tests scenarios and attack exercises (including through external companies specializing in this area).

Notwithstanding Pelephone's investments in means for reducing such risks, it cannot guarantee that these measures will succeed in preventing damage and/or disruption of the Systems and the information linked to them.

Pelephone's results of operations are subject to credit risk associated with consumer credit transactions.

Pelephone's sales of terminal equipment are mostly credit-based. Most of this credit, which is not covered by either insurance or sureties, is exposed to risk. The credit is spread among a large number of customers and Pelephone's collection mechanisms are efficient and competent.

Potential health risks related to cellular network sites and cellular telecommunication devices could have a material adverse effect on Pelephone's business, results of operations and financial condition.

Pelephone operates hundreds of broadcast facilities and sells electromagnetic radiation emitting terminal equipment. While Pelephone is taking measures to ensure that the levels of radiation emitted by its broadcast facilities and terminal equipment do not exceed the radiation levels permitted in the Ministry of Environmental Protection guidelines (the levels adopted are based on international standards), no assurance can be given that it will be able to do so in the future. If health risks are found to exist or if the broadcast sites or terminal equipment are found to emit radiation levels exceeding the permitted radiation standards, thereby constituting a health hazard, this may have an adverse effect due to reduced consumption of Pelephone's services, difficulty in renting sites, compensation claims for physical and property damages in substantial amounts and attempts to exercise the deeds of indemnity deposited by Pelephone with the planning authorities with respect to applicable law. Pelephone's third-party liability policies do not currently cover electromagnetic radiation and any exposure to such claims could have a material adverse impact on Pelephone's business, results of operations and financial condition.

Pelephone may face difficulties in obtaining some of the building and environmental permits required for the establishment and operation of its cellular antennas.

Pelephone is subject to the Israeli Radiation Law. Establishing and operating cellular antennas require building permits from various planning and building committees, a process that involves obtaining several approvals from State entities and local regulatory bodies. The inability to obtain and retain the necessary permits may impact the quality of Pelephone's existing network and the deployment of its new network.

Pelephone may be restricted in the conduct of its operations during periods of national emergency, which could negatively affect its business operations.

During periods of national emergency, the Minister of Communications and other governmental authorities may issue various instructions regarding the use of Pelephone's network, including the use of the network by the Israeli security forces. In addition, the Israeli Equipment Registration and IDF Mobilization Law, 1987 permits the taking and use of engineering equipment and facilities by Israel's Defense Forces. These actions could adversely affect Pelephone's business operations.

Pelephone's frequencies are exposed to interference which could impair the service quality of its services.

The frequencies used by Pelephone, 850 MHz, 1800 MHz and 2100 MHz, are exposed to interference and could impair the service quality of the networks operated by Pelephone. The factors that could cause interference include the fact that the 850 MHz frequency is also used for terrestrial television broadcasts by television stations in the Middle East on the same frequency, causing interference in Pelephone's 850 MHz UMTS/HSPA network. Furthermore, the Jordanian networks also use the same 2100 MHz frequency range that Pelephone uses and in view of the limited cooperation between the operators in Jordan and Pelephone, this could have an effect.

In September 2018, there were a series of highly publicized disruptions in the cellular service of Pelephone affecting both incoming and outgoing calls, text messages and wireless internet service. There can be no assurance that these disruptions will not reoccur.

Specific Risks Relating to DBS

Competition from other providers and content piracy has adversely affected DBS's business and results of operations.

Competition in the broadcast sector with HOT and more recently with Cellcom and Partner TV, and including the ability to subscribe to Netflix and Amazon Prime Video directly, requires DBS to constantly invest in attracting and retaining customers, and dealing with high subscriber churn rates between the companies. Competition also increased due to the increasing use of pirated broadcasts. The broadcasting sector is also exposed to piracy by viewers in viewing broadcasts without paying subscription fees and is exposed to unlicensed public access to content to which the broadcast providers have rights. These competitive forces may create downward pressure on prices, which may result in a decrease in the DBS's ARPU and increase churn rates.

DBS's operations are subject to market risks such as currency fluctuations, economic weakness and the security situation in Israel.

A material part of DBS's expenses and investments are linked to fluctuations in the exchange rate of the US dollar (particularly content, satellite segments, purchase of decoders and additional logistics equipment). Therefore, sharp fluctuations in the exchange rate will have an effect on DBS's business results. In addition, the loans taken out by DBS are linked to the consumer price index and, therefore, sharp rises in inflation rates could have a material effect on DBS's business results. An economic recession, increase in unemployment rates and a decrease in disposable income might bring about a decrease in the number of DBS' subscribers, a decrease in DBS' revenues and harm to its business results. In addition, an ongoing unstable security situation in large areas of Israel, which disrupts the day-to-day lives of the residents, could have an adverse effect on DBS's business results.

Technological developments and improvements may negatively affect DBS and its operations.

The development of new technologies may render existing technology inferior, forcing DBS to invest large sums to retain its competitive edge. Such technological advances and developments may also facilitate increased accessibility to video content, allowing other providers to offer content viewing services without the need for heavy investment that may make it difficult for DBS to recruit new subscribers, retain existing subscribers and offer its services. In order to compete effectively, DBS may be required to invest large amounts. Alternative multi-channel broadcasting infrastructures, such as DTT, a terrestrial implementation of digital television technology using an aerial to broadcast to a conventional television antenna (or aerial) instead of a satellite dish or cable television connections, and its expansion, may have an adverse impact on the financial results of DBS.

In March 2019, the boards of Bezeq and DBS approved an outline plan for DBS switching from satellite broadcasting to online transmission (OTT) in a gradual, prolonged process, expected to be spread over a period of up to seven years. DBS's average annual investment over the planned years is expected to be similar to the average annual investment in recent years. Based on this decision, DBS will routinely monitor market conditions, competition and the technological environment, and will periodically review the feasibility of the outline plan and the need, if any, to make adjustments in it, in the pace of its execution or in the manner of its implementation, taking into account the needs of its customers and DBS's regulatory obligations.

DBS is subject to restrictions on intercompany relations with Bezeq and its other subsidiaries, which harms its ability to compete and adversely affects its business.

DBS is restricted in entering into joint ventures with Bezeq with respect to offering communications service bundles. Both HOT and Cellcom, DBS's principal competitors, are able to provide service bundles to their customers, which provide them with a significant advantage. DBS's inability to offer joint service bundles to customers has had a material impact on its business and competitive ability.

There are significant risks associated with providing satellite-based broadcasting.

DBS broadcasts its multi-channel pay television via space segments on the Amos 2 and Amos 3 satellites stationed at identical points in space. Malfunction of one of the satellites, damage to one of them or the unavailability of space segments on any of the satellites (including the unavailability of a new satellite scheduled to replace a satellite that ceased to broadcast) could disrupt and materially reduce the volume of DBS broadcasts, unless an alternative is promptly found to replace unavailable space segments. While DBS has attempted to provide for redundancy and has entered into a partial backup mechanism in its agreement with Spacecom, it may not be successful in fully replacing its broadcast capabilities and would likely not be able to provide all the channels it now offers. DBS is not insured against loss of revenues caused by satellite malfunction.

DBS is dependent on Spacecom, as the exclusive holder of the rights and the sole provider of space segments used by DBS.

Until such time as DBS switches from satellite broadcasting to online transmission (OTT) it will be dependent on Spacecom Communications Ltd., or Spacecom, a company affiliated with Eurocom Communications (Eurocom's holdings in Spacecom today are administered by attorney Hagai Ullman), as the exclusive holder of the rights and the sole provider of space segments used by DBS in providing satellite broadcasts. Spacecom is also responsible for operating the space segments. Any inability by Spacecom to provide DBS with the space segments necessary for its broadcasts would negatively impact DBS's business and competitive position.

DBS is dependent on several third-party vendors and a disruption in those services could adversely affect its business.

DBS is dependent on certain providers of software, equipment, content and services, including broadcast encryption services in providing its satellite TV services. Failure to receive the products and services, or the failure to retain broadcast licenses and obtain access to new content from content providers services would negatively impact DBS's business and competitive position.

DBS depends on its broadcast centers and central computing center in Israel and is susceptible to any event that could adversely affect their condition.

Damage to a broadcast center's operations may significantly impair DBS's ability to continue its satellite TV broadcasts. DBS operates broadcast centers in Kfar Saba and Re'em Junction in order to reduce the risks involved if one of its centers sustains damage and improves the survivability of some of its broadcast capabilities. In the event of damage to one of the broadcast centers, DBS will be able to continue broadcasting only a portion of its channels from the other broadcasting center. This is more significant in the event of damage to the Kfar Saba center, which is the only center with the capacity to broadcast certain of DBS's key channels. Both of the broadcast centers have identical encryption systems and therefore backup is also available for the encryption system in the event of damage to one of the broadcast centers. A significant malfunction in DBS's central computer systems would also severely impact its operational capability. While DBS has a remote backup site designed to be activated and provide partial computer services within a few hours in the event of malfunction, it will be extremely difficult for DBS to operate efficiently without the operation of the central computer systems. Damage to DBS's logistics center could also lead to a disruption of its operations.

DBS's technology is currently inferior to that of its principal competitor.

DBS's technology is inferior to that of HOT, its principal competitor. This technical inferiority prevents DBS from providing telephony and Internet services, and various interactive services, including VOD, via its infrastructure; and therefore, DBS is dependent on third parties in order to provide such services. In March 2019, the Boards of Bezeq and DBS approved an outline plan for DBS switching from satellite broadcasting to OTT in a gradual, prolonged process, expected to be spread over a period of up to seven years. DBS's average annual investment over the planned years is expected to be similar to the average annual investment in recent years. There can be no assurance that DBS will succeed in offering a competitive technology or that it will be able to increase or maintain its current subscriber base.

DBS is dependent on third-party encryption systems.

DBS encrypts the broadcasts it transmits via satellite and utilizes encoded smart cards that are installed in the decoders in subscribers' homes. Defects in the encryption system or its enforcement or a breach thereof could make it possible for unauthorized persons to view broadcasts without payment to DBS, causing a reduction in revenues and a breach of the agreements between DBS and its content suppliers. A malfunction of the encryption system or its enforcement could have a material adverse impact on DBS's operations and financial results.

DBS's frequencies are not exclusive, and are subject to interference, which could impair the service quality of its services.

The spectrum of frequencies used by DBS to transmit its broadcasts from the broadcast satellites to the satellite dishes installed in subscribers' homes is allocated in accordance with the license from the Ministry of Communications and is defined as a frequency spectrum with a secondary allocation. An Israeli entity is allowed to make authorized primary use the frequency spectrum used by DBS. If the owner of the primary allocation uses the frequency spectrum, this may cause an adverse impact on the quality and/or availability of DBS broadcasts to its subscribers, which may adversely affect the financial results of DBS. The primary allocation holder has not made use of such frequencies in a manner that has caused any real or lengthy disruptions to DBS's broadcasts. As DBS's broadcasts are wireless transmissions from broadcast centers to broadcast satellites and from them to the receiver dishes in subscriber homes, the broadcast of wireless signals in the same frequency spectrum, whether or not they originate in Israel, and extreme weather conditions of heavy rain, hail or snow could cause disruptions to the quality and/or availability of the broadcasts provided by DBS to its subscribers. Such disruptions may have an adverse effect on DBS's financial results.

Specific Risks Relating to Bezeq International**Bezeq International's operations are subject to currency fluctuations.**

The primary currency in which Bezeq International operates is the NIS. While the majority of Bezeq International's revenues are derived from customers in Israel, Bezeq International uses services from providers worldwide and pays them for these services in foreign currency, primarily in US dollars. Changes in the exchange rates of the currencies in which Bezeq International operates against the NIS exposes it to rate differentials on the gap generated, which could adversely affect its profitability by increasing financing expenses, as well as its cash flows. To protect itself against currency exposure, for specific material transactions, Bezeq International engages in hedging transactions and purchases other financial instruments.

Technological developments and improvements may negatively affect Bezeq International's operations.

Bezeq International's operations are characterized by frequent technological developments. The development of technologies constituting attractive alternatives to some of Bezeq International's products, such as Skype and Whatsapp as an alternative to long-distance calling, is likely to have a materially adverse effect on its operations. Furthermore, technological developments require frequent investment in infrastructure which could impact its financial condition.

Intra-organizational information security

Bezeq International operates information security systems to protect against information leakage or unauthorized hacker access to the network or critical systems. A hacking event may impair performance or adversely affect its business, disclose sensitive information, and even expose it to financial sanctions and legal proceedings.

Risks Related to Our Ordinary Shares**Our share price has been volatile and may decrease in the future.**

The market price of our ordinary shares has been subject to significant price movements and could be subject to wide fluctuations in the future in response to factors such as the following, some of which are beyond our control:

- Changes in the economic condition and financial results of the Bezeq Group;
- The amount of dividends declared by the Bezeq Group;
- Continued articles in the press concerning the ongoing criminal investigations and indictments;
- Price movements in the market price of Bezeq's ordinary shares;
- Operating results that vary from the expectations of securities analysts and investors;

- Changes in expectations as to our future financial performance, including financial estimates by securities analysts and investors;
- Regulatory changes that impact pricing of services and competition in Bezeq's markets;
- Changes in the ownership of the controlling interest in our company;
- Changes in market valuations of other communications companies;
- Global economic conditions;
- Announcements of technological innovations or new services by Bezeq or its competitors;
- Announcements by Bezeq or its competitors of significant contracts, acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments;
- Announcements by third parties of significant claims or proceedings against us or Bezeq;
- Additions or departures of key personnel;
- Future sales of our ordinary shares; and
- Stock market price and volume fluctuations.

Domestic and international stock markets often experience extreme price and volume fluctuations. Market fluctuations, as well as general political and economic conditions, such as a recession or interest rate or currency rate fluctuations or political events or hostilities in or surrounding Israel, could adversely affect the market price of our ordinary shares.

If we fail to maintain compliance with NASDAQ's continued listing requirements, our shares may be delisted from the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

Our ordinary shares are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "IGLD." To continue to be listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, we need to satisfy a number of conditions, including a minimum closing bid price per share of \$1.00. In March 2019, we received notification from NASDAQ for not maintaining a minimum bid price of US\$1.00 per share for 30 consecutive business days (Listing Rule 5550(a) (2)). We were given 180 calendar days, or until September 3, 2019, to regain compliance. To regain compliance, our shares must close at US\$1.00 per share or more for a minimum of ten (10) consecutive business days. If we are not deemed in compliance before the expiration of the 180 days' compliance period, we may be eligible for an additional 180 days' extension under certain conditions.

If we do not regain compliance, we may be delisted from NASDAQ and trading in our ordinary shares would be conducted on a market where an investor would likely find it significantly more difficult to dispose of, or to obtain accurate quotations as to the value of, our ordinary shares.

Risks Related to Operations in Israel

Political, economic and military instability in Israel may disrupt our operations and negatively affect our business condition, harm our results of operations and adversely affect our share price.

The Bezeq Group companies and we are organized and based in the State of Israel and Bezeq derives substantially all of its revenues from markets within the State of Israel. As a result, political, economic and military conditions affecting Israel directly influence us. Conflicts in North Africa and the Middle East, including in Egypt and Syria which border Israel, have resulted in continued political uncertainty and violence in the region. Efforts to improve Israel's relationship with the Palestinian Authority have failed to result in a permanent solution, and there have been numerous periods of hostility in recent years. In addition, relations between Israel and Iran continue to be seriously strained, especially with regard to Iran's nuclear program. Such instability may affect the economy, could negatively affect business conditions and, therefore, could adversely affect our operations. To date, these matters have not had any material effect on our business and results of operations; however, the regional security situation and worldwide perceptions of it are outside our control and there can be no assurance that these matters will not negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operations in the future. Any major hostilities involving Israel, a full or partial mobilization of the reserve forces of the Israeli army, the interruption or curtailment of trade between Israel and its present trading partners, or a significant downturn in the economic or financial condition of Israel could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

As a foreign private issuer whose shares are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, we may follow certain home country corporate governance practices instead of certain NASDAQ requirements.

As a foreign private issuer whose shares are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, we are permitted to follow certain home country corporate governance practices instead of certain requirements of the NASDAQ Stock Market Rules. As a foreign private issuer listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, we may follow home country practice with regard to the composition of the board of directors, compensation of officers, director nomination process and quorum at shareholders' meetings. In addition, we may follow home country practice instead of the NASDAQ requirement to obtain shareholder approval for certain dilutive events (such as for the establishment or amendment of certain equity-based compensation plans, an issuance that will result in a change of control of the company, certain transactions other than a public offering involving issuances of a 20% or more interest in the company and certain acquisitions of the stock or assets of another company). A foreign private issuer that elects to follow a home country practice instead of NASDAQ requirements must submit to NASDAQ in advance a written statement from an independent counsel in such issuer's home country certifying that the issuer's practices are not prohibited by the home country's laws. In addition, a foreign private issuer must disclose in its annual reports filed with the SEC each such requirement that it does not follow and describe the home country practice followed by the issuer instead of any such requirement. Accordingly, our shareholders may not be afforded the same protection as provided under NASDAQ's corporate governance rules.

We may be classified as a passive foreign investment company, which would subject our U.S. investors to adverse tax rules.

For U.S. federal income tax purposes, we may be classified as a passive foreign investment company, or PFIC, for any taxable year in which either: (i) 75% or more of our gross income is passive income or (ii) at least 50% of the average quarterly value of our assets for the taxable year produce or are held for the production of passive income. Based on our current and projected income, assets and activities, we believe that we are not currently a PFIC, but there can be no assurance that we will not be classified as such in the future.

If we were classified as a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes, complex rules would apply to U.S. investors owning our ordinary shares. Such U.S. investors could suffer adverse U.S. tax consequences. If eligible, a U.S. investor may avoid many of the negative consequences of the PFIC rules by making a "mark-to-market" election (as explained below) for each taxable year in which our company is a PFIC. For more information please see "Item 10. Additional Information – E. Taxation – United States Federal Income Taxation – Passive Foreign Investment Companies." You are urged to consult your tax advisors regarding the application of the PFIC rules to you.

Our shareholders may have difficulties enforcing a U.S. judgment against us, our executive officers and directors and some of the experts named in this annual report, or asserting U.S. securities law claims in Israel.

We are incorporated in Israel and all of our executive officers and directors named in this annual report reside outside the United States. Service of process upon them may be difficult to effect within the United States. Furthermore, all of our assets and most of the assets of our executive officers and directors and some of the experts named in this annual report are located outside the United States. Therefore, a judgment obtained against us or any of them in the United States, including one based on the civil liability provisions of the U.S. federal securities laws, may not be collectible in the United States and may not be enforced by an Israeli court. It also may be difficult for you to assert U.S. securities law claims in original actions instituted in Israel.

Provisions of Israeli law, the licenses of Bezeq and our articles of association may delay, prevent or make difficult an acquisition of our company, which could prevent a change of control and, therefore, depress the price of our shares.

Following our acquisition in Bezeq through B Communications, we and our shareholders are required to comply with the Communications Law, the Communications Order and regulations promulgated by the Ministry of Communications.

Pursuant to the Communications Order, we were required to obtain the prior written consent of the Ministers in order to acquire the holding interest in Bezeq. Under the Communications Order, no person may hold, directly or indirectly, "significant influence" over Bezeq or 5% or more of any particular class of means of control in Bezeq, nor may any person, together with any other person, appoint, elect or dismiss the general manager of Bezeq or cause the election, appointment or dismissal of any director of Bezeq, without the prior written consent of the Ministry. Absent certain exceptions, prior written approval of the Ministers is also required to increase the holdings or other rights in excess of those determined in the initial approval, including by means of an agreement (including a voting agreement). Furthermore, under the Communications Order, no person may transfer control, "significant influence" or means of control in Bezeq to another, if, as a result of the transfer, the holdings of the transferee would require approval pursuant to the Communications Law or Communications Order and the transferee is not in possession of the requisite approval. For the foregoing purposes, "significant influence" means the ability to significantly influence the activity of a corporation, whether alone or together with or through others, directly or indirectly, other than as a result of holding "means of control" in that corporation or in another corporation, and including ability derived from the corporation's articles of association, a written, oral or other kind of agreement, or from any other source. In this context, the right to appoint an officer and holding 25% of our means of control is presumed to confer significant influence. We received explicit governmental approval to keep the Control Permit even at a level of a 25% ownership interest. "Means of control" means the right to vote at a general meeting of Bezeq, to appoint a director or general manager of Bezeq, to participate in the profits of Bezeq or a share of the remaining assets of Bezeq after payment of its debts upon liquidation.

Israeli corporate law regulates mergers, requires tender offers for acquisitions of shares above specified thresholds, requires special approvals for transactions involving directors, officers or significant shareholders and regulates other matters that may be relevant to these types of transactions. Furthermore, Israeli tax considerations may make potential transactions unappealing to us or to some of our shareholders, including Israeli shareholders and shareholders whose country of residence does not have a tax treaty with Israel exempting such shareholders from Israeli tax. For example, Israeli tax law does not recognize tax-free share exchanges to the same extent as U.S. tax law. With respect to mergers, Israeli tax law allows for tax deferral in certain circumstances but makes the deferral contingent on the fulfillment of numerous conditions, including a holding period of two years from the date of the transaction during which sales and dispositions of shares of the participating companies are limited. Moreover, with respect to certain listed share swap transactions, the tax deferral is limited in time, and when the time expires, tax then becomes payable even if no actual disposition of the shares has occurred. These provisions of Israeli law may delay, prevent or make difficult an acquisition of our company, which could prevent a change of control and therefore depress the price of our shares. For additional discussion about some anti-takeover effects of Israeli law, see Item 10B. "Additional Information - Memorandum and Articles of Association" and Item 10E. "Taxation -Israeli Tax Considerations."

The rights and responsibilities of our shareholders are governed by Israeli law and differ in some respects from those under Delaware law.

Because we are an Israeli company, the rights and responsibilities of our shareholders are governed by our articles of association and by Israeli law. These rights and responsibilities differ in some respects from the rights and responsibilities of shareholders in a Delaware corporation. In particular, a shareholder of an Israeli company has a duty to act in good faith towards the company and other shareholders and to refrain from abusing his, her or its power in the company, including in voting at the general meeting of shareholders on certain matters. Israeli law provides that these duties are applicable to shareholder votes on amendments to a company's articles of association, increases in a company's authorized share capital, mergers and interested party transactions requiring shareholder approval. In addition, a shareholder who knows that it possesses the power to determine the outcome of a shareholders' vote or to appoint or prevent the appointment of a director or executive officer of the company has a duty of fairness towards the company. However, Israeli law does not define the substance of this duty of fairness. There is little case law available to assist in understanding the implications of these provisions that govern shareholder behavior.

Item 4. INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY

A. History and Development of the Company

We were organized under the laws of the State of Israel in April 1992 under the name Euronet Golden Lines (1992) Ltd. In June 1999 we changed our name to Internet Gold - Golden Lines Ltd. We are a public limited liability company under the Israeli Companies Law 1999 and our shares are traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market and TASE. Our registered offices and principal place of business are located at 2 Dov Friedman Street, Ramat Gan 5250301, Israel, and our telephone number is +972-03-924-0000. Our website address is www.igld.com. The information on our website is not incorporated by reference into this annual report on Form 20-F.

We are a leading communications group in Israel. Our principal subsidiary, B Communications, is the largest shareholder of Bezeq (TASE: BZEQ), Israel's largest telecommunications provider. Since B Communications' initial public offering in October 2007, its ordinary shares have been listed on the NASDAQ Stock Market (symbol: BCOM) and the TASE. We currently own 19,363,396 or 51.95% of the ordinary shares of B Communications. B Communications maintains a website at www.bcommunications.co.il. The information on B Communications' website is not incorporated by reference into this annual report on Form 20-F.

We began providing Internet access services in 1996, and began offering broadband services in 2001 and traditional voice services in 2004. As part of our internal restructuring in 2006, we transferred our broadband and traditional voice services businesses, which we refer to in this annual report as the legacy communications business, to B Communications (formerly named 012 Smile. Communications), and our media operations to Goldmind Media Ltd. (formerly named 012 Smile.Media). During 2010 and 2011 we sold all of our media assets.

On April 14, 2010, B Communications completed the acquisition of 30.44% of Bezeq's outstanding shares from Ap.Sb.Ar. Holdings Ltd. for a purchase price of approximately NIS 6.5 billion in cash and became the largest shareholder of Bezeq and the holder of the control permit. The Bezeq interest was directly acquired by an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of B Communications. In accordance with the terms of the transaction, effective as of the closing of the acquisition, B Communications designated seven directors to replace the Apax-Saban-Arkin Group's representatives on Bezeq's Board of Directors. We began consolidating Bezeq's financial results into our financial statements effective as of the closing of the acquisition and began reporting the consolidated results in our 2010 second quarter earnings release.

As part of B Communications' acquisition of Bezeq, we, SP2, SP1 and other members of the Eurocom Group applied for authorization for a control permit in Bezeq, pursuant to the Communications Law and Communications Order. On April 13, 2010, the control permit was granted subject to the condition that SP2 continues to be controlled exclusively by the other parties to the control permit, referred to as the Companies' Control Permit.

Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, the Bezeq Group is a leading provider in Israel of fixed-line telephony services and fixed-line broadband internet infrastructure access services, cellular telephony services, ISP services, ILD services, international and domestic data transfer and network services and ICT, pay television services and other communications infrastructures and services. In each of these markets, the Bezeq Group holds a significant market share.

According to the Companies' Control Permit, the parties (through SP2) must hold not less than 30% of any type of means of control of Bezeq. Such percentage is permitted to decrease to 29% for a period of six months commencing from the date such holdings fall below 30%, in the event of dilution resulting from the exercise of stock options by Bezeq employees. Despite the 30% rule, according to Article 3(a3) of the Communications Order, which is included as part of the Control Permit, the parties to the Control Permit may hold less than 30% under certain circumstances, including the requirement that the parties control Bezeq and maintain at least a 25% ownership interest in Bezeq. The parties received explicit governmental approval to keep the Control Permit even at a level of 25% ownership interest.

B. Business Overview

Since April 14, 2010, we, through B Communications, have been the holders of the control permit in Bezeq, Israel's largest telecommunications provider. Bezeq is the principal provider of communications services in Israel, providing a broad range of telecommunications operations and services, including domestic fixed-line, cellular and international communication services, Internet services, multi-channel television, online television transmissions (OTT), television and radio broadcasts, satellite broadcasts, customer call centers, maintenance and development of communications infrastructures, provision of communications services to other communications providers and the supply and maintenance of equipment on customer premises, which is referred to as network end point, or NEP services.

In 2018, Bezeq applied to the Minister of Communications to approve a change in Bezeq Group's legal structure so that the activity of the subsidiaries DBS, Pelephone, and Bezeq International could be transferred to a single partnership with full structural separation from Bezeq. However, after the Ministry of Communications published a hearing to examine whether to approve the request, Bezeq informed the Ministry that it had withdrawn the application so as to enable the Ministry to concentrate its resources on advancing cancellation of the Bezeq Group's structural separation.

On February 13, 2019, the Board of Directors of Bezeq approved a request by each of the subsidiaries Pelephone, Bezeq International and DBS, to obtain approval from the Ministry of Communications for a change in the corporate structure, whereby all the operations and assets of each of the subsidiaries would be transferred to separate limited partnerships, wholly owned by Bezeq (Bezeq as a limited partner, and a company (separate and different in each partnership) wholly owned by Bezeq as a general partner).

The Board of Directors also approved a request by Bezeq to the Tax Authority to obtain approval to transfer the activity of the subsidiaries to the said partnerships as a tax-exempt transfer under the provisions of Section 103 of the Income Tax Ordinance and a request that the assessment arrangement dated September 15, 2016, concerning spreading the losses of DBS will also apply to the partnership with which it will be merged.

Recent Events

In January 16, 2019, we announced that we did not receive any binding offers to purchase our holdings in B Communications. We opened an immediate dialogue with our debenture holders (Series C and D). Our Board of Directors decided to withhold payments to its financial creditors until further notice.

Criminal Investigations

On June 20, 2017, the ISA launched a criminal investigation, or the Investigation. Eurocom Communications, Bezeq and DBS' offices were searched and documents were seized. The ISA informed Bezeq that the Investigation addressed suspicions of crimes under the Israeli Securities Law and Penal Code in respect of transactions relating to Eurocom Communications. Bezeq was initially informed that the Investigation related to the purchase of DBS shares by Bezeq from Eurocom D.B.S. Ltd., a company controlled by Mr. Shaul Elovitch. The Investigation was later expanded to include transactions to provide satellite communications services between DBS and Spacecom, a company also controlled by Mr. Shaul Elovitch, and with respect to dealings between the Ministry of Communications, Mr. Shaul Elovitch and Bezeq.

As part of the Investigation, the former Chairman of Bezeq, Mr. Shaul Elovitch, the former CEO of Bezeq, Ms. Stella Handler, the former CEO and CFO of DBS and certain other senior officers in the Bezeq Group were arrested and questioned. During the course of the Investigation, some of the suspects were released from arrest with certain restrictions, which include partial restrictions on contact with employees and senior officers of Bezeq Group and Eurocom and house arrest. Some of these restrictions expired and some were later re-imposed. Restrictions were imposed on Mr. Shaul Elovitch, which include dealing with matters relating to the Ministry of Communications and DBS. He was also barred from being in contact with members of the Board of Directors, senior officers and employees of the Bezeq Group companies. Matters relating to Bezeq Group companies (excluding DBS) may only be handled by the CEOs of those companies (excluding the CEOs of Bezeq and DBS) or by Mr. David Granot, the former Acting Chairman of Bezeq's Board of Directors. Additional restrictions were imposed on Stella Handler, the former CEO of Bezeq, and she was also barred from making direct or indirect contact with members of Bezeq's Board of Directors other than Mr. Granot.

On November 6, 2017, the ISA issued a press release regarding the conclusion of the Investigation and the transfer of the investigation file to the Tel Aviv District Attorney's Office (Taxation and Economics). According to the notice, the ISA concluded that there is prima facie evidence establishing the involvement of the main suspects in the case in offenses of: (1) fraudulently receiving funds in connection with the entitlement of Eurocom to payment of NIS 170 million as part of the transaction for the purchase of DBS shares from Eurocom by Bezeq, payment that was contingent upon DBS meetings certain targets; (2) leaking material from the independent committee of Bezeq's Board of Directors that was required to examine interested party transactions (the transaction for the acquisition of DBS shares by Bezeq and the transaction between DBS and Spacecom for the purchase of satellite segments for DBS) to Mr. Shaul Elovitch and his associates; and (3) promoting Bezeq's interests in the Ministry of Communications, in violation of the Penal Code and the Israeli Securities Law. The notice also relates to the transfer of the investigation file to the District Attorney's Office and that the District Attorney's Office is authorized to decide on the continued prosecution of the matter.

Additionally, in a joint press release issued on February 18, 2018, the ISA and Israel Police announced that evidence found by the ISA during its investigation raised suspicion of additional offenses, and a new joint investigation was opened by investigators of the ISA and the Unit for Combating Economic Crime at Lahav 433, in which a number of suspects were arrested, including senior Bezeq Group executives Mr. Shaul Elovitch and Mr. Or Elovitch, Ms. Stella Handler, former CEO of Bezeq, and Mr. Amikam Shorer, the Group's former Chief Strategy and Corporate Development Officer (all of whom have been released from their arrests). The officers are suspected of offenses of fraud, administrative offenses, perverting the course of justice, bribery, offenses under the Israeli Securities Law, deception and breach of trust in a corporation, and some of them are also suspected of offenses under the Prohibition on Money Laundering Law, 2000.

On December 2, 2018, a spokesperson for the police and the ISA explained that the investigations were concerned primarily with the alleged suspicion of bribery, fraud and breach of trust committed by Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu and by Shaul Elovitch, the former controlling shareholder (through his ownership of Eurocom), of our company and the Bezeq Group. The Israel Police and the ISA announced that they believed based on their investigation that there was sufficient evidence to substantiate the suspicions against the main parties under investigation, some of whom are former officers of Bezeq, as follows:

- that Shaul Elovitch (formerly a controlling shareholder and chairman of Bezeq's and our Board of Directors) committed bribery, obstruction of justice, reporting violations under the Securities Law and offenses under the Prohibition on Money Laundering Law.
- that Or Elovitch (a former director of Bezeq, and also of our company) and Stella Handler (the former CEO Bezeq) committed corporate fraud and breach of trust, and reporting violations under the Securities Law.
- that Amikam Shorer (a former officer of Bezeq) abetted bribery and committed corporate executive offenses, reporting violations under the Securities Law and offenses under the Prohibition on Money Laundering Law.

In February 2019, Israel's attorney general recommended that the Prime Minister, be indicted subject to a hearing. He alleged that the Prime Minister committed bribery in allegedly intervening in regulatory and other business decisions that benefited Shaul Elovitch who allegedly ensured favorable media coverage in Walla! (Bezeq's website) for the Prime Minister and his family. Both Mr. Elovitch and his wife, Ms. Iris Elovitch, were indicted in connection with the alleged bribery.

In March 2019, we were informed that the SEC had issued a Formal Order of Private Investigation with respect to our company. The Formal Order authorizes an investigation of possible violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act with respect to the facts uncovered in the criminal investigations in Israel.

Neither we nor Bezeq have complete information about the investigations described in this section, their content, the material and evidence in the possession of the statutory authorities on this matter. Furthermore, in view of the provisions of Israeli law and concern of obstructing the investigation, both we and Bezeq must refrain from conducting any of its own investigations relating to matters that arose in the course of those criminal investigations.

In its annual report for 2017, Bezeq provided initial disclosure about a material weakness in the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting and disclosure. During this period and through December 31, 2018, Bezeq's management and the Board of Directors pursued various broad and extensive courses of action, with the assistance of external consultants with the purpose of strengthening Bezeq's internal control. In the light of the actions carried out to remedy the material weaknesses in Bezeq and based on an assessment of effectiveness carried out by Bezeq's management under the supervision of its Board of Directors, Bezeq's Board and management came to the conclusion that the internal controls over the financial reporting of Bezeq as of December 31, 2018 was effective.

Liquidation of Eurocom Communications

On December 20, 2017, Eurocom Communications' lending banks filed a motion to liquidate Eurocom Communications. Bezeq was included as a party to the proceeding as a significant creditor of Eurocom Communications.

On April 22, 2018, the Tel Aviv District Court issued a liquidation order for Eurocom Communications effective May 3, 2018. Attorneys Pinchas Rubin, Amnon Lorch and Uri Gaon were appointed as the Special Managers of Eurocom Communications. On October 23, 2018, we received a letter from the Israeli Minister of Communications pursuant to which the Special Managers were confirmed (effective May 3, 2018) as holders of the control permit pursuant to Section 4D of the Communications Law and Section 3 of the Communications Order. The confirmation does not state explicitly that it is a "control permit", but that it is "confirmation under Section 4D of the Communications Law and Section 3 of the Communications Order". These clauses relate to restrictions on control and holding (control, significant influence and holding 5% or more of the means of control), and not necessarily effective control.

Attempts to Sell our B Communications Shares

In 2018, we continued to examine, on an independent basis, strategic alternatives to sell our stake in B Communications. Among the alternatives that were examined was a possible sale of our holdings to the Neuman Group. The Special Managers of Eurocom Communications filed a motion to suspend the negotiations of the sale to the Neuman Group in June 2018. Management then examined an organized sale of the ordinary shares of B Communications and engaged investment bankers to assist in the process. The Special Managers consented to this approach and agreed to temporarily suspend concurrent initiatives of their own to sell our company, and we, in turn, agreed to appoint two additional independent directors to its board that were recommended by the Special Managers.

In October 2018, we announced the initiation of the sale process of our holdings in B Communications. We engaged Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. and Migdal Investment Banking, as financial advisors to assist in the sale process. Prior potential transactions were examined and rejected. In December 2018, we reported that multiple targeted parties had begun a preliminary review of information with the goal of selecting the optimal bid and entering into a binding agreement pursuant to an auction process.

The auction process called for the submission of binding bids by January 15, 2019, but no binding offers were received. In addition, an indication that was presented to us by the final submission date was significantly lower than those we received during the initial bidding process and would not have enabled the full satisfaction of our liabilities to our creditors.

On January 16, 2019, the Company reported receipt of indicative offers for its B Communications shares in an amount that was insufficient for the Company to pay its liabilities, as a result the Company's Board of Directors determined to withhold payments to the Company's financial creditors until further notice. This withholding led to significant discussions with the Company's debenture holders while attempts to resolve the current financial predicament.

In view of the circumstances, including the outcome of the sale process and the financial results of Bezeq as of December 31, 2018, the Company decided to classify the non-current portion of its debentures as current maturities in its financial statements, as in the Company's position, the above circumstances are in fact a material deterioration and a presumption that such deterioration constitute grounds for the repayment of the debt.

On February 11, 2019, we published key terms proposed by Searchlight Capital Partners, L.P., or SCP, in connection with a potential acquisition of all of our holdings in B Communications by a purchaser affiliated with funds and/or investment vehicles advised by it. SCP proposed two purchase price alternatives: (1) consideration consisting of two components (i) payment at closing of NIS 17.00 per share for all of B Communications shares that we hold; and (ii) a contingent payment of up to NIS 8.00 per share to be paid post-closing; or (2) a payment of NIS 17.50 per share for all of B Communications' ordinary shares that we own to be paid at the closing of the transaction. Our debenture holders rejected this offer.

SCP then presented a second offer in March 2019 in which it offered to pay NIS 20.00 per share. On March 12, 2019, this second offer was also rejected by the debenture holders.

In April 2019, TRYMG International Communications Ltd. (formerly Zeevi International Communications Ltd.), a company owned by Mr. Gad Zeevi, as well as Searchlight Capital Partners, or SCP submitted two different and competitive offers for the purchase of the Company's shares in B Communications and for additional investment in B Communications. SCP offer was chosen by the holders of Internet Gold's debentures for the purpose of promoting a transaction and received limited time exclusivity. Both offers are subject to various terms.

While Internet Gold's debenture holders decided to advance the SCP proposal (and grant SCP exclusivity), TRYMG submitted to B Communications a final offer for an investment of NIS 950 million against issuance of shares by B Communications to TRYMG at market value (according to its financial statements). The offer is subject to various terms.

At this stage, the holders of the debentures of the two companies, and the companies themselves, examine the various proposals.

SCP offer was due to expire on May 10, 2019, but following advanced negotiations among our company, SCP and Internet Gold (as well as with the debenture holders of our company and Internet Gold), the deadline for approval of the transaction (as set by SCP) has been extended by a week (i.e., until May 17, 2019).

On May 14, 2019, an updated offer was received from SCP for the purchase of the controlling shares B Communications while executing a cash investment in B Communications in the total amount of NIS 640 million. The offer is subject to the approval of our debenture holders and of B Communications, as well as the Israeli courts. The transaction, if it shall be executed, will include early repayments of the main principal payments of B Communications' debentures until the end of 2023 and shall include updated conditions for their Series C Debentures. A substantial part of the expected investment amount will be injected by us into B Communications against debt and equity.

At this stage, this proposal is the main proposal promoted by the parties.

The risk that we may not successfully complete this financing and the risk that we may not have adequate liquidity to service our debt, even if a financing is completed within the time and upon the terms contemplated, may raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Further Changes to Bezeq's Board

On January 20, 2019 and on February 3, 2019, Bezeq's external directors, Ms. Tali Simon and Mr. Mordechai Keret ended their terms of office as external directors. Pursuant to the provisions of Concentration Law and of the Regulations to Promote Competition and Reduce Market Concentration (Reliefs for the Number of External Directors), 2014 (the "Regulations"), that provide the required ratio of external and independent directors in a tier company, and in accordance with the opinion of Bezeq's Board of Directors, to take measures to reduce the number of directors on Bezeq's Board of Directors, the Board of Directors of Bezeq was reduced to 9 directors. Bezeq's Board is now composed of three external directors, two independent directors, one director on behalf of the employees and three ordinary directors.

On February 3, 2019, Bezeq held its meeting of shareholders. At the meeting, the following directors were re-elected to Bezeq's Board: Shlomo Rodav, Doron Turgeman, Ami Barlev, Dov Kotler, David Granot and Rami Nomkin. All of the external directors remain in office. All the elected directors were either recommended by us or their election was supported by us.

Competitive Strengths

The Bezeq Group is a leading provider of telecommunications services and owner of telecommunications infrastructure in Israel and provides diversified telecommunications offerings across all Israeli telecom markets.

The Bezeq Group is the largest and the incumbent telecommunications provider in Israel, offering a broad range of services through its advanced, comprehensive and nationwide telecommunications infrastructure. The Bezeq Group holds a leading position in each of the markets in which it operates. As a leading provider in each of these markets, the Bezeq Group has been able to maintain its strong performance and benefit from economies of scale. In addition, such leading positions across a diverse range of telecommunications offerings reduce the Bezeq Group's exposure to market and regulatory conditions. We believe that the Bezeq Group's ability to maintain a leading position in the Israeli telecommunications market in the face of competitive and regulatory pressures reflects the underlying strength of its advanced nationwide network infrastructures, the strength of its brands and its extensive offering of high quality content.

The Bezeq Group operates in an attractive macroeconomic environment with a developed telecommunications market.

The Israeli telecommunications market is highly developed and benefits from favorable dynamics, including high penetration rates across all telecommunications services, high penetration of postpaid contracts in the cellular telephony market, rapid adoption rates of new technologies and significant expenditures on telecommunications services by consumers and businesses. In addition, Israel is expected to experience steady population growth, which should provide a natural expansion of the addressable market. In particular, Bezeq expects such population trends will lead to a steady demand for fixed-line telephony services in Israel, especially among certain sectors of the growing population in Israel where fixed-line telephony is in widespread use. Furthermore, a relatively young population contributes to the attractiveness of the market, as such consumers typically spend more on telecommunications products and services while also driving increased demand for new technologies. We believe that the potential future growth in the Israeli telecommunications market will be driven by continued strong demand for higher bandwidth, both on the broadband internet and mobile platforms, and advanced value-added services and technologies across all telecommunications services.

The Bezeq Group owns advanced nationwide network infrastructures and is positioned at the forefront of technological innovation across all of the telecom markets in Israel.

The Bezeq Group has historically made substantial investments in its fully owned infrastructure, which is one of the most technologically advanced in Israel and enables the Bezeq Group to reach customers nationwide. Bezeq has a Next-Generation Network (NGN) based on a core IP network and deployment of an optical fiber network to street cabinets (a network topology known as Fiber to the Curb, or FTTC) and also based on an access network (a system that connects NEPs on the subscriber's premises to the network and engineering systems). Bezeq completed the deployment of the network at the end of 2015. The connection from the home, or the terminal equipment (equipment which is installed on the subscriber's premises, e.g., the actual telephone, private exchanges, fax machines, modems, routers, etc.) through which the subscriber receives the service, to the access network is based on copper cables and optical cables that connect the access systems to the backbone over optic cables (through special pipes or an above ground network) and to a limited degree through wireless systems. Today, using VDSL2 technology, it is possible to provide a bandwidth of up to 100 Mbps downstream, as well as innovative added-value services. Other advantages of the new technology are simplification of the network structure and better management ability.

Pelephone currently operates communications networks using two main technologies:

- LTE 4G technology is based on GSM standards. The advantages of this technology are larger data communication capacity and faster download rates than with the 3G technologies. All the terminal devices that support this technology also support the 3G technologies and the transition between the technologies is seamless.
- The UMTS/HSPA technology is based on GSM 3G standard. This technology is globally widespread, and enables subscriber identification and services to be provided through a SIM card, which can be moved from one handset to another.

In June 2017, Pelephone discontinued operation of a CDMA network, in accordance with an amendment to its license. Under a 2014 agreement L.M. Ericsson Israel Ltd., or Ericsson, serves as Pelephone's supplier for deployment of its 4G LTE radio network. The infrastructures for Pelephone's networks are mainly based at two switch farms which are connected to more than 2,350 sites.

In recent years Pelephone has invested in the deployment of its fourth generation network and in upgrades with new Beam Forming, Carrier Aggregation Quam 256 and MIMO4x4 technologies. Pelephone estimates that the expected scope of its investments in the network in 2019 will not differ significantly from its investments in 2018 and does not expect a significant increase in the scope of its investments in infrastructure, other than certain possible exchanges of frequencies in accordance with the requirements of the State and other than additional investment in frequencies if such frequencies relevant to Pelephone are offered to tender.

In addition, over the coming decade Pelephone will be required to continue establishing new broadcasting sites to comply with the terms of its mobile telephony license.

In the ISP, ILD, data transfer, networks and ICT services segment, Bezeq International is currently the sole ISP in Israel to own and operate its own high-speed submarine optical fiber communications cable system. The JONAH cable, which was launched in January 2012, has a capacity of over 7.0 Tbps and provides Bezeq International with greater capacity for utilization than any other ISP in Israel. In addition, Bezeq International is able to obtain such capacity at an incremental cost, while other ISPs in Israel are required to purchase capacity and rely on one of the two other cable operators in Israel (MedNautilus and Tamares). The JONAH cable is fully redundant (i.e., utilizes two equipped fiber pairs), and in addition, Bezeq International has available capacity on two alternate submarine routes to Europe.

In the multi-channel pay television segment, DBS is the only licensed provider of multi-channel television broadcasts via satellite in Israel. While DBS relies on third party providers for the provision of satellite capacity, it owns the satellite dishes that carry the signals from such satellites to subscriber residences and set-top boxes. DBS differentiates itself from its main competitor, HOT, by offering a wide range of high quality content and by utilizing technology to be the first pay television services provider to offer new and innovative value-added services to subscribers. For instance, DBS was the first provider in Israel to offer a set-top box that combined PVR, VOD and HD capabilities in one device (branded as “yes MaxTotal”). DBS’s PVR offering enables subscribers to download a movie or series to their yes MaxTotal set-top box over the Internet and watch recorded content immediately or at a later time. DBS is also the only provider in Israel that offers a multiroom service allowing subscribers to watch recorded content on multiple capable set-top boxes and in 2014 DBS introduced its TV Everywhere service, branded as yesGo, which allows subscribers to watch content from mobile devices. In 2015, DBS began to offer a HDPVR converter known as yesQuattro that allows the recording of up to 4 channels simultaneously in addition to the channel being viewed, has increased the number shows that may be recorded, and allows the automatic recording of prime-time content (6:00 PM to midnight) on two channels that the subscriber can select for seven days (known as PrimeTime service).

DBS also operates its yesGo service, which allows subscribers to view the channels included under the service that they have purchased for home television viewing and VOD content, over a variety of terminal devices (smartphones, tablets and PCs).

Other providers enable VOD viewing through the Internet, such as AppleTV and Netflix (which currently offer content without Hebrew translations). Several entities are considering launching similar services.

The Bezeq Group’s brands are among the strongest and most widely recognized brands in Israel and are supported by its substantial investments in marketing, strong product and service offerings, extensive distribution network and leading customer service offerings.

The Bezeq Group’s brands are among the strongest and most widely recognized brands in Israel, including Bezeq, Pelephone, Bezeq International and DBS. The Bezeq Group’s brands have been supported by its sustained and substantial investments in strong product and service offerings, marketing, extensive distribution network and leading customer service offerings. We believe the Bezeq Group’s product and service offerings combined with its advanced technology and infrastructure are the key factors driving the association of the Bezeq, Pelephone, Bezeq International and YES brands with reliability, speed, excellent service and innovation throughout Israel. The Bezeq Group’s marketing campaigns focus on and highlight various elements regarding each of its brands. For example, Bezeq focuses on the value-added services offered with its fixed-line broadband internet infrastructure access service, Pelephone highlights the speed of its network, Bezeq International focuses on providing faster Internet speed than its competitors and its strong customer service, and DBS emphasizes its large selection of high quality international content and the subscriber viewing experience associated with it. Furthermore, the Bezeq Group also provides its customers with award winning customer service offerings in order to enhance customer loyalty.

The Bezeq Group has an extensive offering of high quality content.

Through its wholly-owned subsidiary, DBS, the Bezeq Group is able to complement its extensive telecommunications infrastructure with a wide array of high quality content. For instance, DBS, which benefits from strong content differentiation in the pay television market, provides a leading selection of television series and movies. With respect to television series, DBS broadcasts new television series at a minimal delay, in some cases within hours from the time the content is originally aired in the United States or worldwide. DBS also has an agreement with HBO pursuant to which DBS aired all of HBO’s new English language television series and movies, the majority of which were only aired in Israel on DBS. The Bezeq Group’s extensive offering of high quality content distinguishes it from competitors, and we believe that such distinction will likely enhance the Bezeq Group’s competitive position if and when the Israeli wholesale market develops and the Bezeq Group’s competitors that do not currently offer bundled packages with pay television begin doing so.

The Bezeq Group’s strong cash flow generation supports providing for investment in the business and maintenance of a conservative level of leverage.

The Bezeq Group is a highly cash generative business and has a proven track record of consistent operating cash flow generation. The Bezeq Group’s stable, and in some segments, growing customer base and attractive offerings and services, together with its focus on profitability, provide it with strong revenues and operating cash flow. While generating strong cash flow, the Bezeq Group has continued to invest in its business, technologies and infrastructure through major capital expenditure programs, several of which were completed in the last four years (including, the deployment of Bezeq’s NGN, Pelephone’s advanced 3.5G UMTS/HSPA+4G cellular network and the launch of Bezeq International’s JONAH cable).

The following table sets forth the Bezeq Group's operating cash flow and ratio of capital expenditures to revenues for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2017 and 2018.

	Year ended December 31,		
	2016	2017	2018
	(NIS in millions except percentages)		
Operating cash flow	3,526	3,525	3,512
Capital expenditure, net	1,278	1,432	1,492
Capital expenditure, net as a % of revenue	12.7%	14.6%	16.0%

Products and Services

The Bezeq Group provides a wide range of telecommunications services for its business and private customers, including domestic fixed-line telephony and fixed-line broadband internet infrastructure access services, cellular telephony services, ISP, ILD, data services, ICT solutions, multi-channel television broadcasts via satellite, customer call centers, maintenance and development of communications infrastructures, provision of communications services to other communications providers and the supply and maintenance of equipment on customer premises, also known as network end point (NEP) services.

Since May 2010, Bezeq has been permitted to offer joint service packages with its subsidiaries to private subscribers, and since July 2012, Bezeq has been permitted to offer joint service packages with its subsidiaries to business subscribers, in each case, subject to the approval of the joint service package by the Ministry of Communications and other conditions contained in Bezeq's license. The joint service packages must be capable of being "unbundled" such that each service included in a package must be offered separately and on the same terms, which effectively prevents the Bezeq Group from enhancing the attractiveness of the offer by offering a discount on the joint service packages. Joint service packages marketed by Bezeq's subsidiaries that include the services of Bezeq are also subject to similar limitations, including "unbundling" (except for a bundle offered by a subsidiary that only contains Bezeq's fixed-line broadband internet infrastructure access service).

Bezeq currently offers packages that combine a subscription to Bezeq's fixed-line broadband internet infrastructure access and to the accompanying ISP service, with the ability to choose from any ISP provider in Israel, including Bezeq International. The packages are "unbundled" and offered at the same price that the standalone services would cost if subscribed to separately. In addition, Bezeq offers packages to business customers that combine Bezeq's business data lines and the accompanying ISP service from Bezeq International. These packages are also "unbundled" and offered at the same price that the standalone services would cost if subscribed to separately. Business customers are also not required to use Bezeq International as their ISP provider and have the ability to choose any ISP provider in Israel.

These restrictions, and in particular the unbundling obligation which severely limits the Bezeq Group's ability to offer discounts on the components of the bundle, puts the Group in a competitively inferior position as compared to the competing communications groups which are not subject to similar restrictions in marketing joint bundles (other than a restriction on marketing a joint bundle of HOT-Net and other companies in the HOT Group). Bezeq's restrictions are more significantly manifested with the implementation of the wholesale BSA services and the option for ISPs to provide end-to-end services to customers at reduced prices compared with the bundles that Bezeq can market, which can be unbundled.

Breakdown of figures regarding the results of each of the Bezeq Group's main segments of operation in 2017 and 2018.

	2018	2017	Q4 2018	Q3 2018	Q2 2018	Q1 2018	Q4 2017	Q3 2017	Q2 2017	Q1 2017
Revenues (NIS million)	4,196	4,244	1,026	1,043	1,064	1,063	1,047	1,061	1,058	1,078
Operating profit (NIS million)	1,224	1,971	(87)	451	387	473	470	492	496	513
Depreciation and amortization (NIS million)	850	728	217	218	211	204	185	186	177	180
Net profit (NIS million)	567	1,172	(155)	257	202	263	260	276	317	319
Cash flow from operating activities (NIS million)	2,206	2,225	600	583	507	516	587	573	465	600
Payments for investments in property, plant & equipment, intangible assets and other investments (NIS million)	976*	825	225	233	313*	205	226	170	219	210
Proceeds from the sale of property, plant & equipment and intangible assets (NIS million)	227**	94	270**	8	(58)	7	22	46	16	10
Number of active subscriber lines at the end of the period (in thousands) ^{(1) (6)}	1,818	1,916	1,818	1,843	1,865	1,889	1,916	1,942	1,961	1,968
Average monthly revenue per line (NIS) (ARPL) ^{(2) (6)}	52	54	51	51	52	53	53	54	54	56
No. of outgoing use minutes (millions)	4,014	4,475	989	960	1,010	1,055	1,068	1,132	1,098	1,177
No. of incoming use minutes (millions)	4,627	4,972	1,160	1,125	1,151	1,191	1,205	1,266	1,220	1,281
Number of active subscriber lines at the end of the period (in thousands) ⁽⁵⁾	1,656	1,635	1,656	1,663	1,662	1,653	1,635	1,608	1,593	1,580
The number of which are internet lines at the end of the period - wholesale (in thousands) ⁽⁵⁾	626	532	626	617	600	574	532	484	444	414
Average monthly revenue per Internet subscriber (NIS) – retail ⁽⁶⁾	93	90	96	93	93	92	92	90	90	90
Average bundle speed per Internet subscriber - retail (Mbps) ⁽³⁾	59.1	51.5	59.1	57.4	55.4	53.5	51.5	49.5	47.2	45.1
Telephony churn rate ⁽⁴⁾	11.6%	9.8	3.1%	2.7%	2.8%	3.0%	2.4%	2.35	2.4%	2.7%

(1) Inactive subscribers are subscribers whose Bezeq lines have been physically disconnected (except for a subscriber during (roughly) the first three months of the collection process).

(2) Excluding revenues from transmission services and data communication, internet services, services to communications operators and contractor and other works. Calculated according to average lines for the period.

(3) For bundles with a range of speeds, the maximum speed per bundle is taken into account.

- (4) The number of telephony subscribers (gross) who left Bezeq Fixed Line during the period divided by the average number of registered telephony subscribers in the period.
- (5) Number of active Internet lines including retail and wholesale lines. Retail - Internet lines provided directly by the Company. Wholesale - Internet lines provided through a wholesale service to other communications providers.
- (6) Bezeq revenues have been reclassified so that certain revenues are now classified under the Cloud and Digital category. Accordingly, retroactive amendments were made to these items (number of active subscriber lines and average monthly revenue per line and internet subscribers).
- (*) In Q2 2018 - including permit fee payments in the amount of NIS 112 million (75% of the requirement) for the sale of the Sakia property. (In this matter, see also Section 2.7.4). Land appreciation tax in the amount of NIS 80 million paid for the sale of the Sakia property was reclassified from payments for investments to a reduction of amounts received from the sale of property, plant and equipment in Q2 2018.
- (**) Including consideration from the Sakia sale in the amount of NIS 155 million.

Pelephone

	2018	2017	Q4 2018	Q3 2018	Q2 2018	Q1 2018	Q4 2017	Q3 2017	Q2 2017	Q1 2017
Revenue from services (NIS million)	1,755	1,782	437	449	438	431	437	461	449	435
Revenue from sale of terminal equipment (NIS million)	688	764	181	155	164	188	214	174	183	193
Total revenue (NIS million)	2,443	2,546	618	604	602	619	651	635	632	628
Operating profit (NIS million)	(2)	72	(4)	(2)	2	2	15	22	30	5
Depreciation and amortization (NIS million)	655	383	177	161	159	158	90	100	99	94
Net profit (NIS million)	24	95	2	6	7	9	21	24	34	16
Cash flow from current activities (NIS million)	770	605	156	194	181	239	86	209	193	117
Payments for investments in property, plant & equipment, intangible assets and other investments, net (NIS million)	306	309	78	69	90	69	76	78	82	73
Number of postpaid subscribers for the period (thousands) ⁽¹⁾ (5)	1,831	1,729	1,831	1,817	1,800	1,760	1,729	1,697	1,663	1,659
Number of prepaid subscribers for the period (thousands) ⁽¹⁾ (5)	374	796	374	368	801	786	796	778	747	771
Number of subscribers at the end of the period (thousands) ⁽¹⁾ (4)	2,205	2,525	2,205	2,185	2,601	2,546	2,525	2,475	2,410	2,430
Average monthly revenue per subscriber (NIS) (ARPU) ⁽²⁾	62	61	66	68	57	57	58	63	61	60
Churn rate ⁽³⁾	33.35%	28.2%	9.0%	9.1%	7.3%	8.0%	6.9%	7.1%	6.3%	7.9%

- (1) Subscriber data includes Pelephone subscribers (without subscribers from other operators hosted on the Pelephone network and excluding IOT subscribers from Q3 2018) and does not include subscribers connected to Pelephone services for six months or more but who are inactive. An inactive subscriber is one who in the past six months has not received at least one call, has not made one call / sent one SMS, or has performed no surfing activity on his phone or has not paid for Pelephone services. Prepaid subscribers are included in the list of active subscribers from the date on which the subscriber loaded his device and are removed from the list of active subscribers if he makes no outgoing use of his device for six months or more. Notably, a customer may have more than one subscriber number ("line"). For the change in the definition of subscribers from Q3 2018, see note 6 below.

- (2) Average monthly revenue per subscriber (postpaid and prepaid). The index is calculated by dividing the average total monthly revenues from cellular services, from Pelephone subscribers and other telecom operators, including revenues from cellular operators who use Pelephone's network, repair services and extended warranty in the period, by the average number of active subscribers in the same period. On the effect of the change in the definition of a subscriber from Q3 2018 on the ARPU index, see note 6 below.
- (3) The churn rate is calculated at the ratio of subscribers who disconnected from the company's services and subscribers who became inactive during the period, to the average number of active subscribers during the period. The churn rate in Q2 2017 does not include the effect of the disconnection of 83,000 CDMA subscribers when the network was closed down. On the effect of the change in the definition of a subscriber from Q3 2018 on the churn rate, see note 6 below.
- (4) On June 28, 2018, Pelephone discontinued operation of the CDMA network, as a result of which 83,000 subscribers ceased to receive service and were written off the subscriber listings.
- (5) From Q3 2018, Pelephone updated the definition of an active subscriber so that its subscriber listing will no longer include IOT subscribers, and it added a separate comment for prepaid subscribers so that a prepaid subscriber will be included in the list of active subscribers from the date on which the subscriber loaded his device, and it will be removed from the list of active subscribers if no outgoing calls were made for six months or more. As a result of this change, at the beginning of Q3, 426,000 prepaid subscribers and about two thousand IOT subscribers were written off Pelephone's subscriber listings. This led to an increase of NIS 11 and NIS 5.1 in the ARPU index and an increase of 1.5% and 2.7% in the churn rate in Q3 2018 and in all of 2018, respectively. Data for postpaid and prepaid subscribers were reclassified in 2017.

Bezeq International

	2018	2017	Q4 2018	Q3 2018	Q2 2018	Q1 2018	Q4 2017	Q3 2017	Q2 2017	Q1 2017
Revenues (NIS million)	1,391	1,537	370	333	336	352	379	367	407	384
Operating profit (NIS million)	116	174	21	31	30	34	41	39	45	49
Depreciation and amortization (NIS million)	194	135	60	46	45	43	35	34	33	33
Net profit (NIS million)	77	127	13	20	20	24	31	27	33	36
Cash flow from current activities (NIS million)	300	277	106	73	54	67	82	74	69	52
Payments for investments in property, plant & equipment, intangible assets and other investments, net (NIS million) ⁽¹⁾	126	139	25	26	44	31	35	29	46	29
Churn rate ⁽²⁾	25.5%	23.4%	7.7%	5.8%	6.0%	6.0%	6.8%	6.3%	5.0%	5.3%

- (1) The item also includes long term investments in assets.
- (2) The number of Internet subscribers who left Bezeq International during the period, divided by the average number of registered Internet subscribers in the period.

DBS

	2018	2017	Q4 2018	Q3 2018	Q2 2018	Q1 2018	Q4 2017	Q3 2017	Q2 2017	Q1 2017
Revenues (NIS million)	1,473	1,650	356	367	375	375	404	406	416	424
Operating profit (NIS million)	(1,156)*	163	(1,139)*	1	(17)	(1)	27	35	49	52
Depreciation and amortization (NIS million)	323	285	84	81	79	79	72	72	71	70
Net profit (loss) (NIS million)	(1,148)*	(244)	(1,137)*	(2)	(10)	1	11	(123)	(151)	19
Cash flow from current activities (NIS million)	226	430	46	34	60	86	95	115	169	51
Payments for investments in property, plant & equipment, intangible assets and other investments, net (NIS million)	297	234	81	79	75	62	53	69	52	60
Number of subscribers (at the end of the period, in thousands) ⁽¹⁾	574	587	574	584	582	580	587	597	603	608
Average monthly revenue per subscriber (ARPU) (NIS) ⁽²⁾	211	228	206	210	215	214	226	226	229	232
Churn rate ⁽³⁾	21.5%	18.8	5.6%	5.1%	4.7%	6.1%	5.9	4.8	3.8	4.3

(1) Subscriber - a single household or small business customer. In the case of a business customer that has more than a certain number of decoders (such as a hotel, kibbutz, or gym), the number of subscribers is standardized. The number of business customers that are not small businesses, is calculated by dividing the total payment received from all the business customers that are not small businesses by the average revenue per small business customer, which is determined periodically. In Q4 2018, the standardization formula was updated as a result of which the number of subscribers fell by 7,000. This is partially due to the fact that the average revenue per small business customer in the special offers (at least 100 customers per offer) increased in the past year as a result of customers moving over to packages that are richer in content at a higher price.

(2) Monthly ARPU is calculated by dividing total DBS revenues (from content and equipment, premium channels, advanced products, and other services) by the average number of customers in the period.

(3) Number of DBS subscribers who left DBS during the period, divided by the average number of DBS registered subscribers in the period.

(*) See Note 11.4 to the 2018 Financial Statements concerning an impairment of assets.

Domestic Fixed-Line Communications (Bezeq)

Bezeq is the incumbent and largest provider of fixed-line telephony and fixed-line broadband internet infrastructure access services in Israel. Its products and services include basic telephony services on domestic telephone lines and associated services and fixed-line broadband internet infrastructure access services through its nationally deployed, high quality infrastructure network. Bezeq also offers transmission and data communication services, services to other communications operators and broadcasting services. Bezeq's new high-speed next generation network, or NGN, is the most advanced fixed-line communications network in Israel. The NGN, which covers 100% of Israeli households, uses VDSL2 technology and enables Bezeq to provide bandwidth of up to 100 Mbps (download) speed, as well as innovative value-added services.

Fixed-Line Telephony Services

Bezeq had approximately 1.8 million active fixed telephone lines as of December 31, 2018. Bezeq's fixed-line telephony services include basic telephony service on domestic telephone lines and associated value-added services, such as voice mail, caller ID, call waiting, call forwarding and conference calls. Bezeq also offers its business customers national toll-free numbers which provide for full or partial payment for customer calls by the business customer.

Bezeq offers a variety of payment plans, ranging from a monthly subscription fee per fixed telephone line and charge per second of use, to various fixed-line telephony packages comprised of monthly amounts of minutes for a fixed monthly fee.

Most of Bezeq's fixed-line telephony services are subject to regulatory tariff control and the prices for such services are governed by such regulations. With respect to services that are not subject to tariff control, Bezeq is required under the Israeli Communications Law to set reasonable tariffs for such services. In addition, Bezeq is allowed to offer "alternative payment packages" for services that are subject to tariff control, with different pricing than the regulated tariff, subject to certain conditions.


Fixed-Line Broadband Internet Infrastructure Access Services

Bezeq provides broadband internet access infrastructure services using xDSL technology. Internet service has become one of Bezeq's main occupations and a central channel for its investments in technology, marketing, advertising and customer acquisition and upgrades. The average speed of Bezeq's Internet subscribers at the end of 2018 was 59.1 Mbps compared with an average of 51.5 Mbps at the end of 2017. The minimum speed of the package provided for new customers is usually 15 Mbps.

xDSL service is also provided on subscriber lines free of charge for the access line. According to the decision of the Ministry of Communications, Bezeq may not apply differential xDSL pricing between subscribers who use the service together with telephony service and subscribers who only use the xDSL service.

Bezeq is obligated to provide broadband internet access services in a wholesale BSA format to service providers that provide end-to-end Internet services in this way to their customers, including infrastructure.

Graph – Changes in **bundle** speeds of Bezeq's Internet subscribers in 2012-2018 (*in Mbps at the end of each year*)*:



* For bundles with a range of speeds, the maximum speed per package is taken into account.

Transmission and data-communication services

Data communication services are network services for point-to-point data transmission, data transmission between computers and between various communications networks, services to connect communications networks to the internet, and remote access services.

Bezeq offers transmission services, including at high speeds, to communication operators and their business customers over a variety of interfaces. There is also a decline in use of Bezeq's transmission and data communication services.

Cloud and digital services

This category includes virtual server services; Beyber service; smart home, smart business and smart city services; private virtual PBX (IP Centrex) services; and B144 service, which is Bezeq's advertising platform for digital advertising and marketing platform to small businesses, BCam, Wi-Fi, SMS and remote backup.

Other Services

Bezeq provides services to other communications operators, including cellular operators, international call operators, HOT, NEP operators, ISPs, domestic carriers, and Palestinian communications providers.

Among the services provided by Bezeq are infrastructure services, infrastructure upgrades, connection to Bezeq's network, billing services, leasing of space, and services in leased premises.

Broadcast services

Bezeq operates and maintains radio transmitters which are operated by the Israel Broadcasting Corporation, Israel Army Radio (Galei Zahal) and also maintains and operates the transmitters of several regional radio stations and the DTT transmitters for the Second Authority. Bezeq is not responsible for the content of the broadcasts.

Contract work

Bezeq installs, maintains, and operates networks or subnetworks for various customers (e.g., the Ministry of Defense, HOT Telecom, radio and television broadcasting companies, cellular operators, international call operators, local authorities, municipalities, and government bodies). Bezeq has agreements with HOT Telecom to provide installation, maintenance and network hosting services using Bezeq's infrastructures.

The following table shows the distribution of Bezeq's revenues by main products and services in its segment of operation, 2016-2018 (in NIS millions):

	2018	2017	2016
Revenue from Internet infrastructure services	1,596	1,544	1,500
Percentage of total fixed-line revenues	38.04%	36.38%	34.22%
Revenue from fixed-line telephony	1,156	1,281	1,392
Percentage of total fixed-line revenues	27.44%	30.18%	31.76%
Revenue from transmission and data communication services	977	975	1,069
Percentage of total fixed-line revenues	23.19%	22.97%	24.39%
Revenue from cloud and digital services	260	230	203
Percentage of total fixed-line revenues	6.17%	5.42%	4.63%
Revenue from other services	207	214	219
Percentage of total fixed-line revenues	4.91%	5.05%	5.0%
Total revenues from the domestic fixed line communications	4,196	4,244	4,383

Bezeq is not dependent on a single customer, and there is no customer that accounts for 10% or more of Bezeq's total revenue. Bezeq's revenues are divided into two main customer types: *Private* (50%) and *business* (50%): The distribution is by *revenue*, as *shows* in the following table (in NIS millions):

	2018	2017	2016
Revenue from private customers	2,101	2,232	2,329
Revenue from other business customers	2,095	2,012	2,054
Total revenue	4,196	4,244	4,383

(*) See Note 9 to the 2018 Financial Statements concerning an impairment of assets.

Cellular Telephony (Pelephone)

Pelephone is among the leading cellular telephony services providers in Israel. Pelephone provides cellular telephony services, sells handsets and other end-user equipment, and provides repair services for handsets sold by Pelephone.

	As at and for the year ended December 31, 2018 (in millions, except percentages)
Pelephone	
Revenues (in NIS)	2,443
Estimated market share (as of September 30, 2018)	21%
Total Subscribers	2.2
Churn rate	33.3%

Services provided by Pelephone:

Package services. Package services provided by Pelephone include:

- Basic telephone services (voice) including basic voice services, call completion and auxiliary services such as call waiting, follow-me, voice mailbox, voice conference call and caller ID, MMS multimedia messages.
- Browsing and data communications services – Internet browsing using 3G and 4G mobile devices.
- Messaging service – a service for sending and receiving SMS text messages and multimedia MMS messages.
- *Content services* - Pelephone offers its customers content services such as Pelephone cloud backup, anti-virus and cyber security services, and a music library (Musix) that enables listening to a variety of music via mobile phone and PC.
- IOT Services (Internet Of Things) - Pelephone began to offer its customers advanced IOT solutions (such as smart building networks with command and control systems).
- *Roaming Services* - Pelephone provides its customers with roaming coverage in more than 220 countries worldwide. Pelephone also provides incoming roaming services for the customers of foreign operators staying in Israel.
- *Servicing and repair services* – Pelephone offers expanded repair and warranty services; for a monthly fee entitling the customer to mobile handset repair and warranty services, or for a one-time payment at the time of repair.
- Pelephone provides part of these services under hosting agreements with other cellular operators that use Pelephone's network, so that they can provide services to their customers.

The mobile radio telephony segment is extremely competitive. Competition in this sector has led to high subscriber churn between the cellular operators and erosion of their revenues, and to an increase in the internet browsing volume included in the base package that has caused significant erosion of the average revenue per user (ARPU). The growth in number of postpaid subscribers in the past four years compensated for the price erosion and allowed Pelephone to maintain stable income.

The terminal equipment market is also fiercely competitive. Opening of multiple stores selling terminal equipment by parallel import has led to a decline in the sales of cellular handsets and terminal equipment by the cellular operators. The launching of Chinese brands alongside the launch of lower price models of devices of other manufacturers has led to a decline in the average revenue per device. Cancellation of the purchase tax on imported cellular devices, which was 15% of the value of the device, also contributed to the decrease in the average revenue per device. To minimize damage to revenues, Pelephone increased the range of equipment it sells and it also sells non-cellular electronic equipment.

Most terminal and electronic equipment is sold on installments. The decrease in terminal equipment sales over the years has led to a decrease in trade receivables as well as a decline in trade payables to terminal equipment suppliers.

The cellular market growth rate is lower due to penetration rate saturation¹. The penetration rate at September 30, 2018 was 117%.

Pelephone also offers various types of mobile phones, on-board telephones, hands-free devices and accessories that support its range of services. Pelephone also offers its customers other terminal equipment such as tablets, laptops, modems, television sets and game consoles.

Pelephone offers its subscribers comprehensive voice, data and text messaging services and advanced multimedia services through its nationwide network. Pelephone's basic cellular telephony (voice) services include basic call services, call completion services and auxiliary services such as call waiting, call forwarding, voice mail, voice conference call and caller ID. Pelephone's customers can also access Internet services by using their handsets or through a cellular modem, or netstick. Pelephone's value-added services include short text messages, or SMS, multimedia messages, or MMS, and content services. Pelephone also offers its customers handset repair services for a monthly payment.

Pelephone offers a variety of packages that combine the several services it makes available to subscribers.

Pelephone also provides international roaming services, based on agreements it has with cellular telephony operators abroad. In addition, Pelephone provides inbound roaming services to the customers of foreign operators while they are in Israel.

Revenue from products and services

Terminal equipment – Pelephone offers various types of mobile phones, on-board telephones, hands-free devices, and accessories that support its range of services. Pelephone also offers its customers other terminal equipment such as tablets, laptops, modems, speakers, smart watches, headphones and related electronic equipment.

The following table provides a breakdown of Pelephone's revenues from products and services (NIS in millions) in the last three years:

Products and services	2018	2017	2016
Revenue from services	1,755	1,782	1,818
Percentage of Pelephone's total revenue	71.8%	70%	69.1%
Revenue from products (terminal equipment)	688	764	812
Percentage of Pelephone's total revenue	28.2%	30%	30.9%
Total revenue	2,443	2,546	2,630

Breakdown of Pelephone's revenues from products and services (in NIS millions):

Products and services	2018	2017	2016
Revenue from private customers	1,415	1,541	1,616
Revenue from business customers (*)	1,028	1,005	1,015
Total revenue	2,443	2,546	2,631

Breakdown of revenue from customers (in NIS million):

(*) Revenue from business customers includes revenues from hosting agreements, most of which was from Rami Levy.

At the end of 2018, Pelephone had 2.2 million subscribers, consisting of 1.8 million postpaid subscribers and 0.4 million prepaid subscribers. Revenues from the prepaid subscribers are immaterial relative to Pelephone's total revenues. Following the update of the definition of an active subscriber, 0.4 million prepaid subscribers were deleted from Pelephone's subscriber base during 2018.

ISP, ILD, Data Services and ICT (Bezeq International)

Bezeq International is the leading provider of ISP services in Israel and one of Israel's leading providers of ILD and international and domestic data transfer and network services. Bezeq International provides comprehensive communications solutions that include ISP and related value-added services, international and domestic telephony, PBX supply and support, ICT, cloud computing services, data communications and information security, website server hosting and related managed services. Bezeq International also owns the JONAH high-speed submarine optical fiber communications cable system connecting Israel and Europe, which provides increased bandwidth (capacity and speed) and has positioned Bezeq International as the sole ISP in Israel to own and operate an advanced international network.

¹ Penetration rate - the ratio between the number of subscribers in the market and the total population in Israel (excluding foreign workers and Palestinians, although they are included in the number of subscribers).

In the internet service provider (ISP) sector, some 80 companies have so far been granted ISP licenses, among them holders of special licenses for providing these services and special general licenses authorizing them to provide international call services, domestic operator services and MRT services.

	As at and for the year ended December 31, 2018
	(in millions, except percentages)
DBS	
Revenues (in NIS)	1,473
Estimated market share	34%
Subscribers (in thousands)	574
Churn rate	21.5%

ISP Services

In the Internet services sector Bezeq International provides Internet service provider (ISP) services for private and business customers, including requisite terminal equipment and support over DSL based transmission, configuration and cable infrastructure. and access services to Bezeq's Internet infrastructure (as part of the wholesale market); hosting services offering site and server storage services at a designated installation, including value added services (such as monitoring and control); information security services; Internet and LAN network connection security using required terminal equipment or software, including monitoring; data services including international IP based data communication solutions for business customers with global deployment; and high speed Wi-Fi services, including public hotspots.

Bezeq International also began in 2018 marketing packages that include DBS's Sting TV, an internet based television services platform (together with internet access services).

Bezeq International provides these Internet services primarily via its exclusive wholly-owned Jonah submarine cable between Israel and Italy, launched in December 2011. Bezeq International is the only provider among ISPs operating in Israel to own a submarine cable.

International data services

Bezeq International provides international data communication solutions for business customers including customized global deployment. The services are provided via Bezeq International's submarine cable and the optic cables deployed from Israel to Europe over which Bezeq International has long-term user rights.

In addition to the foregoing services, Bezeq International offers ITS licensees to provide Bezeq International's services and ISP licensees the use of its international capacities (through leasing or by purchasing indefeasible rights of use), over Bezeq International's submarine cable, and the user rights it acquired in European terrestrial infrastructures and in other international networks.

Business Sector-Data Services and ICT

Bezeq International provides ICT (Information and Communication Technology) solutions for business customers. Customer ICT solutions include extensive communications solutions such as server and web hosting services, technical maintenance and support services, system and networking services, outsourcing and out-tasking services, security and risk management solutions, IP based services, cloud computing services, online backup services, market and advertising services for businesses over a digital platform (Bigger) and equipment sales. Bezeq International has adopted a comprehensive solution model with a single contact person, fully responsible for dealing with the customer (one service provider, one responsibility).

Bezeq International markets and maintains communication systems for the entire the Israeli market, and PBX exchanges, telephony networks and IP communications, mainly for its business customers. As part of its service contracts, Bezeq International provides maintenance services for various PBX exchange manufacturers. These services are given for gateways, PBX exchanges and network end points (NEP) for lines used as both internal and external lines.

Multi-Channel and online television transmissions (OTT), Pay Television (DBS)

DBS's goals are to maintain its market share and customer base, while maintaining its business and competitive position in the sector and continuing its streamlining measures.

To achieve these goals, along with efforts to reduce costs, DBS intends to invest considerable effort in marketing and sales, and in appropriate marketing strategy designed to continue attracting subscribers; and to retain existing customers; continually improve the array of services to subscribers; improving the added value offers to customers; creating differentiation and innovation in its broadcasting content, to increase the amount of content purchased by each subscriber and expand DBS's value-added services, and to invest in the development and integration of advanced technologies and new services. These efforts include DBS's drive to increase the rate of penetration of advanced services, including the PVR decoders and VOD and HD services among its subscribers as well as to also provide its content on additional platforms, such as yesGo and StingTV, in a way that will increase DBS revenues and subscriber loyalty to DBS's services.

In March 2019, the Boards of Bezeq and DBS approved an outline plan for DBS switching from satellite broadcasting to online transmission (OTT) in a gradual, prolonged process, expected to be spread over a period of up to seven years. DBS's average annual investment over the planned years is expected to be similar to the average annual investment in recent years. DBS intends to routinely monitor market conditions, competition and the technological environment, and will periodically review the feasibility of the outline plan and the need, if any, to make adjustments in it, in the pace of its execution or in the manner of its implementation, taking into account the needs of its customers and DBS's regulatory obligations.

DBS provides subscribers of its satellite broadcasts online VOD services via the internet (OTT). These services are provided for a service subscription fee (most subscribers currently have special offer subscriptions that exempt them from this fee), while some of the content is provided for an additional charge.

Connecting Satellite Subscribers to VOD services requires the use of specific types of decoders. In recent years, the number of satellite subscribers connected to VOD services and the consumption of VOD services has increased significantly due to the increased supply of available content, increase in available band width at subscribers' homes and significant increase in use of advanced decoders.

DBS also operates its online OTT yesGo service, allowing satellite subscribers to view satellite broadcasts of the channels included in this service, which they have purchased for home television viewing, as well as VOD content, via various terminal devices.

To allow reception of DBS services online, dish antennas are installed on buildings and several types of decoders are installed in the subscriber's home: decoders enabling reception of SD broadcasts only, and advanced decoders, some of which are PVR converters for recording content, some are HD Zapper decoders for receiving HD broadcasts, and some combine all the foregoing features (HDPVR decoders). DBS also markets state-of-the-art PVR decoders that enable higher resolution viewing, known as 4K or UltraHD.

Most of the PVR decoders also enable MultiRoom service through which, via a home network, content recorded on such decoders can also be viewed through other (HD Zapper or HDPVR) decoders in the subscriber's home.

The majority of satellite subscribers use advanced decoders of various types (HD Zapper, HDPVR, PVR and PVR 4K decoders).

Pursuant to the provisions of the Communications Law, the terms of DBS's broadcasting license and the Council's decisions, its satellite broadcasts include a basic package or one of the core packages that every subscriber is required to purchase, as well as additional user selectable channels, either as packages or as individual channels.

Currently, most of the satellite subscribers have a special campaign subscription, the highlights of which include the offer of a vast majority of linear channels and VOD service at an all-inclusive price that reflects a price discount for most subscribers when joining. The high enrollment rate for the special campaign, decreased the average revenue per subscriber (ARPU), and this reduction, together with additional measures were intended to help DBS cope with the increasing competition and decrease in the number of subscribers. Continued enrollment is expected to cause further decline in the ARPU.

Sting TV Services

In 2017, DBS launched its online television service under the name, StingTV, which includes linear TV channels and VOD content. The service is based on the Android TV operating system which allows content to be viewed via a streamer, smart TV and other terminal devices. The service is made up of a number of content packages, with each package containing linear channels and VOD content, and subscribers can join one or more of these packages, according to choice.

As a rule, this service is relatively low priced compared with services provided under broadcasting licenses and does not include the full range of content offered to the Satellite Subscribers. The service is primarily digital (subscribing and customer service are via online interfaces), based on subscriber self-installation (if installation of a streamer is required).

Recent DBS Developments

In April 2018, Altech Multimedia International, or Altec, manufacturer of ZAPPER HD decoders and KPVR4 decoders purchased by DBS from Draco and OSI, announced its intention to cease its decoder production operations in November 2018.

Following Altech's announcement that it intends discontinuing its decoder manufacturing operations in November 2018, an agreement was signed in July 2018 between DBS, Altech, Draco and OSI (with a commitment to back up Altech's obligations by a corporation that indirectly holds control of Altech) that regulates the transfer of intellectual property rights for ZAPPER HD decoders and 4K PVR decoders manufactured by Altech to DBS and alternative manufacturers, as well as other obligations regarding the foregoing orders for decoders and commencement of production of these decoders by an alternative manufacturer. The agreement also included cancellation of the previous agreements for the supply of these models of decoders, as well as a comprehensive and mutual waiver by the parties of claims and lawsuits relating to both models of decoders and the supply agreements.

In May 2018, Cisco notified DBS about the pending sale of its services for multi-channel television provider operations to a third party, Synamedia, and in October 2018 DBS received notice that the sale of the this operation had been completed and that Cisco was seeking to assign its agreements to Synamedia. The parties are negotiating this request.

Marketing, Sales and Customer Service

Under the structural separation limitations, each of the Bezeq Group companies maintains independent marketing and sales operations.

Domestic Fixed-Line Communications (Bezeq)

Bezeq has marketing, sales and service systems for its business and private customers, which include customer managers for the business sector, combined sales and service call centers around the country, technical support centers for private and business customers, Bezeq stores throughout Israel offering sales and services, as well as a virtual online shop.

Bezeq markets its services mainly through advertising in the mass media, telephone sales centers, customer managers and an array of independent dealers which are mainly ISPs, outsourced sales centers, and ISPs which, upon establishment of the wholesale market, mainly market end-to-end service packages based on Bezeq's wholesale BSA services. Bezeq also has independent service and sales channels on its website (adapted to surfing from mobile phones), a dedicated application (Bezeq Sheli, My Bezeq), and also offers an Interactive Voice Response (IVR).

Cellular Telephony (Pelephone)

Pelephone's distribution network includes more than 450 points of sale at which it is possible to join Pelephone's services. The point of sale network is diverse and includes stores and stalls operated by Pelephone, retail chains that market Pelephone products and 26 customer service and sales centers deployed around the country that engage in sales, repair of devices and customer retention. In addition, Pelephone operates an internal and external telemarketing network. As a rule, these dealers are paid a commission on sales.

In the past year Pelephone continued expanding its distribution network. Pelephone's subscriber service network includes online channels, including Pelephone's website and 8 call centers.

ISP, ILD, Data Services and ICT (Bezeq International)

Bezeq International has sales channels for the private market, including customer recruitment and retention call centers, a country-wide direct sales network (providing "door to door" and point of sale services), a technical support and customer service network and a distribution channel system that includes external marketing and dealership centers. The business market sales channels include customer recruitment centers and business and administration service and solution centers for business customers. Bezeq sells Bezeq International services as part of joint service bundles.

Multi-Channel Pay Television (DBS)

DBS customer service operations are carried out mainly by in-house and outsourced call centers, as well as by self-service via interactive voice response, DBS's website and set-top boxes. Field technical support and installations are performed by DBS technicians and subcontractors.

DBS's sales operations are carried out via door-to-door sales personnel, call centers and third-party dealers. DBS focuses its marketing strategy on media campaigns with high presence on television as well as other medias such as radio, newspapers, Internet and billboard commercials, using well-known international actors and marketing special offers. DBS's campaigns highlight its role as a global technology pioneer with leading value-added services (VOD, PVR, HD, MultiRoom, streamer and mobile applications). DBS also highlights its relationships with other well-known, popular brands.

Networks

Domestic Fixed-Line Communications (Bezeq)

Bezeq has a Next-Generation Network (NGN) based on a core IP network and deployment of an optical fiber network to street cabinets (a network topology known as Fiber to the Curb, FTTC), and also based on an access network (a system that connects NEPs on the subscriber's premises to the network and engineering systems). The connection from the home to the access network is based on copper cables and the connection from the access systems to the backbone is based mainly on optic cables. In addition, some of the peripheral equipment (equipment installed at the subscriber, such as routers) is owned by Bezeq and leased to the customer. In the NGN, download broadband speeds of up to 100 Mbps and innovative added value services can be provided using VDSL2. Other advantages of the new technology are simplification of the network structure and better management ability. At the onset of 2016, Bezeq started testing G.fast (a technology that uses a pair of copper wires to transmit communication data at high speeds depending on distance) and optic technologies in the field, which allow ultra-high-speeds of hundreds of megabits and even 1 gigabyte, with the aim of reviewing the technological and economic aspects of operating the network.

Bezeq is expanding the deployment of infrastructure, including optical fiber deployment since 2013 so that the fibers will be as near to the customer's premises as possible (FTTH/FTTB), as a basis for future provision of more advanced and broader-band communication services than those currently provided based on new technologies using the copper cables on the customer's premises.

Bezeq slowed the pace of deployment of the fibers significantly in 2017. Bezeq is focusing its efforts on examining the readiness of the new technologies, which will allow it to provide the service more extensively, and on investments in the existing network with the purpose of increasing the bandwidth, quality and survivability of the network. On March 28, 2018, Bezeq applied to the Ministry of Communications to regulate the ultra-high-speed segment so as to enable national deployment of these speeds. On December 23, 2018, the Ministry of Communications contacted Bezeq for clarifications, according to which the Ministry requires further information to complete its examination with respect to implementation of 35B technology (expansion of the xDSL technology with which speeds of up to 300 Mbps can be reached, depending on the quality of the copper infrastructure) in terms of provision of services in an orderly and proper manner without materially harming competition, including the option of laying down conditions to ensure the absence of such harm. On January 21, 2019, Bezeq notified the service providers that it was suspending operation of the technology at this stage, until further notice.

As of the end of 2018, Bezeq deployed optical fibers directly to 100,000 buildings and in certain areas to a point at the center of a group of buildings. The measure of readiness of the deployment to provide services depends on technology to be reviewed for each region (there might be various technologies). All in all, the connection potential is up to 1.5 million households and businesses.

On December 18, 2018, the Ministry of Communications published a call for public comments with respect to the principles for deployment of ultra-wide bandwidth infrastructure in Israel setting out the basis for the policy under consideration at the Ministry which, according to it, is intended to supplement the existing system of incentives and create regulatory certainty for the communications companies in terms of regulation. In the call for public comments, the Ministry presents initial principles according to which it is considering formulating regulation aimed at providing a solution for the different issues, mainly:

1. Freedom of action for infrastructure owners and service providers in terms of the technology mix, subject to the principle of non-infringement of the services that a competitor can offer.
2. Provision of wholesale services by the infrastructure owner as set out in the service portfolios, with any technological advancement in parallel to provision thereof in the existing networks.
3. A reasonable wholesale payment for BSA services, in accordance with Section 17 of the Communications Law, under the following restrictions:
 - (a) A cost based tariff and not retail minus.
 - (b) A uniform flat monthly tariff without a variable capacity allocation component.
 - (c) The option of speed-based differential pricing will be weighed.
 - (d) The existence of an effective margin squeeze prevention mechanism.
 - (e) Quick implementation - the Ministry is considering, until completion of the up-to-date study of costs, to set the controlled price for fiber-based wholesale services, based on the data currently in its possession. It was indicated that a specific hearing on the subject would be published further to the call for public comments.
4. Formulation of an incentive mechanism for operation of advanced networks

Subsequently, Bezeq holds initiated discussions with the Ministry of Communications' representatives and raised its position on the failures which it believes are inherent in the intentions published in the call for public comment.

On March 7, 2019, the Ministry of Communications published another call for public comment, according to which, in view of the understanding that this is extensive government regulation with significant implications on the communications market and its related markets. On February 10, 2019 an interministerial team was established to review the policy for deployment of ultra-wide bandwidth fixed communication infrastructure in Israel that includes representatives from the Ministry of Communications, Ministry of Finance and the Competition Authority. The team was requested to review and formulate recommendations on the following subjects with attention to the good of the public and development of competition in the segment, all with respect to fixed ultra-wide bandwidth infrastructure: (1) Revision of the deployment obligations and/or obligations to provide the service applicable to the infrastructure owners; (2) the need for tools to encourage deployment in regions in which it recommends stipulating that there is no deployment obligation, based on economic feasibility studies. The team invited public entities to express their position on these subject by March 27, 2019.

Bezeq's IT system supports four main areas: marketing and customer Management, engineering infrastructures of the telecommunications networks, company resources management, and company-wide systems. The IT system is large and complex and supports critical work processes and handles very large volumes of data. This system consists of a large number of systems and subsystems some of which are information systems which started being developed many years ago, while others are modern and were developed and applied recently. Most of the systems operate in open computer environments.

The IT system in Bezeq supports four main areas: Marketing and Customer Management, engineering infrastructures of the telecommunications networks, Company resources management, and company-wide systems.

Bezeq's IT system is large and complex, and supports critical work processes and handles very large volumes of data. This system consists of a large number of systems, some of which are information systems which started being developed many years ago, while others are modern and were developed and applied recently. Most of the systems operate in open computer environments.

Cellular Telephony (Pelephone)

Pelephone has a resilient and advanced network system in Israel, allowing it to offer its services with nationwide coverage and consistent high quality. Pelephone's cellular telephony license is valid until September 8, 2022. During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2017 and 2018, Pelephone had net capital expenditures of NIS 241 million, NIS 309 million and 306 million (approximately \$81 million), respectively, for its network infrastructure.

Pelephone currently operates communications networks using the 4G LTE, UMTS/HSPA and the 4G LTE technology is based on GSM standards. The advantages of this technology are greater data communication capacity and faster download rates than with the 3G technologies. All the terminal devices that support this technology also support the 3G technologies and the transition between the technologies is seamless.

UMTS/HSPA is a digital technology based on the GSM standard. This technology is globally widespread, and enables subscriber identification and services to be provided through a SIM card, which can be moved from one handset to another. The advantage of this technology is that it supports download speeds of up to 42 Mbps and upload speeds of up to 5.7 Mbps. This communication network is Pelephone's primary network.

Pelephone's networks cover substantially all of the population in Israel. Pelephone is continuing to expand and improve the coverage, capacity and quality of its 3.5G UMTS/HSPA+ network. Pelephone's network architecture is based on two mobile telephone switching offices (MTSOs), each one with an IP based core network that can support all the traffic in the network.

At present, Pelephone's network infrastructure is based at two switch farms that are connected to more than 2,200 sites. Pelephone's network is interconnected with the networks of Bezeq and HOT in several locations across Israel. Pelephone's network is also connected to all of the cellular networks in Israel, the eight Israeli ILD operators, the fixed-line telephone network of Paltel and the cellular network of Wataniya, and indirectly to the cellular network of Jawwal in the Palestinian Authority.

Pelephone's transmission network is made up of leased lines (fiber optic) from Bezeq and Pelephone's own microwave links. Pelephone's UMTS base stations are connected using a hybrid connection (ATM for voice calls through Bezeq's SDH network and IP for data calls through Bezeq's metro Ethernet network).

Frequency usage rights

There is a shortage of frequencies for public use in Israel, partly because of the designation of numerous frequencies for security uses. As a result, the government limits the number of licenses granted for using frequencies. A tender is expected to be conducted in 2019 for allocation of additional frequencies to the cellular operators.

Under its mobile telephony license and the Wireless Telegraph Ordinance, Pelephone has rights of use of frequencies in the 850 MHz and 2100 MHz spectrums for operating its UMTS/HSPA network, and in the 1800 MHz spectrum for operating its LTE technology network. During the course of 2017 Pelephone returned two 1 mega bandwidth frequencies in the 850 Mhz spectrum to the national pool of frequencies and towards the end of April 2017 it received a temporary allocation of 5 mega bandwidth on the 1800 Mhz spectrum. This allocation is for limited use and for a limited period and will expire at the end of 2019 or earlier, according to the conditions specified in the allocation.

In July 2018, the Ministry of Communications temporarily allocated two bands, each of 5 MHz, in the 700 MHz spectrum, to Partner and Hot Mobile. According to the announcement by the Ministry on May 17, 2018, these temporary allocations were intended to enable technological preparations for providing advanced services and for efficient assimilation of the relevant technologies via this frequency. This temporary allocation will be canceled, based on the results of the Frequency Tender, which is expected to take place during 2019. Pelephone has decided, due to various considerations, not to request a temporary allocation at this time in this frequency spectrum.

In July 2018, the Ministry of Communications informed Pelephone that it plans to adapt the cellular frequencies in Israel to European standards and to the region in which the State of Israel is located, so that Pelephone and another cellular operator will be required to switch the frequencies allotted to them in the 850 MHz spectrum to others in the first giga spectrum. Accordingly, the allocation of the 850 MHz frequencies used by Pelephone will expire on September 9, 2022, and in place of them Pelephone will receive the same bandwidth of frequencies in the 900 MHz spectrum, no later than March 22, 2021. The Ministry further decided that the format and timetables for how the switch would be implemented will be determined by a joint taskforce of people from the Ministry, the Budget Division of the Ministry of Finance and, where necessary, representatives of the relevant companies, including representatives of Pelephone.

Switching frequencies is a complex engineering project that requires replacement of equipment at all of Pelephone's radio sites and is liable to incur substantial costs that could vary depending on the process and timing to be determined by the Ministry of Communications. Pelephone is currently holding discussions with the Ministry of Communications for the purpose of setting out the conditions required for adapting the frequencies as aforesaid, including the financing required, deciding on the timing and duration of the adaptation period and the required regulatory amendments.

Tender for mobile radio telephony services over advanced bandwidths (the "Tender")

On December 27, 2018, the Ministry of Communications published the criteria of the tenders committee for allocation of frequencies for advanced MRT services (the "Tender Committee"). The Tender Committee is in the final stages of formulating the terms of the tender, under which the winners will be allocated radio frequencies in various spectra that enable advanced broadband bandwidth mobile radio telephony services. In order to achieve the purpose of the tender, the Tenders Committee has formulated three main goals that include upgrading and improving the cellular networks in Israel, deployment of the fifth generation and promotion of competition.

Trademarks

Pelephone has a number of registered trademarks, its primary trademark is “Pelephone”.

Software, computer systems and databases

Pelephone uses software and computer systems, some under purchased licenses and others which were developed by Pelephone’s IT department. Many of these licenses are limited in time, and are periodically renewed. The primary systems used by Pelephone are Oracle Applications’ ERP system and Amdocs’s customer management and billing system.

Infrastructure sharing agreements and providing right of use of networks

As previously indicated, infrastructure sharing allows consolidation of the cellular operators’ sites and substantially reduces the operating and maintenance costs of the radio sites of each operator.

Pelephone is not party to a network sharing agreement, therefore it does not benefit from the savings of a shared radio network, but on the other hand it has exclusive control of its cellular network, maintenance of its technological channel and the scope of its investments. Furthermore, the inventory of frequencies in Pelephone’s network is smaller than that of the competitors’ networks.

Construction and Operation of Sites: Permits, Licenses.

Once a new coverage area has been identified, Pelephone’s technical staff determines the optimal base station location and the required coverage characteristics. The area is then surveyed to identify network sites. In urban areas, typical sites are building rooftops. In rural areas, masts are usually constructed. Technical staffs also identify the best means of connecting the base station to the network. Once a preferred site has been identified and the exact equipment configuration for that site decided, Pelephone begins the process of obtaining necessary approvals.

The construction and changing of most of these network sites requires building permits from local or regional authorities, as well as a number of additional permits from governmental and regulatory authorities, such as construction and operating permits from the Ministry of Environmental Protection, permits from the Civil Aviation Authority, in certain cases, and permits from the Israeli Defense Forces.

Pelephone uses software and computer systems, some under purchased licenses and others which were developed by Pelephone’s IT department. Many of these licenses are limited in time, and are periodically renewed. The primary systems used by Pelephone are: Oracle Application ERP system and Amdocs customer management and billing system.

ISP, ILD, Domestic Services and ICT (Bezeq International)

In December 2011, Bezeq International completed the deployment of a new high-speed submarine optical fiber communications cable system connecting Israel and Europe, which was launched in January 2012 and has increased bandwidth (capacity and speed) at affordable rates and positioned Bezeq as the sole Internet service provider in Israel to own and operate such infrastructure. This high-speed optical fiber system named JONAH, covers 2,300 kilometers across the Mediterranean, is fully redundant (i.e., utilizes two equipped fiber pairs) and leverages Alcatel-Lucent’s advanced submarine communications networking technology. The cable system can operate at 100 gigabits-per-second data transmissions to enable data capacity of over 7.0 Tbps between Tel Aviv and Bari, Italy. This ultimate data capacity could allow the simultaneous download of 100,000 MP3 files in one minute and the streaming of 15,000 HDTV channels. The system integrates Alcatel-Lucent OALC-5 cable, optimized with coherent submarine fiber (CSF), repeaters and the 1620 Light Manager submarine line terminal which is designed to accommodate 10G/40G/100G wavelengths in the same platform, enabling seamless capacity upgrades on a flexible grid for channel spacing without traffic interruption. This solution, which features advanced optical coherent technology, offers a pathway to multi-terabit capacity using 100G channels, far exceeding the maximum capacity achievable with 40G. This protects the investment from the risk of obsolescence or capacity limitations due to changes in transmission technology. Bezeq International’s submarine optical fiber communications cable is extended from Bari terrestrially through Interoute’s network to major European cities such as London, Frankfurt and Milan.

In parallel with the completion of the deployment of JONAH in the fourth quarter of 2011, Bezeq International invested in the purchase of a submarine fiber pair connecting Israel to Cyprus, known as the ARIEL cable, which extends to Marseilles, France via the ALEXANDROS submarine cable. In addition, Bezeq International holds multiple 10Gbps capacity indefeasible rights of use via the MedNautilus submarine cable system.

Bezeq International's capacity on the JONAH, ARIEL and MedNautilus submarine cables allows the delivery of faster connectivity to Israel and the Mediterranean region, fostering the delivery of innovative IP-based services for which capacity and speed are critical elements to meet end-users' demand. Bezeq International is the only telecom operator in Israel that provides three different routes of multiple 10Gbps to Europe.

In July 2014, Bezeq International launched the "Bigger" service for the business sector in which it offers an innovative digital platform for managing the marketing and advertising of small and medium size businesses.

	As at and for the year ended December 31, 2018 (in millions, except percentages)
Bezeq International	
Revenues (in NIS)	1,391
ISP	
Estimated market share	38.2%
Churn rate	25.5%
ILD	
Estimated market share	23.3%

PBX services

Bezeq International markets and maintains communication systems for the entire Israeli market, and PBX exchanges, telephony networks and IP communications, mainly for its business customers. As part of its service contracts, Bezeq International provides maintenance services for various PBX exchange manufacturers. These include services for gateways, PBX exchanges and network end points (NEP) for lines used as both internal and external lines.

Breakdown of Bezeq International's revenue (in NIS millions):

	2018	2017	2016
Voice services	225	268	325
% of total revenue	16.2%	17.44%	20.99%
Business internet and telecommunication services (ISP, PBX, ICT, data)	1,166	1,269	1,223
% of total revenue	83.8%	82.56%	79.01%
Total revenue	1,391	1,537	1,548

Trade receivables

Bezeq International is not dependent on any single customer and it does not have one customer that provides 10% or more of its total revenues.

Breakdown of revenue from private and business customers (in NIS million):

	2018	2017	2016
Revenue from private customers	542	563	570
Revenue from business customers	849	974	978
Total revenue	1,391	1,537	1,548

Multi-Channel Pay Television (DBS)

DBS is the sole DTH provider in Israel. DBS operates a hybrid platform of satellite and IPTV OTT. DBS's IP platform, based on progressive download technology, enables DBS to provide its VOD service, which was launched in March 2010 using OTT technology, with a versatile and user-friendly interface in HD quality incorporated into the electronic program guide.

DBS owns the satellite dishes and other endpoint devices that carry and receive the signals from such satellites to subscriber residences and set-top boxes. In addition, DBS leases some of the set-top boxes and cards that decode the coded signals received from the satellite to its subscribers, while other set-top boxes and cards are provided to subscribers for a deposit (an immaterial number of set-top boxes are sold to subscribers).

Competition in the Israeli Telecommunications Market

The market is characterized by competition among communications groups (Bezeq's Group, Hot Group, Cellcom Group and Partner Group) operating in parallel in several segments (fixed-line and cellular telephony, fixed-line and cellular Internet services, multi-channel television and international calls). In addition to the communications groups, competitors such as Golan Telecom, MVNO cellular operators, international operators and ISPs, including service providers in the wholesale market, also operate in the market.

The competition between the communications groups is reflected by increased use of service bundles (including various combinations of several different communication services). Communications groups market joint service bundles consisting of different communication services of the companies in each group. As a rule, the marketing of the joint bundle enables the communications group to offer its customers a comprehensive solution that does away with the need to subscribe to several different providers, and to offer more attractive tariffs than purchasing each service separately (in some cases with "cross-subsidization" among the bundle components). These trends were reinforced with implementation of a wholesale BSA service, allowing operators that do not own infrastructure and those that are not part of a communications group to offer a full end-to-end service bundle (including infrastructure) to their customers.

The competition between the communications groups is reflected by increased use of service bundles (including various combinations of several different communication services). Communications groups market joint service bundles consisting of different communication services of the companies in each group. As a rule, the marketing of the joint bundle enables the communications group to offer its customers a comprehensive solution that does away with the need to subscribe to several different providers, and to offer more attractive tariffs than purchasing each service separately (in some cases with "cross-subsidization" among the bundle components). These trends were reinforced with implementation of a wholesale BSA service, allowing operators that do not own infrastructure and those that are not part of a communications group to offer a full end-to-end service bundle (including infrastructure) to their customers.

Providing customers with comprehensive services that meet their different needs is becoming easier due to technological convergence, regulatory changes and regulation through a single general license granted to different communications operators, enabling communications services that required separate licenses in the past to be provided under the same license.

Following is a table containing a breakdown of DBS' revenues (in NIS millions):

	2018	2017	2016
Revenue from broadcasts and multi-channel television services to subscribers	1,431	1,629	1,715
Percentage of revenue	97%*	99%*	98%*

* The revenues balance is mainly due to payments from channels for broadcasting by DBS.

Fixed-Line Telephony Services Market

Wholesale market

The wholesale market enables communications providers to compete with Bezeq while using its physical infrastructure, including infrastructure segments, and its services, at controlled prices that are not set by Bezeq. The wholesale market allows communications providers to offer their subscribers broadband services and end-to-end service packages, including access infrastructure.

HOT does not yet provide wholesale services in the absence of a price set by the Ministry of Communications. On January 14, 2016, the Ministry of Communications published a hearing to determine the maximum tariffs for wholesale services on HOT's network.

Telephony

Bezeq estimates that at the end of 2018, its market share in the fixed-line telephony market was approximately 52% of the private sector and 71% of the business sector, a decrease of 1% in the private market and 1% in the business market, compared with 2017.

Bezeq and HOT Telecom both own nationally-deployed fixed-line telephony infrastructures and are in fierce competition with each other, which is manifested by HOT combining Internet infrastructure, telephony and cable television, and possibly cellular services as well, to households. HOT also markets telephony services to business customers.

Bezeq also faces competition from license-holders for domestic fixed-line communication services, including VoB, which provide the service on Bezeq's broadband access service, including the wholesale BSA service.

Since July 2017, Bezeq has provided telephony services on its network in a resale format to unified license holders that are permitted to provide domestic carrier services. At present, the number of subscribers for this service is negligible.

Since August 2018, Bezeq offers a wholesale telephony service in a similar format to that of the service portfolio, at the tariffs of the Use Regulations

Competition in telephony from the cellular companies

Bezeq believes that the continued substitution of fixed lines by mobile lines is one of the causes of the reduction in the average traffic per line, and of the growing removal rate of telephone lines.

In 2018, the trends that began in 2012 continued, marking a leap in competition in the cellular communications market in Israel. The activity of the new infrastructure operators, Golan and HOT Mobile, and to a lesser extent the activity of virtual cellular operators, continued the trend of erosion of prices and maintained the high level of mobility of customers between the companies.

Partner and Cellcom also provide domestic fixed-line services through companies they own, and they sell service bundles that combine fixed-line and cellular telephony and Internet services.

In the cellular telephony sector, the trend has been for cellular users to use applications that allow making calls and sending messages via the Internet.

VoC services

According to the Ministry of Communications policy, VoC service is a fixed service, the provision of which will be regulated by a general Domestic Carrier License or special license that currently provide VOB services, since VOB or VoC telephony services are telephony services which use IP technology over another entity's data transmission network (irrespective of whether such network is mobile or fixed) and it is therefore a single fixed service.

As a result of the Ministry of Communications' decision to provide an exemption to cellular operators from requiring a general license or a permit to set up and operate access points, the cellular operators can use Wi-Fi access points as part of their networks to provide services. This provides them with a transition to providing cellular telephony services over a Wi-Fi network and assists in diverting loads to this network from their cellular network.

Internet infrastructure segment

Bezeq estimates that at the end of 2018 its market share in the Internet infrastructure market was approximately 69% (compared with 70% at the end of 2017). The competition in this field is also active.

Competition from HOT Group – HOT's Internet infrastructure is deployed nationwide, through which a range of communication services and interactive applications can be provided. The HOT network is currently the main alternative to competition with Bezeq's infrastructure in the private sector. HOT was compelled to provide wholesale services, including BSA services, and to the best of Bezeq's knowledge, it has started selling wholesale BSA services on its network.

On November 13, 2014, the then Minister of Communications decided to require HOT Telecom to implement the first stage in completing deployment of its network by November 13, 2016. Implementation of this decision was delayed twice (until May 13, 2018) while during that time HOT was required to provide its services to all subscribers in OTT technology on broadband internet (which in practice belongs to Bezeq) in all communities in which it does not currently serve. Since October 1, 2017, HOT is able to use Bezeq's physical infrastructure. On March 29, 2018, the Ministry of Communications adopted the decision of the advisory committee of that date, that until completion of the review by the Ministry and formulation of a decision on the subject, provision of services using an alternative technology can provide a solution in communities in which HOT is not deployed, and in several communities it may provide its services through another license holder for a year or until another decision is made on the matter, whichever is earlier. In parallel, HOT was compelled to complete deployment in several additional communities.

Competition from ISPs and communications groups - operating the wholesale market enables ISPs and related companies (holders of a single license) to offer customers service bundles that also include Internet infrastructure based on Bezeq's infrastructures and services (in exchange for controlled tariffs to be paid by the communications providers to Bezeq). Moreover, if and insofar as the mechanism for preventing a 'margin squeeze' is implemented, similar to the one described in the Ministry of Communications hearing, Bezeq's ability to market promotional offers of its retail services will also suffer, in terms of both time to market (TTM) and prices at which the services are offered.

Competition from cellular operators – the cellular companies have deepened their Internet activities on the cellular range both in the private sector and in the business sector. Unlike the fixed-line communications segment (where the provision of access infrastructure services, mainly by HOT, is separate from provision of Internet access services, by the ISP), the cellular Internet service is provided as a single unit. Browsing services are provided both from the cellular handset and through a cellular modem that connects laptop and desktop computers in combination with Internet access services.

The fact that Bezeq's is restricted from marketing DBS television services (including over the Internet) in view of the structural separation restriction imposed on it puts it at a material competitive disadvantage.

Transmission and data communications

In addition to Bezeq, other companies operating in this segment are Cellcom, Partner and Internet companies.

To the best of Bezeq's knowledge, Cellcom has deployed and set up a transmission network which it uses for its own needs and to compete with Bezeq's services in the transmission and data communications market. Partner also operates in the transmission and data- communication service segment combined with telephony and Internet to business customers.

Cellcom and Partner use Bezeq's physical infrastructures as part of the wholesale service to compete with Bezeq in this segment and/or for self-consumption.

Also operating in the segment are the infrastructure owners IBC (at the reporting date, in a negligible volume) and HOT (deployed nationwide). These infrastructure owners are permitted to use Bezeq's physical infrastructure.

Competition from IBC and other competing infrastructure

IBC, whose universal deployment obligation according to long-term milestones set out in its license (enabling provision of services to license holders) was reduced by the Ministry of Communications, is setting up fiber infrastructure to provide Internet over the grid (and has started operating commercially in a limited number of cities). According to media reports, as of the report publication date, the number of customers enlisted by IBC is negligible.

Under the license, IBC will enter into an agreement with IEC to obtain the right to use its fiber optic network and will become the network's operator. Furthermore, IBC has a special license (which does not impose a universal obligation) to provide domestic fixed data communication services, according to which it is entitled to provide IPVPN services and broadband data communication lines.

Regarding reduction of IBC's universal deployment obligation, according to the Ministry of Communications' decision of August 8, 2018, IBC's deployment obligation will be reduced so that it gradually reaches at least 40% of households in Israel within 10 years, and only after the "cherry picking" period (which will last three and a half years) will the new license holder be required to provide accessibility for at least one household in the periphery for every household provided with access in the center of the country.

In June 2016, the Ministry of Communications allowed companies without fixed-line infrastructure (Cellcom and Partner) to compete for investment in IBC. In parallel, Partner informed the Ministry of Communications that it intends to deploy optical fibers. According to the Ministry of Communications, these measures are designed to open the fixed-line market (in which Bezeq has a monopoly) to competition, with the emphasis on infrastructure upgrading.

On November 20, 2017, Cellcom and Partner announced that they are negotiating a potential long-term cooperation agreement for the deployment of fiber optic infrastructure by both companies, whereby each party will be entitled to purchase from time to time, as per its needs and at its sole discretion, fiber optic infrastructure services (including Indefeasible Right of Use - IRU) in the other party's present and/or future fiber optics infrastructure in order to connect residential buildings throughout Israel. Previously, Partner announced that it was accelerating deployment of its optical fibers and commencing commercial marketing of Internet infrastructure to customers. In addition, to the best of Bezeq's knowledge, Cellcom has started connecting households in limited areas where fibers are deployed.

In March 2019 Cellcom reportedly signed an agreement to purchase (together with another investor) 70% of the share capital of IBC, which may adversely affect Bezeq.

There are also currently a number of infrastructures in Israel with the potential to serve as communications infrastructures, which are based on optical fibers and mostly owned by government companies and entities, such as Israel Railways, Mekorot Israel National Water Co., Petroleum & Energy Infrastructures Ltd., and the Cross Israel Highway Ltd. Some municipalities are also trying to create an alternative to installation of pipes or fibers by deploying their own infrastructures.

Domestic fixed-line telephony is regulated and overseen by the Ministry of Communications by granting licenses to entities operating in the segment.

In the telecommunications market, three of the general licenses holders that provide domestic fixed-line communication services are obligated to provide service to all those requesting it nationwide (universal service), namely Bezeq, HOT Telecom and IBC, whose license is limited to the principle of providing services to other communications providers, whereas its deployment obligations were reduced to no less than 40% of the households in Israel within 10 years from the date of receipt of a new special general license (infrastructure), which will replace the current general license (domestic carrier infrastructure). These companies are termed "infrastructure owners". Other holders of domestic carrier licenses (unified) are not bound by this obligation. The infrastructure owners compete with each other. Nevertheless, the Economic Arrangements Law allowed them to make mutual use of each other's physical infrastructures (except for the infrastructure owned by IEC, which is required for the provision of critical services) and the infrastructures of another domestic carrier license, so that there can in fact be competition through the physical infrastructures of another license holder, and in practice, mainly on Bezeq's infrastructures.

Fixed-line telephony is characterized by a lively competitive dynamic. Bezeq's competitors are HOT Telecom and VoB service providers which have been operating under license for several years with no obligation to provide universal services, and without their own independent access infrastructure. Since July 2017, holders of a single license are permitted to provide domestic fixed-line services, purchase telephony services from Bezeq in a resale format, and provide telephony services without their own infrastructure and since August 2018, they can purchase telephony services in an available format similar to that of the service portfolio at tariffs set in the Use Regulations, but they have not done so. Some of them compete with Bezeq as part of telecommunications groups, and Bezeq believes that the cellular companies are also its competitors in the telephony segment.

The internet segment is characterized by high rates of penetration, which is attributable to the deployment of a national access infrastructure. Bezeq's main competitor in this segment is HOT. Upon implementation of a wholesale market, ISPs compete with Bezeq in providing service packages, including broadband internet access infrastructure using Bezeq's infrastructures, at wholesale prices. Bezeq is also exposed to competition from the cellular companies.

In the wholesale services segment, HOT competes with Bezeq as the owner of infrastructure compelled to provide wholesale services (although even though tariffs have been set out in regulations for some of the wholesale services on HOT's network, these are provided in a negligible volume, if at all).

In the transmission and data-communications segment, Bezeq competes mainly with HOT Telecom, Cellcom and Partner, which operate as communications groups and provide a full communications solution to customers.

Competition in the industry depends on a number of factors, such as regulatory decisions, possible changes in the terms of the licenses of Bezeq and the subsidiaries, and in the terms of the licenses of their competitors, mergers and joint ventures between companies that compete with the Bezeq Group companies, the possible repercussions of the Market Concentration Law, further development of the wholesale market, the lack of symmetry between the ability of Bezeq and the competitors to provide a comprehensive service, the new services that Bezeq will be permitted to provide, the tariff policy, cancellation of the structural separation, the extent of flexibility granted to Bezeq when offering unbundleable service bundles, including with subsidiaries, and technological developments.


Wholesale market

At the beginning of 2015, Bezeq started providing a wholesale BSA service to service providers, whereas as of the end of 2018, the number of wholesale internet lines on Bezeq's network was 625,000, which constitutes 38% of all Company subscribers. A part of these lines there are also lines which from the offset were not on Bezeq's network (new or from a competitor's network). There are only few subscribers to the wholesale telephony services.

Fixed-line telephony

In recent years this segment has been characterized by a decline in demand, which is reflected in the decrease in the rate of ownership of fixed telephone lines and in a gradual erosion of the number of calls originating in fixed-line networks. Bezeq believes that this trend stems primarily from the rise in the scope of use of cellular phones in view of the comprehensive call-minute deals the cellular companies market extensively in recent years and the decrease in prices in the segment (Bezeq estimates that 84% of all calls originate in the cellular network), and from an increase in VoIP calls. In 2018, the number of Company lines declined by about 5% (the same as the decrease in number of lines in 2017). Likewise, the number of call minutes (incoming and outgoing) on Bezeq's fixed telephone lines declined by 8% compared with 2017. The average monthly revenue per phone line decreased by approximately 5%.


Graph - Rate of households without a fixed-line home telephone line



Internet Access-Infrastructure and ISP Services

In the Internet segment, a growth has been recorded in recent years in terms of number of subscribers. Moreover, the Internet segment is characterized by a rise in browsing speeds and the adoption of advanced services and value-added applications. In 2018, there was a 3% increase in the number of fixed-line Internet subscribers in Israel. In 2018, the number of Internet subscribers (retail and wholesale) of Bezeq increased by 1% compared to 2017, although in the last few months of 2018, the expansion trend of Bezeq's wholesale Internet services changed, and a decline in the total number of Internet subscribers was recorded. Average monthly revenue per internet subscriber (retail) rose by 3% compared with 2017.

Graph - Breakdown of Internet lines on Bezeq infrastructure (quarterly, in thousands):



Bezeq deals with competition in domestic fixed-line telecommunication services in several ways:

- Bezeq launches new communications services, value added applications (such as smart home, smart facilities, integration services, etc.), bundles of products and services, and joint bundles to broaden the scope of use of subscriber lines, respond to customer needs and strengthen its technological innovation image. Bezeq invests in enhancement and modernization of its infrastructure so as to enable it to provide advanced services and products for its subscribers.
- Bezeq is working on the penetration of a high-speed internet infrastructure service and on increasing the number of its customers for the service. NGN enables customer upgrades to higher speeds, and the creation of added value for the customer by means of broader consumption of content, leisure and entertainment applications.
- Bezeq works constantly to improve the quality of its services and to maintain its customers, as well as to simplify and automate processes, and to adapt its operations to the structure of competition in its segment.
- Bezeq has simplified its tariff structure and offers its customers alternative payment packages, tracks and campaigns.
- Bezeq offers consumption-adapted packages and tracks to promote subscription to the telephony service. Bezeq expense adjustment in order to focus investments on fixed assets in growth activities and in projects for cutting operating costs. Nevertheless, Bezeq's ability to adjust its expenses in the short and medium term is limited due to the structure of its costs, which are mainly rigid in the short and medium term (in particular depreciation expenses and expenses related to wages and wages incidentals, as well as operating costs such as infrastructure maintenance and building leasing and upkeep).
- In April 2018, Bezeq launched its new router - Be. This is an advanced router with an innovative design and cutting-edge capabilities including, among others, smart Wi-Fi which provides quality, continuous browsing on home Internet, cyber protection and preparation for a smart home. The router and services are managed by a dedicated application.

The transmission and data communications segment

The transmission and data communications segment for business customers and communications providers is characterized by a rapid increase in the customers' broadband consumption, but in general by lower prices per given volume of traffic. This stems both from development of the technology allowing greater bandwidth at lower prices than in the past, and from competition in this area. There is also a decline in use of Bezeq's transmission and data communication services by communications providers, in part as a result of the trend of entry of communications groups. This trend is expected to increase due to the use of physical infrastructure (as part of the wholesale services provided by Bezeq) also for cellular requirements.

ISP Market

The market is saturated with competitors, the major competitors are Bezeq International, Cellcom, Partner and Hot Net. Bezeq International estimates that its share of the ISP market at September 31, 2018 was 38.2%. Competition in 2018 was reflected by price erosion. Developments in this market in 2018 include:

- Strengthening the service bundle sales trend, particularly due to the expansion of the wholesale sales model operations (provider + infrastructure) in 2018.
- Continuing the increasing trend in sales of added value services such as anti-phishing, ransom attack and other cyber protection services.
- Due to market saturation, emphasis is given to strengthening customer loyalty.
- The entry of internet TV operators (OTT), which market “triple” packages that include, internet provider services and infrastructure, in addition to television services, in an inseparable service package.

Cellular Telephony Services Market

The cellular communications market in Israel is extremely competitive, which is reflected in the high subscriber churn between operators, substantial erosion of rates and profit margins.

In April 2018, Marathon 018 (XFone) began operating in this sector, further contributing to the competition in the sector and to the continued erosion of prices. At present there are six operators with mobile telephony licenses in the cellular telecommunications market in Israel (Pelephone, Cellcom, Partner, Golan Telecom, HOT Mobile and XFone) and a few MVNO operators with mobile telephony licenses for hosting on another network (virtual operators).

Pelephone’s strategic goals are to continue the growth of its customer base while promoting and marketing integrated communication packages and synergies with the Group’s companies, further development of network innovations and technologies, and providing excellent service. Further streamlining and improvement of the cost structure.

In 2019, a number of factors are expected to affect Pelephone’s activities, the main ones being: To continue competition and to increase added value for the customer; Pelephone expects that in 2019 subscriber churn will continue and competition will focus on increasing added value and browsing volume in the packages offered to the customers; In 2019, Pelephone expects to continue investing in its cellular network and establishing its position as a high speed, top quality and cutting-edge network; Pelephone is expected to continue promoting a number of services and products that will enable an increase in revenues and increase its image advantage over the competitors: Cyber, IOT, Big Data, and PTT services and to continue focusing on large-scale launches of devices.

Pelephone expects that as a result of the increase in browsing volume offered in its packages, the upward trend of online data communications consumption will continue. Pelephone expects to continue to develop and expand its online service and sales channels in 2019. In 2019, Pelephone also expects that it will implement synergy with the Group’s subsidiaries.

Cellular network and product innovations

In 2019, Pelephone expects to continue investing in its LTE mobile telephony network and establishing its position as a high speed, top quality and cutting-edge network. Concurrent with investments in the network, Pelephone is expected to continue promoting a number of services and products that will enable an increase in revenues and increase its image advantage over the competitors: cyber services, data and big data SIM solutions, IOT, further focus on large-scale launches and development of the online store.

Infrastructure sharing

Infrastructure sharing allows consolidation of the cellular operators’ sites and substantially reduces the operating and maintenance costs of the radio sites of each operator. Infrastructure sharing in the market is as follows:

- Partner and HOT Mobile operate under radio segment infrastructure sharing through a joint company that received a special ten-year license for providing radio cellular infrastructure services to cellular operators.

- Cellcom and Golan Telecom engaged in a network sharing agreement.
- Cellcom and XFone engaged in a hosting and network sharing agreement.

Virtual operators - MVNO

While several MVNO licenses have been granted to virtual operators, only a few MVNO licenses are active.

The cellular telecommunications market is dynamic with frequent technological developments in all areas of operation (handsets, telecommunications network technologies and value-added services). These developments impact the segment of operation on a number of levels.

Establishment of cellular networks using advanced technologies

Technology developments and the desire to widen the range and quality of services offered to the customer, require the cellular operators to periodically upgrade their network technologies. Cellular networks in Israel operate mainly in UMTS/HSPA and LTE technologies. As of 2018, Pelephone's LTE network is deployed in most parts of the country, and Pelephone continues to deploy its network in accordance with a regulated plan. Expanding capacities and speeds with LTE technologies and development of the next cellular generations depends on the allocation of frequencies.

Pelephone operates three technologies: MIMO4x4, Beam Forming and Quam 256, enabling improved performance and increased browsing speed on fourth generation websites. In 2017, Pelephone began integrating Carrier Aggregation technology (frequency aggregation - that enables optimal utilization of the frequency spectrum and increases browsing speed) at some of its sites.

Pelephone is expected to launch IMS based services in 2019: Voice over WiFi as an improved solution for indoor coverage, as well as Voice over LTE that will enable vacating third-generation frequency resources for future LTE use. In addition, it enables Voice over LTE sequence service with Voice over WiFi.

Pelephone constantly reviews new technologies that come onto the market and the need to upgrade its existing network technologies, depending on the competitiveness of the market and the economic viability of the investment in such technologies.

Smartphones

The introduction of smartphones continues to increase the consumption of data transmission services, coinciding with the increase in supply of apps and video services. In addition, there has been an increase in the rate of smartphones that support LTE technology, a technology that allows better browsing. This increase has led to a further increase in consumption of 4G Data.

Below is a breakdown of the number of subscribers of Pelephone and of its competitors in 2017 and 2018 (in thousands of subscribers, approximate).

		Pelephone	Partner	Cellcom	HOT Mobile⁽²⁾	Golan Telecom	MVNO and other operators⁽¹⁾	Total subscribers in market
At December 31, 2017	No. of subscribers	2,525	2,671	2,817	1,564	893	243	10,713
	Market share	23.6%	24.9%	26.3%	14.6%	8.3%	2.3%	
At September 30, 2018	No. of subscribers	2,185	2,646	2,825	1,572	905	290	10,423
	Market share	21.0%	25.4%	27.1%	15.1%	8.7%	2.7%	

(1) Most of the MVNOs and other operators (including XFone) are private companies which do not publish figures regarding the number of their subscribers and these figures are based on estimates.

(2) Hot Mobile's subscriber data for the third quarter of 2018 are based on an estimate.

(3) The number of subscribers as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, are based on public reports issued by Cellcom, Partner, HOT Mobile and Golan Telecom (in Electra's financial reports).

In recent years, the Ministry of Communications has adopted several regulatory measures aimed at increasing competition in the cellular communications market. The proliferation of cellular operators in the market led to extreme competition, which continued and even intensified due to the entry of another operator (XFone) in April 2018. This ongoing trend led to high subscriber churn between operators and to a decline in prices of cellular service packages, resulting in significant erosion of rates and profit margins, on the private customer market as well as the business customer market.

To compensate for the erosion of package prices, Pelephone adopted a growth strategy along with streamlining measures and adjusted cost structure.

ILD Market

As of the end of 2018, there are more than ten participants in the market (including Bezeq International, Cellcom, Partner, Golan Telecom and HOT Mobile).

Bezeq International estimates that its market share for outgoing international calls at December 31, 2018 is 23.3 %, a decline compared with its market share of 25.6% at December 31, 2017.

The international telephony market in Israel has in recent years seen a decline in call volume, (incoming and outgoing), mainly due to the service bundles offered by the cellular companies that include international calls as well as the multiple free applications that enable calls via the web. In 2018, there was a significant erosion in the international call market.

In 2018 the internet access market recorded negative subscriber recruitment rates, primarily due to the entry of online television operators (OTT) that market “triple” packages containing internet provider and infrastructure services, in addition to television services. Furthermore, many subscribers switched from retail market packages to wholesale market packages. At the same time, as a result of the increase in traffic and bandwidth demand due to a change in the subscriber usage mix (primarily real time viewing and listening), Bezeq International is required to increase its operating capacity and international capacity for which it purchases usage rights.

Communication solutions for the business sector

In the ICT sector Bezeq International competes with competitors such as Binat, Teldor, IBM and others. In 2018, Bezeq International continued to establish its position in the ICT market and gained recognition and endorsement from leading global suppliers in the market.

NEP services - the traditional telephone exchange sector includes a large number of competitors and fierce competition which has given rise to erosion of service prices.

Bezeq International promotes its business with emphasis on differentiating it from its competitors as the owner of its own international infrastructure (Jonah cable) for its customers' traffic providing high quality browsing performance, as well as its leading customer service.

The fact that, unlike some of its competitors, Bezeq International is unable to offer its services as part of a non-detachable communications services bundle, adversely affects its operations.

Pay Television Services Market

Currently, there are several competing groups in the market. DBS's main competitors are HOT, which was declared a monopoly in the multi-channel television broadcasting sector and holds the largest share of the market, Cellcom, which holds the largest share of the market among the players that do not have broadcasting licenses, as well as Partner and Netflix. Over the past year DBS's share of this market has decreased, mainly due to the increased competition.

The following is a breakdown of DBS's subscriber numbers and market shares, to the best of its knowledge, as at December 31, 2016, 2017 and 2018:

2018		2017		2016	
Subscribers (in thousands)	Market share	Subscribers (in thousands)	Market share	Subscribers (in thousands)	Market share
574	34%	587	37%	614	40%

There are several operators in the subscriber television broadcasting sector operating in a number of key categories:

Broadcasting licensees under the Communications Law operating in the multi-channel television sector - DBS and HOT, that provides cable television services, and has a pronounced monopoly under the Antitrust Law in the multi-channel television broadcasting sector. DBS and HOT provide linear channel and VOD services.

Online OTT multichannel television providers - Cellcom (has been operating for a few years) and others that started operating during the last two years, Triple C Cloud Computing Ltd., STINGTV (offered by DBS), Next (offered by HOT, in collaboration, via Rami Levy Marketing. These services combine VOD content and linear channel viewing (including the DTT content that is transmitted via the system or online) and can be viewed via a special decoder or via an apps downloaded on a range of terminal devices.

Online television content streaming providers – The online television content streaming providers are mainly international providers such as Netflix, Apple TV services (that charges per viewing) and Amazon Prime services, which provide VOD content viewing options. Currently, some of this content is not translated into Hebrew.

Competition in the market focuses on broadcasting content, price of services, quality of services, as well as offering of additional services, such as HD and 4K broadcasts, VOD services and state-of-the-art terminal equipment and advanced user interfaces.

Competition also involves offering additional communication services together with video content.

DTT network

At present, the channels broadcasts via the Broadcasting Corporation (CAN 11, CAN Education and Channel 33), the commercial channels (Keshet and Reshet), Channel 20, Music Channel (Channel 24), Channel 23 and the Knesset Channel (Channel 99). A DTT operator is entitled to broadcast additional channels, including radio channels, thematic channels (for which most broadcasts are devoted to a topic set out in the Digital Television Broadcasting Law, 2012 (the "Broadcasting Law") and dedicated mini-channels that comply with conditions relating to special purpose set out in their license or are devoted primarily to one topic.

There are broadcasting fees for these channels, however the Ministers of Communications and Finance may decide that the government will subsidize broadcasting fees applicable for subject-based channels and niche channels.

Under the Broadcast Distribution Law, a broadcaster whose broadcasts are part of the "open broadcasts" (i.e., television channels transmitted via the digital stations), will provide each content provider consent to the transmit its broadcasts via the Internet free of charge, however, without derogating copyrights and production rights pursuant to the law and subject to certain conditions set out in the law, including obtaining a license from the copyright holders and performers (including through the broadcasting entity). With regard to the commercial channels², the applicability of the foregoing arrangement was deferred for five years (until January 2022), during which special arrangements will apply, including granting a license to any registered content provider that applies for one, at the best price and under the best terms granted by the commercial channel to other content providers under another broadcasting license that is valid when the license is granted, and all as set out in the interim provisions of the Law.

HOT, Partner and Cellcom offer their services together with the other media services they provide, including as part of non-detachable bundles (such as the Triple bundles providing landline and mobile telephony and TV services).

Competition in the sector increased significantly in 2018, mainly due to the entry and establishment of local and international online television service providers, which operate at relatively low prices. These providers that operate via the internet, without requiring designated infrastructures, and also without regulatory supervision, have an adverse impact on DBS's competitive position. DBS believes that this intensification of the competition could have a significant adverse effect on its operations and results.

Competition in the sector increased significantly in 2018, mainly due to the entry and establishment of local and international online television service providers, which operate at relatively low prices. These providers that operate via the internet, without requiring designated infrastructures, and also without regulatory supervision, have an adverse impact on DBS's competitive position. DBS believes that this intensification of the competition could have a significant adverse effect on its operations and results. In 2018, Cellcom and Partner, as well as Netflix's operations in Israel, became further established in the television sector.

Competition in the television sector is fierce with a relatively large number of players, some of which operate at very low-price levels, increasing the competition in the sector. Increasing the number of subscribers in the current state of competition is mainly possible by recruiting new subscribers from the competitors, requiring substantial resources to be invested in retention of existing subscribers and recruitment of new subscribers.

The total market share of the broadcasting licensees, DBS and HOT, is being eroded and DBS's share is estimated to be 53% of households in Israel. Cellcom's market penetration rate is estimated to be 6% and Partner's market penetration rate is estimated to be 1% of the total households in Israel. DBS does not have information regarding the number of subscribers to the international companies operating in the market or regarding the number of DTT viewers. DBS believes that some of these households are also subscribers to one of the broadcasting licensees or the cellular companies operating in the sector. DBS estimates that the chances of increasing the total market share of these participants are not high due to the fact that a large part of the remaining households are not potential audiences.

Regulatory

The Israeli Communications Law and the Communications Order provide that the control over Bezeq requires a control permit from the Ministers. As part of B Communications' acquisition of Bezeq, we, B Communications, SP2, SP1, and other members of the Eurocom group applied for authorization for a control permit in Bezeq, pursuant to the Israeli Communications Law and Communications Order. On April 13, 2010, the Control Permit was granted subject to the condition that SP2 is controlled exclusively by the other parties to the control permit. According to the Communications Order, B Communications is not allowed to transfer control or any Means of Control which will result in a decrease of B Communications' minimum holding requirement in Bezeq without the prior consent of the Ministers. The foregoing includes a transfer of the Bezeq interest in one transaction or a series of transactions, by one party or together with the other parties to the Control Permit. However, the parties may transfer the Means of Control of Bezeq among themselves, subject to compliance with certain conditions set forth in the Control Permit.

According to the Control Permit, the parties (through SP2) must hold not less than 30% of any type of Means of Control (as described below) of Bezeq. Such percentage is permitted to decrease below 30% to no less than 29% for a period of six months, in the event of dilution resulting from the exercise of stock options by Bezeq employees. However, the Communications Order prohibits the issuance of shares which will result in a decrease of B Communications' minimum holding requirement in Bezeq (30%) or B Communications ceasing to control Bezeq without the prior consent of the Ministers (certain permitted issuances do not require the Minister's prior consent). Despite the 30% rule, according to Article 3(a3) of the Communications Order, which is included as part of the Control Permit, the parties to the Control Permit may hold less than 30% under certain circumstances, including the requirement that the parties control Bezeq and maintain at least a 25% ownership interest in Bezeq. The parties received explicit governmental approval to keep the Control Permit even at a level of a 25% ownership interest. On February 2, 2016, B Communications announced that its wholly-owned subsidiary, SP2, sold 115,500,000 Bezeq shares. As a result, B Communications received gross proceeds of NIS 8.50 per share, or NIS 982 million in the aggregate (approximately \$248 million). B Communications retained a 26.34% ownership interest in Bezeq following the closing of the transaction.

B Communications' SP2 subsidiary owns most of B Communications' Bezeq shares. In accordance with the Control Permit, SP2 is required to notify the Ministers of any changes in the composition of its board of directors every six months and if the change represents half or more of the members of the board of directors, within 30 days of the change. We and B Communications are also required to notify the Ministers of any "Exceptional Holdings" in Bezeq (as described below) immediately upon becoming aware of such event. We and B Communications are also required to notify the Ministers in the event a shareholder becomes a "principal shareholder" (namely, holds, directly or indirectly, over 5% of our issued and outstanding share capital) and regarding any 1% or more change in the holdings of a "principal shareholder" within 48 hours of becoming aware of such change. Our and B Communications' Articles of Association require shareholders to notify us, within a specified period of time after crossing any such threshold.

Under the Communications Order, no person may hold, directly or indirectly, “significant influence” over Bezeq or 5% or more of any particular class of Means of Control in Bezeq. The Communications Order defines “holding” as the holding, acquisition, transfer and encumbrance of the Means of Control in Bezeq, defines “significant influence” as the ability to substantially influence the activity of a company, either alone or together with others or using others, directly or indirectly, which arises by virtue of the possession of Means of Control therein or in another corporation, including where such ability is pursuant to the corporation’s articles of association, or pursuant to an agreement (whether written or oral) with the controlling shareholder. “Means of Control” is defined under the Communications Order as the right to vote at a general meeting of Bezeq, to appoint a director or general manager of Bezeq, or to participate in the profits of Bezeq or a share of the remaining assets of Bezeq after payment of its debts upon liquidation. Additionally, no person, together with any other person, appoint, elect or dismiss the general manager of Bezeq or cause the election, appointment or dismissal of any director of Bezeq, without the prior written consent of the Ministers. A person shall be deemed to have “significant influence” if (i) he has the right to appoint a director or the chief executive officer; or (ii) if that person holds 25% or more of the Means of Control of a corporation. Additionally, no person, together with any other person, may appoint, elect or dismiss the general manager of Bezeq or cause the election, appointment or dismissal of any director of Bezeq, without the prior written consent of the Ministers. We received explicit governmental approval to keep the Control Permit even at a level of a 25% ownership interest.

Subject to certain exceptions, the prior written approval of the Ministers is also required to increase the holdings or other rights in excess of those determined in the initial approval, including by means of an agreement (including a voting agreement). Furthermore, under the Communications Order, no person may transfer control, “significant influence” or Means of Control in Bezeq to another, if, as a result of the transfer, the holdings of the transferee would require approval pursuant to the Israeli Communications Law or Communications Order and the transferee is not in possession of the requisite approval. Any such unauthorized holding or acquisition is referred to as “Exceptional Holdings.”

The Communications Order provides that in the event that a person holds “significant influence” or Means of Control in Bezeq, to a degree that requires the Ministers’ prior approval, without receiving prior approval for such Exceptional Holdings (including as a result of the realization of a pledge over Means of Control), such person must report such Exceptional Holdings in writing to Bezeq and must submit an application to the Ministers for approval of such Exceptional Holdings all within 48 hours. Such application is required to be in the form of the questionnaire annexed to the Communications Order and must be accompanied by a power of attorney authorizing Bezeq’s board of directors to sell the applicant’s Exceptional Holdings (unless the Ministers have granted an exemption from providing a power of attorney). Following the submission of the application and all relevant documents, the Ministers have 60 days to inform the applicant and Bezeq as to their decision.

In addition to the possibility of obtaining a retroactive approval as described above, the Communications Order establishes the following procedure for the sale of Exceptional Holdings: (i) with respect to a person who has not applied for approval by the Ministers, as described above, such person must sell his Exceptional Holdings within seven days; (ii) with respect to a person whose permit has been revoked or has expired, and who has not submitted a new application, such person must sell his Exceptional Holdings within 14 days after the date of the revocation or expiration, as the case may be; and (iii) with respect to a person who has applied for approval by the Ministers, including a party whose permit has been revoked or has expired and who has submitted a new application, and whose application has been rejected, such person must sell his Exceptional Holdings within 60 days after the date on which the Ministers informed such person that his application has been rejected. If a person does not sell his Exceptional Holdings as detailed in sub-sections (i)-(iii) and Bezeq holds a power of attorney from such person as required by the Communications Order, Bezeq will sell the Exceptional Holdings within 60 days, on a stock exchange, in Israel or abroad, or through an off-exchange transaction. The proceeds of the sale will be delivered to the holder, less expenses involved in the sale.

In accordance with the Israeli Communications Law and Communications Order, and as set forth in our Articles of Association, a holder of Exceptional Holdings (including a holder that submitted an application for approval which was submitted to the Ministers, whether such application was rejected or has not yet been approved) will not be entitled to any rights in respect of its holdings in Bezeq, including with regard to the receipt of dividends, unless and to the extent permitted under the Communications Order. Accordingly, a holder of Exceptional Holdings will not have any voting rights at a general meeting of shareholders. Each shareholder participating in a general meeting of shareholders is required to certify to us prior to the vote or, if the shareholder is voting by a proxy or any similar instrument, on such proxy card or similar instrument, as to whether or not his holdings in our company or his vote require the approval of the Ministers pursuant to the Israeli Communications Law and Communications Order. In addition, no director may be appointed, elected or removed from office by virtue of the vote of a holder of Exceptional Holdings. If a director is appointed, elected or removed from office by virtue of the vote of a holder of Exceptional Holdings, such appointment, election or removal from office shall have no effect.

The holding of control, “significant influence” or 5% or more of any particular class of Means of Control without the required approval or in violation of the terms of the approval constitutes a criminal offense and could subject the holder to criminal penalties as follows: (i) a person transferring control of Bezeq or acquiring and holding control over Bezeq without the required approval is subject to three years imprisonment or a fine currently in the amount of NIS 2.26 million as well as an additional fine for each day the offense continues (currently in the amount of NIS 14,000 per day); (ii) a person holding “significant influence” or more than 5% of the Means of Control of Bezeq without the required approval is subject to six months imprisonment or a fine currently in the amount of NIS 226,000 as well as an additional fine for each day the offense continues (currently in the amount of NIS 14,000 per day); and (iii) a person transferring “significant influence” or Means of Control of Bezeq, knowing that as a result of the transfer, the holdings of the transferee require approval pursuant to the Israeli Communications Law or the Communications Order, without being first shown the appropriate approval by the transferee, shall be subject to a fine currently in the amount of NIS 226,000.

According to the Control Permit, SP2 must at all times be held by an “Israeli Party,” as defined in the Communications Order, to the following extent:

- At least 19% of each of the Means of Control of SP2 must be held by an Israeli Party at all times; or
- At least 19% of the rights to vote at the general meeting of shareholders of SP2 and the rights to appoint directors of SP2 must be held by an Israeli Party at all times; and
- The right to appoint at least one-fifth of the directors of Bezeq and Bezeq’s subsidiaries and not less than one director of each such company will be held by an Israeli Party at all times, provided that the percentage of the Israeli Party’s direct or indirect shareholdings in Bezeq is not less than 3% of any of the Means of Control of Bezeq. Indirect shareholdings will be calculated as the product of the Israeli Party’s lowest rate of holdings in each of the Means of Control in SP2, multiplied by the percentage of the holdings of the parties to the Control Permit in each of the Means of Control in Bezeq.

The Ministers have determined that we and B Communications are deemed to be “Israeli Parties”.

In February 2019, we received approval from the Ministers for a change in the definition. The new definition requires that the holdings of our controlling shareholders do not fall below 35% of our equity. The Ministry’s approval is subject to amending our Articles of Association within 75 days to the effect that a “Joint Appointment” as defined in the Communications Order will be prohibited (unless preapproved by the Ministry.) Shortly thereafter, B Communications received similar approval so that the new definition requires that our holdings in B Communications do not fall below 35% of its equity. This change provides both us and B Communications with flexibility in selling more shares in order to provide liquidity and service our debt, without losing our control permit as a result.

The parties to the Control Permit may not be controlled by any foreign country, foreign government company or a foreign company controlled by a foreign government company. The Control Permit will terminate if the foregoing condition ceases to exist with respect to any such party without the approval of the Ministers. The Ministers may authorize a foreign government company to hold an interest in any such party, provided that the foreign government company’s aggregate direct or indirect holdings in Bezeq do not exceed 5% of any type of Means of Control of Bezeq and that it does not control such party.

According to the Communications Order a “principal shareholder” or a person with “significant influence” in Bezeq shall not be; (i) a hostile state, a citizen or resident of a hostile state, a corporation registered or incorporated in a hostile state or a corporation controlled by a citizen or resident of a hostile state; or (ii) a government corporation, unless approved by the Ministers.

In the event the Ministers find that the information they were provided in the application for the control permit is incorrect, that there has been a material change in the details provided by the parties to the Control Permit which justifies its cancellation, or such parties failed to submit a required report, and the Ministers determine that there is probable cause to believe that the provision of the services that Bezeq is required to provide pursuant to its general license (including basic telephone, infrastructure, transmission and data transmission services and ancillary services) or the grounds for determining that any such service has been harmed, the Ministers may take action to cancel the Control Permit. Upon its cancellation, all the shareholdings purchased under the Control Permit will be deemed Exceptional Holdings as described above.

The Control Permit also authorizes an interested party in B Communications and our company that is not a party to the Control Permit or the Individuals’ Control Permit to hold Means of Control in Bezeq, provided that such interested party does not hold more than 15% of any type of Means of Control of B Communications and our company. The foregoing authorization is subject to the condition (among others) that the percentage of holdings of the parties to the Control Permit in our company, of our holdings in B Communications and of Eurocom Communications’ holdings in our company exceed 50% of the Means of Control in each of such companies at all times. We and B Communications are required to notify the Ministers of the share ownership of any such interested party.

If we, B Communications or any other party subject to the Control Permit fails to comply with the terms of the Control Permit or with other regulatory provisions relating to the control of Bezeq, such permit could be revoked and B Communications' rights with respect to its Bezeq interest would be adversely affected.

Any event in which a receiver is appointed with respect to our holdings in SP2 or SP2's holdings in Bezeq will constitute grounds for the cancellation of the Control Permit. In the event that the Control Permit is cancelled and an application to reissue a Control Permit is denied, B Communications' holdings in Bezeq must be liquidated within 15 to 60 days (depending on the cause for such cancellation) pursuant to the Communications Order.

The provisions of the Control Permit are subject to the terms of the Communications Order and Israeli Communications Law, as they may be amended from time to time.

The Concentration Law

In December 2013, the Knesset passed the Concentration Law, which regulates the following principal matters: (i) limitations on the control over companies with publicly held debt or equity securities through a pyramidal ownership structure by imposing a limitation on the number of public companies (tiers) in such pyramidal structure; (ii) authorizes financial regulators to set forth limitations on the amount of credit that financial institutions are permitted to provide to a corporation or a group of companies under the control of the same controlling shareholder; and (iii) limitations on the holdings by a significant non-finance company in a significant finance company or the holdings of both kinds of companies under common control; and (iv) requires governmental authorities responsible for the award of rights in public assets (including in the communications field) in certain events to consider control concentration factors and industry-specific competitive factors.

Limitations on pyramidal ownership structure

The purpose of the Concentration Law is to limit the possibility to control a "tier company" (generally defined as a company with publicly held debt or equity securities that are subject to reporting obligations under the Israeli Securities Law) through a pyramidal structure of additional tier companies. Each of our company, B Communications and Bezeq is considered a "tier company" for the purposes of the Concentration Law. A "second-tier" company is a tier company that is directly controlled by a first-tier company, and accordingly, our company is deemed a "second-tier" company under the Concentration Law.

Under the Concentration Law, a second-tier company (i.e., a company with publicly held debt or equity securities that is subject to reporting obligations under the Israeli Securities Law and controlled by a first-tier company), is prohibited from controlling another tier company. In the case of existing companies, a second-tier company is entitled to continue to control another tier company that it controlled on the publication date of the Concentration Law for a period of six years from the date of publication of the Concentration Law, i.e., until December 10, 2019. In the event that a second-tier company controls another tier company contrary to the provisions of the Concentration Law, a district court may appoint a trustee, who will be awarded the Means of Control in such tier company for the purpose of selling such Means of Control. The trustee shall act pursuant to the orders of such court with respect to the Means of Control. Such court may, instead of appointing a trustee and under certain circumstances, order that the Means of Control held by the controlling shareholder shall not provide any rights whatsoever. Until the appointment of a trustee by a district court, the Means of Control held by a tier company that illegally controls another tier company shall not grant any voting rights at the illegally held tier company's shareholder meetings. The Concentration Law sets forth certain mechanisms intended to enable a tier company to make various arrangements for the repurchase of its publicly-held shares and the early redemption of publicly-held debt in order to comply with the provisions of the law.

In the event that a second-tier company controls another tier company contrary to the provisions of the Concentration Law, a district court may appoint a trustee, who will be awarded the means of control (including voting rights and right to appoint directors) in such tier company for the purpose of selling such means of control. The trustee shall act pursuant to the orders of the district court with respect to such means of control and will be entitled to petition the district court to rule that an appointment of directors in the tier company that was made prior to the trustee's appointment is void, to cancel transactions between the controlled tier company and its controlling shareholder or transactions in which the controlling shareholder had a personal interest if they have not yet been completed and to order the cancellation of a dividend distribution that was not in the tier company's interests which occurred prior to the trustee's appointment. The district court may, instead of appointing a trustee and under certain circumstances, order that the means of control held by the controlling shareholder shall not provide any rights whatsoever. Until the appointment of a trustee by the district court, the means of control held by a second-tier company that illegally controls another tier company shall not grant any voting rights at the illegally held tier company's shareholder meetings.

The Concentration Law sets forth certain mechanisms intended to enable a tier company, which is subject to the prohibition of controlling another tier company, to make various arrangements for the repurchase of its publicly-held shares and the early redemption of publicly-held debt in order to comply with the provisions of the law. These mechanisms enable the repurchase of publicly-held shares and the early redemption of publicly-held debt securities under a court-approved scheme of arrangement pursuant to the Israeli Companies Law, at fair value and in accordance with the conditions prescribed by the Concentration Law, while providing certain relief from shareholders or debenture holder majority requirements for the approval of the arrangement.

B Communications is deemed to be a second-tier company under the Concentration Law and Bezeq is deemed to be a third-tier company under the Concentration Law. We are a first-tier company. Accordingly, if either we or B Communications are unable to redeem any publicly held debt, which is unlikely at this time, and delist our ordinary shares from the TASE (which would require 90-days' prior notice to the TASE) or go private prior to December 10, 2019, we will not be permitted to hold the control permit of Bezeq after such date and our holdings in Bezeq may be transferred to a trustee for the purpose of selling such holdings. Furthermore, if a trustee is appointed, he may motion a district court to order the cancellation of distributions made by Bezeq prior to his appointment if they are deemed not to be in Bezeq's interest.

An application has been made to the Ministry of Justice, whose goal is to establish a legal position according to which the Concentration Law will not apply to the current structure of our company, B Communications and Bezeq. We believe that taking into consideration the legal status of Eurocom Communications, and in particular the appointment of a Liquidator and special managers on behalf of the Court, the control group in Bezeq is no longer a "Pyramid holding", which is prohibited under the provisions of the Concentration Law. We believe that as a result of the ongoing liquidation of Eurocom Communications and the appointment of the special managers, we should no longer be considered a "first layer company" as this term is defined in the Concentration Law.

The purpose of the Concentration Law was to prevent a situation in which an "Ultimate Controlling Shareholder" controls the structure of three public companies, but under the current situation we believe that this situation no longer exists. We believe that this conclusion has been reinforced in the light of our current discussions with the holders of the Debentures and the appointment of representatives of the debenture holders due to our decision to suspend payments to the debenture holders.

Both we and B Communications have received financing proposals that would provide a solution to our reaching compliance with the Concentration Law. The proposals include several alternative options to assure compliance with the Concentration Law:

- The sale our shares in B Communications in a way that "disconnects a public layer".
- Significant capital injection into B Communications in consideration for the issuance of such number of our ordinary shares that would result in a new controlling shareholder which is not a public company and does not constitute a "layer company" (which is prohibited by the Concentration Law).
- The replacement of existing public debt in our company, with private debt - in a manner that constitutes a full legal solution to the Concentration Law.

In practice, all the alternatives would create an updated corporate structure that would resolve the Concentration Law issue through a new controlling shareholder which is not a public company.

Appointment of directors and the composition of the board of a "third- tier" company during the transition period

Beginning six months after the publication of the Concentration Law and until the end of the six years' transition period during which a "third-tier" company can no longer be held by a second-tier company, the board of directors of a company that is a "third-tier" company (such as Bezeq) must be comprised of a majority of "independent directors," within the meaning of the Israeli Companies Law, and the number of "external directors" pursuant to the Israeli Companies Law shall be at least half the number of the company's directors less one (rounded upwards) but not less than two. The election of such external directors will be by a majority vote of the shareholders and the controlling shareholder's vote will not be counted for such purpose. The Israeli Minister of Justice is authorized to enact regulations setting forth a lower number of required external directors, provided that such number will not be lower than one-third of the board members.

In June 2014, the Regulations to Promote Competition and Reduce Concentration (Relief with Regard to the Number of External Directors), 2014, were published. Pursuant to these regulations, if a director of a company who is appointed according to the proposal of a representative labor union under a collective labor agreement serves in another tier company, the number of external directors in the tier company required under the Concentration Law who meet the provisions of the law may be reduced, provided that the external directors account for at least one-third of the board members. Bezeq complies with the provisions of the Concentration Law in this respect.

The Minister of Finance and the Governor of the Bank of Israel are authorized to enact regulations and directives limiting the amount of credit provided by financial institutions in Israel, cumulatively, to a corporation or a group of companies under the control of the same controlling shareholder. Such regulations have not yet been enacted.

Regulatory Requirements Relating to the Bezeq Group

Currently, the Bezeq Group is subject to several regulatory restrictions in terms of joint ventures between the Bezeq Group companies, including the obligation to maintain structural separation between Bezeq and its subsidiaries and the restriction on marketing joint service bundles that include the services of Bezeq and its subsidiaries. These restrictions place the Group in an inferior position in terms of competition, which is worsening over time compared with other communications groups.

Against the background of the challenges facing the Group and the future needs forming in the communications market environment, in parallel with Bezeq's actions to cancel the structural separation, the Board of Directors and Bezeq are taking measures to implement a new, comprehensive strategic plan for the Group as a communications group within the complex regulatory restrictions imposed on it.

Structural separation

The Communications Law grants the Israeli Telecommunication Ministry the authority to order accounting segregation between different services provided by the same group or company and to demand separate companies for the provision of different services, including separation of services to a license holder from services to a subscriber, and provisions regarding implementation of the separation.

Bezeq's domestic carrier license stipulates that it must maintain structural separation between itself and its subsidiaries. This requires the managing of Bezeq and that of its subsidiaries to be fully segregated.

The structural separation restrictions place the Group in an inferior competitive position, which is worsening over time compared with other communications groups that are not subject to such far-reaching limitations, and compared with the option for the operators to provide end-to-end services to subscribers using wholesale services, mainly BSA. These structural separation restrictions also give rise to high management overheads.

In Bezeq's opinion, the conditions for cancellation of the separation set out in the policy document dated May 2, 2012 concerning expansion of competition in the fixed-line communication wholesale market were met long ago because many companies operate in the internet-based television service segment following the launch on February 17, 2015 of a broadband wholesale market, in which various service providers offer end-to-end broadband services on Bezeq's infrastructure to over a half a million customers, and in view of the fact that there is fierce competition in the cellular service segment.

On February 6, 2018, Bezeq sent the Ministry of Communications a letter indicating that it cannot accept the continued procrastination in dealing with this issue and requested his urgent intervention in canceling the structural separation between it and each of its subsidiaries immediately.

Further to discussions between Bezeq and the Ministry of Communications and in view of the resulting reservations raised by Bezeq regarding the Ministry's intention to advance the cancellation of the structural separation, on November 7, 2018, a clarification was received from the Ministry stating that it was discussing the structural separation in relation to Bezeq and HOT, and that all options are being discussed by the ministerial committee.

On December 17, 2018, Bezeq's attorney sent the Minister of Communications a letter demanding cancellation of the structural separation in the Bezeq Group prior to appealing to the courts, since, in its opinion, all conditions justifying and requiring cancellation of the structural separation under the policy document have been met. On January 28, 2019, Bezeq received the Ministry of Communications' response to its letter rejecting its arguments and stating that not all conditions of the Policy Document for cancellation of the structural separation have been met, the Policy Document is not a clear and explicit undertaking by the minister to cancel the structural separation in Bezeq's Group, and if all conditions were met, the minister would still be required to exercise discretion individually and examine the effect on development of competition in the market and realization of public interest. It also indicated that the interministerial team set up to handle the issue is still reviewing the different alternatives in order to formulate its recommendations and send them to the Minister of Communications to be elected after the elections for reviewing and decision making.

On February 14, 2019, Bezeq petitioned the Supreme Court to cancel the structural separation in the Bezeq Group immediately, based on the Ministry's failure to accept Bezeq's requests on the subject even though, in Bezeq's opinion, all conditions justifying and requiring cancellation of the structural separation under the policy document have been met. In view of the Ministry's response,

Marketing of joint service bundles with a subsidiary to ease the effect of the structural separation restriction

Bezeq was permitted to offer subscribers joint service bundles with its subsidiaries, subject to approvals by the Ministry of Communications and several terms enumerated in the Domestic Carrier license, including:

- The bundles must be unbundlable, meaning that a service included in them will be offered separately and on the same terms.
- At the time of submitting a request for approval of a bundle, there is a group of services in similar format being marketed to a subscriber as a package by a license-holder who is not a subsidiary of Bezeq, or there is a group that includes license-holders who provide a private subscriber with all the services included in the joint service bundle.
- Joint service bundles marketed by the subsidiaries including the services of Bezeq, are also subject, according to their licenses, to similar limitations, including a requirement for unbundling (except for a bundle marketed by a subsidiary that contains only Bezeq's Internet infrastructure service).

These limitations, and in particular the unbundling obligation, which severely limits the Group's ability to offer discounts on the components of the bundles, puts the Group in a competitively inferior position compared to the competing communications groups, which are not subject to similar limitation in marketing bundles (other than a limitation on marketing a joint bundle of HOT-Net and other companies in HOT Group). On February 5, 2019, the Ministry published a hearing that it is considering revising the HOT Telecom and HOT-Mobile licenses to allow them to market joint service bundles to the business sector, with certain restrictions). Bezeq's limitation is more significantly manifested with implementation of the wholesale BSA services and the option for ISPs to provide full end-to-end services (infrastructure + service provider) to customers at reduced prices compared to the unbundlable bundles that Bezeq can market on which the following restrictions are imposed:

- Marketing joint service bundles with DBS - On March 27, 2017, the Ministry of Communications notified Bezeq that it would not approve Bezeq's request to market joint service bundles with DBS, given that the Ministry will, in the near future, be completing several regulatory measures that will allow more complete implementation of the wholesale market reform, including regulation of telephony resell, new regulations relating to Bezeq's retail tariffs, regulation of a mechanism to reduce profit margins and regulation of the conditions for marketing reverse bundles. According to the Ministry's notice, it is therefore willing to review requests of this kind for joint service bundles which include internet, telephone and television, in at least six months' time, after it has examined the effect of the above measures on the market and is certain that Bezeq satisfies the regulatory requirements. On February 15, 2018, the Ministry addressed Bezeq's announcement regarding its intention to send interested customers a link to the Sting site, expressing its position that marketing DBS internet-based television ("Sting") by Bezeq is not in conformance with the structural separation provisions of Bezeq's license.
- Marketing joint service bundles of internet infrastructure together with ISP - In 2017, further to the Ministry's demand, changes were made to the bundle sales format, mainly splitting the bundle after a year. In 2018, Bezeq submitted a request to the Ministry to replace the existing bundle marketing with a marketing model under which Bezeq issues a tender to select a single IPS provider that is not a subsidiary as the sole marketer of Bezeq offering bundles for an unlimited time (because of the damage incurred by customers due to the requirement to split the bundle). In December 2018, the Ministry rejected Bezeq's request, noting that in its opinion marketing a bundle through the wholesale marketing track is the correct channel.

On January 23, 2019, the Ministry of Communications distributed a letter regarding the reverse bundle stipulating that the provisions of the service providers' licenses obligate them to contact the service subscribers prior to disconnecting them from the services and that disconnecting subscribers and reconnecting them to a reverse bundle in a single bill is a violation of the Ministry's decision. Subsequently, on March 4, 2019, Bezeq received notice from the Ministry of Communications that in a meeting held with Bezeq's representatives, the Ministry is considering changing the reverse bundle format, mainly granting Bezeq the possibility of renewing the contract with subscribers in a single bill after a short cooling off period during which the bill will actually be split, and of canceling the mechanism set out in the Ministry's decision dated March 16, 2017. Accordingly, the Ministry is considering publication of a hearing on this subject when until the change is made (if at all) Bezeq was instructed to follow the present provisions, including with regard to splitting the bill and the prohibition against renewing the reverse bundle. If Bezeq is unable to market a bundle to the same subscriber more than once, this could affect its ability to market infrastructure services, since Bezeq uses the bundle to market them.

- Marketing joint bundles with Pelephone - On February 10, 2019, the Ministry rejected Bezeq's application to market a joint bundle of internet infrastructure (with or without an infrastructure provider) together with Pelephone's cellular services, because it did not find that approval of the request would contribute to competition in the communications market, but would rather lead impairment of the competition developing on the wholesale market and the existing competition in the cellular market, and strengthening the power of Bezeq Group and its existing competitive edge. The rejection letter further indicated marketing joint service bundles was discussed by the interministerial team which is examining the structural obligations applicable to Bezeq and HOT groups (the team for examination of the structural separation obligation). Joint bundles with Pelephone were approved in the past.

There are more limitations on cooperative ventures between Bezeq and the Bezeq Group companies, both under competition laws and conditions laid down by the Competition Commissioner in approvals of mergers between Bezeq and the Group Companies, which prohibit discrimination in favor of Group Companies when providing certain services, and by power of the orders of Bezeq's license, which oblige it to provide its services equally to all.

Lifting of the restrictions on structural separation and waiving the limitations applicable to cooperative ventures between the Bezeq Group companies as indicated above, if lifted, may form various opportunities for the Bezeq Group to utilize synergies or facilitate utilization of such synergies.

Partnership Structure

In August 2018, Bezeq announced that it was filing an application for the approval in principle by the Minister of Communications to change the legal structure of the Bezeq Group so that it will continue to operate in its present format as a public company for the provision of fixed-line domestic telecommunications services, and at the same time, establish a wholly owned registered partnership to which the assets, licenses, and activities of DBS, Pelephone and Bezeq International, will be transferred and will continue to maintain the complete structural separation from Bezeq. The purpose of the change is to adapt the structure of the subsidiaries to the technological, economic and competitive realities in the telecommunications market in order to promote the telecommunications market in Israel and to support reasonable profitability of the Bezeq Group for the benefit of its employees and shareholders. The new legal structure is expected, subject to the approval of the Tax Authority, to enable the offset of losses from the profits of the entire Group. The request does not change Bezeq's position regarding the cancellation of the structural separation.

On December 13, 2018, Bezeq received an update from the Ministry of Communications wherein the Ministry's professional staff discussed the request and decided to ask for public comments on the matter. In a subsequent notice, the Ministry indicated that along with the application submitted by Bezeq to change its legal structure, it is reviewing all structural separation obligations in Bezeq and HOT groups and the need to revise them, including regulations to provide a solution for the discriminatory issues in the use of infrastructure. The Ministry noted that it is weighing whether the above application regarding the legal structure of Bezeq can be approved prior to the completion of the foregoing review. In the notice, the Ministry indicated that this application gives rise to concern that approval thereof at the present time could lead to strengthening of the Bezeq Group's competitive advantages in the retail level at the expense of the different competitors. According to the notice, approval of the application at present will increase Bezeq's incentive to discriminate against competitors in the use of its infrastructure and thereby leverage its advantage in the infrastructure segment over the service provision segment and strengthen the Group's retail power, which in any event is already strong, prior to examination of the appropriate balances. The notice further indicated that in view of the foregoing, the Ministry is deliberating whether it is possible to approve the application at present and under which terms. Bezeq withdrew its application shortly thereafter.

On February 13, 2019, Bezeq's Board of Directors approved a request by each of Bezeq's subsidiaries, Pelephone, Bezeq International and DBS, to obtain approval from the Ministry of Communications for a change to the corporate structure, whereby the entire business and assets of each of the Subsidiaries would be transferred to separate limited partnerships wholly owned by Bezeq (Bezeq as a limited partner, and a company (separate and different in each partnership) wholly owned by Bezeq, as General Partner).

Bezeq's Board of Directors also approved a request of Bezeq to the Israel Tax Authority to obtain approval to transfer the business of the Subsidiaries to the said partnerships as a tax-exempt transfer in accordance with the provisions of section 103 of the Income Tax Ordinance and a request that the assessment arrangement dated September 15, 2016 concerning spreading the losses of DBS will also apply to the partnership with which it will be merged.

Wholesale services

Recently a wholesale market model has started being implemented in Israel, as part of which the obligation to sell wholesale services to other communications operators was imposed on owners of a country-wide fixed-line access infrastructure (Bezeq and HOT) to allow other communications operators to use Bezeq's infrastructure at prices set out in regulations. As part of this, the Ministry of Communications established service portfolios setting out the format for provisions of the services by the infrastructure owners:

Wholesale BSA service

This service allows service providers that do not own infrastructure to offer their customers full internet services, including internet connectivity services (of the ISP) and internet infrastructure services (of Bezeq). Since launching the service, hundreds of thousands of customers have switched to receiving services through these service providers).

Wholesale service use of physical infrastructures

This service allows service suppliers without infrastructure to use Bezeq's physical transfer communication cables infrastructure and dark fibers. Moreover, in the amendment to the Communications Law, as applied in the Economic Arrangements Law, (and separate from the wholesale service portfolio regarding use of physical infrastructures), Bezeq was required to allow other licensed domestic carriers, which are not necessarily suppliers without infrastructure, to use its passive transfer communication cable infrastructure to perform any telecommunications activity and provide any telecommunications services under their licenses. The same amendment also grants Bezeq the right to use physical infrastructure of other companies.

Wholesale telephony service

This service allows service providers that do not own infrastructure to offer their customers telephony service at wholesale tariffs using Bezeq's network. Until August 2018, the temporary arrangement allowing Bezeq to provide the service in a resale format, meaning a format in which the service provider purchases a line and call minutes from Bezeq and receives a range of services (such as technical services) from Bezeq, whereas according to the Ministry of Communications' notice, as from August 2018, Bezeq is required to provide the service in a wholesale format, meaning a service format in which the service is provided through Bezeq's switch, but the call also goes through the service provider's switch, both as an isolated service and as a supplementary service to the BSA service. Since August 2018, Bezeq is prepared to provide resale services at wholesale prices (without technical services), although with this service the call does not pass through the service provider's switch.

The maximum tariffs which Bezeq may charge for providing the services are laid down in regulations. The regulatory provisions regarding the wholesale market, as well as its implementation and, affect a material part of the Bezeq Group's activities

To the best of Bezeq's knowledge, the sale of wholesale services on the HOT network has been launched and Bezeq believes that at this stage, the volume of wholesale subscribers on the HOT network is not high.

Policy document

The wholesale services were established pursuant to the policy document dated May 2, 2012 in which the Minister of Communications adopted the main recommendations of the committee appointed to review and revise the structure of Bezeq's tariffs and to set wholesale service tariffs in the communications industry (Hayek Committee). The policy document states that owners of country-wide fixed-line access infrastructures who provide retail services, including Bezeq, will be obligated to sell wholesale services to holders of telecommunication licenses on a non-discriminatory basis and with no discounts for size. The document also stipulates the terms for cancellation of the structural separation and that within six months of publication of the Shelf Offering for the sale of wholesale services by the infrastructure owners, the Minister will take action to change to a method of oversight of Bezeq's prices by the setting of a maximum price and within nine months, the Ministry will formulate regulations aimed at increasing the investment in and upgrading fixed-line communications infrastructure in Israel.

Further to the policy document, the Ministry of Communications established service portfolios setting out the format for provisions of the services by the infrastructure owners. The maximum tariffs that Bezeq is permitted to charge for these services were determined by the Minister of Communications with the agreement of the Minister of Finance in the Communications (Telecommunications and Broadcasts) (Use of a Domestic Carrier's Public Network) Regulations, 2014, or the Use Regulations. Tariffs for HOT's wholesale services were first published on June 26, 2017. To the best of Bezeq's knowledge, the volume of whole subscribers on HOT's network is negligible. The volume of wholesale subscribers on HOT's network is negligible at this stage, although Bezeq believes that it has recently increased.

BSA services

Bezeq started providing the service on February 17, 2015. This service allows service providers that do not own infrastructure to offer their customers full end-to-end internet services, including internet connectivity services and infrastructure services of Bezeq. Since launching of the service, hundreds of thousands of customers have switched to receiving services through these service providers.

In the initial period of provision of the service, the Ministry conducted an oversight proceeding at Bezeq, which led to imposition of NIS 8.5 million in fines. Bezeq paid the amount of the fines and petitioned the court against this proceeding, which was dismissed in January 2018. On March 14, 2018, Bezeq filed an appeal against dismissal of the petition, which has not yet been decided. In addition, disputes erupted between Bezeq and the service providers regarding implementation of the service portfolio. These disputes concern the payments owing to Bezeq for the service and division of responsibility for installation and malfunctions.

In order to receive BSA services, it is necessary to connect to the service provider who receives the service from Bezeq to Bezeq's network. On January 16, 2019, despite the operational difficulties indicated by Bezeq, the Ministry of Communications ordered Bezeq to immediately allow connection of the service providers to the multi-service access gateway (MSAG), in addition to its obligation to allow connection to the core and collection segment, according to the service provider's election.

The Ministry held hearings on various issues related to implementation of this service. The main hearings on the matter dealt with mechanisms for reviewing and revising the demand forecast for the purpose of updating the wholesale market tariffs (in which a decision was made regarding reduction of the tariffs of the BSA service component, and the tariff was reduced in regulations), revising the service level (SLA) requirements, the procedure for movement of customers between operators, etc. Decisions have not yet been made in all the hearings.

On August 29, 2017, the Ministry of Communications published a second hearing (for the hearing published on November 17, 2014), on determination of the format for reviewing a margin squeeze by fixed-line broadband network owners in marketing offerings. A margin squeeze is a situation in which the infrastructure owner decreases the retail prices and the margin between the retail prices and the wholesale price of the inputs of the infrastructure purchased by the service providers to a level that erodes the profit of the service providers to the point of being economically unfeasible to continue their activity. According to the secondary hearing, the Ministry is considering allowing the infrastructure owners to conduct their own review to rule out margin squeeze, by means of inspection tools to be approved by the Ministry (in addition to the limited advance review track). As considered, the effective tariff for the reviewed service or group of reviewed services will not be lower than the minimum price level set for marketing those services examined by the license holder. In the hearing, the term the "license holder" includes Bezeq, Bezeq International, DBS, HOT Broadcasts, HOT Telecom and HOT Net. Bezeq submitted its comments on the hearing whereby there is no reason to determine a format for examining margin squeeze, although if such format is determined, the independent inspection mechanism proposed in the hearing should be expanded. Bezeq believes that if the margin squeeze review format is applied, it could affect the ability of Bezeq and Group companies to market bundles with respect to the timing of the offers and the prices they will be able to offer.

Wholesale service use of physical infrastructures

The service portfolio entered into force on July 31, 2015 and accordingly, Bezeq allows suppliers without infrastructure to use its physical available-for-transfer communication cable infrastructure and the available dark fibers out of Bezeq's available optic cables, while in order to connect to the service provider's infrastructure to Bezeq's infrastructure, the service provider must set up a passive infrastructure near Bezeq's passive infrastructure facility. The work on Bezeq's infrastructures is performed by the service providers through contractors on their behalf, pursuant to the Ministry of Communication's decision and dismissal of Bezeq's petition against it. Since the service is in a format that did not exist before, differences of opinion arise from time to time.

On April 16, 2018, the Ministry of Communications announced that after reviewing the comments of Bezeq and an ISP, it made a decision and ordered that Bezeq must allow the service providers to insert communications cables through Bezeq's telecom manhole which is located at the opening of the conduit leading to private land, and to perform any necessary works in the manhole for this purpose, all without derogating from the service providers' responsibility to obtain the landowner's permission.

On January 16, 2019, the Ministry of Communications issued a decision regarding the service portfolio for mutual use of passive infrastructures, according to which pursuant to reviewing the comments received in the hearing published by the Ministry on August 9, 2018, it decided in the first stage to focus on regulating execution of the works of another domestic carrier (holder of a domestic carrier license, including an infrastructure owner, that uses the physical infrastructure of another license holder). Later, the Ministry intends to establish a uniform service portfolio, which will apply to all use situations, including mutual use, of physical infrastructures, but at the present time, it will apply to the infrastructure owners in parallel with the provisions applicable to all domestic carriers. The director's provision and the service portfolio amendment that were attached to the decision stipulate unlike the original service portfolio, that for deployment, the operator using the infrastructure of the infrastructure owner will not be required to set up a passive infrastructure facility, even in the last manhole (the last manhole before the building). The infrastructure of another domestic carrier will be connected to the infrastructure of the infrastructure owner by the passive infrastructure component (conduit/duct pipes, etc.) to be installed between the passive infrastructure of the operator using the infrastructure (manhole, telecom cabinet, junction box, etc.) and the passive infrastructure facility of the infrastructure owner. The definition of the physical infrastructure available to an operator using infrastructure was expanded and includes communications rooms as well. The amendment and provision also anchor the right of the infrastructure owner to payment for the guidance activity to the employees of the operator using the infrastructure.

Use of Bezeq's physical infrastructure by infrastructure owners

In the amendment to the Communications Law, as applied in the Economic Arrangements Law, the obligation of a licensed domestic carrier to allow other licensed domestic carriers (which are not necessarily license holders without infrastructure) access to its passive infrastructure (excluding the passive infrastructure of a licensed domestic carrier owned by IEC and which it requires, for the purpose of its operations as the holder of a critical service provider license) for performance of any telecommunications operation and provision of any telecommunications service under its license. This means allowing IBC to use Bezeq's passive infrastructure and as from October 1, 2017 also HOT Telecom, at tariffs which according to the amendment to the Law are to be set by the Minister of Communications with the agreement of the Minister of Finance by April 1, 2018, whereas until these tariffs are set, the tariffs set in the Use Regulations will apply, and subsequent to setting the tariffs, retroactive settling of accounts will be carried out between Bezeq and HOT Telecom only. At present, tariffs and a special service portfolio have not yet been established.

On August 13, 2018, a hearing and draft regulations were published on determining the maximum payments for mutual use by infrastructure owners of access service to passive infrastructure, according to which the Minister is considering determining that the tariff will be the same as for the payments currently defined in the Use Regulations for a domestic carrier which is a special general license holder. A letter attached to the hearing dated August 9, 2018, concerning the service portfolio described above states that the Ministry is considering not setting maximum or minimum payments for service to be provided by other domestic carriers for which no payment was defined. On September 9, 2018, Bezeq submitted its comments on the hearing to determine the payments (together with an expert economic opinion), in which it argued that the distinction must be maintained between operators that do not own infrastructures and infrastructure owners, and certainly those governed by the obligation of universal service.

Wholesale telephony service

On May 18, 2017, the then Acting Minister of Communications issued a decision according to which Bezeq will provide telephony services in a resale format for one year from July 31, 2017, at prices set by the Minister (higher than the wholesale tariffs, in view of the service content). This decision is the result of a petition filed by Bezeq with the Supreme Court against the Minister of Communications' decision of November 14, 2014 regarding provision of wholesale telephony services in the service portfolio format. The petition included claims that the service would be impossible to implement in the service portfolio format (BSA + telephony) and is unjustified. Provision of wholesale telephony services (at wholesale prices) on Bezeq's network was postponed for the 14 months of the arrangement, when the option to extend the arrangement or turn it into a permanent arrangement will be reviewed (a recommendation on this matter will be the subject of a public hearing). On March 25, 2018 Bezeq wrote to the Ministry requesting that the Ministry extend the arrangement, at the current price and format. Bezeq clarified that the service format in the service portfolio is impossible to implement, unjustified and contradicts the global trend. The only way that will allow Bezeq to provide the service in the service portfolio format entails switch replacement and compelling Bezeq to perform a complex, disproportionate unauthorized and unjustified procedure.

On June 5, 2018, the Ministry of Communications informed Bezeq that it will not extend the temporary arrangement relating to telephony service in a resale format and that accordingly, as of August 1, 2018, Bezeq must provide wholesale telephony service in the format defined in the BSA + Telephony service portfolio and that Bezeq must provide this service both as a stand-alone service and as a supplementary service to the BSA service. Upon receiving this notice, Bezeq indicated that it does not expect to meet the deadline specified in the notice, further to its previous clarifications that the service format in the service portfolio cannot be implemented technologically and that it requires the replacement of a switch which is a prolonged, complex process, and that it intends to ask the Ministry to find a solution for this problem. After discussions with the Ministry, Bezeq offered, commencing August 1, 2018, telephony call minutes service and associated wholesale services in the wholesale market on the basis of the service portfolio in a technology format which is similar to the resale arrangement and with wholesale market tariffs. Bezeq's license was revised two months later and included this service as voluntary. Bezeq also began the process of replacing the switch which will enable compliance with the service portfolio requirements.

In a letter dated January 29, 2019, Bezeq offered the Ministry of Communications another technological solution for the provision of wholesale telephony services. In view of the fact that this solution was intended to be temporary and implemented for a limited period, until replacement of the switch, and taking into account its estimation regarding the relatively low potential of customers of the service, Bezeq repeated its claim that the wholesale telephony services in the engineering outline defined in the service portfolio in a carrier preselection format on a telco-grade level was and still is impossible to implement on Bezeq's switch.

On January 31, 2019, the Ministry replied that it does not intend to approve compliance with the service portfolio in advance, because after coordination with the service providers and launching of the service, the Ministry will review whether the breach has ceased, and it would not accept a solution that does not provide a full solution for the provisions of the service portfolio and is not based on the accepted engineering standards in communications networks.

Bezeq sent an appropriate characterization to the service providers. The negotiations with the Ministry of Communications and the service providers have not yet been finalized. In parallel, Bezeq is taking measures to complete its order for a new switch.

Bezeq believes that the implementation of wholesale telephony will impair its financial results. However, at this time Bezeq is unable to estimate the extent of the impact, which could be significant, given that it depends on different variables, including the volume of demand for the service, the price levels of substitute products currently available on the market (such as VoB), etc.

Supervisory reports and financial sanctions

On October 19, 2017, the Ministry of Communications sent Bezeq a final supervision report regarding implementation of a wholesale telephony service, or the Supervision Report, according to which Bezeq violated the provisions by failing to provide the wholesale telephony service on May 17, 2015. Concurrently with the Supervision Report, and after Bezeq had been found to have violated the provisions of the Use Regulations and Bezeq's license, Bezeq was notified of the Ministry's intention to impose a financial sanction on Bezeq in the amount of NIS 11,343,800. The Notice also stated that Bezeq must take affirmative action to comply with the instructions of the Ministry of Communications, since the Ministry is considering initiating another proceeding in the same matter. Bezeq submitted its arguments in writing against the intention to impose a financial sanction and against the amount of the financial sanction. Subsequently, on August 8, 2018 Bezeq received a "Supplementary Supervisory Report to the Final Supervisory Report Concerning Non-implementation of the Wholesale Telephony Service" as well as an "Updated Notice of its intention to apply financial sanctions concerning implementation of the broadband reform in which the Ministry of Communications announced its intention to apply financial sanctions of NIS 11,327,540 against Bezeq for the violation, as from August 1, 2018. The notice further states that the Ministry intends to take additional enforcement measures if the breach continues. On October 4, 2018, Bezeq submitted its position on the hearing whereby financial sanctions should not be imposed on it. On December 27, 2018, Bezeq received notification from the Ministry of Communications that the Director General of the Ministry decided to impose a NIS 11,163,290 sanction on Bezeq for its alleged breach of the provisions regarding implementation of wholesale telephony services. Bezeq filed a petition against the decision.

Additional regulatory aspects relevant to the entire Group or several Group companies

Change in interconnect tariffs. The Bezeq Group's telecom companies (Bezeq, Pelephone and Bezeq International) pay interconnect fees to other carriers for calls that are terminated on the networks of those carriers, and some of them (Bezeq and Pelephone), receive interconnect fees for calls that are terminated on their networks and from international communications operators for outgoing calls on their networks. The interconnect fees are determined by the Ministry of Communications as the maximum tariffs in the interconnection regulations. Changes in the interconnect tariffs have an offsetting effect at the Bezeq Group's level, in view of their impact on both the expenses and revenues of Bezeq and its subsidiaries.

Restriction of the exit penalty a license-holder can collect from a subscriber. Under the provisions of the Communications Law, holders of domestic carrier licenses, ITS licenses and broadcast licenses, including Bezeq, Bezeq International, DBS and B.I.P, may not collect disconnection fees from subscribers who cancel agreements if their average monthly bill is less than NIS 5,000, or deny them a benefit that they would have received had they not ended the agreement. Cellular operators, including Pelephone, may not collect disconnect fees from customers who hold up to 100 phone lines or condition a contract for cellular services on an agreement to purchase, rent or lease terminal equipment. As a rule, these restrictions make customer retention difficult for the communications operators that are subject to them.

Non-discrimination in the offering of benefits and special tariffs. Due to the different positions expressed by the Ministry of Communications in the past, communications companies may be restricted under certain circumstances in their ability to offer benefits and special tariffs to their new customers or to prevent a subscriber from switching to plans marketed to new customers. The Ministry of Communications announced its intention to hold a hearing regarding revision of the provisions of the licenses regarding price discrimination between subscribers in a manner that is also consistent with the changes and developments in the market.

Amendments of licenses and additional legislation

Call center response times

The amendment to the licenses of Bezeq, Pelephone and Bezeq International prescribes provisions concerning the obligation to route calls on certain matters to a professional human responder, call waiting times as well as provisions concerning call center work hours, the recording and documenting of calls and reporting obligations.

The amendment will go into effect on the effective date of the Consumer Protection Law (July 25, 2019) and includes provisions dealing with the waiting time for a human response. DBS submitted an application to amend its license because the amendment to the Consumer Protection Law applies to it as well.

Bezeq is making preparations to implement the amendments, which could lead to an increase in the costs of operating the call centers of the Bezeq Group's companies.

Hearing on the subject of IPv6 protocol (internet addresses)

On October 16, 2018, the Ministry of Communications published a hearing which includes a draft amendment to the operators' licenses, including those of the Bezeq Group companies, in connection with a transition to IPv6 protocol. IPv6 defines the number of possible legal addresses on the Internet, in view of the fact that current protocol has a limited number of addresses. According to the draft license amendment, Bezeq will be required to operate the network and its components so as to fully support IPv6 according to the provisions of the draft amendment, and to voluntarily transfer existing subscribers (by updating the software version of the terminal equipment whenever a technician visits the subscriber) and new subscribers to addresses on IPv6. The transition to IPv6 may entail increasing costs from the need to replace equipment, and the subject will be assessed by the Bezeq Group companies that have submitted their comments to the hearing.

Consumer legislation and privacy protection laws

Changes in consumer legislation affect the operations of the Bezeq Group's companies on a regular basis. Various amendments have been made in recent years to the Consumer Protection Law and regulations concerning the cancellation of transactions even after service has begun, disconnection from ongoing services, the need for the customer to give express consent to continue transactions after the end of the specified period and sending of messages, provisions concerning a refund of charges collected from the subscribers which are not in accordance with the communication agreement plus fixed handling charges prescribed in the Law, restriction on debt collection procedures, maximum waiting time for a human response, and extension of the visiting times of technicians at the subscribers' homes. Various bills have also been tabled in the Knesset introducing further amendments to the Consumer Protection Law which may affect the terms of the agreement and the conduct of the Bezeq Group companies towards their subscribers.

Likewise, the activity of the Bezeq Group companies is affected by the Privacy Protection Law and its regulations with respect to management and maintenance of databases and the information security which they contain. In May 2018, the Privacy Protection Regulations (Information Security), 2017 entered into force imposing various obligations on database owners, including obligations to establish procedures and conduct risk assessments in terms of information security, when some of the obligations apply to all types of information databases while others depend on the security level of the database.

Enforcement and financial sanctions

Over the last few years, the Communications Law, the Economic Competition Law, the Securities Law, the Consumer Protection Law and the Telegraph Ordinance were amended, giving the regulators powers of enforcement, supervision and imposition of substantial graded fines for violation of these laws or regulations and their provisions. A similar bill to amend the Protection of Privacy Law, 1981, which also includes changes in the penal part of the law, is in advanced stages of legislation. Likewise, the Law to Increase the Enforcement of Labor Laws was legislated. This legislation affects the way in which the Bezeq Group companies manage their affairs, in part with respect to concern for imposition of sanctions, their ability to protect themselves, etc.

The Ministry of Communications has recently made extensive use of the oversight powers and has issued notice of its intention to impose fines on Bezeq for ongoing regulatory matters as well as matters pertaining to implementation of the wholesale market.

Moreover, provisions enabling administrative enforcement by means of imposing fines or an administrative warning are anchored in an amendment to the Telegraph Ordinance under the Economic Arrangements Bill.

The Market Concentration Law

The Market Concentration Law was published in December 2013.

The law prohibits a tier company (public traded or bond issuing companies that are a reporting entity), which is a second tier company (a tier company whose controlling shareholder is not a tier company), from controlling another tier company. In relation to existing companies, transition provisions were prescribed whereby a second tier company may continue to control another tier company in which it held control when the law was published, for a period of six years from the publication of the law (until December 10, 2019). Mechanisms were also prescribed allowing certain arrangements to be made to purchase shares and make early redemption of debentures offered to the public, in order to comply with the provisions of the law.

For the purpose of the law, Bezeq is considered a third tier company, and accordingly, if by December 10, 2019, B Communications remains a tier company, it will not be allowed to control Bezeq (from a control permit perspective) from that date.

Special provisions concerning directors in a third tier company during the transition period

Under the law, special provisions currently apply to Bezeq as a third tier company concerning the composition and appointment of Board of Directors. These provisions include a majority of the Board members must be independent directors, the external directors will be appointed by a majority of Company's minority shareholders (who are not its controlling shareholders) and they will number half of the Board members less one, unless stipulated otherwise in statutorily authorized regulations.

Under the Regulations to Promote Competition and Reduce Concentration (Relief with Regard to the Number of External Directors), 2014, since as of the report publication date, one director who was appointed according to the proposal of the workers' union serves in Bezeq, the number of external directors required under the Market Concentration Law will be less than the number of directors appointed under the proposal, provided that the external directors account for at least one third of the Board members. Currently, Bezeq complies with the terms of the Market Concentration Law and its regulations in this matter.

Restrictions on providing credit to business groups

Powers were granted to the Minister of Finance and the Governor of the Bank of Israel to promulgate regulations and provisions limiting the cumulative credit that financial institutions in Israel may give to a corporation or business group (a group of companies under joint control and their controlling shareholder).

Market concentration considerations in the allocation of rights - restrictions on the allocation of rights in critical infrastructures to a highly concentrated entity

The law prescribes a special, restrictive procedure that the regulator must apply prior to the allocation of rights (such as a license, franchise, contractual agreement with the state to operate a critical infrastructure and in certain circumstances also to extend existing licenses) in those areas that are defined as a "critical infrastructure" to entities that are defined as a "highly concentrated entity". A list of areas was defined that will be deemed "areas of critical infrastructure", including operations for which certain communications licenses are required (domestic carriers, excluding a specialist domestic carrier (such as VoB operators and cellular operators), broadcasting licenses, and other areas. Bezeq and the companies that it controls are included in the list published by the Competition Authority and are considered highly concentrated entities. The procedure prescribed in the law in relation to the allocation of a right to a highly concentrated entity will also apply to approval given for transferring the means of control in state-owned companies or companies that were previously government companies (Bezeq included) at the rates defined in the law, to a highly concentrated entity.

Regulatory restrictions

The Communications Law, Communications Order (which applies to Bezeq) and some of the communications licenses of the Bezeq Group companies contain a restriction on granting of rights to a third party on assets used to provide the critical service or on the assets of the license, as the case may be, including the need to obtain regulatory approval to create charges on these assets. In some cases, such as Pelephone's cellular operator's license, and Bezeq International's unified license, there are exceptions permitting the creation of charges in favor of banks without the need for the regulator's advanced approval, provided that the charge agreement includes instructions to ensure that the services rendered under the license will not be affected if the bank exercises the charge. In addition, under the provisions of the law and the communications licenses, the license and the resulting rights are not transferable and they cannot be pledged or confiscated (with certain exceptions).

Contractual restrictions

Bezeq has provided undertakings to certain financing entities not to pledge its assets without simultaneously creating a charge of the same class, rank and amount (negative charge), subject to specific exceptions.

Bezeq

Control of Bezeq's tariffs

The control of Bezeq's tariffs as described below has a number of implications. Bezeq's tariffs are subject to regulatory intervention and from time to time, Bezeq is exposed to significant changes in its tariff structure and tariff levels. The review mechanism for the controlled tariffs, as defined in the authorizing legislation and the regulations, results in a real average erosion of the tariffs over the years. Control of the tariffs could make it difficult for Bezeq to provide an appropriate and competitive response to market changes and to offer competitive prices on short notice. Furthermore, the restrictions on granting discounts on tariffs limit Bezeq in participation in certain tenders.

The following are the main control arrangements over Bezeq's prices:

- Under the Communications Law, the Minister of Communications is entitled, with the approval of the Minister of Finance, to determine payments (including maximum payments or minimum payments) for services from a license holder. The payment can be determined on the basis of (1) the cost, according to the calculation method instructed by the Minister plus a reasonable profit; or (2) reference points deriving from: payment for services provided by the license holder; payment for comparative services; payments in other countries for such services.
- Tariffs fixed in regulations - the tariffs for Bezeq's controlled services (telephony and others) which are stipulated in the regulations, were updated in accordance with a linkage formula less an efficiency factor provided in the regulations, so that on average, Bezeq's controlled tariffs erode in real terms. After five years without any update to the regulation tariffs, on May 23, 2018, the Ministry of Communications announced an update of Bezeq's tariffs stipulated in the regulations, effective from June 1, 2018, based on the update formula set out in the Communications (Telecommunications and Broadcasts) (Calculation and Linkage of Payments for Telecommunications Services), 2007, so that the tariffs for the services provided by Bezeq which are stipulated in the regulations will be reduced by 11.88%, except for the fixed monthly payment for the telephone line, which will remain unchanged. According to the Ministry's announcement and in Bezeq's estimate, the implication of this tariff change is an annual decline of NIS 16 million in Bezeq's revenues.
- The Ministers of Communications and Finance are authorized to prescribe interconnect payments or for the use by a license holder of the telecommunication facilities of another license holder, and to provide instructions.
- If tariffs that are neither at the maximum nor minimum levels are determined for supervised services, Bezeq may offer an alternative payments package for a bundle of telecommunication services at such fixed payments, provided that the Ministers of Communications and Finance do not oppose the package. The Gronau report states that an alternative payment package will be approved only if it is worthwhile for 30% or more of subscribers who use the services offered in the package, and that the smaller the market share of the Bezeq Group in fixed-line telephony is, the higher the maximum discount rate permitted in an alternative payment package will be.

- If maximum or minimum payments are determined according to Sections 5 or 15 the Communications Law, for telecommunication services provided to another license holder, Bezeq may indiscriminately offer any other license holder an alternative payments package for the bundle of services at maximum or minimum payments, and such services together with services for which payment has not been determined according to Sections 5 or 15 to the Law, provided the Ministers are not opposed or approved the package.
- Bezeq may request a reasonable payment for a service for which a payment is not determined according to Sections 5 or 15, or for which a maximum or minimum payment has been determined. The Minister of Communications may require Bezeq to notify him of any payment Bezeq intends to request as set out above and of any change in the payment prior to the provision of the service or the change. If the Minister of Communications determines that Bezeq intends to request an unreasonable payment, or a payment that raises suspicion of harming competition, the Minister may set (for a period not exceeding one year) the maximum payment it may request for the service or separation of the payment for the service from the payment for the bundle of services.

On June 27, 2017, Bezeq received a hearing letter from the Ministry of Communications that two alternative supervisory mechanisms for the current tariffs of telephony (and other services for which the tariffs are fixed in regulations):

- To convert the existing supervisory method that sets fixed rates (FIX) to maximum rates; the main telephony services (telephone line - NIS 57.92 including VAT, and 1.87 agorot including VAT for calls) will be set in relation to the updated costs structure; for most of the additional services, the present tariff will become the maximum tariff and price control will be lifted for some of the services.
- To remove price control from the main telephony services - telephone line and calls, and from additional services that are currently supervised in the form of fixed tariffs, and to set a maximum price for a "supervised bundle" which will include a telephone line and call minutes which Bezeq will offer customers who wish to subscribe to this service, similar to the alternative payments package currently offered by Bezeq for which there is most demand.

Similarly, it was proposed that only existing subscribers of the alternative payments package for the "Kav Kal" (Light Line) service will be able to continue to receive it. The Ministry of Communications is also considering determining that price control will be lifted on PRI channels and the price control on their call components will be canceled. Bezeq submitted its comments on the hearing, opposing the proposed tariffs. Bezeq believes that the change in the control mechanism being considered in the hearing, insofar as this change is implemented, will negatively affect its financial results. Bezeq believes that its retail tariffs will be affected in parallel also as a result of the setting of wholesale prices for telephony services.

On March 18, 2019, the Ministry published a hearing to regulate the maximum payments for the wholesale services of the infrastructure owners between 2019-2022. According to the hearing, the Ministry intends to revise the prices based on the assumptions concerning the scope of demand, the equipment price trends and the effect of the costs of providing the service in the economic model. Bezeq is studying the hearing documents. Until completion of the hearing process (and after the secondary hearing on the subject), the Ministry of Communications will extend the applicability of the maximum payments for wholesale services to 2018, so as to continue to apply from 2018 onwards. Prior to extension, the Ministry published a hearing noting that the key trends in the market, including the demand trends and decrease in equipment prices, indicate that the cost of providing the wholesale services is declining over time.

Bezeq's Domestic Carrier license

Bezeq operates under a Domestic Carrier license which enumerates the services Bezeq must provide and its duty of universal service. Bezeq is required to provide its services to all on equal terms for each type of service, irrespective of location or unique cost. While the license is unlimited in time; the Minister may modify or cancel the license or make it contingent. The license and any part of it cannot be transferred, no charge can be imposed on it, nor can it be subject to attachment.

Bezeq is required to maintain and operate the network and provide its services at all times, including in emergencies, in an orderly and proper manner according to the technical and service quality requirements, and to work towards improving its services. The license includes a Service Standards for the Subscriber appendix, which is to be amended after Bezeq provides the Ministry with data. Bezeq submitted its proposals for amendment of the appendix to the Ministry, adapting it to the current state of affairs and the licenses of other operators, but the amendment report has not yet been published.

Provisions are stated for the duty of interconnect to another public switching network and the option of use by another license-holder; a duty to provide infrastructure services to another license-holder on reasonable and equal terms is also provided, as well as refraining from preferring a license-holder that is a company with an interest.

Provisions have been made for the operation of Bezeq's network in times of emergency, including the obligation to operate it in a manner that prevents its collapse in emergencies. Bezeq is required to provide telecommunication services and set up and maintain the terminal equipment infrastructure for the security forces in Israel and abroad, as provided in its agreements with the security forces. Bezeq is required to appoint a security officer and to comply fully with the security instructions contained in the appendix to the license. Bezeq provides special services to the security forces. Bezeq is required to ensure that each purchase and installation of hardware in its telecommunications installations, except for terminal equipment, will be made in full compliance with instructions given to Bezeq according to Section 13 of the Communications Law.

Extensive reporting duties to the Ministry of Communications are imposed on Bezeq. In addition, the Director General of the Ministry of Communications has the authority to enter facilities and offices used by Bezeq and to seize documents.

The Domestic Carrier license includes restrictions on the acquisition, maintenance and transfer of means of control pursuant to the Communications Order and on cross-ownership, which are mainly a ban on cross-holding by entities in which those with an interest in another material Domestic Carrier as noted in the license, and restrictions on a cross-holding by entities with Domestic Carrier licenses or general licenses in the same segment of operation.

Bezeq submitted a bank guarantee of US\$ 10 million to the Director General of the Ministry of Communications to secure fulfillment of the terms of the license and for indemnifying the State for any loss it incurs due to their violation by Bezeq. The Director General at the Ministry of Communications is authorized to impose a fine for violation of any of the terms of the license.

During a calendar year, Bezeq may invest up to 25% of its annual income in activities not intended for providing its services (the incomes of Bezeq's subsidiaries are not considered income for this purpose).

The Communications Order

Bezeq was declared a provider of telecommunication services under the Communications Order. By power of that declaration, Bezeq is required to provide certain types of services and may not cease to provide them or narrow them. Among these services are basic telephone service, infrastructure service, transmission service and data communication service including interconnect, and other services listed in the schedule to the Order.

The main provisions of the Communications Order are these:

- Restrictions on the transfer and acquisition of means of control in a company, which includes a ban on holding 5% or more of means of control of a certain kind without the prior written approval of the Prime Minister and the Minister of Communications, or the Ministers.
- Transfer or acquisition of control in a company requires the approval of the Ministers. The Control Permit establishes the minimum holding percentage in each of the means of control in Bezeq by the holder of the Control Permit. A transfer of shares or an issuance of shares that causes the ownership percentage of the Control Permit holder to fall below the minimum percentage is prohibited without the prior approval of the Ministers, subject to permitted exceptions (among them are an issuance to the public under a prospectus or sale or private placement to institutional investors).
- Holdings not approved will be considered "exceptional holdings" and the Order states that exercise of a right by power of exceptional holdings will not be valid. The Order also contains provisions authorizing the Ministers and Bezeq to submit an application for the enforced sale of exceptional holdings to the courts.

At least 75% of the members of the Board of Directors of Bezeq must be Israeli citizens and residents who have security clearance and security compatibility as determined by the General Security Service. The Chairman of the Board, the external directors, the CEO, the Deputy CEO and other office-holders in Bezeq as listed in the Order, must be Israeli citizens and residents and have security clearance appropriate to their functions.

“Israeli” requirements are enumerated for the controlling shareholder in Bezeq: for an individual – he is an Israeli Entity (as defined in the Order); for a company – it is incorporated in Israel, the center of its business is in Israel, and an Israeli Entity holds at least 19% of the means of control in it.

The approval of the Ministers is required for granting rights in certain assets of Bezeq (switches, cable network, transmission network and data bases and banks). In addition, grant of rights in means of control in subsidiaries of Bezeq, including allotment of more than 25% of the shares in the subsidiary, requires the approval of the Ministers.

Certain actions of Bezeq require the approval of the Minister of Communications, including the voluntary liquidation, a settlement or arrangement between Bezeq and its creditors, a change or reorganization of the structure of Bezeq, a merger and split of Bezeq.

On October 15, 2018, the Knesset approved the government’s announcement under Section 31(B) of the Basic Law: The Government, to transfer to the Minister of Communications powers invested in the prime minister under Sections 4D through 4E2 of the Communications Law, including powers exercised by virtue of the Communications Order, as well as Section 13 of the Communications Law.

Authority with respect to real estate

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 4(F) of the Communications Law, the Minister of Communications granted Bezeq certain powers in connection with real estate, as set out in Chapter Six of the Law. The law distinguishes between land owned by the State, the Development Authority, the Jewish National Fund, a local authority or a company lawfully established and owned by one of them, and a road, or Public Land”), and other land, or Private Land. With regard to Public Land, Bezeq and any person authorized by it, can enter it to perform network deployment and maintenance works and to provide telecommunication services, provided that the deployment is executed according to the provisions of the Planning and Construction Law. The amendment to the Communications Law and the Planning and Construction Law in the Economic Arrangements Law cancel the duty to obtain the approval of the local Planning and Construction Committee, so certain actions do not require a building permit if performed by a license holder that was granted powers under section F of the Communications Law, if carried out according to an approved plan.

A network on Private Land will be deployed according to the provisions of the Planning and Construction Law and requires the consent of the landowner, the lessee in perpetuity or the protected tenant, as the case may be. Under the provisions of the Telecommunications (Installation, Operation and Maintenance) Regulations, 1985, if Bezeq is of the opinion that providing a telecommunications service to an applicant requires the installation of a telecommunications device on the applicant’s premises (or shared premises), Bezeq may request that the applicant, as a prerequisite for providing the requested service, allocate a suitable place on the premises for installation of the device, for the sole use of Bezeq, and it may use the device to provide service to other applicants as well.

Under the provisions of the Planning and Construction (Application for a Permit, its Terms and Tees) Regulations, 1970, an applicant for a permit to construct a residential building is required to install infrastructures for telephone, radio, television and Internet services so that the customer can choose whichever provider it prefers. In commercial buildings, if preparations for communications are installed, an underground infrastructure must be laid. At the same time, Bezeq’s license was amended (as were the licenses of HOT Telecom and DBS), so that if Bezeq uses the internal wiring (part of the access network installed in residences and in apartments intended to be used by those residences only) for provision of its services, it is obliged to provide maintenance services for that wiring installed by the permit applicant, without this granting it any proprietary rights in the internal wiring.

Immunity and exceptions to liability

The Minister of Communications granted Bezeq immunity from certain liabilities for damages listed in Chapter Nine of the Communications Law, in accordance with his authority to grant immunity to a general license-holder. In addition, Section 13 of the Communications Law contains exceptions to criminal and civil liability for an act done in fulfillment of a directive to provide services to the security forces in that section.

Regulations and rules under the Communications Law

Regulations in three additional and important areas currently apply to Bezeq: (1) cessation, delay or restriction of telecommunications actions and services; (2) installation, operation and maintenance; and (3) ways of supervising the actions of the license-holder.

Economic competition laws

The Competition Commissioner (formerly, the Antitrust Commissioner) declared Bezeq a monopoly in the following areas:

- Basic telephone services, provision of communications infrastructure services, and transfer and transmission of broadcasting services to the public.
- Provision of high-speed access services through the access network to the subscriber.
- Provision of high-speed access services for ISPs through a central public telecommunications network.

The Commissioner's declaration of Bezeq as a monopoly constitutes prima facie evidence of its content in any legal proceeding, including criminal proceedings. Bezeq has adopted an internal compliance procedure containing internal rules, guidelines and an internal reporting and control system, the purpose of which is to ensure that the activities of Bezeq and its employees are carried out in accordance with the provisions of the Economic Competition Law.

According to the conditions of the Competition Authority's approval dated March 26, 2014 of the merger (as defined in the Economic Competition Law) between Bezeq and DBS, the following restrictions apply to Bezeq and DBS:

- Bezeq and any person authorized by it (in this section: "Bezeq") will not impose any restriction on consumption of fixed-line Internet infrastructure services stemming from the accumulated browsing volume of the customer, and will not cause the option granted to a customer to use any service or application provided over the Internet to be restricted or blocked.
- Bezeq will deduct amounts for provision of multi-channel television services from the internet provider payments for connection to Bezeq's network.
- Bezeq will sell and provide internet infrastructure services and television services under equal terms to all Bezeq customers (the sale of Internet infrastructure services as part of a service bundle will not be considered sale under unequal terms).
- Bezeq and DBS will cancel all exclusive arrangement regarding non-original productions and will not be party to such exclusive arrangements (except with regard to a third party who is the broadcast license owner at the date of the decision). In addition, for two years from approval of the merger (which have passed in the meanwhile), Bezeq will not prevent any entity (other than anybody who is a broadcast license owner at the date of the decision) from purchasing rights in original productions (does not apply to new productions).

As part of the approval of the merger of Bezeq and Pelephone on August 26, 2004 (as subsequently amended), restrictive terms were imposed, mainly prohibiting discrimination in favor of Pelephone in the supply of a product in which Bezeq is a monopoly, prohibiting the bundling of the supply of certain products by any of the companies when purchasing products or services from the other, and restrictions on certain joint activities.

As part of the merger approval of Walla and Bezeq of September 12, 2010, terms were imposed restricting discrimination in favor of Walla vis-à-vis its competitors.

On November 16, 2014, Bezeq received the decision of the Deputy Commissioner of the Competition Authority pursuant to Section 43(A)(5) of the Economic Competition Law, to the effect that Bezeq had abused its position as a monopoly and determined unfair purchase and sale prices of a service in a monopoly, in contravention of the provisions of Section 29A to the Economic Competition Law in setting a negative margin by determining lower prices for Internet and telephony services than for internet infrastructure only, in a campaign. The decision states that these prices places competitors who wish to offer this service at a disadvantage. On March 20, 2018, pursuant to the agreed application of the parties, a ruling was issued by the Competition Tribunal in the appeal filed by Bezeq against the decision, according to which the earlier decision was null and void, i.e. as though it was never handed.

On March 7, 2018, Bezeq received notification from the Competition Authority that in accordance with the authority under section 43(A)(5) of the Economic Competition Law, the Competition Commissioner is considering determining that Bezeq abused its position in contravention of section 29A(a) and Section 29A(b)(3) of the Law, and imposing financial sanctions on Bezeq and its CEO for an alleged breach of the provisions of Section 29 of the Law and the foregoing sections. According to the notice, the evidence in its possession indicates that Bezeq allegedly made use of its market strength as a result of its control of the passive infrastructure and has placed obstacles in the way of new players who wish to use Bezeq's passive infrastructure to install communications networks that will be used to compete with Bezeq in providing communications services to consumers, such that this was likely to deter them and prevent them from setting up an independent fixed-line communications network or at least to delay them and limit the scope of the network. According to the notice, Bezeq's actions raise concerns of harm to the end consumer. The alleged violative acts by Bezeq are blocking access to private areas and demanding the cutting of fibers. In the light of the foregoing, the Competition Commissioner is considering whether in respect of the two violations Bezeq abused its monopoly position, contrary to the provisions of the Law, and is considering imposing an overall fine of NIS 30,953,000 on Bezeq and NIS 736,800 on Bezeq's CEO. On August 5, 2018, an oral hearing took place at the Competition Authority prior to which Bezeq and its CEO submitted their position in writing. The position submitted to the hearing included arguments and evidence that there had been no fault in Bezeq's actions and it had not breached the Economic Competition Law, and there is therefore no reason to apply any enforcement powers by virtue of the law (including sanctions) and that the determination being considered should not be published. In this context, Bezeq and its CEO pointed out factual errors that were included in the Competition Authority's notice with respect to the methods of inserting cables in the conduits. Since the hearing commenced, Bezeq has received additional requests for information from the Competition Authority, and Bezeq replied to them. Subsequently, on March 12, 2019, the Authority notified that the errors raised and the findings of other tests conducted did not change her intention to exercise the powers as set out above. Bezeq and its former CEO were granted the right to present their arguments to the Commissioner about the additional findings by May 12, 2019.

On January 10, 2019, an amendment to the Economic Competition Law entered into force (in this amendment, the name of the law was changed from the Antitrust Law to the Economic Competition Law), the main points of which are:

- Imposition of an independent and increased obligation on officers to oversee and prevent breaches of the Law.
- Exacerbation of criminal punishment for a cartel - five years' imprisonment without requiring aggravating circumstances.
- Increasing the maximum amount for imposition of financial sanctions up to NIS 100 million (for each breach).
- Another definition of a monopoly, based on a market power test (in addition to the alternative of anybody that holds a market share of over 50%).
- Increasing the aggregate sales turnover that requires merger notices to NIS 360 million.

The Telegraph Ordinance

The Telegraph Ordinance regulates the use of the electromagnetic spectrum, and applies to Bezeq's use of radio frequencies as part of its infrastructure. The set-up and operation of a system that uses radio frequencies is subject, under the Telegraph Ordinance, to grant of a license, and the use of radio frequencies is subject to the designation and allocation of a suitable frequency. The Telegraph Ordinance imposes license fees and fees for the designation and allocation of frequencies. The Government deals with the shortage of radio frequencies for public use in Israel (partly due to the allocation of a large number of frequencies for security purposes), by limiting the number of licenses granted for the use of frequencies, and providing incentives for efficient use of frequencies.

On March 22, 2018, the Economic Arrangements Bill (Amendments for Implementation of the Economic Policy for the 2019 Fiscal Year) 2018, was published, which includes an amendment to the Wireless Telegraph Ordinance. The amendment regulates a series of powers (some of which already exist in legislation or secondary legislation) with regard to wireless devices or base stations that are subject to the Ordinance and licensing, the power to grant licenses, power to determine that import and production of devices that comply with the conditions prescribed will not be subject to a license, but rather confirmation of conformity in a short track, powers to exempt from application of the Order, and power to apply the provisions of the Ordinance to devices for transmission of communication signals by electric wire. The powers of the frequencies committee and the supreme frequencies committee, and administrative enforcement are also regulated in the amendment (monetary sanctions).

Setting up communications facilities

The National Outline Plan for communications, NOP 36 (within the Green Line) and NOP 56 (in the Administered Territories), were designed to regulate the deployment and manner of set-up of communication facilities in a way that would ensure coverage for transmitting and receiving radio, television and wireless communications, while avoiding radiation hazards and minimizing the damage to the environment and the landscape, and also to simplify and increase the efficiency of the processes involved in setting up the facilities.

The classification of the facilities according to their technical variables and physical dimensions, which affect the determination of safety ranges for protection against the effects of radiation and the extent to which they protrude on the landscape, determine which facilities will be included in Part A of the NOP 36 and which in Part B of the Plan.

Bezeq has erected and is erecting broadcasting facilities and wireless communication facilities for providing broadcasting services to its customers, and uses such communication facilities, mainly for providing services to areas that are not connected to the fixed-line communications infrastructure (remote areas or new towns).

NOP 36A. Part A of NOP 36 deals with guidelines for erecting small and miniature broadcasting installations. Bezeq has obtained building permits for most of the small broadcast installations in accordance with NOP 36A. From time to time, a need arises to add broadcast installations which require that building permits be obtained in accordance with NOP 36A. Given the exemption granted under the orders of the Planning and Construction Law and of the Communications Law, Bezeq believes that it is not obliged to obtain building permits for miniature broadcasting installations, which are “wireless access facilities” under those laws.

In 2008, a draft amendment to NOP 36A (NOP 36/A/1) was tabled. The draft amendment mainly deals with changing the guidelines for the licensing of small and miniature broadcast installations, including determination of different licensing tracks (fast and standard) depending on the location and the public safety range of each installation, and indemnification arrangements for compensation claims under Section 197 of the Planning and Construction Law was submitted to the government. No decision has been made on the subject since then. If adopted, the draft amendment may give rise to practical difficulties which could impede Bezeq’s ability to provide the public with some of the services it is required by law to provide.

NOP 36B. Part B of NOP 36 contains guidelines for setting up large broadcasting facilities. In the January 2008 draft plan (which was presented to the government for approval in August 2010, but is yet to be approved), the definition of a large broadcast facility was changed so that the licensing of broadcast facilities which prior to the proposed amendment were classified as large, would be according to NOP 36/A/1 (if and when approved). The change in definition for small and large broadcasting facilities may give rise to practical difficulties which could impede Bezeq’s ability to provide the public with the services it is required by law to provide.

The January 2008 draft contains a transition provision which is expected to allow the grant of a license for existing broadcast installations even if they do not meet the requirements of NOP 36B, subject to certain terms and restrictions, provided that they are in compliance with the safety restrictions described in the Plan. The January 2008 draft also proposes to include a provision requiring the permit applicant (including for existing sites) to provide the local committee with a deed of indemnity for compensation under Section 197 of the Planning and Construction Law, if a court rules against the committee.

NOP 36B has not yet been approved by the government and there is no certainty as whether it will be approved.

NOP 56. NOP 56 came into force in June 2008, and regulates the manner of erection and licensing of communications facilities in the Administered Territories. The Plan contains transition provisions for facilities erected with a permit for small installations. The Plan also includes a requirement for production of a communications license and receipt of the consent of the Commissioner of Government Property at the Civil Administration.

Bezeq has obtained the licensing for 71 installations in the Administered Territories (few other sites have not been organized). Moreover, in November 2016, Bezeq received a notice from the Civil Administration (Communications Staff Officer) that it must also organize the licensing of the facilities on the customer’s premises (as opposed to the foregoing facilities in Bezeq’s possession). Bezeq estimates that there are dozens of sites and it has started organizing the licensing according to the requirements of the Communications Staff Officer.

Exemption from a permit to add antennas to existing lawful broadcasting facilities. The addition of an antenna to an existing, lawful broadcasting facility is exempt from a permit, subject to meeting a combination of conditions and exclusions, which are set out in the Planning and Building (Works and Buildings that are Exempt from a Permit) Regulations, 2014. Bezeq is taking the required steps to add antennas to its broadcast facilities according to the mechanism set out in these regulations.

Telephone

Statutory provisions relating to the environment applicable to Telephone’s operations

The broadcast sites used by Telephone are “radiation sources” as defined in the Non-Ionizing Radiation Law. The erection and operation of these sites, excluding those listed in the addendum to the law, requires a radiation permit.

The law prescribes a two-step licensing mechanism for obtaining a radiation source operating permit under which the applicant first applies for a permit to construct a radiation source, or the Erection Permit, which may be in effect for no more than three months and may be extended by the Commissioner for up to nine months, then for a permit to operate the radiation source, or the Operating Permit, which has term of five years or as otherwise determined by the Minister for Environmental Protection.

The issuance of an Erection Permit is contingent upon the assessment of the maximum radiation levels to which human beings and the environment are expected to be exposed from the radiation source when in operation, including in the event of a malfunction, and the required measures for limiting the levels of exposure of human beings and the environment to the expected radiation from the radiation source when operating, including implementation of technological means that are in use, or the Limiting Measures.

The issuance of an Operating Permit is contingent upon application of the Limiting Measures and to measuring the levels of exposure of human beings and the environment to the radiation generated while the radiation source is operating. The law further provides that the Operating Permit is contingent upon presentation of a license under the Communications Law and in certain cases, a construction permit pursuant to the Building and Planning Law. The Ministry of Environmental Protection supervises and monitors broadcast sites to check that they comply with the provisions of the Law. The law includes a punitive chapter under which the construction or operation of a source of radiation in contravention of the provisions of the permit and the construction or operation of a source of radiation without a permit, after having been warned in writing by the Commissioner, are strict liability offenses.

The regulation of the maximum permissible human exposure levels to radiation from a source of radiation and the safety ranges from communication broadcasting installations, including a limit on the placing of radiation masts on roof terraces, is pending in the Knesset's Interior Committee for Environmental Quality, as part of a proposed amendment to the regulations under the Non-Ionizing Radiation Law.

In January 2009, the Radiation Supervisor at the Ministry of Environmental Protection published guidelines regarding safety ranges and maximum permitted exposure levels with respect to radio frequency radiation, including from cellular antennas. Discussions are underway regarding these ranges following the World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) announcement to the effect that radio frequency electromagnetic fields associated with the use of mobile phones may be carcinogenic to humans.

Cellular phones also emit non-ionizing radiation (also known as electromagnetic radiation). Consumer Protection Regulations (Information regarding Non-Ionizing Radiation from a Cellular Telephone) 2002, specify the maximum permitted radiation level for a cellular phone which is measured in units of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) and requires that Pelephone informs its customers of such measurements. All the cellular phones that it markets comply with the relevant SAR standards.

Pelephone's environmental risk management policy. Pelephone conducts periodic radiation tests to ascertain its compliance with permitted operating and international standards. These tests are outsourced and carried out by companies authorized by the Ministry of Environmental Protection. Pelephone applies an internal enforcement procedure for monitoring implementation of the provisions of the Non-Ionizing Radiation Law, under the supervision of a senior manager.

Transparency for consumers. Pelephone is required to publicize and inform customers about the radiation sources that it operates and the mobile handsets that it supplies. The Radiation Supervisor of the Ministry of Environmental Protection publishes information on the Ministry's website concerning active cellular broadcast facilities and Pelephone publishes information on its website regarding the SAR levels emitted from cellular phones and Ministry of Health regulations regarding preventive steps to be taken when using cellular phones.

Communications Law. The cellular services provided by Pelephone are subject to the provisions of the Communications Law and its regulations. The law authorizes the Director General of the Ministry of Communications to impose financial sanctions for violations of the provisions of the law and of orders and directives issued thereunder, and for violations of the terms of the license.

Wireless Telegraph Ordinance. The Telegraphy Ordinance regulates the use of the electromagnetic spectrum, including Pelephone's use of radio frequencies as part of its infrastructure. Setting up and operating a system using radio frequencies requires a license and the use of radio frequencies is subject to designation and allocation of a suitable frequency. The Telegraph Ordinance imposes license fees and fees for designation and allocation of frequencies.

Pelephone's mobile telephony licenses. Pelephone's mobile telephony license and its general license for providing cellular services in Judea and Samaria are valid through September 2022. The primary provisions of Pelephone's mobile telephony license include:

- Under certain circumstances, the Minister may modify the terms of the license, restrict or suspend it, and in certain instances revoke it.

- The license is non-transferable and contains restrictions on the acquisition or transfer (including by way of a charge), directly or indirectly, of control or of 10% or more of any means of control in Pelephone, including a pledge on said means of control, unless the Minister has given prior consent.
- Pelephone is obliged to provide interconnect services to all other operators on equal terms and it must refrain from any discrimination in carrying out such interconnect service.
- Pelephone is required to refrain from granting infrastructure service priority to an affiliate licensee company (as defined in the license) over another licensee.
- Pelephone may not sell, lease or mortgage any of the assets used for the implementation of the license without the consent of the Minister of Communications, other than certain exceptions as set out in the license.
- In times of emergency, whoever is statutorily competent shall have the authority to issue instructions on Pelephone's mode of operation and/or manner of provision of services.
- The license stipulates the types of payments Pelephone may bill its subscribers for cellular services and the reports that it is required to submit to the Ministry of Communications. The license also determines the Minister's power to intervene in tariffs, in certain cases.
- The license obligates Pelephone to provide services at a minimum standard of service.
- To secure Pelephone's undertakings and to compensate and indemnify the State of Israel for any damage that may be caused by Pelephone's acts, Pelephone is required to furnish NIS 84 million of bank guarantees to the Ministry of Communications.

Ministry of Communications' decision regarding amendments to the license. In January 2017, the Ministry of Communications issued new guidelines that include dozens of changes to the cellular operators' license regarding various issues relating to their ongoing handling of customers (including the way billing data is presented, method for joining services, pro rata charges, options for cancelling services, etc.). The applicability dates range from immediate applicability and up to six months from the date of issuance of the guidelines. Pelephone is reviewing these amendments and is preparing to apply them. Implementing the guidelines will require substantial preparation from operational, mechanical and other aspects.

Site construction licensing.

Pelephone's cellular service is provided through cellular sites deployed throughout Israel in accordance with engineering requirements. The constant need to upgrade and improve the quality of cellular services necessitates setting up cellular sites, configuration changes and changes in existing deployment of antennae.

Pelephone uses two main types of broadcasting sites and with two tracks: macro sites that require a building permit from planning and construction committees (see reference to NOP 36A) and wireless access devices which are exempt from a building permit under the Communications Law and the Building and Planning Law.

Building permits for erecting a cellular broadcasting facility under NOP 36A:

Licensing for the construction of cellular broadcasting sites that require building permits is governed under NOP 36A, which came into force in 2002. The licensing procedure under NOP 36A requires that the following permits be obtained: a. An erection and operating permit from the Ministry of Environmental Protection, b. approval of the Civilian Aviation Administration in certain cases; c) IDF approval.

In addition, by law, as a condition for obtaining a permit for erecting a cellular communications broadcasting facility a deed of indemnity must be submitted to the local committee for impairment compensation claims. As at Reporting Date, Pelephone has deposited 650 such indemnity notes with various local councils.

Notwithstanding the current format of NOP 36A, Pelephone (and to the best of its knowledge, also its competitors) encounter difficulties in obtaining some of the required permits, and in particular permits from planning and construction authorities.

In view of the criticism against NOP 36A by various entities, a proposed amendment of NOP 36A was published about ten years ago ("Proposed New NOP 36/A"), which is more stringent and onerous than the current version, and could make the options for obtaining construction permits for cellular sites using this track more difficult. The amendment to NOP 36A has not progressed in recent years, however the need and desire to amend NOP 36A remains.

In two administrative petitions filed against cellular companies, including Pelephone, with the Haifa District Court, the legality of building permits granted under NOP 36A for cellular broadcasting installations are being questioned. The petitioners' main arguments, in both petitions, were that the frequencies used by the cellular companies do not match the frequencies stipulated in NOP 36A. On April 12, 2018, a judgment was handed in one of the petitions accepting the arguments of the cellular companies and of the appeals committee, that was represented by the Haifa District Prosecutor's Office, and which determined that notwithstanding the use of frequencies that were changed during the development of the cellular infrastructure, the building permits are valid. On October 17, 2018, a judgment was handed in the other petition relating to the same matter, under which a contradictory ruling was made regarding the interpretation of the NOP and the alleged invalidity of the building permits granted (however, no demolition orders were issued). Appeals were filed with the Supreme Court against both judgments, and as yet they have not been heard.

As part of the "pergola reform" - Amendment 101 to the Planning and Building Law, 1965, on August 1, 2014, the Planning and Building (Works and Buildings that are Exempt from a Permit) Regulations, 2014, entered into force. Regulation 34 provides that the addition of an antenna to an existing, lawful broadcasting facility is exempt from a permit, subject to compliance with a combination of conditions and exclusions, including consistency with the plans and the applicable spatial instructions, to be determined by the local planning committees. This exemption regulation is not practical due to one of the conditions therein, and has not been used.

Access devices exempt from building permits.

The second track under which Pelephone sets up broadcasting sites is the access installation track. The access installations are subject to obtaining specific radiation permits, but are exempt from obtaining a construction permit provided that they are erected under the conditions that are set out in the exemption provision (section 266C to the Law).

Some local authorities have disputed the applicability of the exemption provision on cellular network access installations and their use. Pelephone's position on the applicability of the exemption was accepted in a number of rulings and decisions by local affairs courts and the use of such facilities and the supporting equipment was approved. Appeals have been filed against some of these rulings and decisions, with the Supreme Court.

Furthermore, a judgement was handed by the Supreme Court in the petition regarding this exemption and other matters relating to granting of permits for access installations tracks. Due to this petition and the opinions of various people, in 2010 a draft Planning and Building Regulations (Construction of a Cellular Wireless Communication Access Installation) 2010 was published.

On October 24, 2018, the Planning and Building Regulations (Installation of Wireless Access Facility for Cellular Communications) 2018, were published. The Regulations restrict and provide additional contingent conditions on the establishment of a wireless access facility that is exempt from building permit. Among other conditions, the Regulations stipulate that the safety horizontal range for public health will not exceed 4 meters, or 6 meters in the event of combining wireless access facilities of more than one license holder or combining wireless access facilities of the same license holder that transmit over two separate technologies, if one of them is a new generation technology. The regulations also allow modifications to an existing facility, replacement of an existing facility with another facility or relocation of an existing facility, provided that afterwards, the same facility remains on the same roof, or that the replacement of the facility does not change the number of installations on the same roof. Furthermore, the regulations allow, under a shortened licensing process, for the relocation of up to two existing facilities to the roof of another building, and the erection of up to ten new facilities by the same license holder in one calendar year. The regulations further stipulate that a facility may not be relocated to the roof of a building that has seven or more broadcasting installations, and that no new installation may be erected on a roof with several broadcasting facilities, unless the roof area exceeds 500 square meters.

On December 23, 2018, the High Court of Justice issued a ruling regarding the exemption for a wireless access facility. The judgment states that in view of the significant changes that have been made in the regulatory foundation and factual basis since the petitions were filed, it appears that the petitions are no longer applicable and are to be dismissed, while the Petitioners' fundamental arguments are reserved. The Access Installation Regulations as published, severely restrict the option of using the building permit exemption track for erecting cellular access installations.

Pelephone currently operates 446 wireless access installations.

In specific enforcement proceedings, that are adopted from time to time, additional arguments arise as to the manner in which the exemption may be used, including compliance with the regulating standards. If Pelephone facilities fail to comply with the conditions set out in the Regulations, there will be exposure, with regard to those facilities, for the need to dismantle or adjust the installations.

On March 27, 2018, an exemption provision was added to the building and planning (Exemption of Permit) regulations for a micro broadcasting facility, as defined in the regulations. The regulations further stipulate that the installation of a micro broadcasting facility and its external components on an existing building or facility is exempt from a building permit, subject to compliance with cumulative conditions.

Pelephone's ability to maintain and preserve the quality of its cellular services as well as its coverage is based partly on its ability to construct cellular sites and install information equipment, including broadcasting sites. The difficulties encountered by Pelephone in obtaining the permits and approvals required may have an adverse effect on the existing infrastructure, network performance and on the construction of the additional cellular sites required by the network. There are also deployment difficulties in Judea and Samaria, where a special system of laws applies.

The inability to resolve these issues in a timely manner is liable to prevent the achievement of the service quality targets laid down in the mobile telephony license. A few sites constructed years ago still lack approvals from the Civil Aviation Administration and the IDF, even though applications for such approvals were submitted a long time ago. Furthermore, there are administrative or other delays in some of the building and planning committees for issuing building permits for sites. Consequently, Pelephone operates several broadcasting sites that have not yet been granted the requisite building permits.

Construction of a broadcasting site without a building permit constitutes a breach of the law and in some cases it has led to the issuing of demolition orders of sites or the filing of indictments or instigation of civil proceedings against Pelephone and some of its officers.

Pelephone has succeeded in most of the above cases in refraining from demolition or delaying implementation of the demolition orders as part of arrangements made with the planning and building authorities in order to attempt to regulate the missing licensing. These understandings did not require admission of guilt and/or conviction of Pelephone's officers. Notwithstanding, there is no certainty that this situation will continue in future, or that there will be no further cases where demolition orders will be issued and indictments will be filed because of building permits, including against officers.

Like other cellular operators in Israel, Pelephone might be required to dismantle broadcasting sites before the requisite approvals and permits have been obtained, on the dates stipulated in the law. Pelephone uses access installations to provide coverage and capacity for highly populated areas. If legal grounds are established requiring the simultaneous demolition of sites in a given geographic area, service in that area may deteriorate until alternative broadcasting sites can be established.

Standardization. Pelephone complies with the 2015 version of Israeli ISO 9001 requirements for mobile radio telephony (cellular) services and undergoes periodic inspections by the Institute of Quality & Control (IQC) for verifying compliance with the standard. The current IQC approval is valid until December 2019.

Material Agreements. On July 14, 2016 a new online tender was held by the Ministry of Finance Accountant General for the provision of mobile telephony services to State employees. Pelephone, which has provided various mobile telephony services to the State and its employees for several years after winning previous RFPs also participated in this RFP and was awarded the tender. As a result, Pelephone will continue to be the primary provider of mobile telephony services for State employees.

On July 31, 2016 Pelephone and the government entered into an agreement under which Pelephone will provide mobile telephony services for an estimated 100,000 governmental employees for three years, with the government having an option to extend the agreement for up to 45 months in addition to the 36 basic months

Bezeq International

On February 21, 2016, Bezeq International's license was amended by the Director General of the Ministry of Communications and was replaced by a unified general license for providing telecommunications services, or the Unified License. The Unified License, which is valid until May 2, 2025, covers all the services that Bezeq International was permitted to provide to date. Pursuant to Ministry of Communications requirements, Bezeq International provided a bank guarantee of NIS 5 million in compliance with the terms of the Unified License.

Since 2013, the Ministry of Communications has conducted hearings with regard to the re-regulation of the international telecommunications market. Originally, the proposed regulation enabled any fixed-line domestic operator or mobile telephony operator to provide international telecommunications services as part of the service bundles they offer to their subscribers, with conditions, as well as international data transmission and configuration services. Resolutions adopted subsequent to this hearing could have a significant impact on the structure of competition in the international telecommunications sector, and consequently also on the results of Bezeq International's operations. Bezeq International reports that it is unable to estimate the scope of the new regulations that are expected to be adopted subsequent to the hearing.

DBS

Operations of the broadcasting licensees are subject to extensive communications regulation, particularly the Communications Law, a strict licensing and monitoring regime and Ministry of Communications policy decisions. These operations are also under the ongoing supervision of the Council, which sets policy, makes rules and monitors many areas of the sector, including broadcasting content, compliance regarding original Israeli productions, broadcasting ethics, consumer protection and approval of the channels broadcast. Providing multi-channel television services by non-licensed broadcasters is not subject to the foregoing supervision.

Further to the Minister of Communications decision in 2017 adopting most of the recommendations of the advisory committee on the regulation of satellite and cable broadcasting and content, that was published in 2016, and the review of portions thereof by special purpose taskforces, a number of measures were legislated or are in the process of legislation:

In July 2018, a Communications Law (Telecommunications and Broadcasts) memorandum was published. Based on the memorandum's provisions, its goal is to change the regulatory format of the multi-channel television market and adapt it to technological developments, so that regulation will apply to audio-video content providers transmitting content to the Israeli public with total revenue from NIS 350 million, regardless of the type of technology used for transmitting the content, thereby encouraging competition and reducing the regulatory burden. According to the memorandum, the basic package will be canceled and license holders will be allowed to offer content packages, provided that any channel offered in the package will also be offered separately, and the existing powers with regard to a basic package will be preserved. If the memorandum is enacted as proposed, regulation is expected to apply to both DBS's satellite operations and its online services. This is the beginning of a legislative process and there is no certainty that the Memorandum will become binding legislation in its proposed form or at all.

In February 2018, Amendment No. 44 to the Second Authority Law was enacted, according to which a license holder broadcasting a commercial channel, including a license holder that broadcasts a niche channel, will be under Second Authority supervision and subject to compliance with the conditions set out in the Law. The Law also imposed different regulatory rules on various types of commercial licensees, and empowers the Minister of Communications to decide that these licensees will not be charged transfer fees for a period of five years.

In 2018, a government bill was discussed in the Knesset which deals with sports content, including granting license for content producers or a significant sports operator to broadcast a sports channel.

Tariff control

The broadcasting license provides provisions regarding the types of fees the licensee may collect from its subscribers for services provided under the license, and those fixed in DBS price list. The vast majority of satellite subscribers subscribe to campaigns offering DBS services, including various combination content packages, related services, and receipt and installation of terminal equipment, at prices below the listed price.

The vast majority of subscribers join special offers, which offer the services of DBS, including different combinations of content packages, related services, as well as the receiving and installation of terminal equipment at prices which below the listed price for all components of the special offer and they appear in the DBS price list. The Council chairperson may intervene in campaigns or reductions offered by DBS if he/she finds that they are misleading to the public or discriminate between subscribers.

Under Section 6(49) of the Communications Law, the license may stipulate maximum prices that can be charged to subscribers. To date, no such prices had been set. In addition, the Minister may fix the price of the basic packages.

In 2016, the Council's decision regarding its policy on special offers and applicability of transparency provisions came into effect.

Obligation to invest in local productions

Under the provisions of the broadcasting license and the Council's decisions, in 2017 and 2018, DBS is required invest an amount no less than 8% of its revenue from subscription fees in local productions, and according to the Communications Regulations and the decisions of the Council, DBS is required to invest various amounts of such investments in different genres of local productions.

In December 2018 the Council decided to defer until 2020 applicability of its previous decision according to which the obligation to invest in local productions would increase to 9%. The Council further decided that in 2019 it will hold a further discussion to review the prevailing legislative status and the financial situation of the license holders, including the formula fixed in the decision for the rate of investment and will issue provisions if it deems fit.

Requirement to transmit channels

In accordance with the requirements under the law and license, DBS is required to allow the producers of channels set out in the law to use its infrastructures to transmit broadcasts to its subscribers, and this in exchange for payment ("Transmission Fee") to be determined in the agreement, and lacking agreement - in exchange for a payment to be determined by the Minister, after consulting with the Council, while mini niche channels are exempt from paying Transmission Fees to HOT and DBS. In February 2018, an Amendment to the Law was passed regulating the Minister's power to require that broadcasts by small providers be transmitted in accordance with the Second Authority Law (that did not have designated licenses prior to the amendment to the Law), while taking under consideration the satellite capacity of satellite broadcasting licensees.

Content of the broadcasts and obligations with respect to subscription

The broadcasting license sets out provisions that relate to the content of DBS's broadcasts, including an obligation to obtain the Council's approval of the channels broadcast by DBS. The Communications Law forbids holders of broadcasting licenses to broadcast commercials, other than a few exceptions.

The broadcasting license also includes provisions regarding the subscriber service terms, including discrimination prohibition.

Ownership of broadcast channels

Pursuant to the Communications Rules, DBS, including its affiliates as defined in the Communications Rules, may own up to 30% of the domestic channels it broadcasts (compared with the 20% applicable to HOT.) DBS is restricted under the Communications Law from owning a new program producer.

General provisions regarding the broadcasting license

The Minister and the Council have parallel authority to amend the broadcasting license. The Minister was authorized to cancel or postpone the broadcasting license for causes set out in the Communications Law and the broadcasting license. The Communications Law and broadcasting license stipulate restrictions on the transfer, attachment and encumbrance of the broadcasting license and any of the assets of the broadcasting license. The broadcasting license requires receipt of the approval of the Minister for specific changes in the holding of the means of control in DBS and imposes a reporting requirement regarding the holders of the means of control; hurting competition by way of an agreement, arrangement or understanding with a third party in terms of provision of broadcasts and services is prohibited, unless approved in advance and in writing by the Council; the obligation to file reports to the Ministry of Communications was defined as well as conditions regarding the regulation of the activity of the licenses; an obligation was stipulated to provide bank guarantees to the Ministry of Communications in the amount of NIS 30 million (principal), such amount was increased to NIS 40 million at present.

Offering service bundles. Under the broadcast license, DBS may offer joint service bundles that include service provided by Bezeq and service by DBS, subject to obtaining Ministry of Communications approval (and if no objections are raised within the period specified in the license, such approval will be deemed granted) and subject to conditions, the most important of which are the "unbundling" obligation, and the existence of a corresponding bundle marketed by a licensee that is unrelated to Bezeq. A joint service bundle that includes Bezeq's Internet infrastructure service only, does not require Ministry of Communications approval and the unbundling obligation does not apply.

DBS believes that in view of the development of competition between the communications groups and the growing importance of the supply of comprehensive communications services, the adverse impact of such restrictions on DBS's results may increase if the restrictions on Bezeq's collaboration with DBS remain in place.

Regulation of the transmission of video content via media infrastructures

DBS believes that the VOD services that it provides via the internet are not subject to the prevailing regulations applicable to multi-channel satellite television broadcasts or other regulations under the Communications Law, similar to the current regulatory situation for online television services (such as those offered by Cellcom, Partner and by DBS regarding its yesGo and Sting TV services). Nonetheless, from the Council's various decisions it appears that the Council believes it is authorized to also regulate DBS's VOD services.

If the foregoing regulation of the transmission of video content via the internet will be implemented, it could affect the foregoing services provided by DBS.

A preliminary draft bill, in Israel's Knesset, named Communications Law (Telecommunications and Broadcasts) (Content Suppliers Regulation) was published in July 2018.

According to the proposed bill, the purpose of the new amendment to the law and the need for it are to change the current regulation structure in the multi-channel television market and adapt it to technological developments, so that regulation will be applied to audio-video content providers (having a minimum amount of revenues) transferring/broadcasting content to the Israeli public, while encouraging competition and reducing the regulatory burden.

Suppliers

The Bezeq Group has important relationships with several suppliers of hardware, software and related services that are used to operate its businesses. During 2018, no supplier accounted for more than 5% of the Bezeq Group's total annual purchases, nor did any supplier account for more than 10% of total purchases in a specific segment of operation.

Bezeq

Most of the equipment purchased by Bezeq for data communication, switching, transmission and radio systems has been specially modified or developed for its use, and the ability to obtain support other than through the manufacturer is limited. Bezeq relies on manufacturer support from a number of its key suppliers for certain of its systems, and may have difficulty replacing them. Bezeq's key suppliers include:

Supplier	Area of Expertise
Alcatel-Lucent Israel Ltd.	Metro transmission and access systems to the NGN
Juniper Networks	Metro transmission
Dialogic Networks (Israel) Ltd.	Transfer exchanges for connecting operators to Bezeq's switching network
Mavenir	Switching exchanges for end customers in the NGN
Adtran Holdings Ltd.	Access systems to the NGN
IBM	Hardware and backup, restoration and survivability solutions for systems and infrastructures, and storage equipment
VMware	Infrastructure for most of the virtualization of the servers

Agreements with the key suppliers are generally long-term and usually include a warranty period for a specified period, followed by another period of maintenance or support. Where necessary, Bezeq may enter into an agreement with a supplier for the supply of support and/or maintenance services for further periods. These agreements usually contain various forms of recourse for Bezeq should the supplier breach the agreement.

Pelephone

Pelephone sells a wide range of cellular handsets and auxiliary accessories (such as batteries, hand-free kits, earphones, data cables and chargers). Pelephone also maintains spare parts to supply repair services to its customers and an inventory of used handsets. Pelephone purchases handsets and accessories from a variety of suppliers and importers. Contractual engagements with most of the suppliers are based on framework agreements, which also set forth the technical support provided by the supplier for the equipment and spare parts and turnaround time for repairs. These agreements generally do not include a commitment of Pelephone to acquire a minimum quantity of devices and acquisitions are made by means of purchase orders. Generally, if an agreement with a particular supplier of equipment is cancelled, Pelephone can increase the quantity purchased from other suppliers or purchase equipment from a new supplier.

On October 1, 2016, a new agreement came into effect with Apple Distribution International for the purchase and distribution of iPhones, under which Pelephone agreed to purchase a minimum annual quantity of phones over an additional period of three years at the manufacturer's current prices on the date of purchase. Pelephone believes that similar to prior years, these quantities will constitute a significant portion of the devices it expects to sell during the term of the contract.

Another significant supplier of Pelephone is Samsung, with which Pelephone does not have an agreement requiring the purchase of a minimum annual quantity, and purchases from Samsung are on the basis of orders that

Pelephone's purchases from Samsung in 2018 accounted for more than 10% of Pelephone's purchases from all of Pelephone's suppliers, however less than 5% of the Group's purchases (consolidated) from all of its suppliers. Other than Apple, the distribution of the purchase of terminal equipment among the suppliers is such that it does not create any significant dependence on a particular equipment supplier or model.

The cellular infrastructure equipment for the UMTS/HSPA and LTE networks is manufactured by LM Ericsson Israel Ltd. which is a supplier of Pelephone for the deployment of the fourth generation radio network (LTE). Ericsson is also a material supplier of Pelephone in the field of microwave transmission. Pelephone has long-term agreements with Ericsson for maintenance, support and upgrading of software for the UMTS/HSPA and an agreement for the acquisition of the 4G LTE networks with Ericsson, and in its opinion, it may become dependent on Ericsson for the support for this network and its expansion. In addition, the cellular network uses transmission, for which Bezeq is Pelephone's main supplier.

Bezeq International

Bezeq International is dependent upon Bezeq for domestic capacity to provide its services. Most of the international capacity that Bezeq International uses is transmitted via its wholly owned submarine cable. As backup, Bezeq International uses capacity purchased from Med Nautilus. Under its agreement with Med Nautilus, Bezeq International purchased indefeasible rights of use to a particular non-specific part of the communication capacity transferred by the undersea cable system operated by Med Nautilus between Israel and Europe for a period of up to 15 years from the date on which it started using this capacity (with an option to extend the period of use). The periods of use are at least until 2022 – 2027, depending on the date of the start of use of the capacity. Bezeq International paid for these rights of use in a lump sum payment shortly before the date on which it started using the capacity.

DBS

Terminal Equipment.

DBS installs a receiver dish and other terminal equipment in its subscribers' homes, including decoders enabling reception of broadcasts and smart cards for decoding the encrypted broadcasts. The decoders are leased to subscribers for a fixed leasing fee paid during the entire period the services are received, or are lent to subscribers.

In 2018, DBS purchased HD Zapper and 4KPVR decoders manufactured by Altech Multimedia International (Pty.) Ltd. The 4KPVR decoders were purchased from Draco Inc. and the HD Zapper decoders were purchased from OSI Maris Ltd, an affiliate of Draco Inc.

In 2018, the cumulative purchase volume from Draco and OSI amounted to NIS 88 million.

Following Altech's announcement that it intends discontinuing its decoder manufacturing operations in November 2018, an agreement was signed in July 2018 between DBS, Altech, Draco and OSI (with a commitment to back up Altech's obligations by a corporation that indirectly holds control of Altech) that regulates the transfer of intellectual property rights for ZAPPER HD decoders and 4K PVR decoders manufactured by Altech to DBS and alternative manufacturers, as well as other obligations regarding the foregoing orders for decoders and commencement of production of these decoders by an alternative manufacturer. The agreement also included cancellation of the previous agreements for the supply of these models of decoders, as well as a comprehensive and mutual waiver by the parties of claims and lawsuits relating to both models of decoders and the supply agreements.

In July 2018 DBS signed two supply agreements with an alternative decoder manufacturer and OSI, according to which Skardin will manufacture (as an alternative manufacturer) and OSI will import, sell and supply HD Zapper decoders and 4K PVR decoders to DBS. Supply of these decoders manufactured by Skardin has begun.

DBS is dependent on Skardin as the manufacturer of the decoders. Purchasing decoders of other manufacturers does not involve, in itself per se, substantial additional cost, however will require significant preparation for engaging with such other manufacturer (and possible also another supplier) and modification of new decoders that will be manufactured for DBS's broadcasting and distribution systems, which could, particularly in the event of short notice termination of contract, cause DBS loss of income. Switching the decoder supplier (OCI) with another supplier is expected to involve a preparatory period which will be required for engaging in alternative contracts and changes in the supply and maintenance system.

Terminal equipment for Sting TV service

Sting TV can be viewed via a wide range of terminal equipment, including via a streamer. DBS sells a streamer manufactured by Technicolor Delivery Technologies SAS that are imported by OSI, however the service can also be viewed via other compatible streamers.

Broadcasting equipment and computer and communications systems. DBS has its central broadcasting center in Kfar Saba and a secondary broadcasting center close to Re'em Junction from where it transmits its broadcasts. The broadcasting centers operate reception and broadcasting equipment, as well as computer and communication systems. The secondary broadcasting center is operated by a third party which provides services for operating and maintenance of the secondary broadcasting center for DBS.

Operating and encryption systems. DBS purchases from Cisco Group companies, or Cisco, development, licensing, assimilation, maintenance and warranty services with regard to the operating systems of the broadcasting system and the decoders, and the hardware related to these services, including those connected to the encoding of DBS services, and viewing cards that allow the foregoing encoded content to be viewed and applicable licenses for use in the systems and in the decoders, under a frame agreement. At present, these services are also provided with regard to the Sting TV service.

For these services and products DBS pays Cisco one-time payments and periodic payments part of which are in a fixed amount and part are based on the number of active decoders and streamers of each type, and the ratio of part of the payments is fixed in the agreement as minimum annual amounts. The term of the agreement with Cisco is until December 2020 (with an automatic extension mechanism, unless one of the parties decides to end the agreement, subject to prior notice period as set in the Cisco agreement. DBS is dependent upon the regular supply of these services and products, including integration of the various decoders that DBS uses for its operating system.

In May 2018, Cisco notified DBS about the pending sale of its services for multi-channel television providers operations to a third party, Synamedia, and in October 2018 DBS received notice that the sale of the this operation had been completed and that Cisco was seeking to assign its agreements to Synamedia. As at Reporting Date, the parties are negotiating this request.

Computerized billing system. DBS uses software and computer systems for managing its subscriber agreements, including its billing and collection system. In this context, DBS engaged in agreements with NetCracker Technology Solutions Ltd. and NetCracker Technology EMEA Limited, or together, NetCracker.

DBS is dependent upon NetCracker's system and services due to their importance for managing and monitoring services and content purchased by subscribers and for billing its subscribers. System malfunctions or shutdown of these services to DBS could cause operational difficulties until the fault is repaired or the system/supplier is replaced. The current project agreement for services and technical support is until the end of 2019. In 2018, DBS's payments to NetCracker were not material.

Space segment leasing agreement

Under the 2013 agreement with Spacecom Communications Ltd., DBS leases Amos satellite space segments. The term of the Spacecom Agreement ends in 2028 (subject to the early termination options).

Under the provisions of the Spacecom agreement, DBS leases space segments on the satellites, Amos 3 (the estimated end of life of which is until the beginning of 2026), Amos 7, in which Spacecom owns the right to lease space segments, and which was leased to DBS until February 2021, while Spacecom undertook to exercise the option granted by the owner of the rights in the satellite, to extend the lease for an additional year, if by the deadline for exercising this option it becomes clear that it will not be able to position Amos 8 by February 2021.

According to the Spacecom agreement, Spacecom has undertaken to make the best possible efforts to position, Amos 8, by February 2021, in which event as of that date, DBS will lease space segments on Amos 3 and Amos 8 and after the end of Amos 3's life, only on Amos 8. As Amos 8 will not be positioned until February 2022, DBS will lease space segments on Amos 3 until the end of its life and will have the right to lease space segments on Amos 8 as soon as it is positioned.

Throughout the term of the Spacecom Agreement (and subject to non-availability incidents) DBS will lease 12 space segments from Spacecom on the relevant satellites, as provided in the Agreement for the various periods. The agreement also regulates the availability of alternative segments to the leased space segments during the term of the agreement. In the event that Amos 8 is not positioned by February 2022, DBS will lease ten space segments on Amos 3.

In April 2018, DBS began to lease a space segment on Amos 7 in place of a space segment on Amos 3, pursuant to an amendment to the Spacecom Agreement, which is valid until September 2019.

The estimated total nominal cost for the duration of the term of the lease (from 2017) is US\$ 263 million, reflecting an average annual cost of US\$ 21.9 million, subject to discount and reimbursement mechanism as set out in the Spacecom Agreement.

The Spacecom agreement provides a right for early termination without cause, subject to prior notice of 12 months and payment of a consideration based on a mechanism set out in the Agreement. The right for early termination was also provided for a delay in the agreement for the building of Amos 8 coming into effect, and for early termination at the end of Amos 3 useful life, due to unavailability of Amos 8 satellite, without requiring payment of any compensation and according to the conditions set in the agreement.

In December 2018, after receiving the recommendations of the independent committee, DBS notified Spacecom that it would not amend the Spacecom Agreement and reserves its right not to lease space segments on the Amos 8 satellite in the event of any delay in positioning it. DBS will continue to explore the various alternatives available to it.

DBS estimates that, taking into account the, among other things, cancellation of the agreement for the construction of Amos 8, positioning of Amos 8 is not expected to be executed by February 2022, in which case DBS will lease space segments on Amos 3 only, as set out above. Leasing of space segments on Amos 3 only, which is expected to be without the advantages of this satellite, and leasing of only ten space segments on Amos 3, unless agreement is reached with Spacecom regarding the leasing of two additional segments on Amos 3.

Leasing fees in 2018 amounted to NIS 78 million.

DBS is materially dependent on Spacecom, as the exclusive holder of the rights and the sole provider of space segments used by DBS, which is also responsible for operation of the space segments.

Property

Bezeq

Bezeq's real estate assets derive from two sources: assets transferred to Bezeq by the State in 1984 under the Asset Transfer Agreement, and assets in which the rights were purchased or received by Bezeq after that date, including assets that it leases from third parties.

The real estate assets are used by Bezeq for communications activities (exchanges, control rooms, broadcasting sites, etc.) and other activities (offices, storage areas, etc.). Some are undeveloped or partially developed and can be used for other purposes.

The following is a list of Bezeq's assets in accordance with the material rights on the asset. Furthermore, Bezeq has an interest (migration rights, etc.) in other real estate (such as for the construction of offices and laying cables):

Right	Number of Assets	Plot Area (thousand sq. m.)	Built Area (thousand sq. m.)	Notes
Ownership, lease or right of lease	312	872	116	Of these properties, 306 properties cover an area of 842 thousand sq. m. and 95 thousand sq. m. built up are for communication needs, and the remainder for administration needs. 19 are jointly owned with the Ministry of Communications and/or Israel Postal Company Ltd., with whom an agreement was signed to define and regulate the rights of the parties in these properties.
Possession (authorized/possession rights by law)	40	1.5	0.8	Assets in Israeli settlements in the Administrated Territories, all for communication needs. There is no written regulation of the contractual rights for these properties, but in Bezeq's opinion this does not create material exposure.
Lease	332	30.5	64	Of which, 315 properties on an area of 13 thousand sq. m. are for communication needs, and the remainder for administration needs. Of which, approximately 2 thousand sq. m. are sublet.
Miscellaneous rights in 'residential rooms'	2,264	N/A	28 (based on estimate)	These are rooms for cables and installations for residential communications. For most of the assets, the rights for use are granted to Bezeq under the Communications Law and its regulations, and there is no written rights arrangement with the property owners. In Bezeq's estimation and based on past experience, this does not create material exposure.
Right of capital lease	An asset in Sakia (near the Mesubim junction)	70 net	-	The property was sold in 2019.

At present, Bezeq's rights in a considerable number of its real estate assets are not registered in the Lands Registry and therefore they correspond to contractual rights. Bezeq is in the process of registering in its name those properties which can be registered in the Lands Registry.

Real estate settlement agreement

On March 10, 2004, a settlement agreement among Bezeq, the Administration and the State of Israel, or the Settlement Agreement, was validated as a court decision. The Settlement Agreement concerns most of the real estate assets transferred to Bezeq under the asset transfer agreement signed for commencement of Bezeq's business activity. The Settlement Agreement provides that the assets remaining in Bezeq's possession have the status of capitalized leases, and subject to the execution of individual lease contacts, Bezeq will be entitled to make any transaction in the properties and to enhance them. The Settlement Agreement sets out a mechanism for payment to the Administration for enhancement actions in the properties (if undertaken), beyond the rights according to plans approved by 1993 as set out in the Settlement Agreement, at the rate of 51% of the increase in value of the property following the enhancement (and less part of amounts paid for a betterment levy or to the administration for an increase in value, if a betterment levy was paid). The Settlement Agreement also states that 17 assets must be returned to the State, through the Administration, on various dates (up to 2010), and on the terms enumerated in the Settlement Agreement. Bezeq returned 15 properties and two additional properties will be returned after Bezeq receives substitute replacement properties, as provided in the Settlement Agreement.

Sale of real estate

Bezeq is continuing to take measures to sell properties which are inactive and/or can be vacated relatively easily without incurring significant expenses, based on a list presented to Bezeq's Board of Directors from time to time. The migration to the NGN is allowing Bezeq to increase the efficiency of the network and to sell some of the real estate assets that will be vacated as a result of the migration.

During the past year, Bezeq sold real estate assets that were inactive or could be vacated relatively easily, some of which sales were material in prior years (in 2018, other than the sale of the Sakia property described below, such sales were not material). Bezeq completed the sale of most of the properties (in terms of value) which met this definition and also intends to complete the sale of the remaining properties of this type in the forthcoming years. Selling these remaining properties is likely to generate additional capital gains for Bezeq in material amounts (although at a substantially lower amount than the capital gains recorded by Bezeq in recent years).

Property in Sakia

On January 21, 2018, Bezeq signed an agreement for the sale of the Sakia property to Naimi Towers Ltd for a total of NIS 497 million plus VAT, whereas this amount may increase up to a total of NIS 550 million if the buyer, according to its rights under the agreement, postpones the payment date of up to two thirds of the consideration to December 31, 2022.

On May 21, 2018, Bezeq received a demand for permit fees from the ILA with respect to a property improvement plan approved prior to signing the agreement, in which Bezeq was required to pay NIS 148 million plus VAT ("the Demand"). Bezeq filed an objection to the Demand on legal grounds. On January 1, 2019, the ILA dismissed all of Bezeq's claims on legal grounds, and in this situation, if the dispute settlement mechanism of the Settlement Agreement fails to end the dispute, Bezeq will file a financial claim requesting the court to instruct the ILA to return to Bezeq the permit fees which it paid, and to require the ILA to pay the Betterment Levy demand, as defined below. In parallel, Bezeq filed an assessment appeal against the Demand. On August 5, 2018, Bezeq received a demand from the Or Yehuda Local Planning Committee for payment of a betterment levy of NIS 143.5 million for the sale of the property ("Demand for Betterment Levy"). On September 17, 2018, Bezeq filed an appeal on the Demand for Betterment Levy, and sent the ILA a demand for payment of the full amount of the betterment levy according to the ILA's undertaking in the compromise settlement. On January 20, 2019, the ILA dismissed Bezeq's demand to pay the betterment levy. The amount of the permit fees to be determined at the end of the proceedings could also affect the amount of the betterment levy that Bezeq will be required to pay the planning committee. Bezeq estimates that the permit fees and the betterment levy it will be required to pay will be lower and possibly even substantially lower than the total amount of the demands. On September 4, 2018, the ILA and Bezeq signed a lease agreement relating to the Sakia property.

According to Bezeq's estimate, if it is required to pay the full amount of the Demand for Betterment Levy and the full sum of the Demand, then the capital gain to be recorded in its financial statements for the sale of the property, at the date in which it is permitted to do so according to the IFRS, is expected to be NIS 250 million. The final amount of the capital gain will depend on the amounts of the fees and levies applicable to Bezeq for the sale of the property. Bezeq is also expected to record financing revenue of up to NIS 50 million, depending on the date of receipt of the payments for the sale of the property. The Sakia property sale was completed on May 5, 2019 and Bezeq received NIS 377 million (including VAT), representing the entire balance of the consideration for the property.

Pelephone

Pelephone does not own any of its sites and leases the premises that it uses for its operations from others, including Bezeq. Pelephone's radio and switching sites are spread out around the country and are leased for various periods (in many instances, for a period of five years and Pelephone has an option to extend the agreements for another five years).

Pelephone's headquarters are located in Givatayim, Israel and cover a total area of 17,800 square meters. The lease for these premises expires on December 31, 2020. Pelephone has an option to terminate the lease, under certain circumstances. Pelephone leases 31 service and sale centers throughout Israel and has additional lease agreements for warehouses, offices and telephone call centers that it uses for its operations.

Some of the radio sites leased by Pelephone are in areas owned by the ILA. Pelephone previously entered into an agreement with the ILA to use land in those areas for the construction and operation of communications sites for the period ended December 31, 2010. From 2010 negotiations were held with the ILA to conclude the terms for further use of the sites in the ILA areas. In June 2013, Pelephone entered into a framework agreement with the ILA for the use of land in the areas owned by the ILA for the construction and operation of communications sites for the period from January 1, 2011 until December 31, 2019, which agreement regulates the fee for the use of the land.

Bezeq International

Bezeq International's property plant and equipment include switching and Internet equipment, marine cable, PBX equipment, office equipment, computers, vehicles and leasehold improvements. Bezeq International utilizes Veraz switches. These switches are used to route Bezeq International's voice traffic. The value-added services, including dialing cards, are based on an intelligent network. Bezeq International's technological infrastructures, which support voice, data and Internet systems, are deployed at six sites, inside and outside Israel, to provide services with high survivability.

Bezeq International has long-term agreements for the lease of the two main buildings in which it is based. The lease period for one of the buildings is until March 2024, subject to several exit options for Bezeq International during this period. The lease period of the other building is until December 2019 with four equal extension options until 2027. Bezeq International has other lease agreements for warehouses (including a main logistics center) and for buildings where it operates the call centers that it uses for its operations.

DBS

DBS leases several real estate properties for its operations. DBS's head office and its main broadcasting center are located in rented premises in Kfar Saba, for which the lease term ends in 2024 (with options granted to DBS for extension of the lease until 2034). The remainder of the lease term for the other premises that DBS leases is between one and a half and four and a half years (these terms are based on the assumption that DBS will exercise the options granted to extend the leases).

Intellectual Property

Trademarks

The Bezeq Group uses a variety of trade names and trademarks in its business. Bezeq has approximately 190 trademarks that are registered or are in the process of being registered in Israel, including its denominative trademark "Bezeq," the trademark "NGN Next Generation Network" and its logo "B." Pelephone owns a number of trademarks registered in Israel, including its denominative trademark "Pelephone." Bezeq International owns a number of trademarks registered in Israel, including its denominative trademark "Bezeq International" and the trademark "Private NGN." DBS owns a number of trademarks registered in Israel, including its denominative trademark "YES."

Broadcast Rights and Copyrights

DBS has the broadcast rights of two types of video content.

- Content purchased from third parties, including content and channels, that own the broadcasting rights thereto; DBS is working to adapt, as far as possible, broadcasting rights that it acquired to enable it to broadcast via the various media that it operates, including via the internet;
- Content which DBS invests in producing (in full or in part), and in addition to the actual right to include the content in its broadcasts, DBS generally also has rights in such content, at the rates specified in agreements with the producers. In most instances, DBS is also entitled to issue authorizations to use the rights and share the revenues stemming from additional use of the content, in addition to DBS broadcasting thereof

The broadcast and distribution of content by DBS over various media involves payment of royalties to the owners of copyrights and performance rights to music, sound recordings, scripts and directing of content, and for secondary broadcasting included under the Copyright Law, 2007 and the Performers and Broadcasters Rights Law, 1984. Such royalties are paid to several organizations operating in Israel, for collecting royalties on behalf of the owners of the intellectual property rights, under blanket licenses. Royalty payments under these licenses are, at times, based on a fixed payment and sometimes on various pricing methods, and with respect to some of the organizations, DBS may be required to pay additional amounts as royalties for transmitting content via certain media, and in amounts that DBS estimates are not expected to be material.

Given the many content providers from whom DBS purchases broadcasting rights, DBS does not have a main content provider and is not materially dependent on any single content provider. Nonetheless, the Israeli sports broadcasting sector is dependent on the acquisition of broadcasting rights of local sports channels (which are broadcast as part of the base package and separately) from Sport Channel Ltd. and Charlton Ltd., which have a long-term agreement. The fees under these agreements are generally based on a fixed monthly payment, according to the number of subscribers to DBS's broadcasts.

Employees

On December 31, 2018, we had five employees who also provided services to B Communications. Our direct employees are all located in Israel and are not represented by any labor union. Since our inception, we have not experienced any labor-related work stoppages and believe that our relations with our employees are good.

As of December 31, 2018, the Bezeq Group employed 11,132 persons, of whom 5,494 persons were employed by Bezeq, 2,453 persons were employed by Pelephone, 1,653 persons were employed by Bezeq International and 1,532 persons were employed by DBS.

Bezeq

The number of Bezeq employees as at December 31, 2018 was 5,494 (compared with 5,582 employees at the end of 2017). The decrease in the number of employees in 2018 compared with 2017 stems primarily from streamlining as a result of continued improvement of processes and technological developments in the interface with the customers. 90% of Bezeq's employees are employed under a collective agreement (out of which 60% are permanent employees and the remainder are non-permanent employees). The remainder of Bezeq's employees (10%) are employed under personal agreements, not under collective agreements.

Pursuant to the provisions of Concentration Law and of the Regulations to Promote Competition and Reduce Market Concentration (Reliefs for the Number of External Directors), 2014 (the "Regulations"), that provide the required ratio of external and independent directors in a tier company, and in accordance with the opinion of Bezeq's Board of Directors, to take measures to reduce the number of directors on Bezeq's Board of Directors, the Board of Directors of Bezeq was reduced to 9 directors (instead of 13).

Bezeq's Board is hereafter composed of three external directors; two independent directors; one director on behalf of the employees; and three ordinary directors.

The members of the senior management and members of the Bezeq Group's headquarters are employed under personal agreements which include pension coverage, payment of bonuses based on targets, and advance notice months before retirement.

Labor relations in Bezeq are regulated in collective agreements between Bezeq, the representatives of Bezeq employees and the New General Federation of Workers, or the Histadrut, and in personal agreements. Bezeq employees are also subject to expansion orders to certain general collective agreements such as cost-of-living increment agreements.

In December 2006, a special collective agreement was signed between Bezeq, the union and the Histadrut, or the Special Collective Agreement, regulating labor relations in Bezeq following the transfer of control in Bezeq from the State to Ap.Sb.Ar. Holdings Ltd., Bezeq's previous controlling shareholder, and set a new organizational structure for Bezeq.

Under the terms of the Special Collective Agreement, all the agreements, arrangements and traditional behavior in Bezeq prior to execution of the Special Collective Agreement, including the mechanism for linkage of wages to the public sector, would continue to apply only to the veteran permanent employees of Bezeq to which the Special Collective Agreement would apply, subject to changes inserted specifically into the Special Collective Agreement. The hiring of existing and future temporary workers would be on the basis of monthly/hourly wage agreements based on a wage model according to occupation, with high managerial flexibility. The Special Collective Agreement sets out restrictions on certain kinds of future organizational changes, and a mechanism of notification, negotiation and arbitration with the union in the event of organizational changes.

During the term of the Special Collective Agreement, two employee-directors who are proposed by the union will serve on Bezeq's Board of Directors (subject to their approval by the Board of Directors and their election by the general meeting). The employee-directors are not entitled to payment for their service as directors, and will not participate in Board discussions of the terms of employment of senior employees.

The Special Collective Agreement also defines the "new permanent employee", whose terms of employment differ from those of a veteran permanent employee of Bezeq (under the collective agreement): his wage model is according to Bezeq's wage policy and market wages; at the end of his employment in Bezeq he is entitled to increased severance pay only (depending on the number of years of employment). As part of the retirement arrangements, Bezeq may, at its discretion, terminate the employment of 203 permanent employees (including new permanent employees) each year (relevant for 2017-2021).

The latest amendment to the Agreement was approved by Bezeq's Board of Directors on August 30, 2015, under which the Agreement and the retirement arrangement were extended to December 31, 2021.

In 2017 and 2018, 80 and 79 permanent employees, respectively, retired under the early retirement plan.

In December 2018, Bezeq approved a budget of NIS 263 million for early retirements in order to carry out the streamlining program for 2019, mainly for the retirement of 243 tenured employees (long-standing and new) in accordance with the terms of the collective labor agreement between Bezeq, the labor union and the Histadrut (New General Federation of Workers) dated December 2006.

In addition, Bezeq approved a provision of NIS 249 million for the early retirement program, until the end of the Collective Agreement period (end of 2021), for all employees of Bezeq who were transferred to Bezeq from the Ministry of Communications (94 employees).

Agreements with alternative entities that replaced the Makefet Fund in everything relating to early retirement arrangements of Bezeq employees. As of 2005, the early retirement arrangements of Bezeq's employees is implemented through alternative entities in place of Makefet Fund. On April 24, 2014, Bezeq and Menorah Mivtachim Insurance Ltd. signed an agreement regulating pension payments for the early retirement of Bezeq employees and provision for the payment of old-age and survivors' pensions to employees who retire from Bezeq under the special collective retirement agreement signed by Bezeq, the Union and the Histadrut on February 12, 2014. The Commissioner of Insurance approved the policy and it entered into force on March 31, 2016. Accordingly, as of May 1, 2016, Menorah issued policies for retiring employees, and payment of the annuities and related payments is made on the basis of these policies. The agreement period is until the end of 2016 and in February 2017 it was extended for a further three years.

Management agreement. On June 1, 2016, Bezeq entered an agreement between Bezeq and Eurocom Communications granting Bezeq regular management and advisory services for a period of three years as from June 1, 2016 in consideration of NIS 6,432 thousand per year. In view of the Investigation, payments under the existing management agreement are being withheld. Eurocom Communications is currently not providing chairperson and consultation services.

Labor disputes

On January 3, 2018, Bezeq received notice of a strike commencing on January 14, 2018. According to the notice, the issues in dispute were the "requirement to conduct negotiations and sign a collective agreement with respect to the implications of the transaction being planned to transfer control of Bezeq to the employees". If nothing happened delete

On January 2019 Bezeq received notice of a strike commencing on February 2, 2019. According to the notice, the matters in dispute are: (a) The employees' representatives demanded that there should be negotiations with them concerning the transfer of control and the need to sign a collective agreement to protect employees' rights; (b) the unilateral decision to allow subcontractors to operate Bezeq's switching equipment and carry out actions that until now were exclusively performed by Bezeq's employees (according to the clarification received after the notice was sent, referring to the decision of the Ministry of Communications that facilitated work by employees of competitors' subcontractors on Bezeq's infrastructure). On February 10, 2019, after the chairman of Bezeq's labor union informed Bezeq's CEO that the employees' representatives intend to take, without limitation, a series of organizational measures and actions relating to the cessation of work in Bezeq's infrastructure, Bezeq filed an urgent motion for temporary relief with the Tel Aviv Regional Labor Court requesting the court to issue an order to the employees' representative to refrain from taking such organizational actions. In the hearing held on the case, a temporary order was issued and the case was scheduled for a hearing in April 2019.

Pelephone

In August 2018 and January 2019, Pelephone's CEO, Mr. Ran Guron, began serving as CEO of both DBS and of Bezeq International in addition to his office as CEO of Pelephone. Some of Pelephone's current VPs also serve as VPs at DBS and Bezeq International.

Human Resources and Positions

The following table provides data relating to the number of employees employed by Pelephone, based on organizational structure.

Department	Number of employees	
	31.12.2018	31.12.2017
Management and HQ	257	268
Business and Private Customers Divisions	1,757	1,844
Engineering and Information Systems	439	439
Total	2,453	2,551

The total number of employees in the above table includes employees employed in part time positions. The total number of positions at Pelephone at December 31, 2018 was 2,099 (at December 31, 2017 - 2,139).

Terms of employment

The majority of Pelephone's employees are employed under monthly or annual contracts, based on the professions and positions in which they are employed. Most of the service and sales employees are shift workers who work part time and are employed on an hourly basis. The rest of Pelephone's employees are employed under a monthly agreement.

Collective agreement

Labor relations at Pelephone are regulated under a collective agreement signed between Pelephone and the New Histadrut Labor Federation - Cellular, Internet and High-tech Workers Union (the "Labor Union") and Pelephone workers' committee, the main points of which are:

- The agreement applies to all Pelephone employees, with the exclusion of senior managers and certain employees in predefined positions.
- The agreement applies retroactively from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2019. After this date, the agreement will be extended automatically for 18-month periods, unless one of the parties gives notice that it wishes to change it.
- The inclusion of mechanisms which include the Committee in decisions concerning the termination of permanent employees, the implementation of disciplinary measures against them and restructuring of the organization, while allowing for administrative flexibility which would make it possible to operate in a competitive and dynamic market.
- The determination of quotas for streamlining-related dismissals and annual salary increments, various financial benefits and annual bonuses based on Pelephone's business results to be given to employees during the term of the Agreement.

Announcement of a labor dispute

On January 31, 2018 Pelephone was informed by the New Histadrut Labor Federation - Cellular, Internet and High-Tech Workers Union, that it was announcing a labor dispute pursuant to the Settlement of Labor Disputes Law, 1957 and a strike starting February 15, 2018. According to the announcement, the matter under dispute is the employees demand for consultation and negotiations regarding the sale of the holdings in Bezeq to new shareholders and the arrangement of their rights thereunder.

Bezeq International

The following table provides data relating to the number of persons employed by Bezeq International, including outsourced employees, at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017:

	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
Head office employees	971	1,097
Sales and service representatives	682	767
Total	1,653	1,864

The total number of employees in the foregoing table includes employees in part time positions. Bezeq International's total workforce as at December 31, 2018 was 1,458 compared to 1,690 as at December 31, 2017.

Bezeq International has a number of employee groups whose wage structure includes a component of performance-linked commissions and incentives. These groups include sales employees, telephone sales representatives and telephone service and support representatives. Employees have arrangements for pension and health insurance that are fully subsidized by Bezeq. Bezeq International is not a party to any collective bargaining agreement. Bezeq International perceives its employees as a substantial asset that it must retain and nurture.

On January 1, 2019, the CEO of Pelephone and DBS, Ran Guron, began serving as CEO of Bezeq International, replacing Moti Elmaliach who continues to serve as COO of Bezeq International. Some Bezeq International VPs also serve as VPs of Pelephone and DBS.

On January 12, 2016 Bezeq International signed a collective agreement with the New General Federation of Workers and the Bezeq International Workers Committee that expires on December 31, 2018. The key terms of the agreement include:

- The agreement will apply to all Bezeq International employees other than the executive management (VPs and those who report directly to them) and another group of employees and managers that the parties agreed upon.
- The period after which Bezeq International's employees will be considered as tenured is 36 months, with an option to extend the period for an additional six months with the Committee's agreement.
- The agreement prescribes mechanisms that include the Committee in decision making with regard to the termination of the employment of tenured employees, disciplinary measures imposed against them, and the execution of organizational changes.
- The agreement also provides for annual wage hikes and other financial benefits (such as subsidies, summer camp and welfare activities) to be provided by Bezeq International for its employees during the agreement period.
- The agreement is valid until December 31, 2019. The agreement will automatically be renewed for further terms of 12 months each, unless one of the parties give notice of their intention to change the

In January 2018, a notice was received regarding a labor dispute at Bezeq International concerning the transfer of control of Bezeq, similar to notices received regarding labor disputes in Bezeq and at Pelephone.

DBS

In August 2018, Pelephone's CEO, Ran Guron, began serving as CEO of DBS. Some of the current VPs in DBS, also serve as VPs of Pelephone and Bezeq International.

The following table provides data relating to the number of persons employed by DBS by division at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017:

Personnel by division

	Number of employees	
	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
Head office employees	492	503
Customer Division	1,040	1,177*
Total	1,532	1,680

* Including Sales Division staff, which in 2018 was merged with the Customers Division.

The total number of employees in the above table includes employees employed in part time positions. The total number of positions at DBS at December 31, 2018 was 1,370.

Bonuses and Nature of Employment Agreements

DBS is party to a collective agreement between the New National Labor Federation (the representative labor union at DBS) and the DBS workers' committee from 2016. The agreement is in force for three years (from September 2016) and thereafter will automatically be renewed for further period of 12 months each time, unless one of the parties give notice of their intention to change the agreement. The agreement applies to all DBS employees (other than a certain number of employees and managers from department head and higher rank). The agreement provides the periods after which DBS employees will become tenured employees, mechanisms for involving the Workers Committee in decision making concerning employment and termination of the employment of tenured employees at DBS, as well as annual wage increments and other general benefits DBS will grant to its employees during the term of the agreement.

DBS employees are employed under personal employment agreements, on the basis of a monthly salary or an hourly wage, with some of the employees also entitled to performance-based compensation. The employment agreements are generally for an undefined period, and each party may terminate the agreement by prior notice in accordance with the agreement or the law.

Following the announcement by the National Labor Federation in November 2017 of a labor dispute and the intention to strike, which, according to the announcement, is due to the proposed structural reforms and changes in DBS, that includes proposed layoffs, a special collective labor agreement was signed in January 2018. Under the special collective labor agreement, the parties will act to implement the structural reforms and changes according to a mechanism set up in the collective agreement.

On March 14, 2019, DBS signed a collective arrangement with the National Federation of Labor and the Workers' Association with regard to streamlining and synergy procedures, from June 1, 2019 through December 31, 2021. The collective arrangement stipulates that DBS will be entitled to terminate employment of up to 325 employees during the years of the collective arrangement and that a one-time grant will be given to employees who will not be included in the retirement plan. The estimated cost of the collective arrangement is NIS 68 million, assuming that DBS exercises all its rights for such streamlining and compliance with the conditions for granting additional economic benefits to the employees. In addition, the collective arrangement stipulates that DBS is also entitled to streamline by not recruiting new staff, in place of employees whose employment is terminated.

Employee compensation schemes

DBS customarily awards its officers and managers, as well as some of its employees, annual bonuses based on attaining goals and performance assessment.

Walla

Walla! Communications Ltd. entered into a collective bargaining agreement dated September 6, 2018 with the journalists' organization in Israel - the New Histadrut Labor Federation and the Walla Journalist Committee. The Agreement terminates on September 5, 2021, and will be extended automatically for periods of 24 months, unless one of the parties gives written notice of its intent to terminate or amend the agreement. The agreement will apply to employees defined as "journalists" employed by Walla (except for the management, talents and other employees that the parties have agreed to be excluded).

C. Organizational Structure

Eurocom Communications holds 15,308,966 ordinary shares of our company.

On April 22, 2018, the Tel Aviv-Jaffa District Court issued a liquidation order for Eurocom Communications effective May 3, 2018. According to the order, the official receiver will be the temporary liquidator of Eurocom Communications until the appointment of a permanent liquidator, and Attorneys Pinchas Rubin, Amnon Lorch and Uri Gaon were appointed as special managers. Effective as of May 3, 2018, the Ministry confirmed that the Special Managers are the controlling persons of Eurocom Communications and holders of the control permit as required pursuant to Israel's Communications (Telecommunications And Broadcasting) Order (Determination Of Essential Service Provided By "Bezeq" The Israel Telecommunication Corp.).

We operate through our 51.95% ownership interest in B Communications, which is the largest shareholder of Bezeq, Israel's largest telecommunications provider. B Communications and its wholly-owned subsidiaries own 26.34% of Bezeq's outstanding shares.

D. Property, Plants and Equipment

Our corporate headquarters are located in a facility in Ramat Gan, Israel, which we lease at a token rent. The lease agreement is until December 31, 2020.

ITEM 4A. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS

A. Operating Results

The following discussion of our results of operations should be read together with our audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes, which appear elsewhere in this annual report. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect our current plans, estimates and beliefs and involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include those discussed below and elsewhere in this annual report.

Overview

We are the controlling shareholder of B Communications which currently holds 26.34% of Bezeq's outstanding shares, and we consolidate Bezeq's financial results into our financial statements.

The Bezeq Group operates the most comprehensive telecommunications infrastructure in Israel, with a broad range of telecommunications services across all of its markets. Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, the Bezeq Group is a leading provider in Israel of fixed-line telephony services and fixed-line broadband internet infrastructure access services, cellular telephony services, ISP services, ILD services, international and domestic data transfer and network services and ICT, pay television services and other communications infrastructures and services. In each of these markets, the Bezeq Group holds a significant market share.

Key Factors Affecting the Businesses of the Bezeq Group

The operations of the Bezeq Group and the operating metrics discussed below have been, and will likely continue to be, affected by certain key factors as well as certain historical events and actions. The key factors affecting the business of the Bezeq Group and its results of operations include, among others, competition, government regulation, the build out of infrastructures, macro- economic and political risks, churn, seasonality, impact of currency fluctuations and inflation, effective corporate tax rate, conditions in Israel and trade relations. For further discussion of the factors affecting our results of operations, see "Risk Factors."

Competition

The Bezeq Group faces significant competition from established and new competitors who provide fixed-line telephony, fixed-line broadband internet infrastructure access, cellular telephony, ISP and pay television services. In addition to the entrance of new competitors, competition among the existing communications groups in Israel is intensifying. Four main groups, each consisting of companies under common or joint control, hold a significant share of the communications market in Israel today. The Bezeq Group's three principal competitors may in some cases be required to comply with fewer regulations because they use different technologies to provide their services or do not own their own fixed-line network.

Bezeq expects competition to continue to increase amid the changing legislation in Israel and consolidation in the telecommunications industry that permits certain service providers to market a combination of fixed-line telephony, fixed-line broadband internet infrastructure access, ISP and pay television services, or a "bundle" for an aggregate price which is lower than the price of the individual products and services in the bundle. The Bezeq Group is currently subject to restrictions on marketing bundles, which are stricter than the restrictions applicable to its competitors.

Government Regulation

The Bezeq Group operates in a highly regulated industry in Israel, which limits its flexibility in managing its business efficiently, and may increase its administrative and operational expenses and limit its revenue. The Bezeq Group is subject to government supervision and regulation relating to, among other things:

- regulations requiring structural separation between the members of the Bezeq Group;
- regulations restricting the Bezeq Group's ability to market bundles;

- price regulation for certain services that the Bezeq Group provides;
- rules and regulations imposed on telecommunications service providers with significant market share;
- rules governing the interconnection between different telephone networks and the interconnection rates that the Bezeq Group can charge and pay;
- regulations governing the prohibition of exit-fees or cancellation charges;
- regulations requiring the Bezeq Group to grant other telecommunications operators access to its infrastructure;
- regulations governing roaming charges and other billing and customer service matters;
- rules for authorizations, licensing, acquisitions, renewals, pledging and transfers of licenses;
- requirements covering a variety of operational areas such as land use, health and safety and environmental protection, technical standards and subscriber service requirements rules and regulations relating to subscriber privacy;
- rules and regulations relating to payment of royalties (zero rate);
- rules and regulations relating to universal service provision and requirements to extend the Bezeq Group's services to areas of Israel even where it is not economically profitable to do so; and

For additional information see "*Regulatory*."

- regulations restricting the number of television channels DBS can own and specifying the minimum investment DBS is required to make in local content productions.

Build Out of Infrastructure

The Bezeq Group has historically made substantial investments in its fully owned infrastructure, which is one of the most technologically advanced in Israel and enables the Bezeq Group to reach customers nationwide.

In the domestic fixed-line communications segment, Bezeq's NGN, which was completed in 2012, is the most advanced fixed-line communications network in Israel, offering broadband internet bandwidth of up to 100 Mbps (download) speed, as well as innovative value-added services. In January 2013, Bezeq began laying optical FTTB and FTTH.

In the cellular telephony segment, Pelephone's nationwide 3.5G UMTS/HSPA. While Pelephone substantially completed the installation of its 3.5G UMTS/HSPA+ network in 2010, it has continued to invest in the network. We believe these network features provide Pelephone with a strong platform to continue to offer a variety of advanced services and products to its customers and to capitalize on the continued increasing demand for smartphones and advanced data services, which constitute the higher value segment of the cellular telephony market.

In the ISP, ILD, data transfer, networks and ICT services segment, Bezeq International is currently the sole ISP in Israel that owns and operates its own high-speed submarine optical fiber communications cable system. The JONAH cable, which was launched in January 2012 provides Bezeq International with greater capacity for utilization than any other ISP in Israel. In addition, Bezeq International is able to obtain such capacity at an incremental cost, while other ISPs in Israel are required to purchase capacity and rely on one of the two other cable operators in Israel (MedNautilus and Tamares).

In the multi-channel pay television segment, DBS is the only licensed provider of multi-channel television broadcasts via satellite in Israel and one of only two companies in the Israeli pay television services market. While DBS relies on third party providers for the provision of satellite capacity, it owns the satellite dishes that carry the signals from such satellites to subscriber residences and set-top boxes. Such equipment and infrastructure act as a significant barrier to entry against any potential competitor in the satellite pay television market.

During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2017 and 2018, the Bezeq Group companies invested NIS 1.4 billion, NIS 1.5 billion and NIS 1.7 billion (approximately \$461 million), respectively, in capital improvements, substantially all of which was invested in infrastructure and technology.

Macro-Economic and Political Risks

The Bezeq Group is subject to macro-economic and political risks that are outside of its control. For example, high levels of sovereign debt in the U.S., certain European countries and countries in the Middle East, combined with weak growth and high unemployment, could lead to fiscal reforms (including austerity measures), sovereign debt restructurings, currency instability, increased counterparty credit risk, high levels of volatility and, potentially, disruptions in the credit and equity markets, as well as other outcomes that might adversely impact the Bezeq Group. Moreover, as a business operating in Israel, we and the Bezeq Group are subject to the inherent risks associated with the political and military conditions in Israel and the potential for armed conflicts with Israel's neighbors. Further, while the majority of the Bezeq Group's revenues are in NIS, a portion of the Bezeq Group's operational expenses are in U.S. dollars. The exchange rate between U.S. dollars and NIS has been volatile in the past and may continue to be so in the future. Although we attempt to mitigate currency rate risk through hedging, sharp changes in the exchange rate could have a material effect on our results of operations.

Churn

The fixed-line telephony, fixed-line broadband internet infrastructure access, cellular telephony and multi-channel pay television industries typically exhibit churn as a result of high levels of competition. Churn levels may be affected by changes in our or our competitors' pricing, our level of customer satisfaction, disconnection of non-paying subscribers and changes in regulations. Increases in churn may lead to increased costs and reduced revenues. In recent years our churn rates increased, particularly in our cellular telephony segment as new competitors entered the market and advantageous billing plans were introduced. Similarly, competition has increased in recent years as a result of the prohibition on exit fees, long-term commitments and linkage of the price and terms of handsets sales to cellular telephony service prices and benefits.

Seasonality

Bezeq's consolidated operating results are generally not characterized by a seasonal pattern. In general, Bezeq's revenues from its cellular phone services are slightly higher in the second and third quarters of the fiscal year than the first and fourth quarters due to different usage patterns prevailing in the summer months compared to the winter months and the holiday season in Israel. In general, Bezeq's revenues from international communications, Internet and NEP services are affected in a minor way by the seasons and holidays. For example, voice services for the business sector decrease in August and during the Passover holiday; voice services for the private sector increase in the summer months and towards the end of the calendar year; sales of Internet services and NEP equipment usually increase in the fourth quarter; and Internet services for the business sector decrease in the summer months due to the closure of educational institutions.

Impact of Currency Fluctuations and Inflation

Although the majority of our revenues and expenses are denominated in NIS, we are subject to risks caused by fluctuations in the exchange rate between the NIS and the U.S. dollar.

The Israeli annual rate of inflation (deflation) amounted to 0.9%, 0.4%, and (0.3%) for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The annual appreciation (devaluation) of the NIS in relation to the U.S. dollar amounted to 8.1%, (9.8%) and (1.5%) for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively. We cannot predict any future trends in the rate of inflation or deflation in Israel or the rate of appreciation or devaluation of the NIS against the U.S. dollar. A devaluation of the dollar in relation to the NIS has the effect of reducing the NIS value of any of our expenses or liabilities which are payable in dollars, unless those expenses or liabilities are linked to the dollar. This devaluation of the dollar also has the effect of decreasing the NIS value of any asset which consists of dollars or receivables payable in dollars, unless the receivables are linked to the dollar.

Effective Corporate Tax Rate

Israeli corporate tax at the standard rate in 2018 and onwards is set at 23% (the corporate tax rate was 25% and 24% in 2016 and 2017, respectively)

As of December 31, 2018, we had tax loss carryforwards in the amount of NIS 165 million (approximately \$44 million) and capital loss carry forwards of NIS 378 million (approximately \$101 million). Under current Israeli tax laws, tax loss carryforwards do not expire and may be used to offset future taxable income.

Conditions in Israel

We are organized in, based in and derive substantially all of our revenues from markets within the State of Israel. See “*Risk Factors—Risks Relating to the Operations of the Bezeq Group in Israel*” for a description of governmental, economic, fiscal, monetary or political policies or factors that have materially affected or could materially affect our operations.

Trade Relations

Israel is a member of the United Nations, the International Monetary Fund, the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, the International Finance Corporation and the World Trade Organization. In addition, Israel is a signatory to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, which provides for reciprocal lowering of trade barriers among its member and has been granted preferences under the Generalized System of Preferences from the United States, Australia, Canada and Japan. These preferences allow Israel to export products covered by such programs either duty-free or at reduced tariffs.

Israel and the European Union Community concluded a Free Trade Agreement in July 1975, which confers certain advantages with respect to Israeli exports to most European countries and obligates Israel to lower its tariffs with respect to imports from these countries over a number of years. In 1985, Israel and the United States entered into an agreement to establish a Free Trade Area. The Free Trade Area has eliminated all tariff and specified non-tariff barriers on most trade between the two countries. On January 1, 1993, an agreement between Israel and the European Free Trade Association, known as EFTA, established a free-trade zone between Israel and the EFTA nations. In November 1995, Israel entered into a new agreement with the European Union, which included a refinement of rules of origin and other improvements, including providing for Israel to become a member of the research and technology programs of the European Union. In recent years, Israel has established commercial and trade relations with a number of other nations, including China, India, Russia, Turkey and other nations in Eastern Europe and Asia.

On May 10, 2010, the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, or OECD, invited Israel to become a member of the organization, whose mission is to promote co-operation between its members while keeping high international economic standards. On September 7, 2010, on signing the OECD Convention, Israel pledged its full dedication to achieving the Organization’s fundamental aims. Israel was the 32nd country to join the organization, along with Estonia and Slovenia.

Explanation of Key Income Statement Items

Revenue. Revenue from Bezeq’s domestic fixed-line communications segment is derived primarily from fees received for (i) fixed-line telephony services, primarily including the basic fixed-line telephony service on the domestic telephone line, plus associated services such as voice mail, caller ID, call waiting, call forwarding, speed dial, conference calls, public telephones and a unified telephone directory; (ii) fixed-line broadband internet infrastructure access services in xDSL technology; (iii) data communication services, including network services for transferring data from point to point, transferring data between computers and between various communications networks, services connecting communications networks to the Internet and remote access services; and (iv) other services including, services to communications operators, broadcasting services, contract work, IP Centrex services (lines in a virtual private exchange in a public network), data center services, a search engine for locating phone numbers (including a classified search) and new services.

Revenue from the Telephone cellular telephony segment is derived primarily from fees received from its service offerings, including, voice transmission, transmission of text messages, roaming, data communications and advanced multimedia services. Telephone also sells cellular phones, laptops and other portable devices and offers attendant repair services.

Bezeq International’s revenues are primarily derived from ISP services for private and business customers (including terminal equipment and support), voice services (including, ILD services to business and private customers and international call routing and termination services), hosting services, supply of international data communication solutions for business customers and ICT solutions for business customers and PBX services.

DBS’s revenues are primarily derived from the sale of subscriptions for its multi-channel satellite pay television broadcast services. Revenue from subscriptions is recognized ratably over the contract period, which is generally one to twelve months. DBS does not provide revenues to Bezeq.

Bezeq also includes a category of “Other” in its consolidated financial statements, which mainly includes revenue from customer call center services through its Bezeq Online Ltd. subsidiary, investments in a venture capital fund and ownership of Walla!, a popular Israeli provider of Internet and portal services.

Depreciation and Amortization. Subsequent to our acquisition of Bezeq through B Communications, we adopted policies regarding the depreciation and amortization expenses related to Bezeq's communications business network equipment and capacity that were based on Bezeq's policies. Depreciation and amortization expenses primarily consist of depreciation on computer equipment, software, leasehold improvements, capitalized software development costs and amortization of purchased intangibles. In connection with our acquisition of the interest in Bezeq, we assigned fair value to fixed assets acquired in the Bezeq acquisition. The difference between the book value and the fair value of those assets was recognized as an asset in our consolidated statement of financial position. The acquired assets are depreciated and amortized according to their expected useful life. Over time such assets are fully depreciated by Bezeq, and by us respectively. As a result, the excess fair value balance we assigned to the acquired assets decreases and our related future depreciation expenses will decrease as well.

Salaries. Salaries include salary costs, social, statutory and employment benefits, and commissions of all our employees. Bezeq's consolidated salary expenses primarily consist of operating and general and administrative salaries, benefits, stock-based compensation and incentive compensation.

General and Operating Expenses. Bezeq's consolidated general and operating expenses primarily consist of cellular telephone expenses, general expenses including outside consulting, legal and accounting services, materials and spare parts, building maintenance, services and maintenance by subcontractors, international communication expenses, vehicle maintenance expenses, royalties paid to the State of Israel and collection fees.

Impairment losses. The carrying amounts of our non-financial assets, other than inventory and deferred tax assets are reviewed at each reporting date to determine whether there is any indication of impairment.

The recoverable amount of an asset or cash-generating unit is the greater of its value in use and fair value less costs to sell. In assessing value in use, the estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset or cash-generating unit, for which the estimated future cash flows from the asset or cash-generating unit (for which future cash flows were not adjusted).

An impairment loss is recognized if the carrying amount of an asset or cash-generating unit exceeds its estimated recoverable amount. Impairment losses are recognized in profit or loss. As regards cash-generating units that include goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized when the carrying amount of the cash-generating unit, after including the balance of goodwill, exceeds its recoverable amount. Impairment losses recognized in respect of cash-generating units are allocated first to reduce the carrying amount of any goodwill allocated to the units and then to reduce the carrying amounts of the other assets in the cash-generating unit on a pro rata basis. To allocate an impairment loss, the assets are not impaired below the higher of their fair value less exercise costs and their value in use (if determinable) or zero.

For the purpose of impairment testing, goodwill is allocated to the Bezeq Group's cash generating units, or CGU, which represent the lowest level within the Bezeq Group at which the goodwill is monitored for internal management purposes. Several goodwill balances result from the requirement to recognize a deferred tax liability on business combination, calculated as the tax effect on the difference between the fair value of the acquired assets and liabilities, and their tax bases. For the purpose of testing this goodwill for impairment, any of the related deferred tax liabilities recognized on acquisition that remain at the balance sheet date are treated as part of the carrying amount of the relevant CGU. The annual impairment test date is December 31.

The recoverable amount of each CGU was calculated as the highest between its value in use and its fair value which was based on the Discounted Cash Flow method under the Income Approach.

Other operating expenses. Other operating expenses primarily include Bezeq's provision for severance pay on early retirement, capital gains from the sale of property, plant and equipment, provisions for contingent liabilities and income or losses from copper forward contracts.

Finance Expenses. Our finance expenses primarily include interest expenses, U.S. dollar exchange rate differences on our redeemed Senior Secured Notes, and debentures. In addition, our finance expenses also include interest and exchange rate differences on other financial liabilities and changes in fair value of financial assets or liabilities measured at fair value through profit or loss. Bezeq's financing expenses primarily consist of interest expenses for its financial liabilities, linkage and exchange rate differences, changes in fair value of financial assets measured at fair value through profit or loss, financing expenses for employee benefits and other financing expenses.

Income Tax. Income tax expense is comprised of current and deferred tax. Bezeq recognizes current and deferred tax expense in profit or loss except to the extent that it relates to items recognized directly in equity or in other comprehensive income. Current tax is the expected tax payable on the taxable income for the year, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted, and any adjustment to tax payable in respect of previous years. Our assessment considers that deferred tax is recognized using the statements of financial position method, providing for temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for taxation purposes. Under our assessment, deferred tax is measured at the tax rates that are expected to be applied to temporary differences when they reverse, based on the laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the reporting date. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are offset if there is a legally enforceable right to offset current tax liabilities and assets, and they relate to income taxes levied by the same tax authority on the same taxable entity, or on different tax entities, but they intend to settle current tax liabilities and assets on a net basis or their tax assets and liabilities will be realized simultaneously. A deferred tax asset is recognized to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which the temporary difference can be utilized. Deferred tax assets are reviewed at each reporting date and are reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that the related tax benefit will be realized.

The corporate tax rate in Israel was 24% in 2017 and 25% in 2016. Effective as of January 1, 2018 the tax rate is 23%.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our consolidated results of operations in NIS in millions and as a percentage of revenues for the three years ended December 31, 2018:

	Year ended December 31,					
	2016		2017		2018	
	NIS	%	NIS	%	NIS	%
Revenues	10,084	100%	9,789	100%	9,321	100%
Depreciation and amortization	2,161	21%	2,117	22%	2,387	26%
Salaries	2,017	20%	2,008	21%	1,995	21%
General and operating expenses	4,024	40%	3,911	40%	3,405	36%
Loss from impairment of assets	-	-	129	1%	2,294	25%
Other operating expenses (income)	21	-%	20	-%	635	7%
Operating profit (loss)	1,861	18%	1,604	16%	(1,395)	(15)%
Finance expense	1,108	11%	652	6%	664	7%
Finance income	(133)	(1)%	(75)	(1)%	(94)	(1)%
Profit (loss) after financing expenses, net	886	10%	1,027	5%	(1,965)	(21)%
Share of losses (profit) in equity- accounted investee	5	-%	5	-%	3	-%
Profit (loss) before income tax	881	10%	1,022	11%	(1,968)	(21)%
Income tax expenses	442	4%	347	4%	(59)	-%
Profit (loss) for the year	439	4%	675	7%	(1,909)	(20)%
Profit (loss) attributable to owners of the company	(202)	(2)%	(15)	(-)%	(717)	(8)%
Profit (loss) attributable to non-controlling interests	641	6%	690	7%	(1,192)	(13)%
Net profit (loss) for the year	439	4%	675	7%	(1,909)	(20)%
Basic loss per share	(10.52)	-	(0.82)	-	(29.77)	-
Diluted loss per share	(10.52)	-	(0.82)	-	(29.77)	-

As a result of the Bezeq acquisition, we assigned fair value to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed using the acquisition method. Adjustments to record the allocation of the consideration paid for assets acquired and liabilities assumed for Bezeq have not been reflected in the separate reporting of the segments because they are not being reviewed by our Chief Operating Decision Maker in order to make decisions about resources to be allocated to the segments and assess their performance. Accordingly, the purchase accounting adjustments are presented under the "adjustments" column.

The following three tables provide summary financial information regarding the operating results of the individual operating segments of the Bezeq Group on a consolidated basis for the three years ended December 31, 2018. Amounts are in NIS in millions.

2018

	Domestic fixed-line communications	Cellular communications	International communications, Internet services and NEP	Multi- channel television	Others	Adjustments to consolidated (2)	Consolidated
Total revenues:							
From externals	3,883	2,401	1,338	1,473	226	-	9,321
From other segments of operation in the corporation	313	42	53	-	15	(423)	-
Total revenues	4,196	2,443	1,391	1,473	241	(423)	9,321
Total costs attributable to:							
Variable costs attributable to segment of operation (1)	1,340	1,263	680	768	198		
Fixed costs attributable to segment of operation (1)	1,632	1,182	595	851	79		
Total costs	2,972	2,445	1,275	1,529	277	1,371	9,869
Costs that do not constitute revenue in another segment of operation	2,915	2,343	1,037	1,516	270	1,788	9,869
Costs that constitute revenue in other segments of operation	57	102	238	13	7	(417)	-
Total costs	2,972	2,445	1,275	1,529	277	1,371	9,869
Profit from ordinary operations attributable to owners of Bezeq	1,224	(2)	116	(56)	(36)	(1,794)	(548)
Total assets attributable to operations at December 31, 2018	8,896	4,124	1,344	1,606	159	194	16,335
Total liabilities attributable to segment of operation at December 31, 2018	14,284	1,425	567	687	84	(1,158)	15,889

(1) The Bezeq Group companies that are companies providing services (as opposed to manufacturing companies), do not manage a dedicated pricing system, which differentiates between fixed and variable costs. Variable costs are costs for which the companies have flexible management and control in the short-term and which directly affect output, in contrast with fixed expenses, which are not flexible in the short term and do not directly affect output (on this, regarding the definition of fixed and variable costs, "short-term" means a period of up to one year).

(2) Details of adjustments to consolidated – transactions between segments of operation.

	<u>Domestic fixed-line communications</u>	<u>Cellular communications</u>	<u>International communications, Internet services and NEP</u>	<u>Multi- channel television</u>	<u>Others</u>	<u>Adjustments to consolidated (2)</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
Total revenues:							
From externals	3,953	2,500	1,466	1,650	220	-	9,789
From other segments of operation in the corporation	291	46	71	-	17	(425)	-
Total revenues	4,244	2,546	1,537	1,650	237	(425)	9,789
Total costs attributable to:							
Variable costs attributable to segment of operation (1)	690	1,319	805	626	179		
Fixed costs attributable to segment of operation (1)	1,583	1,155	559	860	78		
Total costs	2,273	2,474	1,364	1,486	258	(176)	7,679
Costs that do not constitute revenue in another segment of operation	2,197	2,362	1,144	1,477	250	249	7,679
Costs that constitute revenue in other segments of operation	76	112	220	9	8	(425)	-
Total costs	2,273	2,474	1,364	1,486	258	(176)	7,679
Profit from ordinary operations attributable to owners of Bezeq	1,971	72	174	163	(20)	(250)	2,110
Total assets attributable to operations at December 31, 2017	9,086	3,271	1,210	1,502	178	1,602	16,849
Total liabilities attributable to segment of operation at December 31, 2017	13,901	536	410	1,154	64	(1,350)	14,705

- (1) The Bezeq Group companies that are companies providing services (as opposed to manufacturing companies), do not manage a dedicated pricing system, which differentiates between fixed and variable costs. The above distinction was made for the purposes of this report only. Variable costs are costs for which the companies have flexible management and control in the short-term and which directly affect output, in contrast with fixed expenses, which are not flexible in the short term and do not directly affect output (on this, regarding the definition of fixed and variable costs, "short-term" means a period of up to one year).
- (2) Details of adjustments to consolidated – transactions between segments of operation.

	<u>Domestic fixed-line communications</u>	<u>Cellular communications</u>	<u>International communications, Internet services and NEP</u>	<u>Multi- channel television</u>	<u>Others</u>	<u>Adjustments to consolidated (2)</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
Total revenues:							
From externals	4,063	2,587	1,478	1,745	198	-	10,071
From other segments of operation in the corporation	320	43	70	-	20	(440)	13
Total revenues	4,383	2,630	1,548	1,745	218	(440)	10,084
Total costs attributable to:							
Variable costs attributable to segment of operation (1)	575	1,421	817	593	179		
Fixed costs attributable to segment of operation (1)	1,732	1,177	555	888	73		
Total costs	2,307	2,598	1,372	1,481	252	(247)	7,763
Costs that do not constitute revenue in another segment of operation	2,243	2,437	1,161	1,471	245	193	7,750
Costs that constitute revenue in other segments of operation	64	161	211	10	7	(440)	13
Total costs	2,307	2,598	1,372	1,481	252	(247)	7,763
Profit from ordinary operations attributable to owners of Bezeq	2,076	32	176	264	(34)	(193)	2,321
Total assets attributable to operations at December 31, 2016	7,111	3,294	1,188	2,026	204	2,124	15,947
Total liabilities attributable to segment of operation at December 31, 2016	11,988	569	380	1,434	104	(730)	13,744

- (1) The Bezeq Group companies that are companies providing services (as opposed to manufacturing companies), do not manage a dedicated pricing system, which differentiates between fixed and variable costs. The above distinction was made for the purposes of this report only. Variable costs are costs for which the companies have flexible management and control in the short-term and which directly affect output, in contrast with fixed expenses, which are not flexible in the short term and do not directly affect output (on this, regarding the definition of fixed and variable costs, "short-term" means a period of up to one year).
- (2) Details of adjustments to consolidated – transactions between segments of operation.

Year Ended December 31, 2018 Compared with Year Ended December 31, 2017

Revenues. Our revenues decreased by 4.8% to NIS 9.32 billion (approximately \$2.5 billion) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 9.80 billion in the year ended December 31, 2017. For both periods, our consolidated revenues consisted entirely of Bezeq's consolidated revenues. The decrease in revenues in 2018 was primarily due to lower revenues across the Bezeq Group's main segments, primarily in the Cellular Communications segment.

Bezeq's revenues decreased by 1.13% to NIS 4.19 billion (approximately \$1.11 billion) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 4.24 billion in the year ended December 31, 2017. The decrease in the segment's revenues was primarily due to a NIS 125 million (approximately \$33 million), or 9.8%, decrease in subscriber revenues as a result of a reduction of 3.7% in average revenue per line in 2018 as well as a decrease of 5.1% in the number of access lines. The decrease in revenues was partially offset by a NIS 52 million (approximately \$14 million), or 3.4%, increase in broadband internet revenues as a result of continued growth in the number of wholesale Internet lines, which increased by 17.7% during 2018, partially offset by a decrease of 6.6% in the number of retail Internet lines.

Pelephone's revenues decreased by 4% to NIS 2.44 billion (approximately \$651 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 2.55 billion in the year ended December 31, 2017. Revenues from services decreased to NIS 1.75 billion (approximately \$ 468 million), or 1.5% decrease in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 1.78 billion in the year ended December 31, 2017. The decrease in revenues from cellular services was due to a decrease in tariffs as a result of increased competition in the cellular market as well as from the transition of government customers to new tariff plans. Revenues from terminal equipment repairs and services were also down by 9.9% to NIS 688 million (approximately \$183 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 764 million in the year ended December 31, 2017.

Bezeq International's revenues decreased by 9.5% to NIS 1.39 billion (approximately \$371 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 1.54 billion in the year ended December 31, 2017. The decrease in revenues was primarily due to a reduction of NIS 43 million in ILD revenues in 2018 compared with 2017, and in addition by a decrease of NIS 103 million in ISP revenues in 2018 compared with 2017.

DBS had revenues of NIS 1.47 billion (approximately \$393 million) for the year ended December 31, 2018, while its revenues were NIS 1.65 billion for the year ended December 31, 2017. The decrease is a result of the DBS' decision to reduce its monthly tariff due to the fierce competition in the market.

Depreciation and Amortization. We recorded depreciation and amortization expenses of NIS 2.39 billion (approximately \$637 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to NIS 2.12 billion in the year ended December 31, 2017, an increase of approximately 12.8%. The increase in depreciation expenses in 2018 was primarily due to the amortization of right-of-use assets resulting from the early adoption of accounting standard IFRS 16 beginning January 1, 2018.

The Bezeq Group recorded consolidated depreciation and amortization expenses of NIS 2.19 billion (approximately \$584 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to NIS 1.72 billion in the year ended December 31, 2017, a decrease of 27.6%. The increase in depreciation expenses in 2018 was primarily due to the amortization of right-of-use assets resulting from the early adoption of accounting standard IFRS 16 beginning January 1, 2018.

Bezeq's depreciation and amortization expenses were NIS 850 million (approximately \$226 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 compared with NIS 728 million in the year ended December 31, 2017, an increase of 16.8%. The increase was mainly due the implementation of IFRS 16 as of January 1, 2018.

Pelephone's depreciation and amortization expenses in the year ended December 31, 2018 were NIS 655 million (approximately \$174 million) compared to NIS 383 million in the year ended December 31, 2017, an increase of 71%.

Bezeq International's depreciation and amortization expenses in the year ended December 31, 2018 were NIS 194 million (approximately \$52 million) compared to NIS 135 million in the year ended December 31, 2017, an increase of 43.7%.

DBS's depreciation and amortization expenses in the year ended December 31, 2018 were NIS 323 million (approximately \$86 million) while its depreciation and amortization expenses were NIS 285 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, an increase of 13.3%.

Salaries. Our salaries expenses totaled in amount of NIS 2 billion (approximately \$532 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018, compared to NIS 2.01 billion in the year ended December 31, 2017.

The Bezeq Group recorded consolidated salary expenses of NIS 1.99 billion (approximately \$532 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018, approximately the same as in the year ended December 31, 2017.

Bezeq's salary expenses increased by 2.4% to NIS 912 million (approximately \$ 243 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 891 million in the year ended December 31, 2017. The increase was mainly due to salary raises pursuant to collective labor agreements, partially offset by employee retirement.

Pelephone's salary expenses decreased by 1.3% to NIS 379 million (approximately \$101 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 384 million in the year ended December 31, 2017.

Bezeq International's salary expenses decreased by 8.3% to NIS 297 million (approximately \$79 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 324 million in the year ended December 31, 2017. DBS's salary expenses in the year ended December 31, 2018 were NIS 233 million (approximately \$62 million) while its salary expenses were NIS 245 million for the year ended December 31, 2017.

General and operating expenses. Our general and operating expenses decreased by 12.9% to NIS 3.4 billion (approximately \$909 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 3.91 billion in the year ended December 31, 2017. The decrease in operating expenses resulting from the early adoption of accounting standard IFRS 16 beginning January 1, 2018.

The Bezeq Group recorded consolidated general and operating expenses of NIS 3.4 billion (approximately \$905 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to NIS 3.91 billion in the year ended December 31, 2017, a decrease of 13.1%.

Bezeq's general and operating expenses decreased by 12% to NIS 596 million (approximately \$159 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 677 million in the year ended December 31, 2017. The decrease was mainly due to a decrease in vehicle leasing and building leasing expenses recognized as an asset following early adoption of IFRS 16.

Pelephone's general and operating expenses decreased by 17.4% to NIS 1.4 billion (approximately \$374 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 1.7 billion in the year ended December 31, 2017. The decrease was mainly due to a reduction in leasing expenses following early adoption of IFRS 16, a decrease in the cost of sales for handsets, and continued downsizing and streamlining of expenses. The decrease was partially offset by an increase in call completion fees, roaming expenses, and estimate updates which resulted in lower expenses last year.

Bezeq International's general and operating expenses decreased by 13.9% to NIS 776 million (approximately \$207 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 901 million in the year ended December 31, 2017. The decrease was mainly due to a reduction in the cost of sales for telecom solutions for businesses and PBXs, and lower hubbing and international call expenses, corresponding to the decrease in revenues. Furthermore, leasing expenses were down, following adoption of IFRS 16.

DBS's general and operating expenses decreased by 0.1% to NIS 956 million (approximately \$255 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 957 million for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Loss from impairment of assets. Our loss from impairment of assets in 2018 totaled NIS 2.3 billion (\$611 million) compared to NIS 129 million in 2017. The increase was primarily attributable to the NIS 1.68 billion impairment in Bezeq relating to DBS, and in addition the NIS 618 million impairment at B Communications regarding Pelephone and Bezeq International.

Loss from impairment of assets of the Bezeq Group in 2018 totaled NIS 1.68 billion (\$447 million) compared to NIS 87 million in 2017. The increase was primarily attributable to the impairment relating to DBS.

Other Operating Expenses, net. We had other operating expense, net of NIS 635 million (approximately \$168 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to NIS 20 million in the year ended December 31, 2017. The increase in other operating expenses in the both the fourth quarter and full year was primarily as a result of the provision for early retirement of Bezeq Fixed Line employees in the amount of NIS 559 million (\$149 million) and a provision for legal claims in the amount of NIS 90 million (\$24 million).

Finance expenses, net. Our consolidated net finance expenses decreased by 1% to NIS 570 million (approximately \$152 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 577 million in the year ended December 31, 2017.

Our unconsolidated net finance expenses decreased by 33% to NIS 40 million (approximately \$11 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 60 million in the year ended December 31, 2017.

B Communications net finance expenses decreased by 4% to NIS 96 million (approximately \$25 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 100 million in the year ended December 31, 2017.

The Bezeq Group's net finance expenses increased by 4.3% to NIS 435 million (approximately \$116 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from NIS 417 million in the year ended December 31, 2017. The increase in financing expenses in 2018 was primarily due to the increase in financing expenses at Bezeq Fixed-Line partially offset by the decrease in DBS. In addition, finance expenses increased as a result of the early adoption of accounting standard IFRS 16 beginning January 1, 2018.

Income Tax. Our consolidated tax income amounted to NIS 59 million (approximately \$15 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to tax expense of NIS 347 million in the year ended December 31, 2017. The decrease in income tax expenses was primarily due to lower earnings before tax of the Bezeq Group and a decrease in the corporate tax rate in 2018.

Tax expenses of the Bezeq Group in 2018 totaled NIS 80 million (\$21 million) compared to NIS 453 million in 2017, a decrease of 82.3%. The decrease in tax expenses was primarily due to a reduction in profitability as well as a decrease in the corporate tax rate from 24% to 23% in 2018.

Profit (Loss) Attributable to the Shareholders of Our Company. Net loss attributable to the shareholders of our company decreased to NIS 717 million (approximately \$191 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 compared with a loss of NIS 15 million in the year ended December 31, 2017.

Profit (loss) Attributable to Our Non-Controlling Interests. Net loss attributable to our non-controlling interests decreased to NIS 1.2 billion (approximately \$317 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018 from net profit of NIS 690 million for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Year Ended December 31, 2017 Compared with Year Ended December 31, 2016

Revenues. Our revenues decreased by 2.9% to NIS 9.80 billion (approximately \$2.8 billion) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 10.1 billion in the year ended December 31, 2016. For both periods, our consolidated revenues consisted entirely of Bezeq's consolidated revenues. The decrease in revenues in 2017 was primarily due to lower revenues across the Bezeq Group's main segments, primarily in the Cellular Communications segment.

Bezeq's revenues decreased by 3.2% to NIS 4.24 billion (approximately \$1.2 billion) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 4.38 billion in the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease in the segment's revenues was primarily due to a NIS 111 million (approximately \$32 million), or 8%, decrease in telephony services revenues as a result of a reduction of 5.3% in average revenue per line in 2017 as well as a decrease of 4.7% in the number of access lines. The decrease in revenues was partially offset by a NIS 44 million (approximately \$13 million), or 2.9%, increase in broadband internet revenues as a result of continued growth in the number of wholesale Internet lines, which increased by 41.1% during 2017, partially offset by a decrease of 6.6% in the number of retail Internet lines.

Pelephone's revenues decreased by 3.2% to NIS 2.55 billion (approximately \$734 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 2.6 billion in the year ended December 31, 2016. Revenues from services decreased to NIS 1.78 billion (approximately \$514 million), or 2% decrease in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 1.82 billion in the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease in revenues from cellular services was due to a decrease in tariffs as a result of increased competition in the cellular market as well as from the transition of government customers to new tariff plans. Revenues from terminal equipment repairs and services were also down by 5.9% to NIS 764 million (approximately \$220 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 812 million in the year ended December 31, 2016.

Bezeq International's revenues decreased by 0.7% to NIS 1.54 billion (approximately \$443 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 1.55 billion in the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease in revenues was primarily due to a reduction of NIS 57 million in ILD revenues in 2017 compared with 2016, and were offset by an increase of NIS 46 million in ISP revenues in 2017 compared with 2016.

DBS had revenues of NIS 1.65 billion (approximately \$476 million) for the year ended December 31, 2017, while its revenues were NIS 1.75 billion for the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease resulted mainly from the high decrease in the number of DBS' subscribers.

Depreciation and Amortization. We recorded depreciation and amortization expenses of NIS 2.12 billion (approximately \$611 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to NIS 2.16 billion in the year ended December 31, 2016, a decrease of approximately 2%. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in the amortization of excess acquisition costs accrued upon assuming control of DBS, and was partially offset by depreciation costs, mainly in the Cellular Communications segment, following amortization of a subscriber acquisition asset after early adoption of IFRS 15.

The Bezeq Group recorded consolidated depreciation and amortization expenses of NIS 1.72 billion (approximately \$495 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to NIS 1.74 billion in the year ended December 31, 2016, a decrease of 1.4%. The decrease in depreciation and amortization expenses in 2017 was primarily due to a reduction in the amortization of purchase price allocation costs recorded in connection with the acquisition of a controlling stake in DBS.

Bezeq's depreciation and amortization expenses were NIS 728 million (approximately \$210 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 compared with NIS 717 million in the year ended December 31, 2016, an increase of 1.5%.

Pelephone's depreciation and amortization expenses in the year ended December 31, 2017 were NIS 383 million (approximately \$110 million) compared to NIS 380 million in the year ended December 31, 2016, an increase of 0.8%.

Bezeq International's depreciation and amortization expenses in the year ended December 31, 2017 were NIS 135 million (approximately \$39 million) compared to NIS 137 million in the year ended December 31, 2016, a decrease of 1.5%.

DBS's depreciation and amortization expenses in the year ended December 31, 2017 were NIS 285 million (approximately \$82 million) while its depreciation and amortization expenses were NIS 296 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, a decrease of 3.7%.

Salaries. Our salaries expenses decreased by 0.4% to NIS 2.01 billion (approximately \$579 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 2.02 billion in the year ended December 31, 2016.

The Bezeq Group recorded consolidated salary expenses of NIS 2.01 billion (approximately \$578 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017, approximately the same as in the year ended December 31, 2016.

Bezeq's salary expenses decreased by 0.8% to NIS 891 million (approximately \$257 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 898 million in the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease was due to a reduction in the workforce and an increase in salaries attributed for investment, offset by salary increases.

Pelephone's salary expenses increased by 1.6% to NIS 384 million (approximately \$106 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 378 million in the year ended December 31, 2016.

Bezeq International's salary expenses decreased by 1.8% to NIS 324 million (approximately \$93 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 330 million in the year ended December 31, 2016.

DBS's salary expenses in the year ended December 31, 2017 were NIS 246 million (approximately \$71 million) while its salary expenses were NIS 249 million for the year ended December 31, 2016.

General and operating expenses. Our general and operating expenses decreased by 2.8% to NIS 3.9 billion (approximately \$1.1 billion) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 4.0 billion in the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease in operating expenses was due to a reduction in most of the expense categories of the group, which were influenced, among other factors, by the early adoption of accounting standard IFRS 15.

The Bezeq Group recorded consolidated general and operating expenses of NIS 3.9 billion (approximately \$1.1 billion) in the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to NIS 4.0 billion in the year ended December 31, 2016, a decrease of 3.0%.

Bezeq's general and operating expenses decreased by 4% to NIS 677 million (approximately \$195 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 705 million in the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease in operating expenses in 2017 was primarily due to a reduction in interconnect payments to telecom operators as well as lower building maintenance expenses partially offset by an increase in subcontractor expenses.

Pelephone's general and operating expenses decreased by 7.2% to NIS 1.7 billion (approximately \$492 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 1.8 billion in the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease was primarily due to a reduction in distributor fees, which were recognized as an asset following early adoption of IFRS 15, and a reduction in the cost of handset sales. Results were also affected by a decrease in engineering expenses, updates to site leasing estimates, and continued cost-cutting efforts. The decrease was partially offset by an increase in call completion fees.

Bezeq International's general and operating expenses increased by 1.6% to NIS 901 million (approximately \$260 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 887 million in the year ended December 31, 2016. The increase was primarily due to an increase in cost of sales for telecom solution equipment for businesses. Internet service expenses were also up. The increase was partially offset by lower expenses on call transfers between global operators, lower expenses on international calls corresponding with the aforesaid decrease in revenues, plus a decrease in customer recruitment commission expenses which were recognized as an asset following early adoption of IFRS 15.

DBS's general and operating expenses increased by 2.1% to NIS 956 million (approximately \$276 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 936 million for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Other Operating Expenses, net. We had other operating expense, net of NIS 149 million (approximately \$43 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to NIS 21 million in the year ended December 31, 2016. The increase in other operating expenses was primarily due to the NIS 87 million (approximately \$25 million) impairment of goodwill of DBS and the NIS 42 million (approximately \$ 12 million) impairment of goodwill of the cellular communications segment.

The Bezeq Group recorded other operating expense, net of NIS 68 million (approximately \$20 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to NIS nil in the year ended December 31, 2016. The increase in other operating expenses, net was primarily due to the NIS 87 million (\$25 million) impairment of goodwill of DBS.

Finance expenses, net. Our consolidated net finance expenses decreased by 40.8% to NIS 577 million (approximately \$166 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 975 million in the year ended December 31, 2016. Net financial expenses in 2016 included NIS 270 million of one-time refinancing expenses related to the early redemption of B Communications' 7½% Senior Secured Notes.

Our unconsolidated net finance expenses in the year ended December 31, 2017 increased by 36.4% to NIS 60 million (approximately \$17 million) from NIS 44 million in the year ended December 31, 2016. The increase was primarily attributable to a one-time loss of NIS 12 million (approximately \$3 million) arising from the exchange of Series C Debentures for Series D Debentures.

B Communications' unconsolidated net finance expenses decreased by 79.4% to NIS 100 million (approximately \$29 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 485 million in the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease was primarily attributable to one-time refinancing expenses related to the early redemption of B Communications' 7½% Senior Secured Notes along with the lower interest on B Communications' Series C Debentures.

The Bezeq Group's net finance expenses decreased by 6.7% to NIS 417 million (approximately \$120 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 447 million in the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease in financing expenses was primarily due to a change in the recording of the second contingent consideration paid in connection with the acquisition of DBS. The decrease was partially offset by an increase in financing expenses in respect of a change in the fair value of the financial assets held by DBS.

Income Tax. Our consolidated income tax expenses decreased by 21.5% to NIS 347 million (approximately \$100 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 442 million in the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease in income tax expenses was primarily due to decreased earnings and a decrease in the corporate tax rate in 2017.

Bezeq's consolidated income tax expenses in the year ended December 31, 2017 represented 26.8% of its pre-tax profit, compared to 33.4% in the year ended December 31, 2016.

The Bezeq Group's consolidated income tax expenses decreased by 27.5% to NIS 453 million (approximately \$131 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 625 million in the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease in income tax expenses was primarily due to a reduction in the tax asset and recognition of NIS 143 million in deferred tax expenses last year, following a reduction in the corporate tax rate.

Profit (Loss) Attributable to the shareholders of Our Company. Net loss attributable to the shareholders of our company amounted to NIS 15 million (approximately \$4 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 compared with a net loss of NIS 202 million in the year ended December 31, 2016. The loss in 2016 is primarily attributable to the one-time refinancing expenses related to the early redemption of B Communications' 7½% Senior Secured Notes.

Profit Attributable to Our Non-Controlling Interests. Net profit attributable to our non-controlling interests increased to NIS 690 million (approximately \$199 million) in the year ended December 31, 2017 from NIS 641 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The increase is primarily attributable to the one-time refinancing expenses related to the early redemption of B Communications' 7½% Senior Secured Notes in 2016 which was partially offset by an increase in the net amortization related to Bezeq PPA in 2017 compared with 2016.

B. Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically we funded our operations principally from cash flows from operations, short-term bank credit, revolving short-term bank loans and the proceeds of the initial public offering of our ordinary shares in August 1999.

In April 2005, we completed an offering of NIS 220 million of convertible debentures and warrants, in Israel, exclusively to Israeli residents. The debentures were to be repaid during the period April 2008 through April 2015, and were traded on the TASE. The interest rate of these debentures is 4%, and they are convertible into ordinary shares at a conversion price of NIS 50. Due to the significant increase in our share price, NIS 75.9 million of such convertible debentures were converted into 1,518,008 of our ordinary shares during 2010. In January 2008, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to NIS 112 million of the convertible debentures. In September 2011, we completed an early redemption of 242,561 par value Series A Debentures, together with CPI linkage differentials and accrued interest. As a result of the early redemption, our Series A Debentures were delisted from the TASE on September 26, 2011.

The warrants to purchase up to 2.5 million of our ordinary shares were exercised in full prior to October 15, 2007, their expiration date. The proceeds from the exercise of such warrants of NIS 104 million were used for general corporate purposes including working capital.

In September 2007, we completed an offering of Series B debentures that was made exclusively to Israeli residents. We raised a total of NIS 423 million. The interest rate for the debentures, which are traded on the TASE, is 5%. In November 2008, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to NIS 100 million of our Series B debentures. The purchases were made from time to time by us or one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries in the open market on the TASE. We repurchased NIS 5,714,370 of the Series B Debentures under the program at a total purchase price of NIS 4.4 million, or an average price of NIS 0.763 per bond.

In December 2009, we issued additional Series B debentures in two private placements to institutional investors in Israel for NIS 400 million. The terms of these additional Series B debentures issued in December 2009 are identical to those of the Series B debentures issued in September 2007. As of December 31, 2015, the Series B Debentures were fully repaid.

In 2009, we completed the repurchase of 5,481,859 of our ordinary shares for an aggregate of NIS 140 million, or an average price of NIS 25.30 per share, under two repurchase programs. In 2010, our Board of Directors authorized a third repurchase program, for the repurchase of up to an additional NIS 44 million of our ordinary shares in the open market from time to time at prevailing market prices. We repurchased 330,756 ordinary shares under the third program at a total purchase price of NIS 30 million (\$8 million), or an average price of NIS 90.7 (\$24.30) per share. No repurchases have been made since 2011.

In September 2010, we completed the public offering in Israel of NIS 170 million of our Series C Debentures. The Series C Debentures are payable in four equal annual installments on March 10 of each of the years 2016 through 2019 and pay interest at a fixed annual rate of 4.45%, which is payable semi-annually on March 10 and September 10 of each of the years 2011 through 2019 (the last interest payment is payable on March 10, 2019). The Series C Debentures are NIS denominated and are linked to the Israeli CPI. The Series C Debentures contain standard terms and conditions and are unsecured, non-convertible and do not restrict our ability to issue any new series of debt instruments or distribute dividends in the future. The Series C Debentures are listed for trading on the TASE. Midroog Ltd. assigned an A3 stable rating to the newly issued Series C Debentures.

In December 2010, we issued NIS 148 million of our Series C Debentures in a private placement to certain institutional investors in exchange for NIS 125 million of our outstanding Series B Debentures, reflecting an exchange ratio of 1:1.188. In February 2011, we issued additional Series C Debentures in a private placement to a number of Israeli institutional investors. The offering price was NIS 1.0275 per debenture, which represented a yield of 4.2%. The aggregate proceeds were approximately NIS 133.6 million. In December 2011, January 2012, November 2013 and December 2013, we completed private placements of NIS 65 million, NIS 14 million, NIS 60 million and NIS 65 million, respectively, of our Series C Debentures. The private placements were offered to a number of Israeli institutional investors pursuant to Regulation S under the Securities Act. The terms of all issued Series C Debentures are identical to the terms of the Series C Debentures issued in 2010, and they are listed on the TASE. In November 2013, Midroog confirmed a Baa1 rating for our Series C Debentures in connection with our NIS 60 million sale of Series C Debentures.

During October 2017, we conducted two private placements of approximately NIS 227 million par value of our Series D Debentures to certain institutional, “qualified” and private investors in Israel in exchange for approximately NIS 205 million par value of our outstanding Series C Debentures. Upon completion of the exchange offer, an aggregate principal amount of NIS 40.5 million par value of Series C Debentures and NIS 757.3 million par value of Series D Debentures remain outstanding.

As of December 31, 2018, NIS 20 million par value of Series C Debentures are outstanding.

In March 2014, we completed a public tender for an offering in Israel of Series D Debentures, with a fixed annual interest rate of 6%. In the tender, we accepted orders for 117,597 units of the non-convertible Series D Debentures for an aggregate principal amount of NIS 117.5 million (approximately \$33.7 million) at a price per unit of NIS 1,070. Midroog assigned a local Baa1 stable rating for the Series D Debentures Offering. The Series D Debentures are listed on the TASE.

The principal amount of the Series D Debentures will be paid in five installments as follows: (i) payments of 10% of the principal amount of the Series D Debentures will be made on each of September 15, 2018 and 2019; (ii) payments of 30% of the principal amount of the Series D Debentures will be made on each of September 15, 2020 and 2021; and (iii) a final payment of 20% of the principal amount of the Series D Debentures will be made on September 15, 2022. Interest on the outstanding principal of the Series D Debentures is paid on March 15 and September 15 of each of the years 2014-2022, other than the first interest payment which was made on September 15, 2014. The principal and interest is linked to the Israeli consumer price index of January 2014.

On June 9 and 22, 2014 we completed a private placement of NIS 219,238,087 par value of Series D Debentures to certain institutional investors in Israel in exchange for NIS 106,938,290 par value of our outstanding Series B Debentures and NIS 95,324,216 par value of our outstanding Series C Debentures held by such institutional investors (or approximately 51% and 12% of the outstanding Series B Debentures and Series C Debentures, respectively). On October 22, 2014 we completed an additional private placement of NIS 106,813,717 par value of Series D Debentures to certain institutional investors in Israel in exchange for NIS 103,102,043 par value of our outstanding Series C Debentures held by such institutional investors (or approximately 15% of the outstanding Series C Debentures). On November 20 and 26, 2014 we completed an additional private placement of NIS 86,254,219 par value of Series D Debentures to certain institutional investors in Israel in exchange for NIS 84,979,526 par value of our outstanding Series C Debentures held by such institutional investors (or approximately 15% of the outstanding Series C Debentures).

As of December 31, 2018, NIS 681 million par value of Series D Debentures are outstanding.

In January 2015, Midroog confirmed the Baa1 stable outlook rating with respect to our Series B, C and D Debentures and in February 2016, Midroog raised the rating for our Series C and D Debentures from Baa1.il to A3.il stable outlook. In February 2017, Midroog affirmed the A3.il local rating of our Series C and D Debentures with a stable outlook. In April 2018, Midroog reduced the A3.il rating to Baa1.il and retained the negative outlook.

In June 2018, Midroog downgraded the rating of our debentures (Series C and D) from Baa1.il to Baa3.il.

In October 2018, Midroog downgraded our debentures (Series C and Series D) from a Baa3.il to a Ba2.il rating.

In January 2019, Midroog downgraded the rating of the debentures (Series C and D) from Ba2.il to Ca.il.

In March 2019, Midroog downgraded the rating of the debentures (Series C and D) from Ca.il to C.il.

In connection with the issuance of our Series D Debentures, we undertook to comply with the “hybrid model disclosure requirements” as determined by the ISA and as described in the prospectus governing our Series D Debentures. This model provides that in the event certain financial “warning signs” exist, and for as long as they exist, we are subject to certain disclosure obligations towards the holders of our Series D Debentures.

In examining the existence of warning signs as of December 31, 2018, our board of directors noted that our unconsolidated cash flow statement for the year ended December 31, 2018 reflects, as expected, a continuing negative cash flow from operating activities of NIS 4 million. In addition, the Company’s unconsolidated statements of financial position as of December 31, 2018, reflect that the Company had an equity deficit of NIS 488 million and negative working capital of approximately NIS 602 million as of such date. The negative working capital is a result of the classification of the Company’s long term debt of NIS 630 million to short term.

As of May 14, 2019, the Company is in continuous discussions with its debenture holders in order to In order to strengthen the capital structure of the Company, including: a. Promotion of the proposals received as specified above for investment in the Company’s capital and / or acquisition of the Company’s shares held by Internet Gold; B. Examination of additional capital alternatives such as the possibility of raising capital, etc.

In the course of 2019, we announced an open dialogue with the debenture holders and decided to withhold further payments.

In June 2018, we conducted a private placement of 8,800,000 of our ordinary shares by means of a tender offer in Israel, to certain institutional, “qualified” and private investors. Our gross proceeds from the offering were approximately NIS 100 million, based on a price of NIS 11.25 per share. We also issued the investors warrants to purchase 4,400,000 additional ordinary shares of the Company (the “Warrants”). The demand for the tender was very high and the closing price of each ordinary share offered was significantly higher than the opening price of the tender. Because the demands were very high and we limited the maximum amount of the offering, we could not fulfill all of the orders and issued only 68% of the shares requested to the investors on a pro-rata basis.

The Warrants were issued, without further consideration, under a warrant agreement. The exercise price of the Warrants is NIS 11.00 per share. The exercise period of the Warrants will terminate on June 30, 2019.

As directed by the Israeli court-appointed special liquidators, Eurocom Communications, our controlling shareholder, also participated in the private placement. Eurocom Communications maintained its same holding percentage in the company. Its participation was at the same terms as the other investors. Of the 8,800,000 ordinary shares and 4,400,000 Warrants sold in the private placement, Eurocom Communications purchased a total of 4,800,000 ordinary shares and 2,400,000.

As of December 31, 2018, and 2017, we had on an unconsolidated basis cash and cash equivalents as well as marketable securities of NIS 136 million (approximately \$36 million) and NIS 195 million, respectively.

Debt Incurred by B Communications for the Acquisition of the Bezeq Shares

On April 14, 2010, B Communications completed the acquisition of 30.44% of Bezeq’s outstanding shares for approximately NIS 6.5 billion and became the controlling shareholder of Bezeq. The acquisition was funded with the proceeds that B Communications received from the sale of its legacy communications business and loans.

On the closing date of the acquisition of the Bezeq interest, B Communications’ indirect fully owned-subsiary SP2, which holds the Bezeq interest acquired on that date, obtained loans of NIS 4.6 billion from certain banking and financial institutions. SP2 also created liens for the lenders as security for its obligations under the loan agreement and agreed to pay the lenders certain fees, expenses and cost increases. SP2 also issued phantom stock options to the banks. On November 5, 2013, SP2, entered into an amendment, which provided for improved terms.

The proceeds of B Communications’ February 2014 \$800 million senior secured note offering were used to repay all of the outstanding balances under the loans B Communications incurred to acquire its controlling interest in Bezeq.

B Communications’ \$800 Million Note Offering

On February 19, 2014, B Communications completed a private offering to eligible purchasers of \$800 million of 7½% Senior Secured Notes due 2021, or the Notes. The Notes were offered and sold in the United States to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), and to certain qualifying investors in offshore transactions, including in Israel, in reliance on Regulation S under the Securities Act. The proceeds of the February 2014 Note offering were used to repay all of the outstanding balances under the loans B Communications incurred to acquire its controlling interest in Bezeq.

The Notes, which were redeemed in October 2016 were senior obligations that were guaranteed by our two subsidiaries, SP1 and SP2, on a senior secured basis. The Notes and the guarantees were secured by first priority liens over all of the capital stock of SP2, the capital stock of Bezeq held by SP2, which, as of the date of the issuance of the Notes, constituted approximately 30% of the outstanding voting capital stock of Bezeq, and additional collateral.

The indenture for the Notes, or the Indenture, among other things, restricted B Communications’ ability to: (i) incur additional indebtedness; (ii) use a portion of the proceeds of any dividends received from the Bezeq Group and make certain payments and investments create certain liens; (iii) impose restrictions on the ability of B Communications’ subsidiaries to pay dividends or other payments to B Communications; (iv) transfer or sell ownership interests in the Bezeq Group; (v) merge or consolidate with other entities; and enter into transactions with affiliates.

On February 2, 2016, B Communications sold 115,500,000 Bezeq shares and received net proceeds of NIS 978 million in the aggregate (approximately \$254 million). The sale took place in accordance with the provisions of Section 3(a3) of the Communications Order. B Communications retained a 26.34% ownership interest in Bezeq following the closing of the transaction.

According to the terms of the Indenture, the net proceeds from the sale of the Bezeq shares held were deposited into a “Lockbox Account” and were subject to certain conditions and covenants relating to asset sales and release of liens on sold assets. B Communications was required to make an offer within 365 days of the sale of the Bezeq shares to the holders of the Notes to purchase Notes with the proceeds deposited in the lockbox account at a cash offer price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of purchase. On June 28, 2016, B Communications announced the final results of its modified Dutch auction tender offer pursuant to a Tender Offer Memorandum dated May 26, 2016 to purchase a portion of the Notes. Approximately \$18,600,000 in aggregate principal amount of the Notes were validly tendered.

In August 2016, B Communications announced its intention to raise further funds to redeem its outstanding Notes through the offering of new non-convertible debentures in Israel pursuant to a shelf prospectus in Israel. Midroog issued an Aa3.il rating with a stable outlook for B Communications’ new Series C Debentures, in a scope of up to NIS 1.9 billion par value. In September 2016 B Communications completed the offering of NIS approximately 1.88 billion (approximately \$489) par value of the Series C Debentures.

B Communications fully redeemed the Notes on October 25, 2016. Following this process, the Bezeq shares which served as collateral for the Notes and were held by SP2 became free and clear of any pledges (subject to the general governmental control permit) and all of the Bezeq shares that were held by Mishmeret Trust Company Ltd., the trustee and security agent for the Notes, were transferred back to SP2.

B Communications’ Series C Debentures

In September 2016, B Communications announced that it received NIS 1.85 billion of binding commitments (out of about NIS 3.0 billion of offers) from Israeli institutional investors to purchase new Series C Debentures maturing in 2024. The net proceeds from the offering were used to redeem B Communications’ outstanding 7½% Senior Secured Notes, and further strengthened B Communications’ balance sheet. Midroog Ltd. approved an Aa3.il Rating for the Series C Debentures. In January 2017, B Communications announced a private placement of NIS 118,000,000 par value of its Series C Debentures to Israeli institutional investors for an aggregate consideration of approximately NIS 118 million (approximately US\$ 34 million). In January 2018, B Communications announced a private placement of NIS 240,000,000 par value of its Series C Debentures to Israeli institutional investors for an aggregate consideration of approximately NIS 249 million (approximately \$72 million). The two private placements were carried out as an increase to the outstanding Series C Debentures. Midroog issued an Aa3.il rating with a stable outlook for the new Series C Debentures.

The principal of the Series C Debentures is payable in four equal annual installments payable on November 30 of each of the years 2020 through 2023 and one installment payable on November 30, 2024. Each of the first four installments will be equal to 7.5% of the principal amount of the aggregate amount of the Series C Debentures sold and the last installment will equal to 70% of such principal amount. The annual interest rate is 3.6%. The interest on the outstanding principal of the Series C Debentures is payable in semi-annual payments on May 31 and November 30 of each year, while the first interest payment will be paid on May 31, 2017 and the last interest payment will be payable together with the last principal payment on November 30, 2024.

The indenture governing the Series C Debentures restricts B Communications’ creating a lien in favor of any third party over its direct and/or indirect holdings of 691,361,036 ordinary shares of Bezeq, or the Bezeq Shares, including any of the rights accompanying such shares without the prior consent of the holders of the Series C Debentures. B Communications’ also agreed to refrain from making any disposition of the Bezeq Shares without obtaining the prior consent of the holders of the Series C Debentures. Notwithstanding the foregoing, and subject to the provisions of applicable law and/or permit, B Communications may sell all or a portion of the Bezeq Shares to any third party, provided that in such instance, we use the net proceeds from such sale, less taxes, expenses and deductions associated with the sale of such shares to make a full or partial early redemption of the Series Debentures.

Pursuant to the terms of the Series C Debentures indenture B Communications may not assume additional debt, with the exception of:

- financial debt that does not exceed NIS 400 million;
- the financial debt is not secured by any collateral and does not have priority over the Series C Debentures upon insolvency; and
- the total par value of the Series C Debentures will not exceed an aggregate of NIS 2.3 billion.

B Communications also undertook to hold (directly and/or indirectly) at least 25% of Bezeq's issued and paid-up capital, unless a regulatory permit/approval is received to reduce such ownership percentage. Similarly, Eurocom Communications Ltd. undertook to refrain from transferring control of B Communications (directly or indirectly) to a party which has not been authorized in advance by the necessary regulatory entities, to the extent such approvals are required, at the relevant time.

Pursuant to the terms of the Series C Debentures indenture, B Communications also undertook that its equity (capital attributed to our shareholders, without non-controlling interests) will not be less than NIS 650 million for two or more consecutive calendar quarters. The indenture includes a mechanism to adjust the interest rate in the event that B Communications' equity falls below NIS 650 million for two consecutive calendar quarters or in the event of a downgrade in the rating of the Series C Debentures.

B Communications also undertook not to distribute a dividend to its shareholders, buyback any of its ordinary shares or make any other distribution as defined in the Israeli Companies Law unless all the following conditions are satisfied:

- the distribution will not cause a downgrade in the rating of the Series C Debentures;
- B Communications is not in violation of any of the covenants;
- no grounds for immediate repayment exist at the time a resolution to make a distribution is adopted, and no such grounds exist as a result of such distribution;
- B Communications' equity post-distribution is not less than NIS 800 million;
- until full repayment of the principal of the Series C Debentures, B Communications may not distribute a dividend exceeding 75% of the balance of B Communications' distributable surpluses (the surplus balance or surpluses accrued in the last two years, in accordance with the definitions provided in the Companies Law) in accordance with its consolidated financial statements. In addition, B Communications may not make a distribution if it recorded an aggregate net loss in the last four quarters preceding the distribution date, on the basis of its last financial statements and/or the quarterly financial report published prior to the distribution date; and
- notwithstanding the foregoing, the restrictions will not apply with respect to the balance of B Communications' profits/surpluses which are distributable in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Law as of June 30, 2016 (i.e. a total of NIS 416 million were excluded from the distribution restrictions).

The Series C Debentures indenture also requires that B Communications' equity may not be less than 15% of its total balance sheet in accordance with its audited or reviewed (unconsolidated) financial statements (or, alternatively, the quarterly financial report figures), for two or more consecutive calendar quarters.

In June 2018, Midroog downgraded the rating of B Communications' debentures (Series B and C) from A1.il to A2.il and changed the rating outlook from negative to stable.

In January 2019, Midroog downgraded the rating of B Communications' debentures (Series B and C) from A2.il to A3.il and retained the rating outlook of credit review with negative implications and in the same month lowered the rating of B Communications' debentures (Series B and C) further from A3.il to Baa2.il while retaining the rating outlook of credit review, with uncertain trajectory.

In March 2019, Midroog downgraded the rating of B Communications debentures (Series B and C) from Baa2.il to Caa2.il while retaining the rating outlook of credit review, with uncertain trajectory.

The downgrade of the current rating, further to the earlier downgrade, is a result of further negative trends that point out that both we and B Communications are at a higher risk level and at a tangible risk of default.

In January 2019 B Communications conducted a private placement of 7,385,600 of ordinary shares by means of an auction to certain institutional and "qualified" investors in Israel. The gross proceeds from the offering were approximately NIS 118,200,000. The demand for the auction was high and the closing price of each ordinary share offered was approximately 15% higher than the opening price of the auction.

The holders of B Communications' Debentures (Series B) decided to extend the final payment date of the principal and interest to May 6, 2019.

Bezeq's Dividend Distributions

Bezeq paid total cash dividends of NIS 1.4 billion, NIS 1.3 billion and NIS 0.7 billion in the years ended December 31, 2016, 2017 and 2018, respectively, out of which we received NIS 379 million, NIS 338 million and NIS 180 million respectively.

On March 6, 2018, Bezeq's Board of Directors updated the dividend distribution policy, whereby Bezeq will distribute to its shareholders, on a semi-annual basis, a dividend of 70% of the half-yearly profit (after tax) based on the Bezeq's consolidated financial statements, commencing with the upcoming distribution.

On March 27, 2019, Bezeq's Board of Directors resolved to suspend Bezeq's dividend distribution policy for a period of two years. The suspension of the dividend policy will not prevent Bezeq's Board of Directors from examining from time to time the distribution of dividends to Bezeq's shareholders, taking into consideration the provisions of the law, the state of Bezeq's business and its capital structure, and the need to maintain a balance between ensuring Bezeq's financial strength and stability and the continued creation of value to the shareholders of Bezeq, all of which are subject to the approval of the general meeting of shareholders of Bezeq with respect to each specific distribution, as prescribed in Bezeq's Articles of Association.

B Communications and Bezeq Dividend Distributions

B Communications declared its first dividend as a public company on November 7, 2013, a dividend of NIS 3.41 per share and NIS 102 million in the aggregate. We received NIS 69 million (approximately \$20 million) from the dividend distributed by B Communications.

On May 21, 2015, B Communications declared a dividend of NIS 2.24 per share and approximately NIS 67 million in the aggregate. We received NIS 45 million (approximately \$12 million) from the dividend distributed by B Communications on June 16, 2015.

On August 31, 2015, B Communications declared a dividend of NIS 0.73 per share and approximately NIS 22 million in the aggregate. We received NIS 15 million (approximately \$4 million) from the dividend distributed by B Communications on September 29, 2015.

On November 19, 2015, B Communications declared a dividend of NIS 38 million or NIS 1.27 per share. We received NIS 25 million (approximately \$6 million) from the dividend distributed by B Communications on December 23, 2015.

On May 25, 2016, B Communications declared a dividend of NIS 355 million (approximately \$92 million), or NIS 11.88 per share (approximately \$3.09). We received NIS 230 million (approximately \$60 million) from the dividend distributed by B Communications on May 29, 2016.

We do not expect dividends from Bezeq (and B Communications) in 2019.

On April 7, 2019, B Communications' shareholders did not approve an increase to its share capital and B Communications has called for another shareholder meeting.

As of December 31, 2018, our liquidity balances comprised of cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments on an unconsolidated basis totaled NIS 136 million (approximately \$37 million).

In addition, as of December 31, 2018, we held 19.4 million unencumbered ordinary shares of B Communications having a value at December 31, 2018 of NIS 426 billion (64.78% of B Communications' outstanding share capital). These shares can, if necessary, may be sold for cash.

Liquidity and Capital Resources of the Bezeq Group

As of December 31, 2018, the Bezeq Group had cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments of NIS 2.3 billion (approximately \$612 million) compared to NIS 2.5 billion at December 31, 2017.

The Bezeq Group incurred consolidated capital expenditures of NIS 1.73 billion (approximately \$461 million) in the year ended December 31, 2018, compared with NIS 1.53 billion in the year ended December 31, 2017. The increase was primarily due to an increase in investments in all group segments.

In the year ended December 31, 2018, the Bezeq Group repaid debt and paid interest of NIS 2 billion (approximately \$530 million), the same as the NIS 2 billion for year ended December 31, 2017. In addition, the Bezeq Group paid dividends of NIS 686 million (approximately \$183 million) in 2018 compared to NIS 1.3 billion in 2017.

The Bezeq Group's average debt (including current maturities) to financial institutions and debenture holders for the year ended December 31, 2017 was NIS 11.3 billion (approximately \$3.2 billion). The average supplier credit for the year ended December 31, 2017 was NIS 929 million (approximately \$268 million), the average short-term customer credit for the year ended December 31, 2017 was NIS 2 billion (approximately \$568 million), and average long-term customer credit was NIS 415 million (approximately \$120 million).

The Bezeq Group's working capital deficit as of December 31, 2018 was NIS 2 million (approximately \$533 thousands) compared with a working capital surplus of NIS 966 million on December 31, 2017. Bezeq (according to its separate financial statements) had a working capital deficit of NIS 169 million (approximately \$45 million) as of December 31, 2018, compared with a working capital surplus of NIS 592 million as of December 31, 2017. The change from a surplus to a deficit in the Bezeq Group and Bezeq's working capital was mainly due to current maturities on liabilities from leases recognized starting January 1, 2018 following the early adoption of IFRS 16, an increase in liabilities from early retirement benefits for employees, and a decrease in current assets.

The Board of Directors of the Bezeq Group reviewed its existing and projected resources and cash flows for the foreseeable future and its investment needs, as well as the sources of finance and the potential amounts that will be required by the Bezeq Group in the foreseeable future. On the basis of its review of all these factors, the Bezeq Group's Board of Directors concluded that the Bezeq Group can meet its existing cash needs and its needs for the foreseeable future from cash generated from its operations, by receipt of dividends from subsidiaries and by raising debt, from banking and non-banking sources, should it determine to do so.

Bezeq

At 31 December 2018, Bezeq is not financed by any short-term credit (less than one year). The following table shows the distribution of long-term loans (including current maturities):

Loan term	Source of finance	Principal amount (NIS millions)	Currency or linkage	Type of interest and change mechanism	Average interest rate	Effective interest rate	Interest range in 2018
Long-term loans	Banks	500	Unlinked NIS	Variable, based on prime rate*	1.93%	1.93%	1.75%-1.93%
	Banks	2,117	Unlinked NIS	Fixed	4.07%	4.37%	2.40%-6.85%
	Non-bank sources	587	Unlinked NIS	Variable, based on annual STL rate**	1.73%	1.79%	1.52%-1.95%
	Non-bank sources	4,415	Unlinked NIS	Fixed	3.61%	3.71%	3.22%-6.65%
	Non-bank sources	3,381	CPI-linked NIS	Fixed	2.31%	2.35%	2.20%-3.70%

* Prime rate - 1.75% as of March 2019.

** STL return per year (210) - 0.294% (average of last 5 trading days of February 2019) for the interest period commencing March 1, 2019.

Credit received

In March 2018, Bezeq completed a further raising of NIS 320 million through a private loan from an institutional entity with an average life of 6.7 years and fixed NIS interest of 3.2%. All these loans include similar terms to those provided with respect to other loans taken by Bezeq.

On December 3, 2018, Bezeq completed a private offering to institutional entities included in the list of investors in the Second Schedule to the Securities Law, in a total amount of NIS 550 million par value of debentures (Series 9) of Bezeq by expansion of the debenture series issued by Bezeq and listed for trading for the first time on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange Ltd. under a shelf offering memorandum published by Bezeq on October 13, 2015. The issue took place further to the subordinated notes signed on January 14, 2018 between Bezeq and institutional entities with regard to implementation of the issue after compliance with all the preconditions. The total consideration for the issue was NIS 578 million and it took place at a price of NIS 1.0515 per debenture, which reflects an annual return of 2.7%. The debentures were issued without discounting.

Reportable credit

Debentures and loan terms

	December 31, 2018		December 31, 2017		Interest rate range
	Carrying amount	Nominal value	Carrying amount	Nominal value	
	NIS million	NIS million	NIS million	NIS million	
Bank loans:					
Unlinked loans at fixed interest	1,740	1,721	1,725	1,700	4.2% - 3.5%
Unlinked loans at variable interest	500	500	675	675	Prime -0.33% to prime +0.2%
Unlinked loans at fixed interest	417	417	631	631	6.85% - 5%
Unlinked loans at fixed interest	-	-	300	300	2.4%
Total bank loans	2,657	2,638	3,331	3,306	
Loan from financial institutions:					
Unlinked loans at fixed interest	2,014	2,020	1,695	1,700	4.15%
Unlinked loans at fixed interest	50	50	67	67	5.25%
Total loans from financial institutions	2,064	2,070	1,762	1,767	
Total loans	4,721	4,708	5,093	5,073	
Debentures issued to the public					
Series 6 - linked to the CPI, at fixed interest	2,553	2,400	3,176	3,000	3.7%
Series 7 - unlinked loans at variable interest	586	587	732	734	Makam for one year +1.4%
Series 9 - unlinked loans at fixed interest	2,208	2,145	1,645	1,595	3.65%
Series 10 - linked to the CPI, at fixed interest	903	882	900	882	2.2%
Total debentures issued to the public	6,250	6,014	6,453	6,211	
Non-marketable debentures issued to financial institutions:					
Debentures issued by DBS and held by the public - linked to the CPI, at fixed interest	8	8	15	15	5.35%
Unlinked debentures at fixed interest	200	200	300	300	6.65%
Total non-marketable debentures	208	208	315	315	
Total debentures	6,458	6,222	6,768	6,526	
Total loans and debentures	11,179	10,930	11,861	11,599	

	Debentures (Series 6)	Debentures (Series 9)
Date of loan	July 3, 2011	October 15, 2015
Date of final repayment	December 1, 2022	December 1, 2025
Type of loan	CPI-linked fixed interest	Unlinked fixed interest
		NIS
Amount of the original loan or par value (NIS million)	3,000	2,151
Balance of revalued principal (plus interest payable) as at December 31, 2018 (NIS millions)	2,503	2,215
Number of principal payments in the year	1	1
Principal payments as from	2018	2022
Number of interest payments in the year	2	2
Interest rate as at December 31, 2018	3.7%	3.65%
Fair value of the liability as at December 31, 2018 (NIS millions)	2,686	2,214
Imputed effective interest at fair value as at December 31, 2018	0.63%	3.11%
Imputed effective interest at fair value as at December 31, 2017	0.34%	2.19%
Special conditions	See Notes 15.3.1 to 15.3.4	See Note 15.3.
Right to early repayment	Non-	Non-

Credit rating

Bezeq debentures are rated by S&P Maalot Ltd. with an il/AA/Negative rating and by Midroog Ltd. with an Aa2 rating with a Negative outlook.

In 2019, Bezeq expects to repay NIS 1.85 billion on account of loan principal and interest (including debentures).

Bezeq raises capital from time to time to finance its cash flow. The financing options at Bezeq's disposal are raising debt by means of new bank loans and/or by private or marketable debt. Bezeq intends to continue taking measures in 2019 to adjust its debt structure to its needs and sources.

At the date of approval of the 2018 financial statements, Bezeq's Board of Directors approved submission of an initial draft of a prospectus for issuance of debentures to the Securities Authority. To date, no decision has been made regarding implementation of a raising, the structure or scope of the raising (if any) and there can be no certainty that Bezeq will publish a prospectus or issue debentures to the public.

Pelephone

Pelephone's operations are financed out of cash flow from operating activities. As at December 31, 2018, Pelephone has no approved bank credit facilities. Although Pelephone intends to make further investments in property, plant and equipment (mainly in the LTE network), it estimates that it will not need to obtain any financing in 2019 for its ongoing operations.

Fair Credit Law

The Fair Credit Law that was legislated in August 2017 cuts (statutorily) the interest rate ceiling that may be charged for credit transactions and sets it at the Bank of Israel interest rate + 15%. The law applies to all credit transactions, other than types of transactions that are specifically excluded.

The Law applies to transactions that will be executed as of September 2019. So long as such transactions will not be excluded, the Law is relevant to Pelephone's operations with regard to the sale of devices, accessories and other equipment, in installments for which the interest rate is higher than the foregoing statutory rate. The Law is not expected to have a material effect.

Bezeq International

Bezeq International has no outstanding debt other than to Bezeq.

DBS

DBS has bank loans of NIS 20 million as at December 31, 2018 and debentures that are listed for trading on the TASE Institutional system, the balance of which is NIS 8 million.

DBS's main sources of financing are shareholders' loans or investments from Bezeq. In February 2019, Bezeq approved a credit facility or a capital investment in DBS up to a total amount of NIS 250 million over a period of 15 months.

Cash Flows

Cash Flows of Our Company

The following table summarizes our consolidated cash flows on a consolidated basis for the periods presented:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2016	2017	2018
	(NIS in millions)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,457	3,480	3,472
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,036)	(966)	(a) (2,507)
Net cash used in financing activities	(2,230)	(916)	(b) (2,200)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	191	1,598	(c) (1,235)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	619	810	(d) 2,408
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	810	2,408	(e) 1,173

Operating Activities

Consolidated cash provided by operating activities in 2018 amounted to NIS 3.5 billion (approximately \$1 billion), the same as in 2017.

Investing Activities

Consolidated cash used in investing activities in the year ended December 31, 2018, was NIS 2.5 billion (approximately \$668 million) compared with NIS 966 million used in investing activities in the year ended December 31, 2017.

Financing Activities

Consolidated cash used in financing activities in the year ended December 31, 2018 was NIS 2.2 billion (approximately \$587 million) compared to NIS 916 million in the year ended December 31, 2017. The decrease was primarily attributable to an increase in loans received and a decrease in debenture repayments.

The Bezeq Group's Cash Flows

The following table summarizes the Bezeq Group's consolidated cash flows for the periods presented:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
	(NIS in millions)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,512	3,525	3,526
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,552)	(1,148)	(1,567)
Net cash used in financing activities	(2,251)	(844)	(1,866)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents	(1,291)	1,533	93
Cash and cash equivalents as at the beginning of the period	2,181	648	555
Cash and cash equivalents as at the end of the period	890	2,181	648

Operating Activities

Consolidated cash flows provided by operating activities of the Bezeq Group in the year ended December 31, 2018 amounted to NIS 3.5 billion (approximately \$937 million) the same as in the year ended December 31, 2017. Net cash flows from operating activities remained stable, mainly due to higher net cash flows in the Domestic Fixed-Line Communications segment, as a result of changes in working capital and lower income tax payments. The increase was offset by lower net cash in the Multi-Channel Television segment, mainly due to lower operating profit and an increase in broadcasting rights.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities of the Bezeq Group in the year ended December 31, 2018 was NIS 2.55 billion (approximately \$681 million) compared to NIS 1.15 billion in the year ended December 31, 2017. The increase in net cash used in investing activities was mainly due increased investments of the Bezeq Group in short-term investments.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities by the Bezeq Group in the year ended December 31, 2018 was NIS 2.25 billion (approximately \$600 million) compared to NIS 844 million in the year ended December 31, 2017. The increase was primarily attributable to lower proceeds from issuance of debt of the Bezeq Group and lower dividends paid by Bezeq to non-controlling interests which was partially offset by lease payments classified as cash flows from financing activities instead of cash flows from operating activities as a result of implementation of IFRS 16.

Critical Accounting Policies

We adopted the critical accounting policies of Bezeq after our acquisition of the controlling interest in Bezeq. The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the valuation of assets and expenses during the reporting period. There can be no assurance that actual results will not differ from these estimates.

Effective January 1, 2018, the Bezeq Group early adopted IFRS 16, Leases. The main effect of early adoption of IFRS 16 is reflected in the cancellation of the existing requirement that lessees classify leases as operating (off-balance sheet) or financing leases. The new standard presents a uniform model for the accounting treatment of all leases, pursuant to which the lessee is to recognize the asset and the liability in respect of the lease in its financial statements. The Standard also sets out new disclosure requirements that are more extensive than the existing requirements. Accordingly, until the date of initial application, the Bezeq Group classified most of the leases in which it is the lessee as operating leases, since it did not substantially bear all the risks and rewards from the assets.

In accordance with IFRS 16, for agreements in which the Bezeq Group is the lessee, the Bezeq Group applies a unified accounting model, by which it recognizes a right-of-use asset and a lease liability at the inception of the lease contract for all the leases in which the Bezeq Group has a right to control identified assets for a specified period of time. Accordingly, the Bezeq Group recognizes depreciation and amortization expenses in respect of a right-of-use asset, tests a right-of-use asset for impairment in accordance with IAS 36, Impairment of Assets, and recognizes financing expenses on a lease liability. Therefore, as from the date of initial application, lease expenses relating to assets leased under an operating lease, which were presented as part of general and administrative expenses in the income statement, are recognized as assets and written down as depreciation and amortization expenses.

The Bezeq Group applies the standard using the cumulative effect approach without a restatement of comparative information.

In respect of all the leases, the Bezeq Group has elected to apply the transitional provision of recognizing a lease liability at the initial application date according to the present value of the future lease payments discounted at the incremental interest rate of the lessee at that date and concurrently recognizing a right-of-use asset at the same amount of the liability, adjusted for any prepaid or accrued lease payments that were recognized as an asset or liability before the date of initial application. Therefore, application of the standard did not have an effect on the balance of the Bezeq Group's retained earnings at the date of initial application.

Consolidation of the financial statements and investments in associates

Business combinations. Business combinations are accounted for by applying the acquisition method. The acquisition date is the date on which the acquirer obtains control over the acquiree. Control exists when the Bezeq Group is exposed or has rights to variable returns from its involvement with the acquiree and it has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the acquiree. Substantive rights held by the Bezeq Group and others are taken into account when assessing control.

Transactions eliminated on consolidation. Intra-group balances and income and expense arising from intra-group transactions are eliminated in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements.

Non-controlling interests. Transactions with non-controlling interests, while retaining control, are accounted for as equity transactions. Any difference between the consideration paid or received for change in non-controlling interests is included in the owners' share in equity of our company directly in retained earnings.

Associates (accounted for by the equity method). Associates are those entities in which the Bezeq Group has significant influence, but not control, over financial and operating policy. In respect of equity-accounted investments, goodwill is included in the carrying amount of the investment. When the Bezeq Group holds additional long-term interests in the associate (such as loans), which are a part of the Bezeq Group's net investment in the associate, and when the Bezeq Group's proportionate share in the additional interests is different from the Bezeq Group's share in the equity of the associate, the Bezeq Group recognizes its share in the additional losses of the associate at its proportionate share in the additional interests according to the percentage of the Bezeq Group's participation in all the levels of the additional interests and according to the order of priority of the additional levels of interests. If, subsequently, the Bezeq Group recognizes its share in the profits of the associate, the Bezeq Group will recognize its share in the profits up to the amount of the cumulative losses previously recognized.

Financial instruments

Non-derivative financial assets. Non-derivative financial assets include mainly investments in exchange traded notes, financial funds, ETFs, deposit certificates, debt instruments, shares, trade and other receivables, and cash and cash equivalents. The Bezeq Group initially recognizes loans and receivables when they are originated. All other financial assets are initially recognized at the date that the Bezeq Group becomes a party to contractual provisions of the instrument. Financial assets are derecognized when the contractual rights of the Bezeq Group to the cash flows from the asset expire, or the Bezeq Group transfers the rights to receive the contractual cash flows from the financial asset in a transaction in which substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset are transferred. Regular way sales of financial assets are recognized on the trade date, meaning on the date the Bezeq Group undertook to sell the asset.

Cash and cash equivalents. Cash comprises cash balances available for immediate use and call deposits. Cash equivalents comprise short-term highly liquid investments (with original maturities of three months or less) that are readily convertible into known amounts of cash and are exposed to insignificant risks of change in value.

Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss. A financial asset is classified at fair value through profit or loss if it is held for trading or is designated as such upon initial recognition. Upon initial recognition, attributable transaction costs are recognized in the statement of income as incurred. These financial assets are measured at fair value and changes therein are recognized in the statement of income.

Available-for-sale financial assets. The Bezeq Group's investments in shares (through a venture capital fund) are classified as available-for-sale financial assets. These investments are measured at fair value and changes therein, other than impairment losses, are recognized directly in other comprehensive income. At the date of derecognition of the investment, profits from realization of the investment and profits that were recognized in capital reserve, are recognized in profit or loss. The Bezeq Group elected to recognize profits or losses from disposal of available-for-sale financial assets under financing income or expenses.

Loans and receivables. Loans and receivables are non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market. Such assets are recognized initially at fair value plus attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, loans and receivables are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method, net of impairment losses.

Non-derivative financial liabilities. Non-derivative financial liabilities include debentures issued by the Bezeq Group, loans and borrowings from banks and other credit providers, and trade and other payables. The Bezeq Group initially recognizes debt instruments as they are incurred. Financial liabilities are initially recognized at fair value plus any attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, these financial liabilities are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method. Financial liabilities are derecognized when the obligation of the Bezeq Group, as specified in the agreement, expires or when it is discharged or canceled.

CPI-linked assets and liabilities that are not measured at fair value. The value of CPI-linked financial assets and liabilities, which are not measured at fair value, is revaluated in each period according to the actual increase in the CPI.

Offsetting financial instruments. Financial assets and liabilities are offset and the net amount presented in the statement of financial position when, and only when we or the Bezeq Group currently have a legal right to offset the amounts and intend either to settle on a net basis or to realize the asset and settle the liability simultaneously.

Change in terms of debt instruments. An exchange of debt instruments having substantially different terms, between an existing borrower and lender is accounted for as an extinguishment of the original financial liability and the recognition of a new financial liability at fair value. Furthermore, a substantial modification of the terms of the existing financial liability or part of it is accounted for as an extinguishment of the original financial liability and the recognition of a new financial liability.

The terms are substantially different if the discounted present value of the cash flows according to the new terms, including any commissions paid, less any commissions received and discounted using the original effective interest rate, is different by at least ten percent from the discounted present value of the remaining cash flows of the original financial liability.

Derivative financial instruments

Hedge accounting. The Bezeq Group holds derivative financial instruments to hedge cash flows for risks to future changes in the CPI and foreign currency exchange rate risks. Forward contracts are measured at fair value. Changes in the fair value of the derivative hedging instrument designated as a cash flow hedge are recognized through other comprehensive income, in a hedging reserve under equity, to the extent that the hedge is effective. To the extent that the hedge is ineffective, changes in fair value are recognized in profit or loss. The amount recognized in the hedging reserve is removed and included in profit or loss in the same period as the hedged cash flows affect profit or loss under the same line item in the statement of income as the hedged item.

Economic Hedges. The Bezeq Group holds other derivative financial instruments to economically hedge its exposure to foreign currency and changes in the CPI. Hedge accounting is not applied to derivative instruments that economically hedge financial assets and liabilities. Derivative instruments are recognized initially at fair value and attributable transaction costs are recognized in the statement of income as incurred. Subsequent to initial recognition, derivative financial instruments are measured at fair value and the changes in fair value are recognized in the statement of income as incurred.

Property, plant and equipment

Recognition and measurement. Items of property, plant and equipment are measured at cost less accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses. Cost includes expenditures that are directly attributable to acquisition of the asset. The cost of self-constructed assets includes the cost of materials, direct labor and financing costs as well as any other cost directly attributable to bringing the asset to the condition for its use intended by the management, and the costs of dismantling and removing the items and restoring the site on which they are located in cases where the Bezeq Group has an obligation to vacate and restore the site. The cost of purchased software that is integral to the functionality of the related equipment is recognized as part of the cost of the equipment. Spare parts, servicing equipment and stand-by equipment are classified as property, plant and equipment when they meet the definition of property, plant and equipment in IAS 16, and are otherwise classified as inventory. When major parts of the property, plant and equipment have different useful lives, they are accounted for as separate items (major components) of the property, plant and equipment. Gains or losses on disposal of property, plant and equipment are determined by comparing the proceeds from disposal with the carrying amount of the asset, and are recognized net under "other operating income" in the statement of income.

Subsequent expenditure. The cost of replacing part of an item of property, plant and equipment is recognized in the carrying amount of the item if it is probable that the future economic benefit embodied in the replaced item will flow to the Bezeq Group and its cost can be measured reliably. The costs of day-to-day servicing are recognized in the statement of income as incurred.

Depreciation. Depreciation is recognized in the statement of income on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of each part of an item of property, plant and equipment, since this most closely reflects the expected pattern of consumption of the future economic benefits embodied in the asset. Leased assets under finance lease agreements are depreciated over the shorter of the lease term and their useful lives. Depreciation of an asset starts when it is ready for use, meaning when it reaches the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management.

Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the shorter of the lease term, including the extension option held by the Bezeq Group and intended to be exercised) and the expected life of the improvement.

The estimated useful lives for the current period are as follows:

	Useful life (years)
Fixed line and international network equipment (switches, transmission, power)	4-12
Network	12-33
Subscriber equipment and installations	4-8
Equipment and infrastructure for multichannel television	3-15
Vehicles	6-7
Office and general equipment	5-10
Electronic equipment, computers and internal communication systems	3-7
Cellular network	4-10
Passive radio equipment at cellular network sites	up to December 31, 2037
Buildings	25
Seabed cable	4-25 (mainly 25)

Depreciation methods, useful lives and residual values are reviewed at least at each year and adjusted as required.

Non-current assets

Non-current assets are classified as held for sale if it is highly probable that they will be recovered primarily through a sale transaction rather than their ongoing use. These assets are presented at the lower of the carrying amount and fair value, less selling costs.

Intangible assets

Goodwill and brand name. Goodwill and brand names that arise upon the acquisition of subsidiaries are included in intangible assets. Subsequent to initial recognition, goodwill and brand names are measured at cost less accumulated impairment losses. Goodwill and brand names are measured at least once a year to assess impairment.

Software development costs. Software development costs are recognized as an intangible asset only if the development costs can be measured reliably; the software is technically and commercially feasible; and the Bezeq Group has sufficient resources to complete the development and intends to use the software. The costs recognized as an intangible asset include the cost of the materials, direct labor and overhead expenses directly attributable to preparation of the asset for its intended use. Other development costs are recognized in the statement of income as incurred. Capitalized development costs are measured at cost less amortization and accumulated impairment losses.

Software. Software that is an integral part of the hardware, which cannot function without the programs installed on it, is classified as property, plant and equipment. However, licenses for stand-alone software, which adds functionality to the hardware, is classified (mainly) as intangible assets. Software depreciation is recognized in the statement of income using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset.

Rights to frequencies. Rights to frequencies refer to Pelephone's rights to cellular communication frequencies according to a Ministry of Communications tender. Depreciation of the asset is recognized in the statement of income using the straight-line method over the license term, which is 13 years and 7 months starting from the use of the frequencies.

Other intangible assets. Other intangible assets acquired by the Bezeq Group, which have a definite useful life, are measured at cost less amortization and accumulated impairment losses.

Subsequent expenditure. Subsequent expenditure is recognized as an intangible asset only when it increases the future economic benefits embodied in the specific asset to which it relates. All other expenditures, including expenditures relating to generated goodwill and brands, are recognized in the statement of income as incurred.

Amortization. Amortization, except for goodwill, brand name and customer relationships, is recognized in the statement of income on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the intangible assets, from the date on which the assets are available for use. Goodwill and brand name are not systematically amortized but are tested for impairment at least once a year. Customer relationships are amortized according to the economic benefit expected from those customers each period based on their expected churn rate, which results in accelerated amortization during the early years of the relationship.

Estimated useful lives for the current and comparative periods are as follows:

Type of asset	Amortization period
Computer programs and software licenses	3-10 years depending on the term of the license period or the estimated time of use of the software
Customer relationships acquired in a business combination	5-7 years based on the estimated customer churn rate (using the accelerated depreciation method)
Brand acquired in a business combination	12
Frequency usage right	Over the license period up to 2028

Amortization methods and useful lives are reviewed at least once each year and adjusted if appropriate.

Leased assets

Leases, including leases of land from the ILA, where the Bezeq Group assumes substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership are classified as finance leases. Upon initial recognition, the leased asset is measured at an amount equal to the lower of its fair value and the present value of the minimum lease payments. Subsequent to initial recognition, the asset is accounted for in accordance with the accounting policy applicable to the asset. Other leases are classified as operating leases and the leased assets are not recognized in the Bezeq Group's statement of financial position. Payments made under operating leases are recognized in profit or loss on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

Determining whether an arrangement contains a lease: At inception or upon reassessment of an arrangement, the Bezeq Group determines whether such an arrangement is or contains a lease. An arrangement is a lease or contains a lease if the following two criteria are met: (1) The fulfillment of the arrangement is dependent on the use of a specific asset or assets; and (2) The arrangement contains rights to use the asset. If, in accordance with these terms, the Bezeq Group determines that the agreement does not contain a lease, the agreement is accounted for as a service agreement and payments for the service are recognized in profit or loss on a straight-line basis, over the service period.

Right of use of capacities

Transactions for acquiring an indefeasible right of use (IRU) of submarine communication cable capacities are mostly accounted for as service transactions. The prepaid expense is amortized on a straight-line basis as stated in the agreement, but for no longer than the expected estimated useful life of those capacities. Identifiable capacities which serve Bezeq exclusively meet the definition of a finance lease and are recognized in property, plant and equipment. The asset is depreciated on a straight-line basis as stated in the agreement, but for no longer than the expected estimated useful life of those capacities.

Inventory

Inventories are measured at the lower of cost and net realizable value. The group elected to base on the moving average method. The inventories of a subsidiary include terminal equipment and accessories intended for sale and service, as well as spare parts used for repairs in the repair service it provides to its customers. Slow-moving inventory of terminal equipment, accessories and spare parts are stated net of the provision for impairment.

Impairment

Non-derivative financial assets. The Bezeq Group tests a financial asset for impairment when objective evidence indicates that one or more loss events have had a negative effect on the estimated future cash flows of that asset. Significant financial assets are tested for impairment on an individual basis. The remaining financial assets are assessed for impairment collectively, in groups that share similar credit risk characteristics. The financial statements include specific provisions and Group provisions for doubtful debts, which properly reflect, in the estimation of the management, the loss inherent in debts for which collection is in doubt.

Non-financial assets. Timing of impairment testing: The carrying amounts of Bezeq Group's non-financial assets, other than inventory and deferred tax assets are reviewed at each reporting date to determine whether there is any indication of impairment. If any such indication exists, then the recoverable amount of the asset is estimated. The Bezeq Group assesses the recoverable amount of goodwill and brand name once a year, or more frequently if there are indications of impairment.

Measurement of recoverable amount: The recoverable amount of an asset or cash-generating unit is the greater of its value in use and fair value less costs to sell. In assessing value in use, the estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a pre-tax discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset or cash-generating unit, for which the estimated future cash flows from the asset or cash-generating unit were not adjusted.

Determining cash-generating units: For the purpose of impairment testing, the assets are grouped together into the smallest group of assets that generates cash from continuing use that are largely independent of other assets or groups of assets.

Allocation of goodwill to cash-generating units: For purposes of goodwill impairment testing, cash-generating units to which goodwill has been allocated are aggregated so that the level at which impairment testing is performed reflects the lowest level at which goodwill is monitored for internal reporting purposes, but in any event is not larger than an operating segment. Goodwill acquired in a business combination is allocated to cash-generating units that are expected to generate benefits from the synergies of the combination.

For purposes of goodwill impairment testing, when the non-controlling interests are initially measured according to their relative share of the acquiree's net identifiable assets, the carrying amount of the goodwill is adjusted according to the share which the Group holds in the cash-generating unit to which the goodwill is allocated.

Investments in equity-accounted investees. An investment in an associate is tested for impairment when objective evidence indicates that there has been impairment. Goodwill that forms part of the carrying amount of an investment in an associate is not recognized separately, and therefore is not tested for impairment separately.

Employee benefits

Post-employment benefits. The Bezeq Group has a number of post-employment benefit plans. The plans are usually financed by deposits with insurance companies and they are classified as defined contribution plans and defined benefit plans.

Defined contribution plans. A defined contribution plan is a post-employment benefit plan under which the Bezeq Group pays fixed contributions into a separate entity and has no legal or constructive obligation to pay further amounts. The Bezeq Group's obligations for contributions to defined contribution pension plans are recognized as an employee benefit expense in the statement of income in the periods during which services are rendered by employees.

Defined benefit plans. The Bezeq Group's net obligation in respect of defined benefit pension plans is calculated separately for each plan by estimating the amount of future benefit that employees have earned in return for their service in the current and prior periods. That benefit is presented at its present value and the fair value of any plan assets is deducted. The discount rate is the yield at the reporting date on high quality corporate bonds denominated in NIS, that have maturity dates similar to the terms of the Bezeq Group's obligations. The calculation is performed annually by a qualified actuary. Net interest costs on a defined benefit plan are calculated by applying the discount rate used to measure the defined benefit obligation at the beginning of the annual period to the then-net defined benefit liability. The Bezeq Group elected to recognize the interest costs that were recognized in the statement of income under financing expenses. Re-measurement of the net defined benefit liability comprises actuarial gains and losses and the return on plan assets (excluding interest). Re-measurements are recognized immediately directly in retained earnings through other comprehensive income. When the benefits of a plan are improved or reduced, the portion of the increased benefit relating to past service by employees or the gain or loss from the reduction are recognized immediately in the statement of income when the plan improvement or reduction occurs.

Other long-term employee benefits. Bezeq Group's net obligation in respect of long-term employee benefits other than pension plans is the amount of future benefit that employees have earned in return for their service in the current and prior periods. The amount of these benefits is stated at its present value. The discount rate is the yield at the reporting date on high quality corporate bonds denominated in NIS, that have maturity dates similar to the terms of the Bezeq Group's obligations. The calculation is performed using the projected unit credit method. Any actuarial gains or losses are recognized in the statement of income in the period in which they arise.

Benefits for early retirement and dismissal. Employment termination benefits are recognized as an expense when the Bezeq Group is committed demonstrably, without realistic possibility of withdrawal, to a formal detailed plan to terminate employment before the normal retirement date. Termination benefits for voluntary redundancies are recognized as an expense if the Bezeq Group has made an offer of voluntary redundancy, it is probable that the offer will be accepted, and the number of acceptances can be estimated reliably.

Short-term benefits. Short-term employee benefit obligations are measured on an undiscounted basis and are expensed as the related service is provided. A liability is recognized for the amount expected to be paid under short-term cash bonus or profit-sharing plans if the Bezeq Group has a present legal or constructive obligation to pay this amount as a result of past service provided by the employee and the obligation can be estimated reliably. The employee benefits are classified, for measurement purposes, as short-term benefits or as other long-term benefits depending on the date when the benefits are expected to be wholly settled. In the statement of financial position, the employee benefits are classified as current benefits or as non-current benefits according to the time the liability is due to be settled.

Share-based payments. The fair value on the grant date of options for Bezeq shares granted to employees is recognized as a salary expense with a corresponding increase in equity over the period during which the employee becomes entitled to the options. The amount recognized as an expense is adjusted to reflect the actual number of share options that are expected to vest. The fair value of the amount payable to employees in respect of share-based payments, which are settled in cash, is recognized as an expense with a corresponding increase in liabilities, over the period that the employees become entitled to payment. The liability is re-measured at each reporting date until the settlement date. Any changes in the fair value of the liability are recognized in the statement of income. The Bezeq Group elected to recognize the changes in fair value of the liabilities under salary expenses.

Provisions

A provision is recognized if, as a result of a past event, the Bezeq Group has a present legal or constructive obligation that can be estimated reliably, and it is probable that an outflow of economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation.

Legal claims. Contingent liabilities are accounted for according to IAS 37 and its related provisions. Accordingly, the claims are classified by likelihood of realization of the exposure to risk, as follows:

- More likely than not-more than 50% probability;
- Possible-probability higher than unlikely and less than 50%; or
- Remote-probability of 10% or less.

For claims which the Bezeq Group has a legal or constructive obligation as a result of a past event, which are more likely than not to be realized, the financial statements include provisions which, in the opinion of the Bezeq Group, based on the opinions of its legal advisers retained in respect of those claims, are appropriate to the circumstances of each case, despite the claims being denied by the Bezeq Group companies. There are also a few legal proceedings, received recently, for which the risks cannot be assessed at this stage, therefore no provisions have been made.

Site dismantling and clearing costs. A provision in respect of an obligation to dismantle and clear sites is recognized for those rental agreements where the Bezeq Group has an undertaking to restore the rental property to its original state at the end of the rental period, after dismantling and transferring the site, and restoring it as necessary. The provisions are determined by discounting the expected future cash flows. The carrying amount of the provision is adjusted each period to reflect the time that has passed and is recognized as a financing expense.

Warranty. A Bezeq Group subsidiary recognizes a provision for warranty in respect of first-year insurance for cellular handsets. The warranty is limited to technical malfunctions defined by the subsidiary, and does not include a warranty as a result of customer caused damage. However, an asset exists in respect of the manufacturer's warranty for those handsets, which is limited to technical malfunctions defined by the manufacturer.

C. Research and Development, Patents and Licenses

We did not engage in any research and development during the last three fiscal years.

D. Trend Information

The Bezeq Group's revenues decreased to NIS 9.32 billion for the year ended December 31, 2018 due to reduced revenues in all of the Bezeq Group's main segments. The continued decline in overall revenues reflects the continuing competition in the communications industry in Israel.

E. Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are not a party to any material off-balance sheet arrangements. In addition, we have no unconsolidated special purpose financing or partnership entities that are likely to create material contingent obligations.

F. Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our (including B Communications' and Bezeq's) minimum contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of December 31, 2018 and the effect we expect them to have on our liquidity and cash flow in future periods:

	Total	less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	more than 5 years
Long-term debt obligations (including interest)	16,356	2,322	4,733	4,217	5,083
Operating lease obligations	1,659	446	552	300	361
Purchase obligations	470	470	-	-	-
Total	18,485	3,238	5,285	4,517	5,444

ITEM 6. DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES

A. Directors and Senior Management

Set forth below are the name, age, principal position and a biographical description of each of our directors and executive officers:

Name	Age	Position
Our Directors and Senior Management		
Moshe Lusky	65	Chairman of the Board of Directors
Dudi Ezra ⁽¹⁾	48	External Director
Shoshana Shidlo ⁽¹⁾	52	External Director
Yahel Shachar ⁽¹⁾	57	Director
Doron Turgeman	51	Chief Executive Officer
Itzik Tadmor	38	Principal Financial Officer
Bezeq Group's Executive Management		
Dudu Mizrahi	49	Chief Executive Officer of Bezeq
Yahali Rotenberg	47	Chief Financial Officer of the Bezeq Group
Ran Guron	49	Chief Executive Officer of Pelephone, DBS and Bezeq International

(1) Member of our Audit and Compensation Committees.

Messrs. Moshe Lusky and Yahel Shachar will serve as directors until our 2019 annual general meeting of shareholders. Mr. Dudi Ezra and Ms. Shoshana Shidlo each serve as an external director pursuant to the provisions of the Israeli Companies Law for a second three-year term until August 2020 (see Item 6C. "Directors, Senior Management and Employees - Board Practices - External and Independent Directors - External Directors").

In June 2018, the special appointed managers of our controlling shareholder, Eurocom Communications, have accepted the recommendation of our Board of Directors for the appointment of two new directors to our company's Board, Messrs. Gilad Sher and Yahel Shachar. The appointment was made in lieu of the services of Mr. Felix Cohen and Mr. Yossef Elovitch, who notified the company, on their own initiative, of the termination of their terms of service immediately upon the appointment of the new directors.

Also in June 2018, our Board of Directors appointed two new additional independent directors, Messrs. Yuval Bronstein and Ilan Cohen. In August 2018, Ms. Anat Winner resigned as director of the company due to personal reasons.

In January 2019, we announced that Mr. Ami Barlev will end his tenure as Chairman of the Board and director and will continue to serve as a director of B Communications.

In February 2019, Messrs. Gilad Sher, Ilan Cohen and Yuval Bronstein resigned as directors of the company.

In March 2019, we announced that Board of Directors has elected Mr. Moshe Lusky as our Chairman of the Board.

Ron Eilon, who served as Chief Executive Officer of DBS for 12 years, left his position in June 2018. Ran Guron, CEO of Pelephone, became CEO of DBS effective August 1, 2018.

Dudu Mizrahi was appointed as CEO of Bezeq effective September 1, 2018.

Moti Elmaliach stepped down as CEO of Bezeq International in December 2018 but remained as the COO. Ran Guron became CEO of Bezeq International in addition to his CEO duties at Pelephone and DBS.

Our Directors

Moshe Lusky has served as a director since August 2018 and as our Chairman of the Board since March 2019. Mr. Lusky has been the Chairman of I.C.P. Israel Citrus Plantations Ltd. (TASE: CTPL5) since 2009. He has served as Chairman of Ludan Engineering Co. Ltd. (TASE: LUDN) since 2009 until May 2017. He is a director of Milano Bedding Ltd. and Sigavion Holdings Ltd. He was a founding member of the Israeli law firm Dankner Lusky & Co., which was founded in 1984 and merged with Goldfarb, Levy, Eran & Co. in 2005. Mr. Lusky holds an LLB degree from the Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

Dudi Ezra has served as an external director since August 2014. He has served as a financial officer in multiple companies of the Ituran group, including Carnet On Line Ltd., Hotas Holdings Ltd., Gear Protection Ltd and Hapoel Ironi Kiryat Shmona Football Club since 1996. From 1998 to 2004, Mr. Ezra served as a director of Ituran Location and Control Ltd., which is dual-listed on NASDAQ and the TASE. Mr. Ezra holds a B.A. degree in Accounting and Business from Baruch College of the City University of New York and is a certified public accountant in Israel and in the United States.

Shoshana Shidlo has served as an external director since August 2014. She has provided internal audit services to various organizations since 1996. Ms. Shidlo holds a B.A. degree in Accounting and Economics from Tel-Aviv University. She is a certified public accountant in Israel and a certified internal auditor in the United States.

Yahel Shachar was appointed to our Board in June 2018. Mr. Shachar serves as a director of Satcom Systems Ltd. (TASE: STCM) (since 2018 and as a director of the Management Company of the Study Fund of Governmental Workers Ltd. since early this year. Mr. Shachar was an active chairman of Synergy Cables Ltd., Starhom Mach Ltd. and of Imco Industries Ltd. (TASE: IMCO) between 2015 and 2017. Mr. Shachar was also a director of Partner Communications Company Ltd. (NASDAQ and TASE: PTNR) between 2009 and 2014. From 2006 until 2014, Mr. Shachar served as Chief Executive Officer of Scailex Corporation Ltd. (TASE: SCIX) (he joined Scailex in December 2001 as Chief Financial Officer) and as the Chief Executive Officer of Suny Electronics Ltd. from 2010 until 2014 (TASE: SUNY). Mr. Shachar also served as Chairman of the Board of Directors of Tapuz Anashim Ltd. Before joining Scailex, Mr. Shachar served as Chief Operating Officer at BVR Technologies Ltd. for three years. Mr. Shachar holds an LL.B. degree from Tel-Aviv University and an LL.M. degree from Georgetown University in Washington, D.C., and he is a member of the Directors Team of the Israeli Companies Authority.

Our Senior Management

Doron Turgeman has served as our chief executive officer since October 2011. Mr. Turgeman also serves as the chief executive officer of B Communications. Mr. Turgeman was appointed as a Director of Bezeq in March 2019. Previously, Mr. Turgeman served as our and B Communications' Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Finance and served as a member of our board of directors from January 2008 to February 2010. He also serves as a director of XTL Biopharmaceuticals Ltd. (NASDAQ: XTLB, TASE: XTL), Klein International Group Limited and MDG Real Estate Global Limited. Mr. Turgeman holds a B.A. degree in Economics and Accounting from the Hebrew University of Jerusalem and is a certified public accountant in Israel.

Itzik Tadmor has served as our company's Principal Financial Officer since May 2015. Mr. Tadmor served as our company's controller from September 2011 until May 2015. Prior to joining our company, he worked at PriceWaterhouseCoopers (PwC) for six years. Mr. Tadmor received a bachelor's degree in Accounting and Economics and an M.B.A. degree from Tel-Aviv University. Mr. Tadmor is also a Certified Public Accountant in Israel.

Executive Management of the Bezeq Group

Dudu Mizrahi was appointed as CEO of Bezeq effective September 1, 2018. Prior to his appointment, Mr. Mizrahi served as Deputy CEO of Tnuva. Prior to that, Mr. Mizrahi served as Deputy CEO and Chief Financial Officer of the Bezeq Group for four years. Previously, he acted as VP Economics & Budgeting of Bezeq, and in total worked for 20 years at Bezeq.

Yahali Rotenberg has served as VP Finance of Bezeq and Chief Financial Officer of the Bezeq Group since September 2017. Between 2012 to 2017, Mr. Rotenberg was the Deputy Chief Accountant, Head of Finance and Credit Division at Israel's Treasury Department. Mr. Rotenberg also served as a director of Ashdod Port Ltd. between 2014 and 2016. Mr. Rotenberg holds a B.A. degree in Economics from Touro University, New York, and an M.B.A. degree majoring in Finance from Bar Ilan University.

Ran Guron has served as the chief executive officer of Pelephone since November 2015, as the chief executive officer of DBS since August 2018 and as the chief executive officer of Bezeq International since December 2018. Previously, he served as the Deputy CEO and VP Marketing of Bezeq from March 2011 to October 2015. Before becoming Deputy CEO at Bezeq, he was VP Marketing – CMO at Bezeq for 5 years. From 2003 to 2005, Mr. Guron was VP Marketing at 013 Barak (currently 013 Netvision Ltd.) He serves as Mentor for Microsoft Ventures Accelerator Tel-Aviv program for startups. Mr. Guron is the founder of the Yair Guron Memorial Scholarship R&D Fund in the Arava region. Mr. Guron holds an M.B.A. degree and a B.A. degree in Economics and Business Administration from the Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

B. Compensation

We have two executive officers, Doron Turgeman, our chief executive officer and Itzik Tadmor, our principal financial officer, and four directors. Other than such officers, we have four additional employees who are engaged in management, financial and administrative activities. The aggregate direct compensation we paid to our directors as a group for the year ended December 31, 2018 was NIS 1.4 million (approximately \$385 thousands). This amount includes director's compensation, but does not include expenses such as business travel, professional and business association dues and expenses reimbursed to officers and other fringe benefits commonly reimbursed or paid by companies in Israel. As of December 31, 2018, no amount was reserved for pension, retirement, recreation payments and vacation or similar benefits for our directors and CEO.

For so long as we qualify as a foreign private issuer, we are not required to comply with the proxy rules applicable to U.S. domestic companies, including the requirement to disclose information concerning the amount and type of compensation paid to our chief executive officer and principal financial officer, rather than on an aggregate, basis. Nevertheless, a recent amendment to the regulations promulgated under the Israeli Companies Law requires us to disclose the annual compensation of our five most highly compensated officers (or all the named executive officers if there are less than five) on an individual basis, rather than on an aggregate basis, as was previously permitted for Israeli public companies listed overseas. Under the Companies Law regulations, this disclosure is required to be included in the notice of our annual meeting of shareholders each year or in a public document that accompanies such notice, which we furnish to the SEC under cover of a Report of Foreign Private Issuer on Form 6-K. The Companies Law regulations permit us to refer to a report filed pursuant to the laws of the country in which our shares are listed for trading that includes the required information in lieu of its inclusion in the notice of annual meeting. Because of that disclosure requirement under Israeli law, we are including such information in this annual report, pursuant to the disclosure requirements of Form 20-F.

The following table includes information for the year ended December 31, 2018 concerning compensation for our Named Executive Officers (for 2018). All amounts are in US dollars:

	Doron Turgeman (Chief Executive Officer) ¹	Itzik Tadmor (Principal Financial Officer)
Annual fixed salary	141,000	38,500
Retention Bonus (treated as salary costs in the company's financial statements).	31,000	2,500
Annual and special Bonus (treated as salary costs in the company's financial statements).	-	-
Car Expenses, including lease costs, gas and maintenance, provided to the officers (treated as management and general costs in our company's financial statements).	6,000	4,250

For 2018 we paid each of our external directors, as well as to our independent director, annual fees of NIS 69 thousands (approximately \$18 thousands) and a per meeting attendance fee of NIS 2.6 thousands (approximately \$700). Such fees are paid based on the "Fixed" fees set forth in regulations promulgated under the Israeli Companies Law. According to the regulations, an external director (and our independent director) is entitled to 60% of the per meeting fee if he or she participated in the meeting by means of communication and not in person, and to 50% of the per meeting fee if resolutions were approved in writing, without convening a meeting.

Our internal auditor, who is also deemed to be an "officer" in accordance with the Israeli Companies Law, received approximately NIS 65 thousand (approximately \$17 thousands) for his services in 2018. Such costs are treated as General and Operating costs in our financial statements.

C. Board Practices

According to the Israeli Companies Law-1999, or the Israeli Companies Law, and our articles of association, the management of our business is vested in our board of directors. Our board of directors may exercise all powers and take all actions that are not specifically granted to our shareholders. Our executive officers are responsible for our day-to-day management and have individual responsibilities established by our chief executive officer and the board of directors. Executive officers are appointed by and serve at the discretion of our board of directors, subject to any applicable agreements.

Election of Directors

Our articles of association provide for a board of directors consisting of no less than two and no more than ten directors or such other number as may be determined from time to time at a general meeting of shareholders. Our current board of directors consists of six directors.

In accordance with our articles of association and the Israeli Companies Law, all of our directors (other than our external directors) are elected at annual meetings of our shareholders, which are required to be held at least once during every calendar year and not more than 15 months after the last preceding meeting. Except for our external directors, our directors are elected by a vote of the holders of a majority of the voting power represented and voting at such meeting and hold office until the next annual meeting of shareholders following the annual meeting at which they were appointed. The general meeting of shareholders may remove any director from office, other than an external director, by an ordinary resolution, subject to applicable law. Our board of directors may temporarily fill vacancies in the board of directors until the next general meeting at which directors are appointed, if the total number of directors does not exceed the maximum number permitted under our articles of association. The board of directors is entitled to remove from office any director appointed by it.

The board of directors of an Israeli public company is required to determine that at least one or more directors will have “accounting and financial expertise,” as defined by regulations promulgated under the Israeli Companies Law. Our board of directors determined, accordingly, that at least two directors must have “accounting and financial expertise.” Our Board of Directors has further determined that Mr. Dudi Ezra, Ms. Shoshana Shidlo and Mr. Yehel Shachar have the requisite “accounting and financial expertise.”

As a controlled company within the meaning of the NASDAQ Stock Market Rules, we are exempt from the NASDAQ requirement regarding the nomination process of directors, and instead, follow Israeli law and practice, in accordance with which directors may be recommended by our board of directors for election by our shareholders. See Item 16G. “Corporate Governance - NASDAQ Exemptions for a Controlled Company.”

External and Independent Directors

External Directors

Under the Israeli Companies Law, companies incorporated under the laws of the State of Israel whose shares have been offered to the public are required to appoint at least two external directors. The external directors must meet certain statutory requirements of independence.

At least one of the external directors must have “accounting and financial expertise” and any other external director must have “accounting and financial expertise” or “professional qualification,” as such terms are defined by regulations promulgated under the Israeli Companies Law.

The external directors are elected for their first term of office by shareholders at a general meeting, provided that either:

- The majority of shares voting on the matter (not including abstentions), including at least a majority of the shares of the non-controlling shareholders (and of shareholders who do not have a personal interest in the election of the external director as a result of their relationship with the controlling shareholder) voting on the matter, vote in favor of the external director; or
- The majority of shares voting on the matter (not including abstentions) vote in favor of the external director and the total number of ordinary shares held by non-controlling shareholders (and of shareholders who do not have a personal interest in the election of the external director as a result of their relationship with the controlling shareholder) that voted against the election of the external director does not exceed 2% of all of the voting rights in the company.

In general, external directors serve for a three-year term and may be reelected to two additional three-year terms if one of the following conditions is met:

- One or more shareholders holding at least 1% of the voting rights in the company nominated the external director for an additional term of office and the appointment was approved by a majority of the shares voting on the matter, not including votes of controlling shareholders or shareholders who have a personal interest in the election of the external director as a result of their relationship with the controlling shareholder; and provided that the total number of shares held by non-controlling persons and by persons who have no personal interest in the appointment of the external director as a result of their relationship with the controlling shareholder, who voted in favor of the election of the nominee, exceeds 2% of the voting rights in the company; or
- The board of directors proposed the nominee for an additional term of office, and the election was approved by the general meeting of shareholders by the majority required for the election of an external director for a first term of office, as described above.

External directors can be removed from office only by the same special percentage of shareholders as can elect them, or by a court, and then only if the external directors cease to meet the statutory qualifications with respect to their appointment or if they violate their duty of loyalty to the company. If an external directorship becomes vacant, the board of directors is required under the Israeli Companies Law to convene a shareholders meeting immediately to appoint a new external director.

Each committee of the board of directors that is authorized to exercise powers vested in the board of directors must include at least one external director, and the audit committee and compensation committee must include all the external directors. An external director is entitled to compensation as provided in regulations adopted under the Israeli Companies Law and is otherwise prohibited from receiving any other compensation, directly or indirectly, in connection with such service.

Mr. Dudi Ezra and Ms. Shoshana Shidlo each serve as an external director pursuant to the provisions of the Israeli Companies Law for a second three-year term until August 2020. Both have “accounting and financial expertise,” as such term is defined under the Israeli Companies Law.

Independent Directors

In general, NASDAQ Stock Market Rules require that a NASDAQ-listed company have a majority of independent directors on its board of directors and its audit committee must consist solely of independent directors, as defined under NASDAQ Stock Market Rules. Because Eurocom Communications owns more than 50% of our ordinary shares, we are considered a “controlled company” within the meaning of NASDAQ Stock Market Rules. Accordingly, we are exempt from certain requirements under NASDAQ Stock Market Rules, such as the requirement to have a majority of independent directors on our board of directors. If the “controlled company” exemption would cease to be available to us under the NASDAQ Stock Market Rules, we may instead elect to follow Israeli law and would not be required to elect any additional independent directors.

Pursuant to the Israeli Companies Law, a director may be qualified as an independent director if such director is either (i) an external director; or (ii) a director who complies with the following requirements: (y) he or she is eligible for nomination as an external director and the audit committee has approved such eligibility; and (z) he or she has not acted as a director of the company for a period exceeding nine consecutive years.

Our board of directors has determined that each of Mr. Dudi Ezra and Ms. Shoshana Shidlo (both external directors under Israeli law) and all are other current directors as well qualify as an independent director under the requirements of the SEC, NASDAQ and Israeli law.

Audit Committee

Under the Israeli Companies Law, the board of directors of any public company must establish an audit committee. The audit committee must consist of at least three directors, must include all of the external directors and must have a majority of independent directors, as such terms are defined in the Israeli Companies Law. The audit committee may not include the chairman of the board of directors, any director employed by the company or by the controlling shareholder of the company or by a company controlled by the controlling shareholder or any director who provides services on a regular basis to the company or the controlling shareholder or a company controlled by a controlling shareholder, or a director that is financially dependent on the controlling shareholder, or a controlling shareholder or any of the controlling shareholder’s relatives.

Our audit committee also serves, in compliance with the Israeli Companies Law and Israeli Companies Regulations (Provisions and Conditions regarding the Financial Statements' Authorization Process), 2010 as the committee of our board of directors that is required to examine our financial statements.

In addition, the NASDAQ Stock Market Rules require us to establish an audit committee comprised of at least three members, all of whom must be independent directors, each of whom is financially literate and satisfies the respective "independence" requirements of the SEC and NASDAQ and one of whom has accounting or related financial management expertise at senior levels within a company.

Our audit committee assists our Board of Directors in overseeing the accounting and financial reporting processes of our company and audits of our financial statements, including the integrity of our financial statements, compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, our independent registered public accountants' qualifications and independence, the performance of our internal audit function and independent registered public accountants, finding any defects in the business management of our company and proposing to our Board of Directors ways to correct such defects, approving related-party transactions as required by Israeli law, and such other duties as may be directed by our Board of Directors. The role of our audit committee also includes the determination of whether certain related party transactions are regarded as material, extraordinary, or insignificant, the review of the internal audit program and the operation of the internal auditor, as well as setting procedures for whistleblower protection.

Our audit committee consists of three members of our Board of Directors who satisfy the respective "independence" requirements of the SEC, NASDAQ and Israeli law for audit committee members. Our audit committee is currently composed of Mr. Ezra, Ms. Shidlo, Mr. Shachar. Our Board of Directors has determined that all members qualify as an audit committee financial expert. The audit committee meets at least once each quarter. Our audit committee charter is available on our website at www.igld.com.

Compensation Committee

Our Board of Directors has established a Compensation Committee consisting of our three external directors (within the meaning of the Israeli Companies Law) who are also the members of our audit committee. The three members satisfy the "independence" requirements of the SEC, NASDAQ and Israeli law for audit committee members. A compensation committee must be comprised of no fewer than three members and must include all of the external directors, whom must also constitute a majority of its members. All other members of the compensation committee must be directors who receive compensation that is in compliance with regulations promulgated under the Israeli Companies Law. In addition, the chairperson of the compensation committee must be an external director. Directors who are not qualified to serve on the audit committee may not serve on the compensation committee.

The compensation committee is responsible for: (i) making recommendations to the board of directors with respect to the approval of the compensation policy applicable to the company's office holders and any extensions thereto. The compensation policy must be based on those considerations, must include those provisions and needs to reference those matters as are detailed in the Israeli Companies Law; (ii) providing the board of directors with recommendations with respect to any amendments or updates to the compensation policy and periodically reviewing the implementation thereof; (iii) reviewing and approving arrangements with respect to the terms of office and employment of office holders; and (iv) determining whether or not to exempt a transaction with a candidate for chief executive officer from shareholder approval.

Our Compensation Committee adopted a compensation policy and will be required to approve our compensation policies at least once every three years. The compensation policy was approved by our board of directors, after considering the recommendations of our Compensation Committee, and by our shareholders by a special majority in accordance with the Israeli Companies Law. In May 2019, an amended compensation policy was approved by our shareholders.

Internal Auditor

Under the Israeli Companies Law, the board of directors of a public company must appoint an internal auditor nominated by the audit committee. The role of the internal auditors includes the responsibility to examine whether a company's actions comply with applicable law and orderly business procedure. The internal auditor must meet certain statutory requirements of independence. In determining the ownership or voting interest of a person, Israeli law is expansive and aggregates that person's direct and indirect holdings, including the holdings of certain affiliates, relatives and associates. Mr. Ilan Chaikin currently serves as our internal auditor.

Exculpation, Indemnification and Insurance of Directors and Officers

Indemnification of Office Holders

Under the Israeli Companies Law and the Israeli Securities Law, 5738-1968, or the Israeli Securities Law, a company may, if permitted by its articles of association, indemnify an office holder for any of the following liabilities or expenses that they may incur due to an act performed or failure to act in his or her capacity as the company's office holder, either pursuant to an undertaking given by the company in advance of the act or following the act:

- monetary liability imposed on the office holder in favor of a third party in a judgment, including a settlement or an arbitral award confirmed by a court. However, if a company undertakes to indemnify an office holder in advance of such a liability, the undertaking must be limited to foreseeable events based on the company's activities when the company undertook such indemnification, and to amounts or standards that the board of directors has determined are reasonable under the circumstances;
- reasonable litigation expenses, including attorneys' fees, expended by an office holder as a result of an investigation or proceeding instituted against the office holder by a competent authority, provided that such investigation or proceeding concludes without the filing of an indictment against the office holder and either: no financial liability was imposed on the office holder in lieu of criminal proceedings, or a financial liability was imposed on the office holder in lieu of criminal proceedings with respect to an alleged criminal offense that does not require proof of criminal intent;
- reasonable litigation expenses, including attorneys' fees, expended by the office holder or for which the office holder is charged by a court:
 - in an action brought against the office holder by the company, on behalf of the company or on behalf of a third party,
 - in a criminal action from which the office holder is acquitted, or
 - in a criminal action in which the office holder is convicted of a criminal offense which does not require proof of criminal intent.
- a payment that the office holder is obligated to make to an injured party pursuant to Section 52(54)(a)(1)(a) of the Israeli Securities Law, and expenses that the office holder incurred in connection with an administrative proceeding under the Israeli Securities Law, including reasonable litigation expenses and attorney fees.

Insurance of Office Holders

A company may, if permitted by its articles of association and subject to the conditions set forth in the Israeli Companies Law, obtain insurance for an office holder against liabilities incurred in his or her capacity as an office holder. These liabilities include a breach of duty of care to the company or a third-party, a breach of duty of loyalty and any monetary liability imposed on the office holder in favor of a third-party.

In addition, under the Israeli Securities Law, a company may, if permitted by its articles of association, obtain insurance for an office holder against liabilities incurred in his or her capacity as an office holder in connection with a payment that the office holder is obligated to make to an injured party pursuant to Section 52(54)(a)(1)(a) of the Israeli Securities Law, and expenses that the office holder incurred in connection with an administrative proceeding under the Israeli Securities Law, including reasonable litigation expenses and attorney fees.

Exculpation of Office Holders

Under the Israeli Companies Law, a company may, if permitted by its articles of association, also exculpate an office holder from a breach of duty of care in advance of that breach. A company may not exculpate an office holder from a breach of duty of loyalty towards the company or from a breach of duty of care concerning dividend distribution or a purchase of the company's shares by the company or other entities controlled by the company.

Limitations on Exculpation, Insurance and Indemnification

Under the Israeli Companies Law, a company may indemnify or insure an office holder against a breach of duty of loyalty only to the extent that the office holder acted in good faith and had reasonable grounds to assume that the action would not prejudice the company. In addition, a company may not indemnify, insure or exculpate an office holder against a breach of duty of care if committed intentionally or recklessly (excluding mere negligence), or committed with the intent to derive an unlawful personal gain, or for a fine or forfeit levied against the office holder in connection with a criminal offense. According to the Israeli Administrative Enforcement Law, a company cannot insure or indemnify an office holder for an administrative enforcement procedure, regarding payments to victims of the infringement or for expenses expended by the officer with respect to certain proceedings held concerning him or her, including reasonable litigation expenses and legal fees.

Pursuant to the Israeli Companies Law, exculpation of, procurement of insurance coverage for, and an undertaking to indemnify or indemnification of, our office holders must be approved by our Compensation Committee and our Board of Directors and, if the office holder is a director or the chief executive officer, also by our shareholders.

Our articles of association allow us to insure, indemnify and exempt our office holders to the fullest extent permitted by law, subject to the provisions of the Israeli Companies Law and Israeli Securities Law. Our directors and officers insurance policy has a coverage of \$15,000,000 for a claim and in the aggregate and a premium of approximately \$335,000 for the period of November 1, 2018 to April 30, 2020. The amended policy also includes side “A” directors and officer’s liability coverage, which is for the benefit of our Directors and Executive Officers, but only in situations where coverage under the General Policy has been exhausted or is otherwise insufficient or unavailable. The total coverage for each individual claim and in the aggregate in the side “A” policy is up to \$10,000,000 for the claim and for the entire period of the insurance period, plus reasonable legal expenses beyond the limit of liability in accordance with the provisions of Section 66 of the Insurance Contract Law, 5741-1981. It carries a premium of approximately \$167,000 for the period of 1 November 1, 2018 to April 30, 2020. According to our compensation policy, the acquisition, extension, renewal or replacement of any such directors’ and officers’ liability insurance may be approved solely by our compensation committee provided that (i) the liability coverage does not exceed \$35,000,000 (for each claim and in the aggregate) and the aggregate annual premium does not exceed \$500,000, the side “A” directors and officers liability coverage does not exceed \$25,000,000 (for each claim and in the aggregate) and its aggregate annual premium does not exceed \$250,000; and (ii) the insurance is on market terms and shall not have a material impact on our profitability, assets or liabilities.” Side “A” coverage is only for the benefit of the company’s directors and executive officers and only in situations where coverage under the General Policy has been exhausted or is otherwise insufficient or unavailable.

D. Employees

On December 31, 2018, we had 5 employees, some of whom also provided services to B Communications. Our direct employees are all located in Israel and are not represented by any labor union. Since our inception, we have not experienced any labor-related work stoppages and believe that our relations with our employees are good.

As of December 31, 2018, the Bezeq Group employed 11,132 persons, of whom 5,494 persons were employed by Bezeq, 2,453 persons were employed by Pelephone, 1,653 persons were employed by Bezeq International and 1,532 persons were employed by DBS.

As of December 31, 2017, the Bezeq Group employed 11,677 persons, of whom 5,582 persons were employed by Bezeq, 2,551 persons were employed by Pelephone, 1,864 persons were employed by Bezeq International and 1,680 persons were employed by DBS.

As of December 31, 2016, the Bezeq Group employed 11,901 persons, of whom 5,649 persons were employed by Bezeq, 2,594 persons were employed by Pelephone, 1,905 persons were employed by Bezeq International and 1,753 persons were employed by DBS.

Israeli labor laws and regulations are applicable to our employees. Israeli labor laws govern the length of the workday, minimum wages for employees, procedures for hiring and dismissing employees, determination of severance pay, annual leave, sick days and other conditions of employment. Israeli law generally requires severance pay upon the retirement or death of an employee or termination of employment by our company. For those employees who are entitled to a pension arrangement, we fund future severance pay obligations by contributing to managers’ insurance or other pension arrangements. A provision in our financial statements covers severance pay to those employees who are not entitled to managers’ insurance or other pension arrangements. Furthermore, our employees and we are required to make payments to the National Insurance Institute, which is similar to the U.S. Social Security Administration. Such amounts also include payments by the employee for health insurance.

Labor relations with Bezeq's employees involved in fixed-line communications are regulated by the collective agreements among Bezeq, the workers representatives and the Histadrut, as well as by personal contracts. Additionally, expansion orders to certain general collective agreements apply Bezeq's employees, such as cost-of-living increment agreements.

E. Share Ownership

As of May 14, 2019, none of our directors and executive officers beneficially owns any of our ordinary shares.

ITEM 7. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

A. Major Shareholders

The following table sets forth certain information as of May 14, 2019 regarding the beneficial ownership by all shareholders known to us to own beneficially 5% or more of our ordinary shares:

Name	Number of Ordinary Shares Beneficially Owned⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Ownership⁽²⁾
Eurocom Communications ⁽³⁾	15,308,966	54.67%
Altshuler-Shaham ⁽⁴⁾	3,169,016	11.30%

- (1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Ordinary shares relating to options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of the date of this table, are deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of the person holding such securities but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of any other person. Except as indicated by footnote, and subject to community property laws where applicable, the persons named in the table above have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares shown as beneficially owned by them.
- (2) The percentages shown are based on 28,003,186 ordinary shares issued and outstanding (which exclude 5,862,615 ordinary shares held as treasury shares) as of May 14, 2019.
- (3) Eurocom Communications, managed by attorneys Pinchas Rubin, Amnon Lorch and Uri Gaon as special managers, pursuant to a Tel Aviv District Court decision and an approval by the Israeli Ministry of Communications, is the beneficial holder of 15,308,966 of our ordinary shares.
- (4) Based on a Schedule 13G filed by Altshuler-Shaham Ltd., Altshuler Shaham Provident Funds, Altshuler Shaham Mutual Funds and Gilad Altshuler on June 25, 2018.

Significant Changes in the Ownership of Major Shareholders

Eurocom Communications reported sales of our ordinary shares in its Schedules 13D/A. In 2017 Eurocom reported the sale of 60,099 ordinary shares. On June 26, 2018, Eurocom Communications reported on Schedule 13D/A that it participated in the private placement of our company and held 15,308,966 or 54.67% of our ordinary shares. On October 24, 2018, Eurocom Communications filed another Schedule 13D/A to report that on October 21, the Special Managers received an approval from the Israeli Minister of Communications effective as of May 3, 2018, confirming that the Special Managers be designated as the controlling persons of Eurocom Communications Ltd., and as holders of the control permit as required pursuant to Israel's Communications (Telecommunications And Broadcasting) Order (Determination Of Essential Service Provided By "Bezeq" The Israel Telecommunication Corp.).

Dov Yelin, Yair Lapidot and Yelin Lapidot Holdings Management Ltd., or Yelin Lapidot, filed amendments to Schedule 13G reflecting ownership levels of 7.87% as of December 31, 2016 and 8.49% as of December 31, 2017. On February 11, 2019, Yelin Lapidot reported that it owned only 18,325 or 0.07% of our ordinary shares.

Altshuler-Shaham reported on Schedule 13G filed on June 25, 2018, that it held 3,169,016 or 11.3% of our ordinary shares.

Mutual Funds Models Ltd. reported on Schedule 13G on December 6, 2018 that it held 1,578,567 or 5.64% of our ordinary shares. In January 2019 it reported that its holdings were decreased to under 5%.

Major Shareholders Voting Rights

Our major shareholders do not have different voting rights.

Record Holders

Based on a review of the information provided to us by our transfer agent, as of May 13, 2019, there were 22 holders of record of our ordinary shares, of which 18 record holders holding 67% of our ordinary shares had registered addresses in the United States. These numbers are not representative of the number of beneficial holders of our shares nor are they representative of where such beneficial holders reside since many of these ordinary shares were held of record by brokers or other nominees (including one U.S. nominee company, CEDE & Co., which held 37% of our outstanding ordinary shares as of such date).

B. Related Party Transactions

We receive and provide various services and products to and from related parties at market rates and in the ordinary course of business. Other than the transactions described below, none of our related party transactions are material to us or to our related parties. If a related party wishes to supply products or services to us, we generally obtain a bid from a third party to enable us to determine whether the related party's bid is on arm's-length terms. Any of such transaction is subject to the approval of our audit committee and our board of directors (and in some instances, our shareholders). In addition, generally we will not purchase a particular type of product or service solely from related parties, but will also have non-related vendors. Prices offered by non-related vendors are compared to those offered by related parties to ensure that the related parties are offering arm's length terms.

In the ordinary course of business, some of our subsidiaries and affiliates engage in business activities with each other. Such business activities are primarily among Bezeq, other Bezeq Group companies and other Eurocom Group companies, such as Eurocom Digital, Eurocom Cellular, Spacecom, Satcom, and to a lesser extent other affiliated company. Such business activities primarily relate to the provision, purchase or sale of communications and digital services and products, including the provision of satellite or broadcasting services, cellular and electronic products and equipment, and Internet and telephony services. The transactions among these related parties are made at prices and on terms equivalent to those charged in transactions with unrelated parties under similar conditions.

Registration Rights Agreement with Eurocom Communications

In July 1999, we entered into a registration rights agreement with Euronet Communications (which was subsequently assigned to Eurocom Communications) and our other than existing shareholders granting them the right to register their ordinary shares under the U.S. Securities Act. The registration rights include unlimited rights to request that their shares be included in any underwritten public offering of our ordinary shares (excluding any registration of employees' shares on Form S-8 or a similar form). Additionally, as of February 4, 2000, the holders of a majority of such shares are entitled to demand, up to three times in aggregate, that we register their shares. As of August 4, 2000, the holders of a majority of these shares are also entitled to request that we effect a registration of their shares on a shelf registration statement once in any 12-month period, up to three times in aggregate. All expenses incurred in connection with such registrations, other than underwriters' and brokers' discounts and commissions, will be payable by us.

Pursuant to the registration rights agreement, on December 9, 2015 we filed a registration statement on Form F-3 including a prospectus relating to the resale, from time to time, by Eurocom Communications, as the selling security holder, of up to 2,880,000 of our ordinary shares. The registration statement became effective on December 14, 2015.

Agreements with B Communications

Office Lease

We and B Communications together lease our principal offices for an annual rent of NIS 145,000 (approximately \$40,000). Several general and operating services are divided one-third to us and two-thirds to B Communications.

Employee Services Sharing with Internet Gold

Our CFO, Itzik Tadmor dedicates two-thirds of his time to B Communications and one third to Internet Gold. Other employees, auditing and other services are also provided with the same 2/3-1/3 structure.

Bezeq Group Interested Party Guidelines

In March 2011, Bezeq's board of directors adopted guidelines and regulations to classify a transaction by Bezeq, any of its subsidiaries or affiliates of Bezeq with an interested party as an "insignificant transaction," which is not an "extraordinary transaction," as set out in the Israeli Securities Regulations (Annual Financial Statements), 2010. These guidelines and regulations are used to examine the scope of disclosure in periodic reports, prospectuses (including shelf offering reports) and immediate reports regarding a transaction of Bezeq, a company under its control and a subsidiary or affiliate of Bezeq with a controlling shareholder or in which the controlling shareholder has a personal interest.

From time to time, Bezeq and its subsidiaries or affiliates enter into "insignificant transactions," which are not extraordinary transactions, with an interested party in Bezeq or with related parties, including transactions for the sale or purchase of products and services, such as communication products and services, including fixed-line and cellular telephony handsets, software development products and services, maintenance services, voice-mail service agreements, rental transactions of real estate properties and advertising services.

In the absence of special, qualitative considerations under the specific circumstances, a transaction that is in Bezeq's regular course of business, is carried out in accordance with market conditions and has no material effect on the Bezeq Group, is deemed "insignificant" if all the following parameters exist:

- The amount of the transaction does not exceed NIS 10 million;
- Bezeq is not required to issue an immediate report for the transaction under applicable law; and
- The transaction does not involve employment terms (within the meaning of the Israeli Companies Law) of an interested party or any relative thereof.

According to the Israeli Companies Law, Bezeq's audit committee will review the parameters set out above and the need to update them on a yearly basis, prior to the publication of Bezeq's reports. Bezeq's board of directors may, from time to time and at its discretion, amend the parameters for an "insignificant transaction." In general, each transaction is tested separately for "insignificance." Nevertheless, separate transactions that are part of the same continuing transaction or very similar transactions that are carried out routinely and repeatedly, will be tested as one transaction on an annual basis for "insignificance," provided the scope of the transaction does not exceed NIS 10 million.

Bezeq Group Transactions Deemed to be Significant

Approval date of Bezeq's AGM (after approval of Bezeq's audit/compensation committee and Board of Directors), unless otherwise stated.	Nature of the transaction	Amount of the transaction
June 30, 2016	Extension of the amended agreement with Eurocom Communications for ongoing management and consultation services for Bezeq. The agreement expired at the beginning of 2018.	NIS 6.4 million per year
April 3, 2017	Approval of Bezeq's vote at the general meeting of DBS in favor of the agreement between DBS and Spacecom with an amendment/addendum to the existing agreement between the parties dated November 4, 2013, for the lease of satellite segments in Spacecom's satellites, including in favor of implementation of the agreement. The term of the agreement remains the same as the original agreement, namely, until the end of 2028.	A total nominal cost of up to \$263 million for the entire term of the Agreement (until December 31, 2028), reflecting an average annual cost of USD 21.9 million. The overall cost of the Agreement may be lower if surplus revenue sharing mechanisms are applied and/or the assumptions set out in the amendment to the Agreement.

C. Interests of Experts and Counsel

Not applicable.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information

Financial Statements

See the consolidated financial statements of our company and Bezeq, including the notes thereto, listed in Item 18 “Financial Statements” and incorporated herein by this reference.

Export Sales

Not applicable.

Legal Proceedings (our company and B Communications)

On June 29, 2017, Lynne P. Maleeff commenced litigation on behalf of a purported class of all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired B Communications shares between March 18, 2015 and September 6, 2017. The original defendants were B Communications, Doron Turgeman (our and B Communications’ former CEO), Itzik Tadmor (our and B Communications’ CFO) and Ehud Yahalom (our and B Communications’ former CFO). On December 8, 2017, lead plaintiffs filed an amended complaint adding ten new defendants: Shaul Elovitch, Or Elovitch, Ron Eilon, Stella Handler, David Mizrahi, Micky Neiman, Allon Raveh, Linor Yochelman, DBS and Eurocom Communications.

The amended complaint alleges a single cause of action against B Communications for violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and SEC Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder. The complaint alleges that B Communications made false and misleading statements and omissions in SEC filings.

On February 20, 2018, B Communications moved to dismiss the litigation for failure to state a claim or, alternatively, to stay the litigation pending the outcome of criminal investigations in Israel. B Communications’ motion to dismiss asserts, among other things, that plaintiffs failed to allege that B Communications had the required knowledge or scienter about the purported wrongdoing by other defendants and that B Communications did not make any materially false statements. Plaintiffs filed their opposition to the motion.

The court issued a decision dated September 27, 2018 granting in part and denying in part B Communications’ motion to dismiss. The court dismissed all claims against B Communications relating to B Communications’ code of ethics, internal controls, and compliance with laws generally and all claims relating to the Bezeq subcommittee reviewing the Bezeq-Yes transaction except for certain allegations relating to statements in one particular filing and to allegations regarding our statements about B Communications or Bezeq’s free cash flow. The court denied B Communications’ motion to stay without prejudice to B Communications’ ability to seek a stay in the future if circumstances change. On July 12, 2018, motions to dismiss were filed by (1) defendants Doron Turgeman, Itzik Tadmor, and Ehud Yahalom, all former officers of our company, (2) Ron Eilon, Micky Neiman and DBS; and (3) Stella Handler, Allon Raveh, Linor Yochelman, and David Mizrahi, officers of Bezeq.

On March 28, 2019, the court concluded that the complaint failed to allege claims against B Communications’ executive officers for either primary violations of the U.S. securities laws or “control person” liability for the alleged violations by others of the U.S. securities laws. The court therefore dismissed the complaint against Doron Turgeman, Itzik Tadmor and Ehud Yahalom. The court also concluded that the complaint failed to adequately allege personal jurisdiction against certain executive officers of Bezeq and DBS. The court therefore dismissed the complaint against the DBS and Bezeq defendants for lack of personal jurisdiction. We anticipate that the court will issue a scheduling order shortly setting a schedule for discovery and for the plaintiffs’ motion to certify the litigation as a class action.

Similar class action lawsuits were filed in Israel and are described in the table below. In Israel, pursuant to the Attorney General's request to extend the stay of proceedings in view of the investigation, the court approved a stay of proceedings.

Our company, B Communications and five members of our Board of Directors were named as respondents in a motion to certify a claim as a derivative claim instituted in the Tel Aviv District Court (Economic Affairs Division) on July 28, 2016. The plaintiff has alleged that NIS 113 million out of the dividends distributed by us in May 2016 was distributed unlawfully as such amount was not included in our profit and loss report, and therefore does not qualify as a "surplus" that may be lawfully distributed as dividends under the Israeli Companies Law. A pretrial hearing was held in March 2017, in which the court allowed us to file an additional brief response and a supplementary expert opinion, in order to respond to the arguments. We filed the additional responses on in June 2017. The court further held that the parties should consider the possibility of a constructive dialogue regarding the issues in dispute and instructed the parties to inform the court about the results of this dialogue, and whether they want to set a date for an evidence hearing or additional preliminary motions. The dialogue process failed, and accordingly, the court set dates for the evidence hearing (as part of the motion to certify) for January 6, 2019. On January 6, 2019, evidentiary hearings were held. The court decided that our summaries must be submitted by May 28, 2019, and the reply on behalf of the plaintiff must be submitted by June 10, 2019. We believe it is likely that the motion will be approved since the burden of proof at this preliminary stage of the derivative claim is very low.

Taking into account the relatively low level of proof imposed on the applicant at the stage of approval of a derivative claim, we believe that it is more likely than not that the Motion will be approved. However, it should be clarified that a decision to approve the Motion, should such a decision be made, is only an interim decision, and that its determinations are prima facie

Legal proceedings against the Bezeq Group

The Bezeq Group is involved in certain legal and regulatory actions, all of which have arisen in the ordinary course of business, except for the matters described in the following paragraphs. We believe that the ultimate resolution of such matters is unlikely to have a material adverse effect on the Bezeq Group's consolidated results of operations and/or financial condition, except as described below.

Bezeq's reporting policy is based on considerations of quality and of amount. Bezeq decided that the bar of amount materiality would be events affecting Bezeq's net profit by 5% or more according to its latest annual consolidated financial statements, net of the effects of events not during the regular course of business that have a non-recurring effect on Bezeq's results, such as impairments of assets, cancellation of tax assets, provisions for employee retirement, capital gains, etc., according to the latest consolidated annual reports of Bezeq. Accordingly, in the absence of relevant qualitative considerations, this section describes legal proceedings involving NIS 65 million or more before tax (approximately NIS 50 million of the net profit), and legal proceedings in which the amount claimed is not stated in the statement of claim, except in the case of a claim which prima facie does not reach the above amount bar (and all unless Bezeq believes the claim has other aspects and/or implications beyond its monetary amount). Submission of class actions in Israel does not involve payment of a fee deriving from the amount of the claim. Accordingly, the amount of a claim in claims of this type may be significantly higher than the scope of true exposure for those claims.

Breakdown of proceedings for claims in material amounts and claims which could have material implications for Pelephone's operations:

Date	Parties	Court	Type of Action	Details	Amount of claim NIS million
Aug 2010	Customer v. Pelephone	District (Central)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify it as a class action.	The claimant claims that Pelephone should refrain from collecting Value Added Tax from customers who use its services when they are outside Israel. The motion also contains relief for an order instructing Pelephone to cease charging its customers VAT for such services which they use outside Israel, and an order instructing that the moneys collected to date be refunded. In August 2014 the Court dismissed the application for recognition. In October 2014 an appeal of the ruling was filed. On February 3, 2007, a Supreme Court ruling was handed down according to which the applicants' appeal to dismiss the decision was accepted and the hearing will be returned to the District Court for ruling on the question of whether VAT was unlawfully collected in respect of overseas cellular services. According to the Supreme Court ruling, if the District Court rules in favor of the issue and Pelephone is required to refund the collected VAT to its customers, a claim for indemnification against the Tax Authority will be possible for these amounts that it will be required to refund. Furthermore, it was determined that in the context of prepaid service bundles for use overseas, the VAT rate is zero. According to Pelephone's initial estimate, the implication of the Supreme Court ruling is that the results of the aforementioned process will have no significant repercussions for Pelephone. The petitioners and the State are conducting negotiations, and therefore the Court is holding its decision regarding the petition.	The amount of the claim is not stated, but the application is estimated in the tens of millions of shekels.
May 2012	Customer v. Pelephone	District (Tel Aviv)	Financial class action suit	The claimant alleges that Pelephone does not inform customers wishing to join its services using a handset that was not purchased from Pelephone, that if the handset does not support the 850 MHz frequency, they will only get partial reception over one frequency rather than two. In March 2014, the court certified the claim as a class action, and this subsequent to Pelephone announcing its agreement (for reasons of efficiency) to conduct the claim as a class action, while retaining its arguments. The proceeding was divided into two stages (first stage is clarification the responsibility and quantification of damages, if necessary, will be the second stage). On January 20, 2019, a ruling was handed in the case that Pelephone is responsible as alleged in the claim, on grounds of misleading conduct under the Consumer Protection Law and on grounds of bad faith in conducting negotiations, and this, with regard to the period up to the date of the certification of the claim as a class action (March 2014). In accordance with the ruling and a previous decision in the case, the next stage in the hearing of the case will be the question of the alleged damage.	124
November 2012	Customer v. Pelephone	District (Jerusalem)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify it as a class action.	The claimants allege that Pelephone allowed unlawful charging of subscribers for mobile content services that were not ordered, by the content service company, E-interactive.	107
November 2013	Customer v. Pelephone	District (Tel Aviv)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify it as a class action.	The claimants allege that Pelephone does not grant the same perks to all its customers, thereby distinguishing between those customers that they allege Pelephone considers to be highly valuable and others, which they claim is in breach of Pelephone's license and the law. They also request as remedy that Pelephone refrain from granting such perks. A stay was ordered for hearing the case for six months in order to enable the Ministry of Communications to formulate its position on the matter following the RFI hearing that the Ministry is conducting.	300
In July 2018, a hearing was held in which the parties completed their arguments and are now awaiting the court's decision.					

Date	Parties	Court	Type of Action	Details	Amount of claim NIS million
February 2014	Customer v. Pelephone	District (Tel Aviv)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify it as a class action.	The claimants allege that Pelephone acted in a manner that amounted to harassment of a large consumer public by making repeated telephone calls aimed at recruiting customers. The grounds for the claim are breach of statutory duty, negligence, violation of privacy and lack of good faith in negotiations.	The amount of the claim is not specified.
July 2014	Customer v. Pelephone and three other cellular companies.	District (Tel Aviv)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify it as a class action.	The claimants allege that Pelephone, together with three other mobile telephony companies, registered subscribers for content services without obtaining their consent and in contravention of the law, and thereby creating a "platform" for iQtech Group to unlawfully charge tens of thousands of people for content services.	Approximately 100 with regard to the mobile telephony companies and 300 against all the defendants.
May 2015	Customer v. Pelephone	District (Tel Aviv)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify it as a class action.	The claimants allege that Pelephone does not offer "Walla Mobile" tracks to all its existing customers and those who join are subscribers who want to transfer to a different track, and that this in violation of the provisions of the license that obligates equality, and thereby it misleads its customers. The proceedings in the case were consolidated with another case (section D above) due to the similarity between the proceedings. In July 2018, a hearing was held in which the parties completed their arguments and are now awaiting the court's decision.	The amount of the claim is not stated, but the application is estimated to be in millions of shekels.
October 2016	Customer v. Pelephone, Partner and Cellcom	District (Lod)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify it as a class action.	The claimants allege that the defendants do not allow their subscribers to make full use of their overseas package and this through discriminatory terms under which the package can be used for a very short period (between one week to one month only) and that at the end of that period, the unused balance of the package expires and no reimbursement is given. The claim is waiting for the Ministry of Communications to file its position regarding the dispute between the parties.	The amount of the claim is not stated, but is estimated in the tens of millions of shekels.
December 2016	Customer v. Pelephone	District (Tel Aviv)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify it as a class action.	The claimant alleges that, due to a fire that broke out in one of 70 Pelephone's switching systems, damages were caused to the public for reasons of breach of statutory duty, misleading conduct under the Consumer Protection Law, negligence and unjust enrichment and breach of the duty of good faith.	70
April 2017	Customer v. Pelephone	District (Tel Aviv)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify as a class action.	The claimant alleges that the Defendant changed, unilaterally and without consent, the terms of the agreement between it and the claimant, and others like her, by allowing continued internet use once the internet usage limit was reached, instead of blocking it, despite Pelephone's announcement on this matter.	80

Date	Parties	Court	Type of Action	Details	Amount of claim NIS million
October 2016	Customer v. Pelephone, Partner and Partner	Central District	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify as a class action.	The plaintiff claims that the Defendants make unlawful use of their customers' location data, thus violating the agreements with them, the operating licenses and various laws, including the Protection of Privacy Law.	850
March 2018	Customer v. Pelephone, Golan Telecom, Cellcom and Hamilton	Central District	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify as a class action.	The claimants allege that the defendants marketed and/or provided mobile radio service to mobile devices manufactured by Xiaomi, from which it was not possible to call emergency numbers in Israel.	65
November 2018	Customer v. Pelephone	District (Tel Aviv)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify as a class action.	The claimants allege that, due to disruptions that occurred in Pelephone's network, the defendant is required to compensate its customers for breach of contract with the customers, as well as a breach of the provisions of its license and various laws, including the Communications Law.	200
December 2018	Customer v. Pelephone	Central District	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify as a class action.	The claimant alleges that Pelephone uses information it has on the location of its subscribers for its business needs, and sends them text messages regarding the sale of relevant services for their location, thereby violating the Protection of Privacy Law.	The amount of the claim is not stated, but the claim is estimated at millions of shekels.
April 2019	Customer v. Pelephone (and Bezeq International)	Central District	A claim and motion to approve it as a class action that was filed against them and against six other communication service companies. The claim and the request have not yet been served to Bezeq International.	The allegation is that the subsidiaries did not inform their customers as to the possible dangers of using the Internet and the possibility of joining a free content filtering service, in violation of the provisions of the Israeli Communications Law, and also claims of violation of the Consumer Protection Law, the Torts Ordinance, as well as breach of contract and unlawful enrichment.	The amount of the claim is not stated, but the claim is estimated at tens of millions of NIS.

Legal proceedings that ended during the Reporting Period

Date of filing of the action	Parties	Court	Type of Action	Details	Amount of the original claim NIS million
September 2017	Customer v. Pelephone	District Court (Nazareth)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify as a class action.	The plaintiff argues that Defendant fails to block access to foreign internet browsing services for its subscribers who did not purchase a package for web-browsing abroad or who asked for voluntary access to the surfing services, and that it charges these subscribers retroactively when they purchase a web-browsing package and after accumulating a debt for browsing services. The plaintiff argues that Pelephone therefore practices unjust enrichment. In June 2018, the Court approved the applicant's withdrawal of the motion to certify a class action, struck the petition, dismissed the plaintiff's personal claim and ordered the proceeding closed.	262.5

Breakdown of proceedings for claims in material amounts and claims which could have material implications for Bezeq's operations:

Date	Parties	Instance	Type of proceeding	Description	Original Amount of the Claim (NIS millions)
November 2015 and March 2018	Customer v. Bezeq	Central District Court	Two claims together with motions to certify class actions	Motion dated November 2015 - It was alleged that Bezeq abused its monopoly position by "preventing and blocking competition in general and effective competition in the Israeli communications market" and acted to delay and thwart the wholesale market report, thereby causing damage to the Israeli public and earning unreasonable profits only as a result of abusing its power as a monopoly. According to the plaintiffs' allegations, the damage caused by Bezeq to the communication market in Israel is expressed by Bezeq's excessive and unreasonable profits and they seek to claim damages of NIS 800 million, which they allege is based on 10% of Bezeq's surplus operating income stemming from abuse of its monopolistic power. Accordingly, the plaintiffs set the amount of the claim at NIS 556 million, after the amount was reduced in another action (an application for certification of a class action dated August 2015, for NIS 244 million for claimed abuse of monopolistic power and refers to the Competition Commissioner's decision).	Motion 556 dated November 2015 and Motion 258 dated March 2018

In December 2017, the court approved inclusion in the case an immediate report published by Bezeq on October 22, 2017, in which Bezeq reported on a final oversight report issued by the Ministry of Communications concerning implementation of the wholesale telephony service and notice of the Ministry's intention to impose a financial sanction in this matter. In December 2018, the Ministry of Communications imposed a financial sanction of NIS 11 million on Bezeq.

On March 3, 2019, Bezeq informed the court that in the light of the expected changes to the judicial panel if the petition for approval is accepted, it agrees to the court's proposal to approve the motion to treat the claim as a class action without a reasoned decision being handed down in this case by the court, while reserving all its arguments for the actual claim. Bezeq updated the court that on February 25, 2019 it filed an administrative petition against the foregoing decision of the Director General of the Ministry of Communications of December 2018. Subsequently, on March 5, 2019, the court accepted the motion to treat the claim as a class action and clarified that all arguments of the parties are reserved for hearing of the actual claim and that the evidence and investigations that were heard as part of the motion to certify will be part of the evidence in the class action.

Motion of March 2018 - a similar motion to that of November 2015 that was filed by the same plaintiffs also alleging that Bezeq abused its monopoly position by preventing competition in the communications market, thereby causing damage to the Israeli public and earning unreasonable profits as a result of abusing its power as a monopoly. While the relief and damage claimed in the November 2015 motion related to the period up to the date of filing that motion, in this motion the relief and damage claimed relate to a period from the date of filing the November 2015 motion through to the end of 2017, in view of the plaintiffs' allegation that Bezeq did not cease the actions alleged against it in the previous motion and in view of the allegation added to the tort, in addition to exploitation of the strength of Bezeq, "acts of corruption and unlawful activities for proscribed purposes of the Director General of the Ministry of Communications". According to the plaintiffs, the damage caused by Bezeq to the telecommunications market in Israel is reflected in the excess and unreasonable profitability of Bezeq. The claimed damage of NIS 258 million, in this motion is also based on 10% of Bezeq's surplus operating profit, arising from abuse of its monopolistic strength (in addition to the damages claimed in the previous motion). On May 31, 2018, Bezeq filed a motion for stay of proceedings in view of ISA's investigation. The stay motion is still under discussion.

<u>Date</u>	<u>Parties</u>	<u>Instance</u>	<u>Type of proceeding</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Original Amount of the Claim (NIS millions)</u>
August 2016 and December 2016	Customer v. Bezeq	District Court of Tel Aviv and the Central District	Two claims together with motions to certify class actions	<p>Two motions claiming that Bezeq charges a monthly payment of NIS 5.93 for "support and/or liability" as part of using its internet infrastructure, unlawfully and without consent.</p> <p>Due to the similarity between the motions, the motion was transferred from the Central District Court to the Tel Aviv District Court and a motion was filed to strike out one of the actions.</p> <p>On March 26, 2017, the court resolved to strike out the later motion (motion of December 2016 for NIS 160 million). On October 10, 2018, the appeal against the decision filed by the plaintiff in a later motion after the appellant withdrew the motion, was dismissed.</p>	* Claim for an unknown amount.
February 2017	Customer v. Bezeq	Central District Court	Motion to certify a class action	A motion alleging that Bezeq collects payment from some of its customers for an anti-virus service, while in practice it does not provide them with such service, and that it starts charging for provision of the service from signing of the agreement with the customers and not from actual provision of the service. Accordingly, the Applicant requests requiring Bezeq to compensate its customers that purchased the service and did not actually receive it for the damages incurred by them, including refunding of amounts collected for the service.	* There is no accurate estimation, estimated at NIS tens of millions.
April 2017 and May 17, 2017	Customers against Bezeq (motion of April 2017 also against the subsidiary Walla! Communications Ltd., Yad2 and an advertising company owned by Walla)	Tel Aviv District Court	Two motions for certification as class actions	<p>The motion pertains to Bezeq's B144 service, which enables businesses to advertise on the Internet (the "Service"). According to the petitioner, the Respondents charged subscribers to the Service unlawful charges.</p> <p>On January 25, 2018, the court resolved, further to motions filed by Bezeq and other respondents, to dismiss the first motion in limine on grounds that the application does not meet the criteria set out in the Class Actions Law, the existence of flaws in the motion, and in view of the existence of the second motion which is similar to the first (on July 19, 2018, the Supreme Court dismissed the appeal filed against this resolution in view of the fact that no guarantee was deposited).</p> <p>The second motion will continue to be heard in court.</p>	* The amount of the claim cannot be estimated.

Date	Parties	Instance	Type of proceeding	Description	Original Amount of the Claim (NIS millions)
June 2017	Shareholders of Bezeq against Bezeq, Chairman of Bezeq's Board of Directors, members of Bezeq's Board of Directors, and companies in the Eurocom Group (Including Internet Gold and B Communications) (the first motion against the CEO of Bezeq and the CEO and CFO of DBS as well).	At the Tel Aviv District Court (Economic Department)	Two motions to certify class actions	<p>The subject of the motions is a transaction from 2015 in which Bezeq acquired from Eurocom DBS the balance of DBS shares that it held (in this section: "the Transaction"):</p> <p>The first motion was filed in the name of anyone who acquired Bezeq shares between February 11, 2015 and June 19, 2017 (excluding the respondents and/or those acting on their behalf and/or connected with them). In the motion it is claimed that the report concerning the Transaction was misleading and/or deficient, and on account of which due to the opening of a public investigation into the Transaction by the ISA the public has become aware of details concerning the Transaction and its implementation, which led to a drop in Bezeq's share price in the days following the disclosure and analysis of the new information. The Petitioner argues that the Respondents acted contrary to the provisions of the Securities Law and contrary to the provisions of additional laws, and caused holders of Bezeq's securities heavy financial losses, amounting to millions of shekels if not more.</p> <p>The second motion was filed in the name of three sub-classes - anyone who acquired (1) shares of Bezeq, (2) shares of B Communications Ltd, and (3) shares of Internet Gold - Golden Lines Ltd. on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange between May 21, 2015 and June 19, 2017. The petitioner argues that the public that invested in the aforementioned shares was seriously misled, which was uncovered following the opening of a public investigation into the Transaction by the ISA on June 20, 2017, whereby the increase in the cash flow of DBS as reported in Bezeq's financial statements was artificially inflated, according to their claim, thereby misleading reasonable investors who based themselves on DBS cash flow data to estimate its worth, which led to over-valuation of the above companies. The Petitioner also claims additional damage caused to the groups of shareholders in B Communications and Internet Gold.</p> <p>In accordance with a procedural arrangement approved earlier by the court, the petitioners agreed in the aforementioned motions to administer the motions jointly and they will file a consolidated motion.</p> <p>Pursuant to the Attorney General's request to extend the stay of proceedings in view of the investigation, the court approved a stay of proceedings until October 31, 2019.</p>	1,240 in the first motion and 568 in the second motion

Date	Parties	Instance	Type of proceeding	Description	
June-August 2017 and a motion from December 2017	Bezeq shareholders against Bezeq and DBS (not including two motions that were filed only against Bezeq)	Tel Aviv District Court	Various motions to disclose documents prior to filing a motion to certify a derivative claim under Section 198A of the Companies Law filed further to the ISA investigation	<p>In some of the motions, the court was moved to instruct Bezeq (and DBS, as applicable) to submit to the Petitioners certain documents in connection with an interested party transaction between DBS and Spacecom from 2013, as amended early in 2017 (in this section: "DBS - Spacecom Transaction")⁴. On April 15, 2018, the court resolved to consolidate the four motions that were filed on this matter. Subsequently, on June 24, 2018, the plaintiffs filed a consolidated and amended motion. On August 16, 2018, the motion for permission to appeal the decision on consolidation that was filed by one of the applicants in which the court was asked to cancel the consolidation decision and instruct that the other motions should be struck out, was dismissed. Additionally, further to the motions of the Securities Authority in view of the investigation, at this stage the proceeding is stayed.</p> <p>In an additional motion, the court was moved to instruct Bezeq and DBS to submit to the Petitioner documents and information also in connection with the agreement for Bezeq's purchase of DBS and in connection with the DBS - Spacecom Transaction. In this motion, the Petitioner wishes to explore the filing of a motion to certify a derivative claim against officers in Bezeq and DBS who were in breach of their fiduciary duty against Bezeq in these transactions, according to the Petitioner, where the relief requested is to return all the benefits they received for the positions they held in Bezeq or DBS (salary, bonuses, management fees, etc.). The court consolidated the preliminary hearing in this case with the preliminary hearing on the motions to disclose documents prior to filing the motion for certification of a derivative claim, detailed in subsection (B) above.</p> <p>Pursuant to the court's decisions from April 15, 2018 and April 24, 2018, the motion was struck out in view of the similarity with other existing motions on the same matter (motion to certify a derivative claim from March 2015 and four motions that were consolidated as detailed above.</p> <p>Motion from December 2017 - the motion to instruct Bezeq to disclose various documents to the plaintiff with respect to advance payments on account of the second contingent consideration in the YES transaction, with regard to which impaired judgment is alleged in the actual decision to pay advances and in advance payments without receiving any collateral. Pursuant to Bezeq's motion dated January 21, 2018 to dismiss one of the two motions, either this one or the motion to certify a derivative claim set out in Subsection b dealing with issues that frequently overlap. On April 17, 2018, the motion was struck out with the petitioner's agreement.</p>	

<u>Date</u>	<u>Parties</u>	<u>Instance</u>	<u>Type of proceeding</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Original Amount of the Claim (NIS millions)</u>
February 2018	Bezeq shareholders against Bezeq as a formal respondent and against Bezeq directors at the relevant times to the motion and against the controlling shareholders (indirectly) of Bezeq, Mr. Shaul Elovitch and Mr. Yosef Elovitch ("the Respondents").	Tel Aviv District Court - Economic Department	Motion to certify a derivative claim	<p>According to the allegations in the motion, it concerns Bezeq's execution of an assessment agreement with the Tax Authority which was signed on September 15, 2016 (the "Assessment Agreement"), whereby Bezeq paid the Tax Authority NIS 462 million in tax for financing revenue from loans to DBS, whereas on the other hand, it was agreed that DBS' losses in respect of financing expenses for Bezeq's shareholder loans to DBS would be fully recognized for Bezeq after the merger between Bezeq and DBS.</p> <p>The plaintiffs claim that as a result of execution of the Assessment Agreement, Bezeq paid an aggregate of NIS 660 million, of which NIS 462 million was paid to the Tax Authority and NIS 198 was paid to the controlling shareholders as a contingent consideration ("the Contingent Consideration"), which was set out in an agreement for acquisition of all the holdings and shareholder loans of Eurocom DBS.</p> <p>According to the plaintiffs, Bezeq's execution of the Assessment Agreement constituted an exceptional transaction of a public company in which the controlling shareholder has a personal interest, and it was unlawfully executed, since it was contrary to Bezeq's interests and because the approvals required by law to enter into the transaction were not obtained.</p> <p>According to the plaintiffs, the damage incurred by Bezeq as a result of execution of the Assessment Agreement ranges between a minimum of NIS 65 million (if Bezeq will be permitted to offset DBS' losses with respect to financing expenses) and a maximum of NIS 219 million (if Bezeq will not be permitted to offset all of DBS' losses for financing expenses). The alleged damage is estimated by comparing the payments which Bezeq was charged (the tax liability and Contingent Consideration) and the tax asset created for it in the Assessment Agreement, to the payments it would have been liable for and the tax asset that would have been created for it had it entered into a settlement agreement with the tax authorities proposed by the tax authorities on the date of approval of the DBS Transaction.</p> <p>The plaintiffs claim that the respondents who are directors violated their duty of caution and fiduciary obligations (and with respect to the respondents who are controlling shareholders of Bezeq, also their duty of fairness), and accordingly the plaintiffs request that the court approve filing of a derivative claim in Bezeq's name against the respondents, and to require them to compensate Bezeq for the damage allegedly suffered by it as a result of breach of their obligations towards Bezeq.</p> <p>At the request of the ISA, the process was stayed.</p>	65 minimum 219 maximum

Date	Parties	Instance	Type of proceeding	Description	Original Amount of the Claim (NIS millions)
April 2018	Tel Aviv District Court		Motion to certify a class action ("the Present Motion")	The motion alleges that Bezeq is in breach of the prohibition prescribed in the Communications Law on sending advertisements ("spam"), in part by means of text messages to customers who contact it, which include a link to Bezeq's website. The amount of the class action consists of monetary loss (estimate of the loss for time wasted in dealing with the spam messages) and non-monetary loss due to mental anguish, causing a nuisance and so forth. A similar motion for the same matter (but for a later period) and in the amount of NIS 52 million was filed in March 2015 in the same court ("the Previous Motion") and on January 9, 2018 it was certified as a class action. Bezeq filed a motion for leave to appeal the decision and it is scheduled for a court hearing, with a stay of implementation. The present motion for certification was filed in respect of text messages sent by Bezeq after the Previous Motion was filed. Concurrently with the filing of the present motion, the petitioners also filed a motion to consolidate the hearing on the current motion with that of the Previous Motion.	85
June 2018	At the Tel Aviv District Court (Economic Department)		Motion to disclose and inspect documents under Section 198(a) of the Companies Law.	The court is asked to instruct Bezeq, DBS, Mr. Shaul Elovitch, and Mr. Or Elovitch (hereinafter together: "Messrs. Elovitch"), to submit to the petitioner, as a shareholder in Bezeq, various documents for the purpose of examining the possibility of filing a motion to certify a derivative claim on behalf of Bezeq. According to the petitioner, the controlling shareholder of Bezeq, B Com, and Messrs. Elovitch breached their duties of loyalty and fairness towards Bezeq in that the sale of 115 million Bezeq shares on February 2, 2016 by B Com while B Com and Messrs. Elovitch used inside information about Bezeq, and at a value significantly higher than the real value of the shares. The petitioner argues that this sale produced unlawful profits for B Com in the amount of NIS 313 million. The alleged inside information is that the financial statements of DBS and Bezeq supposedly did not reflect Bezeq's de facto financial position, but rather a "free cash flow" that was allegedly inflated in order to increase the consideration in the transaction in which Bezeq acquired the shares of Eurocom Communications Ltd. in DBS ("Yes Transaction"). There is another motion pending against Bezeq to certify a derivative claim in connection with the Yes Transaction, which is stayed due to the ISA's investigation. In this current motion, the petitioner argues that despite the fact that its motion is based in part on the same factual background, it is different from the existing proceedings in this matter. In view of the Investigation, the proceeding is currently stayed	

Legal proceedings which ended during the reporting period or by the date of publication of the report

Date of Filing of the Claim	Parties	Instance	Type of Proceeding	Description	Original Amount of the Claim (NIS millions)
August 2015	Customer v. Bezeq	District Court (Tel Aviv)	Action and motion to certify a class action	<p>It is claimed that Bezeq abused its monopoly position to price its services in a manner that limits the ability of its rivals to offer fixed-line telephony services at competitive prices. The motion to certify is based to a large extent on a ruling ("the Ruling") by the Competition Authority dated November 2014 that Bezeq abused its monopoly position.</p> <p>On January 10, 2019, a judgment was handed down by the Tel Aviv-Jaffa District Court approving an agreed request of the parties to withdraw from the motion to certify a class action after an appeal filed by Bezeq with the Competition Tribunal was accepted and essentially annulled the decision of the Competition Commissioner, on the basis of which the motion to certify a class action was filed.</p>	244
September 2016	Cellcom and Partner	Tel Aviv District Court	Opening order for declarative relief and orders for mandamus against Bezeq	<p>In the context of the action, the court is asked (1) to declare that Bezeq is obligated to market those ISPs who are among the Plaintiffs as part of the joint bundles marketed by Bezeq ("Reverse Bundle") in an equal manner and in accordance with the Ministry's instructions, and to instruct Bezeq to do so. 2) To declare that the obligations imposed on Bezeq with respect to the supply of managed wholesale broadband access include an obligation to install and connect customers of 013 Netvision and 012 Smile to Bezeq's infrastructure up to the first socket in their home, and to instruct Bezeq to do so. (3) To declare that Bezeq is obligated to allow the Applicants and/or any one of them to use the passive infrastructure that it owns and to instruct Bezeq to do so. On February 18, 2019, the court approved dismissing the motion pursuant to the settlement agreement signed between the parties on January 31, 2019 in which each party reserved their allegations.</p>	*
June 2016	Customers against Bezeq, Bezeq International and other ISPs	Central District Court	Claim and motion to certify a class action	<p>Special motion to certify a class action (filed in June 2016) further to consolidation of several similar motions). It is alleged against Bezeq that it is intentionally limiting the browsing speed defined for the ISPs and refraining from repairing malfunctions on the matter. Against the ISPs (including Bezeq International) it is alleged that they are misleading their customers regarding the internet browsing speed by selling them packages at a speed that they are unable to provide. a ruling was issued by the court on April 30, 2019 that approved the following: the petitioners withdrew their request for class action certification since following disclosure of documents, the petitioners concluded there are evidentiary difficulties in continuing with the legal proceeding against Bezeq.</p>	Cannot be estimated.

Breakdown of proceedings for claims in material amounts and claims which could have material implications for Bezeq International operations:

Date of Filing of the Claim	Parties	Instance	Type of Proceeding	Description	Original Amount of the Claim (NIS millions)
November 2011	Customer v. Bezeq International	District (Central)	Civil class action	According to the plaintiffs, during October 2011, Bezeq International failed to provide its internet customers with the browsing speed it had undertaken in the contract. The plaintiffs claim refund of the monthly fees and compensation for mental anguish. On March 13, 2016, the court certified the claim as a class action. The parties have filed summations in the case and now await a ruling.	120
January 2015	Customer v. Bezeq International	District (Central)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify it as a class action.	They claim that the Moreshet content filtering services that Bezeq International provides for its religious and traditional sector customers, for a fee, do not protect users from offensive content and that their exposure to such content caused them harm. It is further claimed that Bezeq International must compensate the customers who purchased content filtering services and who were not offered the basic filtering service which is provided free of charge. In April 2018, the court partially certified the claim as a class action (the part relating to additional compensation in the amount of NIS 1,000 for each pupil in the schools that use the website filtering software that was removed).	Approximately 61 with the addition of NIS 1,000 for each of the members of the class (every pupil in the Israeli education system).
Sept 2016	Customer v. Bezeq International and other international operators	District (Central)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify it as a class action.	The claimants contend that Bezeq International charges excessive and unreasonable rates in contravention of section 17 of the Communications Law, for outgoing international calls.	Not mentioned.
June 2014	Customer and apartment building representatives vs. DBS	District (Tel Aviv)	A financial claim filed with a motion (consolidated) to certify it as a class action.	<p>It is claimed that DBS unlawfully charged residents in apartment buildings (subscribers and non-subscribers of DBS) for the electricity consumption for the satellite dishes and/or amplifiers and/or other devices that DBS uses, that are located on these apartment buildings. The applicant has petitioned the court to order DBS to refund to the members of the group, the amount collected for electricity consumption as aforesaid.</p> <p>The second lawsuit (that was originally filed with the District Court in Haifa, and was moved for hearing before the District Court in Tel Aviv in September 2014) includes a claim that DBS installed measuring equipment in apartment buildings that operate on electricity and according to their claim, DBS connected the outside equipment of DBS's systems, at a cost estimated in the equipment was connected to the communal electricity and also consumed electricity without paying for it.</p> <p>In October 2015, the court ruled to consolidate the proceedings in both motions for certification and filing of consolidated statements. In December 2016, the claimants' request for interlocutory remedies against DBS regarding the disconnection of subscribers who refuse to bear the electricity costs and the signing of intermediate representatives on agreements to bear these expenses, was struck.</p> <p>In July 2018, the court certified the claim as a class action, and ruled that there is prima facie cause for the representatives of the households in the buildings in which the representatives signed any from that lacks the explicit consent to bear the monthly cost of the shared electricity consumption for the broadcasting equipment.</p> <p>In December 2018, the parties filed a notice to the court that they had reached an agreement in principle to end the proceedings by way of a settlement.</p>	An amount of NIS 80 million is for monetary and non-monetary damages allegedly caused due to the electricity consumption for DBS systems and an injunction ordering DBS to install electricity meters for measuring the electricity consumption of DBS's systems, at a motion of NIS 44.6 million.

Date of Filing of the Claim	Parties	Instance	Type of Proceeding	Description	Original Amount of the Claim (NIS millions)
				<p>Pursuant to the motion filed by the parties, at the end of December 2018, the Supreme Court approved deferral of the date for submission of motions for leave to appeal (if a settlement agreement is not signed or approved) until April 1, 2019.</p> <p>In March 2019, the parties filed an update notice stating that they are in the final stages of drafting the settlement agreement and that they intend to file it to the court in the coming days.</p>	
C. 2014	Sept Customer v. DBS	District (Tel Aviv)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify it as a class action.	<p>A claim regarding electronic advertisements sent by DBS to its customers, allegedly in contravention of Section 30A of the Communications Law, in breach of DBS's license and breach of the agreement between DBS and its customers. The applicants petitioned the court for relief for the inconvenience caused to the customers of DBS, harassment, loss of time, etc. and relief in an amount that will be determined at the court's discretion, for enrichment of DBS as a result of sending these messages.</p>	NIS 402 million (with additional relief to be determined at the court's discretion).
D. 2015	July Customer v. DBS	District (Central)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify it as a class action.	<p>A claim of discrimination of DBS customers who are not offered or given the best terms or the lowest price for the services they received from DBS; a claim of discrimination against new DBS customers over existing customers who are eligible for receiving a campaign or benefit for joining DBS; and a claim of discrimination of new members who are acquainted with Bezeq employees, over other new members. This, according to the allegations, is contrary to DBS obligation under its license and by law to refrain from discriminating with regard to the price for the services it provides. The applicant requests that DBS will compensate members of the group for the monetary difference between the price that each of them actually paid to DBS for the services, and the lowest price they could have paid for those services. In addition, the applicant requests that the court order DBS to offer and provide its services to everyone requesting its services at the same terms and to publish them in its various publications. In September 2015, following the filing of another motion to certify a class action against DBS which engages as alleged, in price discrimination, the court held that the two actions will be defined as related cases and in November 2015 the court ordered the consolidation of two motions to certify.</p> <p>With the consent of the parties the court decided to suspend the hearing of the case due to the simultaneous suspension of corresponding cases against other defendants, while there is a pending motion to transfer the hearing on the petitions to another court, as aforesaid.</p> <p>In its decision of March 2018 to certify the procedural arrangements, the court ruled that the proceedings against all the communications companies, including the television companies and the motions against DBS, will be consolidated and heard together, and set procedures for examining the motions for certification. Furthermore, after the parties to the proceedings submitted their summations to the court, in July 2018, a hearing was held on all the motions for certification filed against all the communications companies, during which the court recommended that the plaintiffs consider withdrawing the motions for certification with compensation, and ruled that, if the recommendation is not accepted by September 2018, the court will hand its ruling on the motions for certification. In November 2018, as no further notification was filed in the matter, the court ruled that the case would be passed on for a ruling on the motions for certification.</p>	<p>The applicant does not specify the amount of the claim, but the extent of the damage is estimated to be tens of millions of shekel.</p> <p>The amount of the second claim is estimated by the applicants to be NIS 13 million plus monetary damages as will be awarded by the Court,</p>

Date of Filing of the Claim	Parties	Instance	Type of Proceeding	Description	Original Amount of the Claim (NIS millions)
December 2015	Customer v. DBS	District (Central)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify it as a class action.	<p>A claim that DBS and HOT operate illegally by providing their customers with premium content services, (as part of the VOD service of DBS and HOT), that is provided under transactions for fixed periods and automatically renewable, while charging their customers for the service unilaterally, without the clients' consent and in violation of the law. Thus, allegedly, they charge their customers illegal amounts and while enriching themselves unjustly.</p> <p>According to the court's decision, the settlement arrangement reached by the parties and the motion to certify it was published in May 2018 in the press and on the Internet. According to the principles of the settlement agreement, DBS will open a designated channel for those entitled to the benefit, for three months.</p> <p>In December 2018, the Attorney General filed an objection to the settlement arrangement in its current format. In February 2019, DBS and the applicant filed responses to the Attorney General's position.</p> <p>In February 2019, the court ordered Bezeq to file a notice regarding the possibility of making a change in the text that appears on the TV screens for purchasing the channels relevant to this proceeding. In March 2019, DBS filed notice stating that it agrees to change the text that appears on the purchase screens, and reserves the right to change this text, provided that the other terms also clarify that the purchase of the channels relevant to the proceeding is an ongoing transaction. In March 2019, the court ruled that its decision to certify the settlement arrangement would be handed and sent to the parties.</p>	The applicants do not explicitly mention the amount of the claim, but believe that the conduct described provides DBS and HOT annual income of tens of millions of shekels.
April 2016	Customer v. DBS	District (Tel Aviv)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify it as a class action.	<p>In April 2016, a claim was filed against DBS in the Tel Aviv District Court together with a motion to certify it as a class action. According to the claimants, who are DBS subscribers, the condition included in the agreement between DBS and its customers, which allows a subscription to be put on hold for a limited period thus avoiding the payment of a subscription fee for this period, and provided that the freeze is for a period of at least 30 days ("the Condition") is a discriminatory condition and is unreasonable in a standard contract. Furthermore, the claimants contend that DBS allows customers to have their subscription frozen for shorter periods if they make the request by phone - which the plaintiffs argue misleads consumers and is unfair conduct and, among other things, is in breach of the provisions of the Contracts Law, the consumer protection laws and Unjust Enrichment Law and not in court.</p>	The claimants have asked the court to order the cancellation of the Condition of the agreement and alternatively to determine that DBS's conduct as described above is misleading and not in good faith. The court was also petitioned to instruct DBS to compensate the subscribers who are members of the group in the total amount of NIS 736 million.
June 2017		Investigation of the Israel Securities Authority		See Risk Factors".	

Date of Filing of the Claim	Parties	Instance	Type of Proceeding	Description	Original Amount of the Claim (NIS millions)
June 2017	Shareholders of Bezeq, the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Bezeq, members of the Board of Directors of Bezeq, as well as companies of the Eurocom Group and against the CEO of Bezeq and the CEO and CFO of DBS.	District Court (Economic Department) in Tel Aviv	Motion to certify a class action	A motion to certify a class action that was filed, inter alia, against the former CEO and former CFO of DBS in connection with a transaction from 2015, under which Bezeq acquired from Eurocom D.B.S. Ltd. the balance of DBS shares held by it, and concerning a transaction to acquire shares of Bezeq held by Eurocom.	
July August 2017	- Shareholders of Bezeq and DBS	District Court at Tel Aviv – Yafo	A motion for discovery of documents prior to filing a motion for certification of a derivative action under Section 198A of the Companies Law; which was filed pursuant to an investigation by the Israel Securities Authority.	For further information concerning motions filed by Bezeq shareholders to the Tel Aviv District Court (Economics Division) in July 2017, which were consolidated under a single motion for discovery of documents prior to filing a motion for certification of a derivative claim under Section 198A of the Companies Law, against Bezeq and DBS, and to disclose certain documents relating to an interested party transaction between DBS and Spacecom from 2013, as amended in 2017 (the Spacecom Agreement).	
June 2018		District Court (Economic Department) in Tel Aviv	Motion for discovery and perusal of documents under section 198A of the Companies Law	For further information regarding a motion for discovery of documents prior to the filing of a motion for certification of a derivative claim pursuant to Section 198A of the Companies Law, which were filed by shareholders against Bezeq, DBS, Mr. Shaul Elovitch, and Mr. Or Elovitch, for the delivery of documents and information in connection with the breach of the duties of trust, loyalty, fairness by Messrs. Elovitch with regard to the sale of Bezeq shares on February 2, 2016.	
March 2017	Customer v. DBS	District (Central)	A financial claim filed with a motion to certify it as a class action.	<p>The claimant alleges that DBS was in breach of the agreement with its customers and the terms of its license issued by the Ministry of Communications when it discontinued broadcasts of the Children's Channel on January 1, 2017, and instead started to broadcast a channel called Yes KIDZ ("discontinuation of the channel broadcasts"), which the claimant argues is not an appropriate substitute.</p> <p>In May 2017, DBS received a letter from the Council with regard to the termination of the proceeding against the substituting of the Children's Channel, in which the Council stated that DBS had complied with the Council's decisions on this matter, and that the response offered by Bezeq "provides a worthy alternative for the channel that was discontinued". On October 4, 2018, the court approved the claimant's withdrawal of the motion for certification.</p>	Not mentioned.

Breakdown of proceedings for claims in material amounts and claims which could have material implications for walla! operations:

Date of Filing of the Claim	Parties	Instance	Type of Proceeding	Description	Original Amount of the Claim (NIS millions)
May 2018	Walla website visitors against Walla	Tel Aviv District Court	Motion to certify a class action	It alleges that on its website, Walla publishes "advertising-related articles" without due disclosure of the fact that they contain marketing content, and that the publication of marketing content without proper disclosure, as alleged, is, among other things, a breach of the provisions of the Consumer Protection Law, violation of the Rules of Journalism Ethics, a tort and unjust enrichment.	60
December 2018	Site visitors to the respondents' websites against Walla and four other respondents	Central District Court	Motion to certify a class action	It is alleged that Walla and two of the other defendants published advertisements on their websites and applications for the marketing, sale and distribution of smoking and tobacco products, including electronic smoking products, which are allegedly manufactured and distributed by two other defendants. The petitioners' main argument in the claim is that such advertisements are prohibited by law in general, and, specifically, when targeted to minors. The petitioners further claim that use of certain advertising and marketing means is prohibited, and this constitutes a violation of the provisions of the Restriction on Advertising and Marketing of Tobacco Products Law, the Consumer Protection Law, the Consumer Protection Regulations (Advertisements and Marketing Methods Targeted at Minors), breach of statutory duty, violation of personal autonomy, negligence, breach of the duty of good faith and unjust enrichment	300 (Against all respondents)
December 2018	Walla website visitors against Walla	Tel Aviv District Court	Motion to certify a class action	It is alleged that Walla biased press coverage on its website in favor of the Prime Minister, allegedly in exchange for excessive regulatory benefits granted to its controlling shareholder - Bezeq, and its (former) controlling shareholder. The petitioner alleges that the members of the class suffered non-monetary damage in the form of a violation of autonomy, and also demands restitution of Walla's alleged unjust "enrichment." As stated in the motion, the amount of the class action is unknown.	*
March 2019	Site visitors to the respondents' websites against Walla and eight other respondents	Tel Aviv District Court	Motion to certify a class action	It was alleged that Walla and other defendants publish "advertising-related articles" on their websites, their applications and the social media without due disclosure of the fact that they contain marketing content, and that the publication of marketing content without proper disclosure, as alleged, is, among other things, a breach of the provisions of the Consumer Protection Law, violation of the Rules of Journalism Ethics, a tort, breach of the duty of good faith and unjust enrichment. The plaintiffs also refer to the motion to certify a class action on a similar subject and indicate that they wish to add further layers to the motion to certify.	*
The motion allegedly indicates an alleged conservative estimate of damages of NIS 300 per Walla website consumer. The motion does not stipulate the precise amount of the claim for all members of the class, but an overall damage estimate.					

* The amount of the claim or that it is a non-monetary claim was not indicated.

Dividend Distribution Policy

We have never paid cash dividends to our shareholders and do not currently have a dividend distribution policy in place.

B Communications does not currently have a dividend distribution policy in place either. According to the Israeli Companies Law, a company may distribute dividends out of its profits (as such term is defined in the Israeli Companies Law), provided that there is no reasonable concern that payment of the dividend will prevent the company from satisfying all its current and foreseeable obligations, as they become due. Notwithstanding the foregoing, dividends may be paid with the approval of a court, at the company's request, provided that there is no reasonable concern that payment of the dividend will prevent the company from satisfying its current and foreseeable obligations, as they become due. In the event cash dividends are declared, such dividends will be paid in NIB Communications declared its first dividend as a public company on November 7, 2013, a dividend of NIS 3.41 per share and NIS 102 million in the aggregate. The record date for the distribution of the dividend was November 18, 2013 and the payment date was December 3, 2013.

On May 21, 2015, B Communications declared a dividend of NIS 2.24 per share and approximately NIS 67 million in the aggregate. The record date for the distribution of the dividend was June 2, 2015 and the payment date was June 16, 2015.

On August 31, 2015, B Communications declared a dividend of NIS 0.73 per share and approximately NIS 22 million in the aggregate. The record date for the distribution of the dividend was September 16, 2015 and the payment date was September 29, 2015.

On November 19, 2015, B Communications declared a dividend of NIS 1.27 per share and approximately NIS 38 million in the aggregate. The record date for the distribution of the dividend was December 10, 2015 and the payment date was December 23, 2015.

On May 25, 2016, B Communications declared a dividend of NIS 11.88 per share and approximately NIS 355 million in the aggregate. The record date for the distribution of the dividend was June 15, 2016 and the payment date was June 29, 2016.

B. Significant Changes

Except as otherwise disclosed in this annual report, no significant change has occurred since December 31, 2018.

ITEM 9. THE OFFER AND LISTING

A. Offer and Listing Details

Our ordinary shares are traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the ticker symbol "IGLD" and on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange. Our primary holding is our controlling interest in B Communications Ltd. Its shares are traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the ticker symbol "BCOM" and on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange. Its primary holdings is Bezeq - The Israel Telecommunication Corp., Israel's largest telecommunications provider (TASE: BEZQ)

B. Plan of Distribution

Not applicable.

C. Markets

Our ordinary shares were listed on the NASDAQ Global Market (symbol: IGLD) from our initial public offering in August, 1999 until July 21, 2001, at which date the listing of our ordinary shares was transferred to the NASDAQ Capital Market. From February 4, 2005 until December 31, 2010, our shares once again were listed on the NASDAQ Global Market and since January 1, 2011 our ordinary shares have been listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. Since March 1, 2005, our ordinary shares have also been traded on the TASE.

D. Selling Shareholders

No applicable.

E. Dilution

Not applicable.

F. Expense of the Issue

Not applicable.

ITEM 10. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

A. Share Capital

Not applicable.

B. Memorandum and Articles of Association

Below is a description of certain provisions of our Articles of Association and of the Israeli Companies Law related to such provisions. This description is only a summary and does not purport to be complete and is qualified by reference to the full text of the Articles of Association, of our company which has been filed as an exhibit to this Annual Report and which is incorporated by reference, and to Israeli law.

Purposes and Objects of the Company

We are a public company registered under the Israel Companies Law as Internet Gold – Golden Lines Ltd., registration number 52-004426-4. Pursuant to our memorandum of association, we were formed for the purpose of providing various services in the telecommunication industry and performing various corporate activities permissible under Israeli law.

The Powers of the Directors

Under the provisions of the Israeli Companies Law and our Articles of Association, a director cannot participate in a meeting nor vote on a proposal, arrangement or contract in which he or she is materially interested unless such proposal, arrangement or contract is in the ordinary course of business or the majority of directors are personally interested in such proposal, arrangement or contract. In the event the majority of the members of the board of directors have a personal interest in the proposed transaction, approval of our shareholders at a general meeting is required. In addition, our directors cannot vote compensation to themselves or any members of their body without the approval of our compensation committee and, unless exempted under the regulations promulgated under the Israeli Companies Law, our shareholders at a general meeting. If the compensation of our directors is inconsistent with our stated compensation policy, then the approval of our shareholders requires that either:

- at least a majority of the shares held by all shareholders who are not controlling shareholders and do not have a personal interest in such matter, present and voting at such meeting, are voted in favor of the compensation package, excluding abstentions; or
- the total number of shares of non-controlling shareholders and shareholders who do not have a personal interest in such matter voting against the compensation package does not exceed 2% of the aggregate voting rights in the company.

The authority of our directors to enter into borrowing arrangements on our behalf is not limited, except in the same manner as any other transaction by us.

Under our Articles of Association, retirement of directors from office is not subject to any age limitation and our directors are not required to own shares in our company in order to qualify to serve as directors.

Rights Attached to Shares

Our authorized share capital consists of 501,000,000 ordinary shares of a nominal value of NIS 0.01 each. All of our issued and outstanding ordinary shares are duly authorized, validly issued, fully paid and non-assessable. Our ordinary shares are not redeemable and do not have preemptive rights.

Dividend and Liquidation Rights. The holders of the ordinary shares will be entitled to their proportionate share of any cash dividend, share dividend or dividend in kind declared with respect to our ordinary shares. Our board of directors may declare a dividend to be paid to the holders of ordinary shares in proportion to the paid up capital attributable to the shares that they hold. Dividends may only be paid out of our profits and other surplus funds, as defined in the Israeli Companies Law, as of the end of the most recent fiscal year or as accrued over a period of two years, whichever is higher, provided that there is no reasonable concern that a payment of a dividend will prevent us from satisfying our existing and foreseeable obligations as they become due. If we do not meet the profit requirement, we may seek the approval of the court to distribute a dividend. The court may approve our request if it is convinced that there is no reasonable risk that a distribution might prevent us from satisfying our existing and anticipated obligations as they become due.

Under the Israeli Companies Law, a dividend declaration must be approved by the board of directors and does not require the approval of the shareholders of a company unless the company's articles of association provide otherwise. Our articles of association do not require shareholder approval of a dividend distribution.

In the event of our liquidation, after satisfaction of liabilities to creditors, our assets will be distributed to the holders of ordinary shares in proportion to the paid up capital attributable to the shares that they hold. Dividend and liquidation rights may be affected by the grant of preferential dividend or distribution rights to the holders of a class of shares with preferential rights that may be authorized in the future.

Exceptional Holdings; Principal Shareholders. Under the Communications Order, no person may hold, directly or indirectly, "significant influence" over Bezeq or 5% or more of any particular class of means of control in Bezeq, nor may any person, together with any other person, appoint, elect or dismiss the general manager of Bezeq or cause the election, appointment or dismissal of any director of Bezeq, without the prior written consent of the Prime Minister of Israel and the Israeli Minister of Communications. Subject to certain exceptions, prior written approval of such Ministers is also required to increase the holdings or other rights in excess of those determined in the initial approval, including by means of an agreement (including a voting agreement). Furthermore, under the Communications Order, no person may transfer control, "significant influence" or means of control in Bezeq to another, if, as a result of the transfer, the holdings of the transferee would require approval pursuant to the Communications Law or Communications Order and the transferee is not in possession of the requisite approval. Any such unauthorized acquisition is referred to as "Exceptional Holdings." For the foregoing purposes, "significant influence" means the ability to significantly influence the activity of a corporation, whether alone or together with or through others, directly or indirectly, other than as a result of holding "means of control" in that corporation or in another corporation, and including ability derived from the corporation's articles of association, a written, oral or other kind of agreement, or from any other source. In this context, the right to appoint an officer and holding 25% of our "means of control" is presumed to confer significant influence. "Means of control" means the right to vote at a general meeting of Bezeq, to appoint a director or general manager of Bezeq, to participate in the profits of Bezeq or a share of the remaining assets of Bezeq after payment of its debts upon liquidation. We received explicit governmental approval to keep the Control Permit even at a level of a 25% ownership interest.

In compliance with the Communications Law and Communications Order following our acquisition of the controlling interest in Bezeq, our Articles of Association provide that Exceptional Holdings will not entitle the holder to any rights in respect of such holdings, unless and to the extent permitted under the Communications Order. Accordingly, Exceptional Holdings will not have any voting rights at a general meeting of shareholders. Each shareholder participating in a general meeting of shareholders will be required to certify to us prior to the vote or, if the shareholder is voting by a proxy or any similar instrument, on such proxy card or similar instrument, as to whether or not his or her holdings in our company or his or her vote require the approval of the Prime Minister of Israel and the Israeli Minister of Communications, pursuant to the Communications Law and Communications Order. In addition, no director may be appointed, elected or removed from office by virtue of the vote of a holder of Exceptional Holdings. If a director is appointed, elected or removed from office by virtue of the vote of a holder of Exceptional Holdings, such appointment, election or removal from office shall have no effect.

Under our Articles of Association, any person holding a number of our shares that requires approval under the Communications Order shall notify us, Bezeq, the Prime Minister of Israel and the Israeli Minister of Communications of such holdings in writing, no later than 48 hours from the date of acquiring such holdings.

Our Articles of Association include reporting requirements applicable to "Principal Shareholders," meaning a holder, directly or indirectly, of 5% of our issued and outstanding share capital. Any person who, after acquiring, directly or indirectly, shares in our company becomes a "Principal Shareholder," is required, no later than 48 hours after becoming a Principal Shareholder, to notify us in writing, specifying the number of our shares held by such shareholder and the date on which such shareholder became a Principal Shareholder. Any person who ceases to be a Principal Shareholder is required, no later than 14 days thereafter, to notify us in writing of the date on which such person ceased to be a Principal Shareholder. In addition, a Principal Shareholder is required to notify us in writing of any aggregate change in its holdings of our shares in an aggregate amount equal to 1% or more of our outstanding share capital compared to the last notice of holdings submitted by such Principal Shareholder, no later than 48 hours after such change. In the event a Principal Shareholder fails to provide any required notice, as discussed above, then until such Principal Shareholder provides us with the requisite notice, the Principal Shareholder will not be entitled to any rights in respect of such shares and the provisions of the Communications Order with respect to the exercise of rights underlying Exceptional Holdings will apply, and the undisclosed holdings shall also be deemed "dormant shares," as defined under the Israeli Companies Law.

Under our Articles of Associations, we are required to notify the Prime Minister of Israel and the Israeli Minister of Communications of any Exceptional Holdings immediately upon becoming aware of such event. We are also required to notify such Ministers in the event a shareholder becomes a Principal Shareholder and regarding any change in the holdings of a Principal Shareholder within 48 hours of becoming aware of such change.

Voting Rights. Holders of ordinary shares have one vote for each ordinary share held on all matters submitted to a vote of shareholders, subject to the restrictions described above relating to Exceptional Holdings and Principal Shareholders. Such voting rights may be affected by the grant of any special voting rights to the holders of a class of shares with preferential rights that may be authorized in the future.

Election of Directors

Our ordinary shares do not have cumulative rights for the election of directors. Rather, under our articles of association, our directors (other than the external directors) are elected by a vote of the holders of a majority of the voting power represented and voting at our annual general meetings of shareholders, and hold office until the next annual general meeting of shareholders and until their successors have been elected. See Item 6C. “Directors, Senior Management and Employees - Board Practices - Election of Directors.” For information regarding the election of external directors, see Item 6C. “Directors, Senior Management and Employees - Board Practices - External Directors.” All the members of our Board of Directors (except the external directors) may be reelected upon completion of their term of office.

Under our Articles of Association, provisions relating to the election and removal of directors who are not external directors, within the meaning of the Israeli Companies Law, may not be modified without the prior written consent of the Israeli Minister of Communications.

Regulations promulgated under the Communications Law require that our chief executive officer, any member of our board of directors holding an executive role, as well as a majority of the members of the board of directors, be citizens and residents of the State of Israel.

Annual and Extraordinary Meetings

Under the Israeli Companies Law and our articles of association, our board of directors must convene an annual meeting of shareholders at least once every calendar year and within 15 months of the last annual meeting. Depending on the matter to be voted upon, and subject to the Israeli Companies Law and regulations thereunder, notice of at least 14 days or 21 days or 35 days prior to the date of the meeting is required. Our articles of association provide that notice of a general meeting of shareholders will be delivered to all eligible shareholders by publication in two daily Hebrew language newspapers in Israel that have a reasonably-sized readership. Our board of directors may, in its discretion, convene additional meetings as “special general meetings.” In addition, the board must convene a special general meeting upon the demand of: (a) two of the directors or 25% of the directors in office, (b) one or more shareholders having at least 5% of the outstanding share capital and at least 1% of the voting power in the company, or (c) one or more shareholders having at least 5% of the voting power in the company. The chairperson of the board of directors presides at each of our general meetings. The chairperson of the board of directors is not entitled to a vote at a general meeting in his capacity as chairperson.

Quorum

The quorum required for any general meeting is the presence, in person or by proxy, of shareholders holding or representing, in the aggregate, at least one third of the voting rights. No business shall be considered or determined at a general meeting, unless the requisite quorum is present within half an hour from the time designated for the general meeting. If within half an hour from the time designated for the general meeting a quorum is not present, the general meeting shall stand adjourned to the same day in the following week, at the same time and place, or to such other time as designated in the notice of such adjourned meeting. If within half an hour from the time designated for the adjourned meeting a quorum is not present, any number of shareholders present will constitute a quorum. However, if the general meeting was convened on the demand of shareholders, the adjourned meeting shall take place only if there are present at least the number of shareholders required to convene a general meeting under our articles of association (as discussed above).

A general meeting in which a quorum is present may resolve to adjourn the meeting, the discussion or the vote on a matter included in the agenda to such other time and place as it may determine. Only matters that were on the agenda and in respect of which no resolution was passed shall be discussed at the adjourned meeting.

Resolutions

An ordinary resolution requires approval by the holders of a simple majority of the voting rights represented at the meeting, in person, by proxy or by written ballot, and voting on the resolution.

Under the Israeli Companies Law, unless otherwise provided in the articles of association or applicable law, all resolutions of the shareholders require a simple majority. A resolution for the voluntary winding up of the company requires approval by holders of 75% of the voting rights represented at the meeting, in person, by proxy or by written ballot and voting on the resolution.

Modification of Class Rights

Under the Israeli Companies Law and our articles of association, any amendment, conversion, cancellation, expansion, addition to or other change in the rights, preferences, privileges, restrictions or provisions attached to any particular class of shares issued to shareholders of our company, shall require the written consent of holders of all issued shares of such particular class, or authorization by an ordinary resolution adopted at an extraordinary meeting of such class.

Limitations on the Rights to Own Ordinary Shares in Our Company

None of our memorandum of association, our articles of association or the laws of the State of Israel restrict in any way the ownership or voting of ordinary shares by non-residents, except that shares held by citizens of countries which are in a state of war with Israel will not confer any rights to their holders unless the Minister of Finance consents otherwise.

In addition, pursuant to the Communications Order, so long as we (through B Communications) hold the control permit in Bezeq, any state, government corporation or a corporation controlled by a government corporation cannot control our company. Ownership of our shares, directly or indirectly, by a government corporation requires the prior written approval of the Israeli Prime Minister and Israeli Minister of Communications, provided that the government corporation's total direct and indirect holdings in Bezeq does not exceed more than 5% of any type of "means of control" (as such term is described above) of Bezeq. Ownership by a government corporation, directly or indirectly, of 5% or more of Bezeq's outstanding shares or a "significant influence" (as such term is described above) in Bezeq requires the approval of the Prime Minister of Israel and the Israeli Minister of Communications as well as the consent of the Israeli Minister of Defense. A "Hostile State," as such term is defined in the Communications Order, a citizen or resident of a Hostile State, a corporation incorporated in a Hostile State or controlled by a resident or citizen of a Hostile State is not allowed to hold, directly or indirectly, 5% or more or a "significant influence" (as described above) in Bezeq.

Anti-Takeover Provisions; Mergers and Acquisitions

Full Tender Offer. A person wishing to acquire shares, or any class of shares, of a publicly traded Israeli company and who would as a result hold over 90% of the company's issued and outstanding share capital, or a class of shares which are listed, is required by the Israeli Companies Law to make a tender offer to all of the company's shareholders for the purchase of all of the remaining issued and outstanding shares of the company, or any class of shares, as the case may be. If (i) less than 5% of the outstanding shares are not tendered in the tender offer and the majority of the offerees who have no personal interest in the acceptance of the tender offer have accepted the offer; or (ii) less than 2% of the outstanding shares are not tendered in the tender offer, all of the shares that the acquirer offered to purchase will be transferred to the acquirer by operation of law. However, the shareholders may petition the court within six months after receipt of the offer to alter the consideration for the acquisition. The Israeli Companies Law allows an acquirer to determine in the terms of the offer that offerees who accepted the offer will not be entitled to appraisal rights. If the terms described above for the completion of a tender offer are not met, the acquirer may not acquire additional shares of the company from shareholders who accepted the tender offer if following such acquisition, the acquirer would then own over 90% of the company's issued and outstanding share capital.

Special Tender Offer. The Israeli Companies Law provides that an acquisition of shares of a public company be made by means of a special tender offer if as a result of the acquisition the purchaser would hold 25% or more of the voting rights at the company's general meeting, unless one of the exemptions described in the Israeli Companies Law are met. This rule does not apply if there is already another shareholder who holds 25% or more of the voting rights at the company's general meeting. Similarly, the Israeli Companies Law provides that an acquisition of shares in a public company must be made by means of a tender offer if as a result of the acquisition the purchaser would hold more than 45% of the voting rights of the company, if there is no other shareholder of the company who holds more than 45% of the voting rights in the company. A tender offer is not required in the following circumstances: (i) the purchase was made in a private placement that was approved by the shareholders as a private placement and was meant to grant the purchaser 25% or more of the voting rights of a company in which no other shareholder holds 25% or more of the voting rights, or to grant the purchaser more than 45% of the voting rights of a company in which no other shareholder holds more than 45% of the voting rights, (ii) the purchaser would hold 25% or more of the voting rights after purchasing shares from a person that held 25% or more of the voting rights, or (iii) the purchaser would hold more than 45% of the voting rights after purchasing shares from a person that held more than 45% of the voting rights.

Merger. The Israeli Companies Law permits merger transactions if approved by each party's board of directors and, unless certain requirements described under the Israeli Companies Law are met, the majority of each party's shares voted on the proposed merger at a shareholders' meeting called on at least 35 days' prior notice. Under the Israeli Companies Law, if the approval of a general meeting of the shareholders is required, merger transactions may be approved by holders of a simple majority of the shares present, in person or by proxy, at a general meeting and voting on the transaction. In determining whether the required majority has approved the merger, if shares of the company are held by the other party to the merger, or by any person holding at least 25% of the outstanding voting shares or 25% of the means of appointing directors of the other party to the merger, then a vote against the merger by holders of the majority of the shares present and voting, excluding shares held by the other party or by such person, or anyone acting on behalf of either of them, is sufficient to reject the merger transaction. If the transaction would have been approved but for the exclusion of the votes of certain shareholders as provided above, a court may still approve the merger upon the request of holders of at least 25% of the voting rights of a company, if the court holds that the merger is fair and reasonable, taking into account the value of the parties to the merger and the consideration offered to the shareholders. Upon the request of a creditor of either party to the proposed merger, the court may delay or prevent the merger if it concludes that there exists a reasonable concern that, as a result of the merger, the surviving company will be unable to satisfy the obligations of any of the parties to the merger and the court may also provide instructions to assure the rights of creditors. In addition, a merger may not be completed unless at least 50 days have passed from the date that a proposal for approval of the merger was filed with the Israeli Registrar of Companies and 30 days from the date that shareholder approval of both merging companies was obtained.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, a merger is not subject to shareholders approval of (i) the target company, if it is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the acquiring company and (ii) the acquiring company, if no changes are required to its articles and memorandum of association and it is not issuing to the shareholders of the target company more than 20% of its voting rights and no person will become, as a result of the issuance, a controlling shareholder of the acquiring company, subject to certain limitations relating to the continuing of the votes, at a meeting of the shareholders of a company that is a party to the merger, of any entity or person that is either the other party to the merger or a control person thereof.

C. Material Contracts

None.

D. Exchange Controls

Israeli laws and regulations do not impose any material foreign exchange restrictions on non-Israeli holders of our ordinary shares. In May 1998, a new "general permit" was issued under the Israeli Currency Control Law, 1978, which removed most of the restrictions that previously existed under such law, and enabled Israeli citizens to freely invest outside of Israel and freely convert Israeli currency into non-Israeli currencies.

Non-residents of Israel who purchase our ordinary shares will be able to convert dividends, if any, thereon, and any amounts payable upon our dissolution, liquidation or winding up, as well as the proceeds of any sale in Israel of our ordinary shares to an Israeli resident, into freely repatriable dollars, at the exchange rate prevailing at the time of conversion, provided that the Israeli income tax has been withheld (or paid) with respect to such amounts or an exemption has been obtained.

E. Taxation

The following is a discussion of Israeli and United States tax consequences material to our shareholders. To the extent that the discussion is based on tax legislation which has not been subject to judicial or administrative interpretation, the views expressed in the discussion might not be accepted by the tax authorities in question or by court. The discussion is not intended, and should not be construed, as legal or professional tax advice and does not exhaust all possible tax considerations.

ISRAELI TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The following summary describes the current tax structure applicable to companies in Israel, with special reference to its effect on us. The following discussion also summarizes the material Israeli income tax consequences applicable to the purchase, ownership and disposition of our ordinary shares. This discussion does not address all of the tax consequences that may be relevant to purchasers of our ordinary shares in light of their particular circumstances, or certain types of purchasers of our ordinary shares subject to special tax treatment. Examples of this kind of investor include residents of Israel and traders in securities who are subject to special tax regimes not covered in this discussion. Each individual/entity should consult its own tax or legal advisor as to the Israeli tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and disposition of our ordinary shares. To the extent that part of the discussion is based on new tax legislation, which has not been subject to judicial or administrative interpretation, we cannot assure that the tax authorities or the courts will accept the views expressed in this section.

General Corporate Tax Structure

In 2017, the corporate tax rate was 24% and as of January 1, 2018 the corporate tax rate is 23%.

Tax on Capital Gains of Shareholders

Israeli law imposes a capital gains tax on the sale of capital assets by an Israeli resident and on the sale of capital assets located in Israel or the sale of direct or indirect rights to assets located in Israel, including on the sale of our Shares by some of our shareholders (see discussion below). The Israeli Income Tax Ordinance distinguishes between “Real Gain” and “Inflationary Surplus”. Real Gain is the excess of the total capital gain over Inflationary Surplus computed on the basis of the increase in the CPI between the date of purchase and the date of sale. The Real Gain accrued on the sale of our Shares is generally taxed at a rate of 25% for corporations. Additionally, if such individual shareholder is considered a “Significant Shareholder” at any time during the 12-month period preceding such sale (i.e. if such individual shareholder holds directly or indirectly, along with others, at least 10% of any means of control in the company), the tax rate will be 30% (25% in 2011). However, the foregoing tax rates will not apply to (i) dealers in securities; and (ii) shareholders who have acquired their shares prior to an initial public offering (that may be subject to a different tax arrangement). Inflationary surplus that accrued after December 31, 1993, is exempt from tax. Generally, a semi-annual detailed return, including a computation of the tax due should be submitted to the Israeli Tax Authorities and a tax advance amounting to the tax liability arising from the capital gain is payable. At the sale of traded securities, the aforementioned detailed return may not be submitted and the tax advance should not be paid, if all tax due was withheld at the source according to applicable provisions of the Israeli Tax Ordinance and regulations promulgated thereunder. Capital gains are also reportable on annual income tax returns.

Individuals

As of January 1, 2012, a shareholder will generally be subject to tax at 25% rate on realized real capital gain (if the shareholder is a Significant Shareholder, as defined above, the tax rate will be 30%). To the extent that the shareholder claims a deduction of financing expenses, the gain will be subject to tax at a rate of 30% (until otherwise stipulated in bylaws that may be published in the future).

Taxation of Non-Israeli Shareholders

Taxation of Non-Israeli Shareholders on Receipt of Dividends

Non-residents of Israel are generally subject to Israeli income tax on the receipt of dividends paid on our ordinary shares at the rate of 25%, which tax will be withheld at source, unless a different rate is provided in a treaty between Israel and the shareholder’s country of residence.

However, the tax rate on dividends paid to a “substantial shareholder” (a shareholder who alone, or together with another person, holds, directly or indirectly, at least 10% in one or all of any of the means of control in the corporation) is 30%.

Under the U.S.-Israel Tax Treaty, the maximum rate of tax withheld in Israel on dividends paid to a holder of our ordinary shares who is a U.S. resident (within the meaning of the U.S.-Israel Tax Treaty) is 25%. Furthermore, the maximum rate of withholding tax on dividends that are paid to a U.S. corporation holding 10% or more of our outstanding voting capital during the part of the tax year that precedes the date of the payment of the dividend and during the whole of its prior tax year, is 12.5% of the gross amount of the dividend paid. This reduced rate will not apply if more than 25% of the Israeli company’s gross income for such prior tax year consists of interest or dividends, other than dividends or interest received from a subsidiary corporation 50% or more of the outstanding shares of the voting shares of which are owned by the company. Investors should consult their own tax advisors to determine if they are eligible for benefits under the U.S. Israel Tax Treaty.

A non-resident of Israel who receives dividends from which tax was fully paid is generally exempt from the duty to file returns in Israel in respect of such income, provided such income was not derived from a business conducted in Israel by the taxpayer, and the taxpayer has no other taxable sources of income in Israel.

Upon a distribution of dividend, the following withholding tax rates will apply: (i) Israeli resident corporations - 0%, (ii) Israeli resident individuals - 25% and (iii) non-Israeli residents (whether an individual or a corporation) - 25%, subject to a reduced tax rate under the provisions of an applicable double tax treaty, provided that a certificate from the Israeli Tax Authorities allowing for a reduced withholding tax rate is obtained in advance.

Capital Gains Taxes Applicable to Non-Israeli Shareholders

Israeli law imposes a capital gains tax on the sale of capital assets. The law distinguishes between real gain and inflationary surplus. The inflationary surplus is the portion of the total capital gain that is equivalent to the increase of the relevant asset's purchase price (net of depreciation) which is attributable to the increase in the Israeli CPI between the date of purchase and the date of sale. Foreign residents who purchased an asset in foreign currency may request that the inflationary surplus be computed on the basis of the depreciation of the NIS against such foreign currency. The real gain is the excess of the total capital gain over the inflationary surplus. The inflationary surplus accumulated from and after December 31, 1993, is exempt from any capital gains tax in Israel while the real gain is taxed at the applicable rate discussed above.

Dealers in securities in Israel are taxed at regular tax rates applicable to business income.

Under the convention between the United States and Israel concerning taxes on income, Israeli capital gains tax will not apply to the sale, exchange or disposition of ordinary shares by a person:

- who qualifies as a resident of the United States within the meaning of the U.S.-Israel tax treaty; and
- who is entitled to claim the benefits available to the person by the U.S.-Israel tax treaty.

However, this exemption does not apply, among other cases, if the gain is attributable to a permanent establishment of such person in Israel, or if the holder is a resident of the United States within the meaning of the U.S.-Israel tax treaty who holds, directly or indirectly, shares representing 10% or more of our voting power during any part of the 12-month period preceding the sale, exchange or disposition, subject to specified conditions. Under these circumstances, the sale, exchange or disposition would be subject to Israeli tax, to the extent applicable. However, under the U.S.-Israel tax treaty, a U.S. resident generally would be permitted to claim a credit for the Israeli taxes paid against the U.S. federal income tax imposed on the sale, exchange or disposition, subject to the limitations under U.S. law applicable to foreign tax credits. The U.S.-Israel tax treaty does not relate to U.S. state or local taxes.

Under Israeli law, the capital gain from the sale of shares by non-Israeli residents is tax exempt in Israel provided that, in general, both of the following conditions are met: (A) the capital gain is not attributed to the foreign resident's permanent establishment in Israel, (B) if the seller is a corporation, less than 25% of its means of control are held by Israeli residents.

The purchaser of the shares, the stockbrokers who effected the transaction or the financial institution holding the shares through which payment to the seller is made are obligated, subject to the above-referenced exemptions if certain conditions are met, to withhold tax on the Real Gain resulting from a sale of shares at the rate of 25%.

UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The following is a description of the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of our ordinary shares. This description addresses only the U.S. federal income tax considerations that are relevant to U.S. Holders (as defined below) who hold our ordinary shares as capital assets. This summary is based on the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended ("Code"), or the Treasury regulations promulgated thereunder, judicial and administrative interpretations thereof, and the U.S.-Israel Tax Treaty, or the Treaty, all as in effect on the date hereof and all of which are subject to change either prospectively or retroactively.

Careful attention should be given to the extensive changes recently introduced to the Code under Public Law No. 115-97, formerly known as H.R. 1, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act ("Tax Reform Law").¹ This new legislation includes significant changes to the taxation of individuals and business enterprises, and investors should consult with their own tax advisors to determine the full impact of the Tax Reform Law on their U.S. tax liability.

¹ An Act to Provide for Reconciliation Pursuant to Titles II and V of the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2018.

There can be no assurance that the U.S. Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, will not take a different position concerning the tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of our ordinary shares or that such a position would not be sustained. This description does not address all tax considerations that may be relevant with respect to an investment in our ordinary shares. In addition, this description does not account for the specific circumstances of any particular investor, such as:

- broker-dealers;
- financial institutions;
- certain insurance companies;
- investors liable for alternative minimum tax;
- regulated investment companies, real estate investment trusts, or grantor trusts;
- dealers or traders in securities, commodities or currencies;
- tax-exempt organizations;
- non-resident aliens of the United States or taxpayers whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar;
- persons who hold the ordinary shares through partnerships or other pass-through entities;
- persons who acquire their ordinary shares through the exercise or cancellation of employee stock options or otherwise as compensation for services;
- direct, indirect or constructive owners of investors that actually or constructively own 10% or more of our shares by vote or value; or
- investors holding ordinary shares as part of a straddle, appreciated financial position, a hedging transaction or conversion transaction.

If a partnership or an entity treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes owns our ordinary shares, the U.S. federal income tax treatment of a partner in such a partnership will generally depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. A partnership that owns our ordinary shares and the partners in such partnership should consult their tax advisors about the U.S. federal income tax consequences of holding and disposing of ordinary shares.

This summary does not address the effect of any U.S. federal taxation (such as estate and gift tax) other than U.S. federal income taxation. In addition, this summary does not include any discussion of state, local or non-U.S. taxation. You are urged to consult your tax advisors regarding the non-U.S. and U.S. federal, state and local tax consequences of an investment in ordinary shares.

For purposes of this summary, as used herein, the term “U.S. Holder” means a person that is eligible for the benefits of the Treaty and is a beneficial owner of an ordinary share who is, for U.S. federal income tax purposes:

- an individual who is a citizen or, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, a resident of the United States;
- a corporation or other entity taxable as a corporation created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or any political subdivision thereof;
- an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source; or
- a trust if such trust has validly elected to be treated as a U.S. person for U.S. federal income tax purposes or if (1) a court within the United States is able to exercise primary supervision over its administration and (2) one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all of the substantial decisions of such trust.

Unless otherwise indicated, this discussion assumes that we are not, and will not become, a “passive foreign investment company,” or a PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes. See “—*Passive Foreign Investment Companies*” below.

Taxation of Distributions

Subject to the discussion below under the heading “—*Passive Foreign Investment Companies*,” the gross amount of any distributions received with respect to our ordinary shares, including the amount of any Israeli taxes withheld therefrom, will constitute dividends for U.S. federal income tax purposes to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, as determined for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Because we do not expect to maintain calculations of our earnings and profits under U.S. federal income tax principles, it is expected that the entire amount of any distribution will generally be reported as dividend income to you. Dividends are included in gross income as ordinary income. Distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits would be treated as a non-taxable return of capital to the extent of your tax basis in our ordinary shares and any amount in excess of your tax basis will be treated as gain from the sale of ordinary shares. See “—*Disposition of Ordinary Shares*” below for a discussion of the taxation of capital gains. Our dividends would not qualify for the dividends-received deduction generally available to corporations under section 243 of the Code.

Dividends that we pay in NIS, including the amount of any Israeli taxes withheld therefrom, will be included in your income in a U.S. dollar amount calculated by reference to the exchange rate in effect on the day such dividends are received, regardless of whether the payment is in fact converted into U.S. dollars. A U.S. Holder who receives payment in NIS and converts NIS into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate other than the rate in effect on such day may have a foreign currency exchange gain or loss that would be treated as U.S.-source ordinary income or loss. U.S. Holders should consult their own tax advisors concerning the U.S. tax consequences of acquiring, holding and disposing of NIS.

Subject to complex limitations, some of which vary depending upon the U.S. Holder’s circumstances, any Israeli withholding tax imposed on dividends paid with respect to our ordinary shares, at a rate not exceeding the applicable rate provided by the Treaty, will be a foreign income tax eligible for credit against a U.S. Holder’s U.S. federal income tax liability (or, alternatively, for deduction against income in determining such tax liability). Israeli taxes withheld in excess of the applicable rate allowed by the Treaty (if any) will not be eligible for credit against a U.S. Holder’s federal income tax liability. The limitation on foreign income taxes eligible for credit is calculated separately with respect to specific classes of income. Dividends generally will be treated as foreign-source passive category income or, in the case of certain U.S. Holders, general category income for U.S. foreign tax credit purposes. Further, there are special rules for computing the foreign tax credit limitation of a taxpayer who receives dividends subject to a reduced tax rate (see discussion below). A U.S. Holder may be denied a foreign tax credit with respect to Israeli income tax withheld from dividends received on our ordinary shares if such U.S. Holder fails to satisfy certain minimum holding period requirements or to the extent such U.S. Holder’s position in ordinary shares is hedged. An election to deduct foreign taxes instead of claiming foreign tax credit applies to all foreign taxes paid or accrued in the taxable year. The rules relating to the determination of the foreign tax credit are complex, and you should consult with your own tax advisors to determine whether and to what extent you would be entitled to this credit.

Subject to certain limitations (including the PFIC rules discussed below), “qualified dividend income” received by a non-corporate U.S. Holder will be subject to tax at the lower long-term capital gain rates (currently set at a maximum rate of 20%). Distributions taxable as dividends paid on our ordinary shares should qualify for a reduced rate provided that either: (i) we are entitled to benefits under the Treaty, or (ii) our ordinary shares are readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States and certain other requirements are met. We believe that we are entitled to benefits under the Treaty and that our ordinary shares currently are readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States (see discussion below). However, no assurance can be given that our ordinary shares will remain readily tradable. The rate reduction does not apply unless certain holding period requirements are satisfied, nor does it apply to dividends received from a PFIC (see discussion below), in respect of certain risk-reduction transactions, or in certain other situations. The legislation enacting the reduced tax rate on qualified dividend income contains special rules for computing the foreign tax credit limitation of a taxpayer who receives dividends subject to the reduced tax rate. U.S. Holders of our ordinary shares should consult their own tax advisors regarding the effect of these rules in their particular circumstances.

Sale or Disposition of Ordinary Shares

Subject to the discussion of PFIC rules below, if you sell or otherwise dispose of our ordinary shares, you will generally recognize gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realized on the sale or other disposition and your adjusted tax basis in our ordinary shares, in each case determined in U.S. dollars. Such gain or loss will generally be capital gain or loss and will be long-term capital gain or loss if you have held the ordinary shares for more than one year at the time of the sale or other disposition. Long-term capital gain realized by a non-corporate U.S. Holder is generally eligible for a preferential tax rate (currently 20%). In general, any gain that you recognize on the sale or other disposition of ordinary shares will be U.S.-source for purposes of the foreign tax credit limitation; losses will generally be allocated against U.S. source income. Deduction of capital losses is subject to certain limitations under the Code.

In the case of a cash basis U.S. Holder who receives NIS in connection with the sale or disposition of our ordinary shares, the amount realized will be based on the U.S. dollar value of the NIS received with respect to the ordinary shares as determined on the settlement date of such exchange. A cash basis U.S. Holder who receives payment in NIS and converts NIS into U.S. dollars at a conversion rate other than the rate in effect on the settlement date may have a foreign currency exchange gain or loss, which would be treated as ordinary income or loss.

An accrual basis U.S. Holder may elect the same treatment required of cash basis taxpayers with respect to a sale or disposition of our ordinary shares that are traded on an established securities market, provided that the election is applied consistently from year to year. Such election may not be changed without the consent of the IRS. In the event that an accrual basis U.S. Holder does not elect to be treated as a cash basis taxpayer (pursuant to the Treasury regulations applicable to foreign currency transactions), such U.S. Holder may have a foreign currency gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes because of differences between the U.S. dollar value of the currency received prevailing on the trade date and the settlement date. Any such currency gain or loss would be treated as U.S.- source ordinary income or loss and would be in addition to the gain or loss, if any, recognized by such U.S. Holder on the sale or disposition of such ordinary shares.

Passive Foreign Investment Companies

We believe that we were not a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes for the taxable year of 2018. However, since PFIC status depends upon the composition of our income and assets and the market value of our assets from time to time, there can be no assurance that we will not be considered a PFIC for any future taxable year. If we were a PFIC for any taxable year during which a U.S. Holder owned an ordinary share, certain adverse consequences could apply to the U.S. Holder. Specifically, gain recognized by a U.S. Holder on a sale or other disposition of such ordinary share would be allocated ratably over the U.S. Holder's holding period for the ordinary share. The amounts allocated to the taxable year of the sale or other disposition and to any year before we became a PFIC would be taxed as ordinary income. The amount allocated to each other taxable year would be subject to tax at the highest rate in effect for individuals or corporations, as appropriate, for that taxable year, and an interest charge would be imposed on the resulting tax liability. Further, any distribution in excess of 125% of the average of the annual distributions received by the U.S. Holder on our ordinary shares during the preceding three years or the U.S. Holder's holding period, whichever is shorter, would be subject to taxation as described immediately above. Certain elections (such as a mark-to-market election) may be available to U.S. Holders and may result in alternative tax treatment. In addition, if we were a PFIC for a taxable year in which we pay a dividend or the prior taxable year, the favorable dividend rates discussed above with respect to dividends paid to certain non-corporate U.S. Holders would not apply. If we were a PFIC for any taxable year in which a U.S. Holder owned our shares, the U.S. Holder would generally be required to file annual returns with the IRS on IRS Form 8621.

Additional Tax on Investment Income

In addition to the income taxes described above, U.S. Holders that are individuals, estates or trusts and whose income exceeds certain thresholds will be subject to a 3.8% Medicare contribution tax on net investment income, which includes dividends and capital gains from the sale or exchange of our ordinary shares.

Backup Withholding and Information Reporting

Payments in respect of our ordinary shares may be subject to information reporting to the IRS and to U.S. backup withholding tax at the rate (currently) of 24%. Backup withholding will not apply, however, if you (i) are a corporation, or fall within certain exempt categories, and demonstrate the fact when so required, or (ii) furnish a correct taxpayer identification number and make any other required certification.

Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules may be credited against a U.S. Holder's U.S. tax liability. A U.S. Holder may obtain a refund of any excess amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules by filing the appropriate claim for refund with the IRS.

U.S. citizens and individuals taxable as resident aliens of the United States that own “specified foreign financial assets” with an aggregate value in a taxable year in excess of certain thresholds (as determined under rules in Treasury regulations) and that are required to file a U.S. federal income tax return generally will be required to file an information report with respect to those assets with their tax returns. IRS Form 8938 has been issued for that purpose. “Specified foreign financial assets” include any financial accounts maintained by foreign financial institutions, foreign stocks held directly, and interests in foreign estates, foreign pension plans or foreign deferred compensation plans. Under those rules, our ordinary shares, whether owned directly or through a financial institution, estate or pension or deferred compensation plan, would be “specified foreign financial assets.” Under Treasury regulations, the reporting obligation applies to certain U.S. entities that hold, directly or indirectly, specified foreign financial assets. Penalties can apply if there is a failure to satisfy this reporting obligation. A U.S. Holder is urged to consult the U.S. Holder’s tax advisor regarding the reporting obligation.

Any U.S. Holder who acquires more than \$100,000 of our ordinary shares or holds 10% or more in vote or value of our ordinary shares may be subject to certain additional U.S. information reporting requirements.

The above description is not intended to constitute a complete analysis of all tax consequences relating to acquisition, ownership and disposition of our ordinary shares. You should consult your tax advisor concerning the tax consequences of your particular situation.

F. Dividends and Paying Agents

Not applicable.

G. Statement by Experts

Not applicable.

H. Documents on Display

We are subject to certain of the reporting requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, as applicable to “foreign private issuers” as defined in Rule 3b-4 under the Exchange Act. As a foreign private issuer, we are exempt from certain provisions of the Exchange Act. Accordingly, our proxy solicitations are not subject to the disclosure and procedural requirements of Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act, and transactions in our equity securities by our officers and directors are exempt from reporting and the “short-swing” profit recovery provisions contained in Section 16 of the Exchange Act. In addition, we are not required under the Exchange Act to file periodic reports and financial statements as frequently or as promptly as U.S. companies whose securities are registered under the Exchange Act. However, we file with the SEC an annual report on Form 20-F containing financial statements audited by an independent accounting firm. We also submit to the SEC reports on Form 6-K containing (among other things) press releases and unaudited financial information. We post our annual report on Form 20-F on our website (www.igld.com) promptly following the filing of our annual report with the SEC. The information on our website is not incorporated by reference into this annual report.

This annual report and the exhibits thereto and any other document we file pursuant to the Exchange Act may be inspected without charge and copied at prescribed rates at the SEC public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the SEC’s public reference room in Washington, D.C. by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The Exchange Act file number for our SEC filings is 000-30198.

The SEC maintains a website at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding registrants that make electronic filings with the SEC using its EDGAR (Electronic Data Gathering, Analysis, and Retrieval) system.

The documents concerning our company referred to in this annual report may also be inspected at our offices located at 2 Dov Friedman Street, Ramat Gan 5250301, Israel. We will provide a copy of this annual report containing our financial statements upon shareholders’ request.

I. Subsidiary Information

Not applicable.

ITEM 11. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS

We are exposed to a variety of risks, including foreign currency fluctuations and changes in interest rates. We regularly assess currency and interest rate risks to minimize any adverse effects on our business as a result of those factors.

Effects of Currency Fluctuations

Our unconsolidated net exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates (primarily the U.S. dollar) was NIS 23 million (approximately \$6 million) at December 31, 2018. Each increase or decrease of 1% in the NIS-US\$ exchange rate will result in an increase or decrease in our yearly financial income of NIS 230 thousand (approximately \$61 thousand).

B Communications' unconsolidated net exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates (primarily the U.S. dollar) was NIS 123 million (approximately \$33 million) at December 31, 2018. Each increase or decrease of 1% in the NIS-US\$ exchange rate will result in an increase or decrease in its yearly financial income of NIS 1.2 million (approximately \$328 thousand).

The Bezeq Group is exposed to foreign currency risks mainly due to payments for purchases of terminal equipment and property, plant and equipment which are in or linked to the U.S. dollar or the Euro. In addition, the Bezeq Group provides services for customers and receives services from suppliers worldwide for which it is paid, and it pays in foreign currency, mainly the U.S. dollar. The Bezeq Group has surplus liabilities over assets in foreign currency. To hedge its exposure, the Bezeq Group makes forward transactions against the U.S. dollar.

Bezeq Group holds derivative financial instruments to hedge its exposure to foreign currency. Hedge accounting is not applied to these derivative instruments that economically hedge financial assets and liabilities. Derivative instruments are recognized initially at fair value; attributable transaction costs are recognized in the statement of income as incurred. Subsequent to initial recognition, derivative financial instruments are measured at fair value and the changes in fair value are recognized in the statement of income as incurred.

The Bezeq Group's net exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates was NIS 127 million (approximately \$34 million) at December 31, 2018. Each increase or decrease of 1% in the NIS-US\$ exchange rate will result in an immaterial increase or decrease in Bezeq's yearly financial expenses.

Effects of Changes in the Israeli Consumer Price Index

Our unconsolidated net exposure to changes in the Israeli CPI was NIS 721 million (approximately \$192 million) at December 31, 2018. Each increase or decrease of 1% in the Israeli CPI will result in an increase or decrease in our yearly financial income of NIS 7.2 million (approximately \$1.92 million).

B Communications' unconsolidated net exposure to changes in the Israeli CPI was NIS 56 million (approximately \$15 million) at December 31, 2018. Each increase or decrease of 1% in the Israeli CPI will result in an increase or decrease in our yearly financial income of NIS 560 thousand (approximately \$149 thousand).

The Bezeq Group's net exposure to changes in the Israeli CPI was NIS 4.9 billion (approximately \$1.3 billion) at December 31, 2018. The exposure primarily consists of Bezeq's debentures which are linked to the Israeli CPI. As of December 31, 2018, Bezeq holds forward contracts on the Israeli CPI in the amount of NIS 1.35 billion (approximately \$360 million). Each increase or decrease of 1% in the Israeli CPI will result in NIS 27 million (approximately \$7 million) increase or decrease in the Bezeq Group's yearly financial expenses.

Cash Investments, Marketable Securities and Interest Rate Risk Management

Our cash investment policy seeks to preserve principal and maintain adequate liquidity while maximizing the income we receive from our investments without significantly increasing the risk of loss. To minimize investment risk, we maintain a diversified portfolio across various maturities, types of investments and issuers, which may include, from time to time, money market funds, U.S. government bonds, state debt, bank deposits and certificates of deposit, and investment grade corporate debt. As of December 31, 2018, we had NIS 69 million (approximately \$18 million) in cash, cash equivalents, and NIS 67 million (approximately \$18 million) in marketable securities (not including the amounts of B Communications or Bezeq).

The performance of the capital markets affects the values of the funds we hold in marketable securities. These assets are subject to market fluctuations, such as the decreases experienced in 2008 and the first six months of 2009. In such case, the fair value of our investments may decrease. We periodically monitor our investments for adverse material holdings related to the underlying financial solvency of the issuers of the marketable securities in our portfolio.

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our investment in marketable securities. Investments in both fixed rate and floating rate interest bearing securities carry a degree of interest rate risk. The fair market value of fixed rate securities may be adversely impacted due to a rise in interest rates, while floating rate securities may produce less income than predicted if interest rates fall. Due in part to these factors, our future financial results may be negatively affected in the event that interest rates fluctuate.

Bezeq's Exposure to Market Risks

Bezeq is exposed to market risks, mainly as a result of changes in interest rates, exchange rates, inflation, the prices of raw materials and equipment, and the prices of securities. Bezeq seeks to hedge against such risks in accordance with a financial exposure management policy adopted by its Board of Directors. Pursuant to that policy, Bezeq takes partial hedging actions depending on the circumstances and its own judgment, primarily for reducing its exposure to changes in the Israeli CPI and foreign currency exchange rates. Bezeq monitors and reviews the Bezeq Group's exposure management every month, including, when necessary, making recommendations for change, if required, in the exposure management.

Bezeq has a significant surplus of liabilities for CPI linked assets, and the bulk of its financial exposure from the risk of a rise in inflation. The rate of inflation also affects Bezeq's operating income and operating expenses in the course of the year. In addition, Bezeq's tariff updating mechanism, which is subject to government regulation, is reviewed once a year and is influenced by the CPI. As a result, the annual rate of inflation and its distribution during the year can have a material influence on the erosion of Bezeq's tariffs and its revenues and expenses during the year.

Bezeq's exposure to change in the interest rate largely depends on the character of its financial liabilities and assets as well as future financing needs. Most of Bezeq's debt bears fixed interest, and therefore a change in the interest rate will affect its fair value rather than its carrying value.

Bezeq has investments in negotiable bonds that are stated in its books at their market value. This market value is influenced by changes in the interest rates in the economy. In addition, a change in the NIS exchange rate constitutes economic exposure that can affect Bezeq's future profit and cash flows, mainly the repayment of currency-linked liabilities and payments for currency-linked purchases of equipment and raw materials.

The cash flow generated by Bezeq's operations is used partially for investment in equipment. The prices of the equipment are affected by the indices to which they are linked, including industry price indices, exchange rates and global prices. Bezeq does not hedge against this exposure. Bezeq is also exposed to changes in copper prices that result in a change in the residual value of its copper cable infrastructure. In deploying its NGN, Bezeq removes copper cables previously used to provide its services and sells the copper extracted from such cables. The prices received are subject to the volatility of the copper market.

ITEM 12. DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 13. DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARAGES AND DELINQUENCIES

None.

ITEM 14. MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders

None.

Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

ITEM 15. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our chief executive officer and principal financial officer to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our management, including our chief executive officer and principal financial officer, conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined under Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e), as of the end of the period covered by this annual report on Form 20-F. Based on such evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer, and the Principal Financial Officer, have concluded that, as of December 31, 2018, our disclosure controls and procedures are ineffective.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management, including our chief executive officer and principal financial officer, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined under Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f).

Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets, (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with appropriate authorizations; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In conducting its assessment of internal control over financial reporting, our management based its evaluation on the framework in "Internal Control – Integrated Framework" (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations, or the COSO, of the Treadway Commission. As a consequence of the investigations of Bezeq and several of its directors and senior officers by both the ISA and Israel's Police, we attempted to assess these investigations through the scope of our own internal control over financial reporting. However, due to provisions of Israeli law concerning obstructing investigation proceedings both Bezeq and we were prevented from examining all matters known to us that were raised in the investigations and accordingly we are unable to fully assess the effects of the investigations on our financial statements and internal controls over financial reporting. Therefore, the Company's internal control over financial reporting are ineffective as of December 31, 2018.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Subject to the limitations mentioned above, as of December 31, 2017 we identified a material weakness pertaining to the design of Bezeq's internal control over financial reporting relating to certain matters, which were mainly among the matters that were the subject of the investigations.

Remediation

Except with respect to the changes in connection with the remediation of the material weakness as described below, there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the year-end December 31, 2018 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

For the year end December 31, 2018 assessment, Bezeq undertook many steps to remediate their internal controls, and have determined such steps to be effective.

- **Board and Management Composition** – Since the ISA and Israeli Police investigations were made known to Bezeq in 2017, the composition of the Board of Director of Bezeq was totally changed and new senior management of Bezeq focused on preserving strong compliance and integrity.
- **Internal Reviews, Audits, Protocols, and Trainings** – Bezeq and its subsidiaries engaged external consultants to perform compliance reviews, appointed new compliance managers, updated written compliance procedures, and underwent new training concerning their respective compliance programs.

In light of the actions carried out to remedy the material weakness in Bezeq and based on an assessment of effectiveness carried out by Bezeq's Management under the supervision of its Board of Directors, Bezeq's Board and Management came to the conclusion that the material weakness of Bezeq as of December 31, 2018 was remediated.

At great expense, we undertook a comprehensive internal investigation and found no evidence of violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act by our company. Our management believes that our then existing work process was adequate, that there were no material deficiencies in our controls and that the material weakness at Bezeq could not have been prevented by our company. Although we believe that there was no weaknesses in relation to the activity of our company, our Audit Committee engaged special legal counsel to assist us in designing and implementing certain prospective improvements to our existing internal processes and controls. We are continuing our efforts to strengthen our longstanding control processes. The procedures and controls, were improved, including training processes; working procedures with Bezeq's finance departments and financial managers; procedures for appointing directors to the board of directors of Bezeq; and procedures for working with Bezeq's internal enforcement team. We are committed to maintaining a strong internal control environment.

ITEM 16. [RESERVED]

ITEM 16A. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

Our board of directors has determined that Mr. Yahel Shachar, an independent director and Mr. Dudi Ezra and Ms. Shoshana Shidlo, External Directors, meet the definition of an audit committee financial expert, as defined by rules of the SEC. For a brief listing of their relevant experience, see Item 6.A. "Directors, Senior Management and Employees - Directors and Senior Management."

ITEM 16B. CODE OF ETHICS

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to our chief executive officer and all senior financial officers of our company, including the principal financial officer, chief accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. Our code of ethics is available for viewing on our website at www.igld.co.il. Written copies are available upon request. If we make any substantive amendment to the code of ethics or grant any waivers, including any implicit waiver, from a provision of the codes of ethics, we will disclose the nature of such amendment or waiver on our website.

ITEM 16C. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Fees Billed by Independent Public Accountants

The following table sets forth, for each of the years indicated, the aggregate fees billed to us, Bezeq, B Communications, Pelephone and Bezeq International by the independent registered public accounting firm that audit our and their financial statements. All of such fees were pre-approved by the relevant committee for the examination of the financial statements.

Services Rendered	Year Ended December 31,			
	2017		2018	
	Unconsolidated	Consolidated	Unconsolidated	Consolidated
Audit fees and audit-related fees ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	NIS 340,000	NIS 6,802,000	NIS 325,000	NIS 6,560,000
Tax fees and all other fees ⁽³⁾	NIS 82,000	NIS 2,119,000	NIS 149,000	NIS 1,096,000
Total	NIS 422,000	NIS 8,921,000	NIS 474,000	NIS 7,656,000

(1) Audit fees are for audit services for each of the years shown in the table, including fees associated with the annual audit and audit services provided in connection with other statutory and regulatory filings.

(2) Audit related fees are the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services that are not reported under audit fees.

(3) Tax fees are the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered for tax compliance and tax advice.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Our audit committee has adopted a policy and procedures for the pre-approval of audit and non-audit services rendered by our independent registered public accounting firm, Somekh Chaikin, a member firm of KPMG International. Somekh Chaikin also acts as Bezeq's independent registered public accounting firm. Pre-approval of an audit or non-audit service may be given as a general pre-approval, as part of the audit committee's approval of the scope of the engagement of our independent auditor, or on an individual basis. Any proposed services exceeding general pre-approved levels also require specific pre-approval by our audit committee. The policy prohibits retention of the independent registered public accountants to perform the prohibited non-audit functions defined in Section 201 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act or the rules of the SEC, and also requires the audit committee to consider whether proposed services are compatible with the independence of the registered public accounting firm.

ITEM 16D. EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES

Not applicable.

ITEM 16E. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS

No ordinary shares of our company were purchased by us or on our behalf or by any affiliated purchaser during 2018.

ITEM 16F. CHANGES IN REGISTRANT'S CERTIFYING ACCOUNTANT

None.

ITEM 16G. CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

NASDAQ Exemptions for a Controlled Company

We are a controlled company within the meaning of NASDAQ Stock Market Rule 5615(c)(1), since Eurocom Communications holds more than 50% of our voting power. Under NASDAQ Stock Market Rule 5615(c)(2), a controlled company is exempt from the following requirements of NASDAQ Stock Market Rule 5605:

- The requirement that the majority of the company's board of directors qualify as independent directors, as defined under NASDAQ Stock Market Rules. Instead, we follow Israeli law and practice which requires that we appoint at least two external directors, within the meaning of the Israeli Companies Law, to our board of directors. In addition, we have the mandated three independent directors, within the meaning of the rules of the SEC and NASDAQ, on our audit committee. See Item 6C. "Directors, Senior Management and Employees - Board Practices - External and Independent Directors."
- The requirement that the compensation of the chief executive officer and all other executive officers be determined, or recommended to the board of directors for determination, either by (i) a majority of the independent directors or (ii) a compensation committee comprised solely of independent directors. Under the Israeli Companies Law, any compensation arrangement with an office holder (including an exemption from liability and the insurance and indemnification of an office holder), require the approval of the compensation committee, the board of directors and in certain circumstances, shareholders.
- The requirement that director nominees either be selected or recommended for the board of directors' selection, either by (a) a majority of independent directors or (b) a nominations committee comprised solely of independent directors. Instead, we follow Israeli law and practice, in accordance with which directors may be recommended by our board of directors for election by our shareholders.

If the “controlled company” exemptions cease to be available to us under NASDAQ Stock Market Rules, we may instead elect to follow Israeli law instead of the foregoing NASDAQ requirements, as described below.

NASDAQ Stock Market Rules and Home Country Practice

Under NASDAQ Stock Market Rule 5615(a) (3), foreign private issuers, such as our company, are permitted to follow certain home country corporate governance practices instead of certain provisions of the NASDAQ Stock Market Rules. A foreign private issuer that elects to follow a home country practice instead of any of such NASDAQ rules must submit to NASDAQ, in advance, a written statement from an independent counsel in such issuer’s home country certifying that the issuer’s practices are not prohibited by the home country’s laws.

We have notified NASDAQ pursuant to Rule 5615(a) (3), that we do not comply with the following Rules and instead follow Israeli law and practice in respect of such Rules:

- The requirement that our independent directors have regularly scheduled meetings at which only independent directors are present (Rule 5605(b)(2)). Instead, we follow Israeli law according to which independent directors are not required to hold executive sessions.
- The requirement regarding independent director oversight of director nominations process for directors (Rule 5605(e)). Instead, we follow Israeli law and practice according to which our board of directors recommends directors for election by our shareholders.
- The requirement to obtain shareholder approval for the establishment or amendment of certain equity based compensation plans (Rule 5635 (c)), an issuance that will result in a change of control of the company (Rule 5635(b)), certain transactions other than a public offering involving issuances of a 20% or more interest in the company (Rule 5635(d)) and certain acquisitions of the stock or assets of another company (Rule 5635(a)). Instead, we follow Israeli law and practice in approving such procedures, according to which Board approval may suffice in certain circumstances.

ITEM 16H. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

PART III

ITEM 17. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We have elected to furnish financial statements and related information specified in Item 18.

ITEM 18. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Consolidated Financial Statements of Internet Gold-Golden Lines Ltd.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Financial Position	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Income	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	F-7
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity	F-8
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-11
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	F-13

ITEM 19. EXHIBITS

Index to Exhibits

Exhibit	Description
1.1	Memorandum of Association of the Registrant ⁽¹⁾
1.2	Amended and Restated Articles of Association of the Registrant ⁽²⁾
2.1	Specimen of Share Certificate ⁽¹⁾
2.2	Description of Ordinary Shares
4.1	Directors and Officers' Compensation Policy
4.2	Form of Indenture entered into on February 23, 2013, between the Registrant and Reznik Paz Nevo Trusts Ltd. ⁽³⁾
4.3	English translation of Deed of Trust dated September 14, 2016 between the Registrant and Reznik, Paz, Nevo Trustees Ltd. ⁽⁴⁾
4.4	Form of Warrant Agreement ⁽⁵⁾
4.5	English translations of Control Permits issued by the Prime Minister of Israel and Israeli Minister of Communications to members of the Eurocom Group in 2019
8.1	List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant
12.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
12.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act 1934, as amended
13.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
13.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

- (1) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form F-1 (Registration No. 333-10576), and incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2012, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) Previously filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Report on Form 6-K for the month of March 2014 submitted to Securities and Exchange Commission on March 21, 2014, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2017, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (5) Previously filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Report on Form 6-K for the month of March 2014 submitted to Securities and Exchange Commission on June 20, 2018, and incorporated herein by reference.

SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this annual report on its behalf.

INTERNET GOLD – GOLDEN LINES LTD.

By: /s/ Doron Turgeman
Doron Turgeman
Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Itzik Tadmor
Itzik Tadmor
Principal Financial Officer

Dated: May 14, 2019

Internet Gold - Golden Lines Ltd.

Consolidated Financial Statements

As at December 31, 2018

Consolidated Financial Statements

Contents

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Financial Position</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	F-6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>	F-7
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity</u>	F-8
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	F-11
<u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-13

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors
Internet Gold – Golden Lines Ltd.

Opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position of Internet Gold – Golden Lines Ltd. and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2018, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the “consolidated financial statements”). In our opinion, except for the effects of the adjustments, if any, as might have been determined to be necessary had we been able to examine evidence regarding the investigation related to Bezeq The Israel Telecommunications Corp. Ltd., a subsidiary of the Company (“Bezeq”), Bezeq’s subsidiary DBS Satellite Services (1998) Ltd, and former officers in these companies (collectively referred to as the “accused parties”) as described below, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2018, in conformity with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

As described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, a joint investigation of the accused parties is being conducted by the Israel Securities Authority and the Israel Police. The basis of the investigation is the suspicion that the accused parties committed offenses under the Israel Securities’ Law and the Penal Code, with respect to transactions related to the Company’s controlling shareholder. Bezeq does not have complete information concerning the investigation, including any content, materials, or evidence which may be in the possession of the legal authorities. Accordingly, Bezeq is unable to assess the effects of the investigation, the findings, and the results on Bezeq’s consolidated financial statements and the estimates used in the preparations of these consolidated financial statements, if any. As a result, we were unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence as to the effect, if any, of the investigation proceedings on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

Convenience Translation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2018 have been translated into United States dollars (“dollars”) solely for the convenience of the reader. We have audited the translation and, in our opinion, the consolidated financial statements expressed in New Israeli Shekels have been translated into dollars on the basis set forth in Note 2C to the consolidated financial statements.

Initial application of new standard

As discussed in Note 2I to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has changed its method of accounting for revenue recognition as of January 1, 2017 due to the adoption of International Financial Reporting Standard No. 15 Revenue from Contracts with Customers and has changed its method of accounting for leases as of January 1, 2018 due to the early adoption of International Financial Reporting Standard No. 16, Leases.

Going Concern

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company currently does not have sufficient resources to service its liabilities, which raises substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matter are also described in Note 1. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Legal and regulatory Matters

We draw attention to Note 22 to the consolidated financial statements regarding class actions, which were filed against the Company relating to the investigation mentioned above.

We also draw attention to Note 12D to the consolidated financial statements regarding the possibility that the Company will lose control in Bezeq in case the Company will not comply with the provisions of the Concentration Law until December 2019.

Basis for Opinion

These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

Except as discussed above, we conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ Somekh Chaikin

Somekh Chaikin

Certified Public Accountants (Isr.)
Member Firm of KPMG International

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2000.

Tel Aviv, Israel
May 14, 2019

Consolidated Statements of Financial Position as of**(In millions)**

		December 31		Convenience translation into U.S. dollars (Note 2D)
		2017	*2018	2018
	Note	NIS	NIS	US\$
Current assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	5	2,408	1,173	313
Investments	6	769	1,847	493
Trade receivables, net	7	1,915	1,773	473
Other receivables	7	270	272	72
Related party	12B	43	-	-
Inventory	3.10	125	97	26
Total current assets		5,530	5,162	1,377
Non-current assets				
Trade and other receivables	7	493	470	125
Property, plant and equipment	8	6,940	6,313	1,684
Intangible assets	9	5,840	4,227	1,128
Deferred expenses and non-current investments	10	558	509	136
Broadcasting rights, net of rights exercised	11	454	60	16
Right of use assets	14	-	1,504	401
Deferred tax assets	21	1,019	1,205	322
Investment Property	13	-	64	17
Total non-current assets		15,304	14,352	3,829
Total assets		20,834	19,514	5,206

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Financial Position as of (cont'd)

(In millions)

	Note	December 31		Convenience translation into U.S. dollars (Note 2D)
		2017	*2018	2018
		NIS	NIS	US\$
Current liabilities				
Bank loans and credit and debentures	15	1,955	4,724	1,260
Leases right liabilities	14	-	445	119
Trade and other payables	16	1,735	1,714	457
Current tax liabilities	21	160	8	2
Provisions	17	94	175	47
Employee benefits	20	280	581	155
Total current liabilities		4,224	7,647	2,040
Non-current liabilities				
Bank loans and debentures	15	13,149	9,637	2,571
Leases right liabilities	14	-	1,106	295
Employee benefits	20	272	445	119
Other liabilities		234	175	47
Provisions	17	40	38	10
Deferred tax liabilities	21	459	302	81
Total non-current liabilities		14,154	11,703	3,123
Total liabilities		18,378	19,350	5,163
Equity (equity deficit)	25			
Attributable to shareholders of the Company		177	(453)	(121)
Non-controlling interests		2,279	617	164
Total Equity		2,456	164	43
Total liabilities and equity		20,834	19,514	5,206

Date of approval of the financial statements: May 13, 2019

/s/ Doron Turgeman

CEO

/s/ Itzik Tadmor

Principal Financial Officer

* For information regarding early adoption of IFRS 16, Leases, see Note 2.I.1

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Income for The Year Ended December 31

(In millions, except per share data)

					Convenience translation into U.S. dollars (Note 2D)
	Note	2016 NIS	2017 NIS	*2018 NIS	2018 US\$
Revenues	26	10,084	9,789	9,321	2,487
Costs and expenses					
Depreciation and amortization		2,161	2,117	2,387	637
Salaries	27	2,017	2,008	1,995	532
General and operating expenses	28	4,024	3,911	3,405	909
Impairment losses	9	-	129	2,294	611
Other operating expenses	29	21	20	635	170
		8,223	8,185	10,716	2,859
Operating profit (loss)		1,861	1,604	(1,395)	(372)
Financing (income) expenses	30				
Finance expenses		1,108	652	664	177
Finance income		(133)	(75)	(94)	(25)
Financing expense, net		975	577	570	152
Profit (loss) after financing expenses, net		886	1,027	(1,965)	(524)
Share of loss in equity-accounted investees	12	5	5	3	1
Profit (loss) before income tax		881	1,022	(1,968)	(525)
Income tax expenses (benefit)	21	442	347	(59)	(16)
Net profit (loss) for the year		439	675	(1,909)	(509)
Profit (loss) attributable to:					
Shareholders of the Company		(202)	(15)	(717)	(191)
Non-controlling interests		641	690	(1,192)	(318)
Net profit (loss) for the year		439	675	(1,909)	(509)
Loss per share	31				
Basic		(10.52)	(0.82)	(29.77)	(7.94)
Diluted		(10.52)	(0.82)	(29.77)	(7.94)

* For information regarding early adoption of IFRS 16, Leases, see Note 2.I.1.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the year ended December 31**(In millions)**

				Convenience translation into U.S. dollars (Note 2D)
	2016	2017	*2018	2018
	NIS	NIS	NIS	US\$
Net profit (loss) for the year	439	675	(1,909)	(509)
Items of comprehensive profit (loss), net of tax	(15)	(8)	42	11
Total comprehensive profit (loss) for the year	424	667	(1,867)	(498)
Attributable to:				
Shareholders of the Company	(206)	(17)	(709)	(189)
Non-controlling interest	630	684	(1,158)	(309)
Total comprehensive profit (loss) for the year	424	667	(1,867)	(498)

* For information regarding early adoption of IFRS 16, Leases, see Note 2.I.1.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity

(In millions except share data)

	Attributable to shareholders of the Company							Non-controlling interest	Total equity
	Share capital		Share premium	Treasury shares	Other reserves	Accumulated deficit	Total		
	Number of Shares ⁽¹⁾	Amount							
	NIS 0.01 par value	NIS							
			NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS
Balance as at January 1, 2016	19,203,186	*	658	(169)	(56)	(526)	(93)	2,694	2,601
Changes during 2016:									
Exercise of options in subsidiary	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	4	5
Transactions with non-controlling interest, net of tax	-	-	-	-	-	492	492	402	894
Dividends to non-controlling interests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,187)	(1,187)
Other comprehensive profit (loss), net of tax	-	-	-	-	3	(7)	(4)	(11)	(15)
Net profit (loss) for the year	-	-	-	-	-	(202)	(202)	641	439
Comprehensive profit (loss) for the year	-	-	-	-	3	(209)	(206)	630	424
Balance as at December 31, 2016	19,203,186	*	658	(169)	(52)	(243)	194	2,543	2,737

(*) Represent an amount less than NIS 1.

(1) Net of treasury shares.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity (cont'd)

(In millions except share data)

	Attributable to shareholders of the Company							Non-controlling interest	Total equity
	Share capital		Share premium	Treasury shares	Other reserves	Accumulated deficit	Total		
	Number of Shares ⁽¹⁾	Amount							
	NIS 0.01 par value	NIS							
Balance as at January 1, 2017	19,203,186	*	658	(169)	(52)	(243)	194	2,543	2,737
Changes during 2017:									
Dividends to non-controlling interests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(948)	(948)
Other comprehensive profit (loss), net of tax	-	-	-	-	1	(3)	(2)	(6)	(8)
Net profit (loss) for the year	-	-	-	-	-	(15)	(15)	690	675
Comprehensive profit (loss) for the year	-	-	-	-	1	(18)	(17)	684	667
Balance as at December 31, 2017									
	19,203,186	*	658	(169)	(51)	(261)	177	2,279	2,456

(*) Represent an amount less than NIS 1.

(1) Net of treasury shares.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity (cont'd)

(In millions except share data)

	Attributable to shareholders of the Company							Non-controlling interest	Convenience translation into US\$ (Note 2D)	
	Share capital		Share premium	Treasury shares	Other reserves	Accumulated Deficit	Total			
	Number of Shares ⁽¹⁾	Amount								
	NIS 0.01 par value	NIS								
		NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	US\$	
Balance as at January 1, 2018	19,203,186	*	658	(169)	(51)	(261)	177	2,279	2,456	656
Effect of initial application of IFRS 9**	-	-	-	-	-	(17)	(17)	-	(17)	(5)
Balance as at January 1, 2018 after initial application	19,203,186	*	658	(169)	(51)	(278)	160	2,279	2,439	651
Changes during 2018:										
Dividends to non-controlling interests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(505)	(505)	(135)
Issuance of shares	8,800,000	*	97	-	-	-	97	-	97	26
Other comprehensive profit, net of tax	-	-	-	-	4	3	7	35	42	11
Net loss for the year	-	-	-	-	-	(717)	(717)	(1,192)	(1,909)	(509)
Comprehensive profit (loss) for the year	-	-	-	-	4	(714)	(710)	(1,157)	(1,867)	(498)
Balance as at December 31, 2018	28,003,186	*	755	(169)	(47)	(992)	(453)	617	164	44

(*) Represent an amount less than NIS 1.

(**) See Note 2I regarding initial application of IFRS 9.

(1) Net of treasury shares.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Year Ended December 31**(In millions)**

				Convenience translation into U.S. dollars (Note 2D)
	2016	2017	*2018	2018
	NIS	NIS	NIS	US\$
Cash flows from operating activities				
Net profit (loss) for the year	439	675	(1,909)	(509)
Adjustments:				
Depreciation and amortization	2,161	2,117	2,387	636
Share of loss of equity accounted investees	5	5	3	1
Loss from impairment of assets	-	129	2,293	612
Finance expenses, net	1,025	584	577	154
Capital gain, net	(86)	(27)	(13)	(3)
Income tax expenses	442	347	(59)	(16)
Change in inventory	(20)	(35)	(5)	(1)
Change in trade and other receivables	110	194	239	64
Change in trade and other payables	(24)	16	(144)	(38)
Changes in provisions	(19)	15	81	22
Changes in employee benefits	(65)	(33)	489	130
Change in other liabilities	23	(34)	-	-
Net income tax paid, net	(534)	(473)	(467)	(125)
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,457	3,480	3,472	927
Cash flows from investing activities				
Investment in intangible assets and deferred expenses	(223)	(399)	(390)	(104)
Proceeds from the sale of PP&E and investment property and equipment	138	98	315	84
Tax payments due to owners' loans	(461)	-	-	-
Change in investments, net	528	457	(1,060)	(283)
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(1,193)	(1,131)	(1,216)	(324)
Net withdrawal from restricted cash	155	-	-	-
Tax payments regarding sale of investment property	-	-	(201)	(53)
Other	20	9	45	12
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,036)	(966)	(2,507)	(668)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Year Ended December 31 (cont'd)

(In millions)

				Convenience translation into U.S. dollars (Note 2D)
	2016	2017	*2018	2018
	NIS	NIS	NIS	US\$
Cash flows from financing activities				
Proceeds from issuance of debentures and loans received	4,184	2,635	1,139	303
Repayment of debentures and loans	(5,000)	(1,942)	(1,891)	(505)
Interest paid	(967)	(583)	(572)	(152)
Dividends to non-controlling interests	(1,187)	(948)	(505)	(135)
Transactions with non-controlling interests	1,034	-	-	-
Payments of principal and interest for leases	-	-	(422)	(113)
Payments to Eurocom DBS	(256)	(61)	-	-
Proceeds from issuance of shares, net	-	-	97	25
Other	(38)	(17)	(46)	(12)
Net cash used in financing activities	(2,230)	(916)	(2,200)	(589)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	191	1,598	(1,235)	(330)
Cash and cash equivalents as at the beginning of the year	619	810	2,408	642
Cash and cash equivalents as at the end of the year	810	2,408	1,173	312

* For information regarding early adoption of IFRS 16, Leases, see Note 3a.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 1 - General

A. Reporting Entity

Internet Gold–Golden Lines Ltd. (“the Company”) is an Israeli resident company incorporated in Israel. The address of the Company’s registered office is: 2 Dov Friedman Street, Ramat-Gan, Israel. The consolidated financial statements of the Company as at and for the year ended December 31, 2017, comprise the Company and its subsidiaries (together referred to as the Group). The Company holds the majority of the outstanding shares of B Communications Ltd. (“B Communications”). The Company is a subsidiary of Eurocom Communications Ltd. (“Eurocom” or “the Parent Company”) and its ultimate parent is Eurocom Holdings (1979) Ltd.

On April 14, 2010, B Communications completed the acquisition of 30.44% of the outstanding shares of Bezeq - The Israel Telecommunications Corp. Limited. (“Bezeq”) and became the controlling shareholder of Bezeq. Bezeq’s ordinary shares are registered for trade on the Tel-Aviv stock exchange.

On February 1, 2016, B Communications sold 115,500,000 shares of Bezeq (4.18% of the outstanding shares of Bezeq) for NIS 8.5 per share or NIS 978, net of transaction costs. B Communications retained a 26.34% ownership interest in Bezeq, following the closing of the transaction. For more information relating to B Communications’ control over Bezeq, see Note 12F.

The ordinary shares of the Company are registered for trade on the NASDAQ Global Select Market and on the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange.

B. Going concern

Taking into consideration the following: (1) the Company currently does not have sufficient resources to pay its debts to its creditors; (2) the decline in the prices of both Bezeq and B Communications shares; (3) the failure of the sale process of the shares of B Communications in a manner that could enable the Company to service its liabilities; (4) the Company’s decision to withhold payments to financial creditors at this stage; and (5) B Communications’ decision to withhold payments to financial creditors at this stage.

In light of the above, the execution of a transaction for the sale of B Communications shares, all in a manner that will be approved by the bond holders of the Company, will be required in order to enable the Company to make any payments of its financial debt. At this stage and until such transaction enters into advanced stages, there is a substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern.

If for any reason the Company is unable to continue as a going concern, then this could have an impact on the Company’s ability to realise assets at their recognised values and to extinguish liabilities in the normal course of business at the amounts stated in the consolidated financial statements.

Please also refer to Notes 12D, 33A and 33F.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 1 - General (cont'd)

C. Investigation of the Israel Securities Authority and the Police Force

On June 20, 2017, the Israel Securities Authority (“the ISA”) began an open investigation (“the Investigation”), which included searches at the offices of Bezeq and of DBS and seizure of documents.

As part of the investigation, the former Chairman of Bezeq’s Board of Directors was questioned, as well as Bezeq’s former CEO, the former CEO and CFO of DBS, and to the best of Bezeq’s knowledge, other senior officers and officers in Bezeq were questioned.

On November 6, 2017, the ISA issued a press release regarding the conclusion of the Investigation and the transfer of the Investigation file to the Tel Aviv District Attorney (Taxation and Economics). In accordance with the notice, the ISA concluded that there is prima facie evidence establishing the involvement of the main suspects in the case, in offenses of: (1) fraudulently receiving funds in connection with the entitlement of Bezeq’s former controlling shareholder to receive contingent consideration of NIS 170 as part of the transaction for Bezeq’s purchase of DBS shares from such shareholder, which consideration was based on certain targets to be met by DBS; (2) leaking the material of the independent committee of Bezeq’s Board of Directors that examined interested party transactions (the transaction for the acquisition of DBS shares by Bezeq and the transaction between DBS and Space Communications Ltd. for the purchase by DBS of satellite segments from Space Communications Ltd.) to Bezeq’s former controlling shareholder and associates; and (3) promoting Bezeq’s interests in the Ministry of Communications in violation of the Penal Law and the Israel Securities Law. The notice further stated that the Investigation file was transferred to the District Attorney’s Office and that the District Attorney’s Office is authorized to decide on how to proceed with this matter. It should be noted that in this context, on November 20, 2017, Bezeq and DBS received a “letter of notice to the suspect” indicating that the investigation file relating to Bezeq and DBS as suspects was transferred to the Attorney General for review.

On February 18, 2018, the ISA and the Israel Police issued a joint press release stating that in view of the evidence the ISA found in its investigation, which raised suspicions of additional offenses, a new joint investigation was opened on that date by investigators of the ISA and the Unit for Combating Economic Crime at Lahav 433. Pursuant to such investigation, a number of suspects were arrested, including senior officers of Bezeq (including a former director and controlling shareholder in Bezeq and Bezeq’s former CEO), and were subsequently released under restrictive conditions.

On December 2, 2018, the spokespersons of the Israel Police and the ISA announced that the Investigation had been concluded (“the Announcement”). According to the announcement, the Investigation refers mainly to the alleged suspicion of bribery, fraud and breach of trust committed by the former controlling shareholder (at the times relevant to the Investigation), among others, in Bezeq and Walla website. With the conclusion of the Investigation, the Israel Police and the ISA Authority believe that there is sufficient evidence in the case to substantiate the suspicions against the main parties involved in the affair, some of whom are former officers of Bezeq (the former controlling shareholder of Bezeq, the former CEO of Bezeq, a former director in Bezeq, and the former VP of strategy and business development of Bezeq).

It should be noted that on February 28, 2019, suspicions against Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu were published. The suspicions include reference to the matters investigated in the Investigation, including suspicions of exercising authority by Prime Minister Netanyahu to promote matters relating to the business of a former controlling shareholder in Bezeq and to his economic interests and the economic interests of Bezeq.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 1 - General (cont'd)

In addition, as the Attorney General's decision indicates that he is considering charging the former controlling shareholder in Bezeq with criminal charges for bribery, offenses of obstruction of justice and coerced testimony, money laundering offenses, and reporting violations under the Israel Securities Law. For further information about these proceedings, see Note 22.

Bezeq does not have full information about the investigations, their scope, the materials or the evidence in the possession of the legal authorities. Accordingly, Bezeq is unable to assess the effects of the investigations, their findings, and their results on Bezeq, as well as on the financial statements, and on the estimates used in the preparation of these financial statements, if any. Once the constraints on carrying out reviews and controls related to issues that arose in the Investigations are lifted, the review of all matters related to subjects that arose during those Investigations will be completed as required.

Following the special circumstances and the restrictions as described above and the restrictions that were specified, Bezeq performed compensatory actions, reviews and tests and procedures in order to ensure that the financial statements are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS").

In this respect, Bezeq, carried out the following actions, among others:

1. A special review of the adequacy of the control processes in Bezeq by outside consultants, led by Bezeq's Internal Auditor and under the supervision of a special, independent committee from among Bezeq's Board members. Further to this review, the special committee approved various amendments to the control processes and the work in Bezeq. The amendments have been implemented.
2. A special review of the issues of corporate governance led by Bezeq's Internal Auditor and supported by outside consultants. The work included deeper reviews on the issues of risk management, compliance, enforcement, and internal control. Bezeq and its subsidiaries are implementing the required adjustments according to the multi-annual guidelines decided upon.
3. Retaining the services of professional accounting support to assist the process of preparing the financial statements of DBS for 2017 and 2018.
4. Adding compensatory procedures in relation to the activities of certain officers on issues that affect financial reporting and disclosure, in order to deepen the internal control on those issues; these compensatory procedures were carried out in 2017 and 2018.
5. Changes in the composition of the Board of Directors and in the composition of the management of Bezeq and its subsidiaries.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 1 - General (cont'd)

In 2017, as a consequence of the investigations of Bezeq and several of its directors and senior officers by both the ISA and Israel's Police, the Company attempted to assess these investigations through the scope of our own internal control over financial reporting. However, due to provisions of Israeli law concerning obstructing investigation proceedings both Bezeq and the Company were prevented from examining all matters known to us that were raised in the investigations and accordingly the Company is unable to fully assess the effects of the investigations on our financial statements and internal controls over financial reporting.

Subject to these limitations, the Company identified a material weakness pertaining to the design of Bezeq's internal control over financial reporting relating to certain matters, which were mainly among the matters that were the subject of the investigations. Our management then assessed the effectiveness of our own internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017. For the year end December 31, 2018 assessment, Bezeq undertook many steps to remediate their internal controls.

At great expense, the Company undertook a comprehensive internal investigation and found no evidence of violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act by our company. Our management believes that our then existing work process was adequate, that there were no material deficiencies in our controls and that the material weakness at Bezeq could not have been prevented by our company. Although the Company believe that there was no weakness in relation to the activity of our company, our Audit Committee engaged special legal counsel to assist us in designing and implementing certain prospective improvements to our existing internal processes and controls. The Company are continuing our efforts to strengthen our longstanding control processes. The procedures and controls, were improved, include training processes; working procedures with Bezeq's finance departments and financial managers; procedures for appointing directors to the board of directors of Bezeq; and procedures for working with Bezeq's internal enforcement team.

In the light of various actions carried out to remedy the material weakness in Bezeq and based on an assessment of effectiveness carried out by Bezeq's management under the supervision of its Board of Directors, Bezeq's Board and Management came to the conclusion that the internal control on the financial statements and the disclosure of Bezeq as of December 31, 2018 was effective according to Israeli SOX which is different from US SOX. This conclusion is subject to the limitations arising from the investigations by the Israel Securities Authority and the Israel Police ("the Investigations"). Bezeq do not have complete information about the Investigations, their content, nor the material and evidence in the possession of the statutory authorities on this matter. Accordingly, Bezeq is unable to assess the effects of the investigations, their findings and their effect on it, on its financial statements and on the estimates used in the preparation of its financial statements, if any.

D. Private Investigation by the SEC

In March 2019, a Formal Order of Private Investigation by the SEC was issued to the Company. The Formal Order authorizes an investigation of possible violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act with respect to the facts uncovered in the criminal investigations in Israel.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 1 - General (cont'd)

E. Definitions

In these financial statements-

- (1) The Company: Internet Gold – Golden Lines Ltd.
- (2) The Group: Internet Gold – Golden Lines Ltd. and its subsidiaries, as listed in Note 12 - Investees.
- (3) B Communications: B Communications Ltd. and its subsidiaries, as listed in Note 12-A Investees.
- (4) Bezeq: Bezeq - The Israel Telecommunication Corp. Limited.
- (5) Bezeq Group: Bezeq The Israel Telecommunication Corp. Limited and its subsidiaries, as listed in Note 12- Investees.
- (6) DBS: DBS Satellite Services (1998) Ltd.
- (7) Eurocom Communications: Eurocom Communications Ltd.
- (8) Subsidiaries: Companies whose financial statements are fully consolidated, directly or indirectly, with the financial statements of the Company.
- (9) Associates: Companies in which the Group's investment is included, directly or indirectly, in the consolidated financial statements on the equity basis.
- (10) Investees: Subsidiaries or associates.
- (11) Related party: As defined in IAS 24 (2009), Related Party Disclosures.
- (12) Israeli CPI: The consumer price index as published by the Israeli Central Bureau of Statistics.

Note 2 - Basis of Preparation

A. Statement of compliance

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards, as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

The consolidated financial statements were authorized to be issued by the Company's Board of Directors on May 13, 2019.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 2 - Basis of Preparation (cont'd)

B. Functional currency and presentation currency

The consolidated financial statements are presented in NIS, which is the Group's functional currency, and have been rounded to the nearest million. The NIS is the currency that represents the principal economic environment in which the Group operates.

C. Convenience translation into U.S. dollars ("dollars" or "\$")

For the convenience of the reader, the reported NIS figures as at December 31, 2018, have been presented in dollars, translated at the representative rate of exchange as at December 31, 2018 (NIS 3.748 = US\$ 1.00). The dollar amounts presented in these financial statements are merely supplementary information and should not be construed as complying with IFRS translation method or as representing amounts that are receivable or payable in dollars or convertible into dollars, unless otherwise indicated.

D. Basis of measurement

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the historical cost basis except for the following items:

- Financial instruments, including financial derivative instruments, measured at fair value recognized through profit or loss.
- Inventories measured at the lower of cost and net realizable value.
- Equity-accounted investments.
- Deferred tax assets and liabilities.
- Provisions.
- Assets and liabilities for employee benefits.
- Liabilities for payment of contingent consideration in a business combination.

For further information regarding the measurement of these assets and liabilities see Note 3 regarding significant accounting policies. The methods used to measure fair value are specified in Note 19E.

E. Operating cycle

The Group's operating cycle is up to one year. As a result, current assets and current liabilities include items the realization of which is intended and anticipated to take place within one year from the date of the financial statements.

F. Classification of expenses recognized in the statement of income

Costs and expenses in the statement of income are presented and analyzed on the basis of the function of the expenses. The classification is compatible with the understanding of the Group's businesses, which address a wide range of services using common infrastructure. All of the costs and expenses are used to provide services.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 2 - Basis of Preparation (cont'd)

G. Use of estimates and judgments

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires the Group's managements to make judgments and use estimates and assumptions that affect the application of accounting policies and the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, income and expenses. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Estimates and underlying assumptions are reviewed on an ongoing basis. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognized in the period in which the estimates are revised and in any future periods affected. Significant estimates and judgments made when applying accounting policies and changes in these estimates and assumptions that could potentially have a material effect on the financial statements are as follows:

Subject	Principal assumptions	Possible effects	Reference
Measurement of recoverable amounts of cash-generating units that include goodwill	Assumption of expected cash flows from cash-generating units	Recognition of impairment loss	Note 9
Identification of a cash-generating unit to assess impairment	Determining cash-generating units in the Group	Recognition of impairment loss	Note 9
Deferred taxes	Assumption of anticipated future realization of the tax benefit, including assumptions for the utilization of carryforward losses in DBS, and the assumption that it is more likely than not that the cancellation of the structural separation between the Company and DBS will be approved.	Recognition or reversal of deferred tax asset in profit or loss	Note 21
Useful life and expected operation of fixed assets, intangible assets, broadcasting rights, and subscriber acquisition asset	Assumptions of the useful life of groups of fixed assets, intangible assets, and additional assets	Change in the value of fixed assets, intangible assets, additional assets, and depreciation and amortization expenses	Notes 8, 9, 10 and 11
Determining the lease term	When determining the term of the lease, the Group takes into consideration the period in which the lease cannot be canceled, including options to extend that will probably be exercised and/or options to cancel that will probably not be exercised.	An increase or decrease in the initial measurement of a right-of-use asset and a lease liability and in depreciation and financing expenses in subsequent periods	Note 14
Discount rate for a lease liability	The Group capitalizes lease payments using its incremental interest rate	An increase or decrease in the lease liability, right-of-use asset, and depreciation expenses and financing expenses to be recognized	Note 14
Uncertain tax positions	The extent of the certainty that the Group's tax positions will be accepted (uncertain tax positions) and the risk of it incurring any additional tax and interest expenses. This is based on an analysis of a number of matters including interpretations of tax laws and the Group's past experience	Recognition or reversal of income tax expenses	Note 21

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 2 - Basis of Preparation (cont'd)

Subject	Principal assumptions	Possible effects	Reference
Unavoidable costs of a contract	Assuming that the economic benefits will exceed the unavoidable costs of the contract	Recognition of a provision for an onerous contract	Note 3.13.3
Measurement of liabilities and the fair value of the excess of advance payments for contingent consideration in a business combination	The assumptions regarding the amount expected to be recovered for the Company from the excess of the advance payments, and taking into consideration the solvency of Eurocom DBS	Change in the value of the liability for contingent consideration for a business combination, change in the fair value of the excess of the advance payments for contingent consideration and recognition in the statement of income for this change, respectively.	Note 12
Non-recognition of profit from the sale of the Sakia property	Expected collection of proceeds due to the Company for the sale of the property	Recognition of capital gain from the sale of the property	Note 13
Provisions and contingent liabilities	Assessment of the likelihood of claims against Group companies and measuring potential liabilities attributable to claims	Reversal or creation of a provision for a claim, recognition of income/expenses and recognition of profit or loss for such change, respectively	Note 17 and Note 22
Employee post-employment benefits and liabilities for employees for dismissal	Actuarial assumptions such as discount rate, future salary increases and churn rate	An increase or decrease in the liability for a post-employment benefit plan and an obligation for voluntary redundancy of employees transferred from the Ministry of Communications	Note 20
The existence of effective control over Bezeq	The practical ability to appoint most of the members of the board of directors of Bezeq, as a result of the control permit in Bezeq, the composition and distribution of the holdings of the other shareholders of Bezeq and the restrictions on these shareholders under the Telecommunications Law	Consolidation of Bezeq's reports or treatment of Bezeq using the equity method.	Note 12E

Note 2 - Basis of Preparation (cont'd)

H. Determination of fair value

When preparing the financial statements, the Group is required to determine the fair value of certain assets and liabilities. Further information about the assumptions made in determining fair values is disclosed in Note 19E regarding fair value.

The accounting policies set out below have been applied consistently by group entities for all periods presented in these consolidated financials

I. Initial application of new standards

1. Initial application of IFRS 16, Leases

A. As from January 1, 2018 ("the Initial Application Date"), the Group has early adopted IFRS 16, Leases ("IFRS 16" or "the Standard").

The main effect of early adoption of IFRS 16 is reflected in annulment of the existing requirement from lessees to classify leases as operating (off-balance sheet) or finance leases and the presentation of a unified model for the accounting treatment of all leases according to the accounting treatment of finance leases in the previous accounting standard on leases, IAS 17. Accordingly, until the Initial Application Date, the Group classified most of the leases in which it is the lessee as operating leases, since it did not substantially bear all the risks and rewards from the assets.

In accordance with IFRS 16, for agreements in which the Group is the lessee, the Group applies a unified accounting model, by which it recognizes a right-of-use asset and a lease liability at the inception of the lease contract for all the leases in which the Group has a right to control identified assets for a specified period of time. Accordingly, the Group recognizes depreciation and amortization expenses in respect of a right-of-use asset, examines the right-of-use asset for impairment in accordance with IAS 36, Impairment of Assets, and recognizes financing expenses on the lease liability. Therefore, as from the Initial Application Date, lease expenses relating to assets leased under an operating lease, which were presented as part of general and administrative expenses in the income statement, are recognized as assets that are depreciated in the depreciation and amortization expense item.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 2 - Basis of Preparation (cont'd)

The Group applies IFRS 16 using the cumulative effect approach without a restatement of comparative figures.

In respect of all the leases under which the Group leases assets, the Group has elected to apply the transitional provision of recognizing a lease liability at the Initial Application Date according to the present value of the future lease payments discounted at the incremental interest rate of the lessee at that date (calculated according to the average duration of the balance of the lease period as from the Initial Application Date) and concurrently recognizing a right-of-use asset at the same amount of the liability, adjusted for any prepaid or accrued lease payments that were recognized as an asset or liability before the Initial Application Date. Therefore, application of IFRS 16 did not have an effect on the balance of the Group's equity and retained earnings at the Initial Application Date.

Upon initial application, the Group also elected to apply the following expedients, as permitted by the IFRS 16:

1. Relying on a previous assessment of whether an arrangement is a lease or contains a lease at the application date of IFRS 16. Accordingly, the agreements that were previously classified as operating leases are accounted for in accordance with the new standard, and the agreements that were previously classified as service contracts continue to be accounted for as such without change.
2. Applying a single discount rate to a portfolio of leases with similar characteristics.
3. Not separating non-lease components from the lease components and accounting for all the components as a single lease component.
4. Relying on a previous assessment of whether a contract is onerous in accordance with IAS 37 at the transition date, as an alternative to assessing the impairment of right-of-use assets.
5. Excluding initial direct costs from the measurement of the right-of-use asset at the date of initial application.
6. Using hindsight in determining the lease period if the contract includes options to extend or cancel the lease.

B. At the Initial Application Date of IFRS 16, the Group recognized right-of-use assets and lease liabilities in the amount of NIS 1.5 billion.

In measurement of the lease liabilities, the Group discounted lease payments using the nominal incremental borrowing rate at January 1, 2018. The discount rates used to measure lease liabilities range between 1.3% and 3.6% (weighted average of 1.5%).

Discounted interest rates were calculated on the basis of the market value of the marketable debentures issued by the Company.

To determine the discount interest for each period, the risk-free curve was adjusted according to the risk incorporated in the debentures issued by the Company. The range of interest is affected by differences in the lease term.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 2 - Basis of Preparation (cont'd)

The difference between the Group's agreements for the minimum contractual lease payments in the amount of NIS 1,020, as reported in Note 18.1 to the annual financial statements of 2017, and the lease liabilities recognized at the Initial Application Date of IFRS 16, amounting to NIS 1.5 billion, is mainly due to the options for extending the leases, which will most likely be exercised, which were not included in the reporting in Note 18.1 to the annual financial statements of 2017 and due to the fact that the amounts of the agreements were presented in nominal and not in discounted amounts.

The tables below summarize the effects on the consolidated statement of financial position as at December 31, 2018 and on the consolidated statements of income and cash flows for 2018, assuming that the Group's previous policy regarding leases continued in that period.

Effect on the consolidated statement of financial position as at December 31, 2018

	In accordance with the previous policy	Change	In accordance with IFRS 16
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Other receivables	324	(52)	272
Property, plant and equipment	6,315	(2)	6,313
Intangible assets	4,228	(1)	4,227
Right-of-use assets	-	1,504	1,504
Trade and other payables	1,803	(89)	1,714
Current lease rights liabilities	-	445	445
Non-current lease rights liabilities	-	1,106	1,106
Equity attributable to shareholders	(450)	(3)	(453)
Non-controlling interests	627	(10)	617

Effect on the consolidated statement of income for 2018

	In accordance with the previous policy	Change	In accordance with IFRS 16
	NIS	NIS	NIS
General and operating expenses	3,817	(412)	3,405
Depreciation and amortization	1,988	399	2,387
Impairment losses	2,291	3	2,294
Operating loss	(1,405)	10	(1,965)
Financing expenses net	544	26	570
Loss after financing expenses	(1,949)	(16)	(1,965)
Loss before income tax	(1,952)	(16)	(1,968)
Income tax	(56)	(3)	(59)
Loss for the period	(1,896)	(13)	(1,909)
Loss attributable to shareholders of the company	(714)	(3)	(717)
Loss attribute to non-controlling interests	(1,182)	(10)	(1,192)

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 2 - Basis of Preparation (cont'd)**Effect on the consolidated statement of cash flows for 2018:**

	In accordance with the previous policy	Change	In accordance with IFRS 16
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,075	397	3,472
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,532)	25	(2,507)
Net cash used for financing activities	(1,778)	(422)	(2,200)

2. Initial application of IFRS 9, Financial Instruments (2014)

As from January 1, 2018, the Group applies IFRS 9, Financial Instruments, which replaces IAS 39, Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement. The new standard includes revised guidance on the classification and measurement of financial instruments, a new 'expected credit loss' model for calculating impairment for most financial assets, and new guidance and requirements with respect to hedge accounting.

According to the standard, in cases that a change in terms or exchange of financial liabilities is immaterial and does not lead to de-recognition, the new cash flows should be discounted at the original effective interest rate, with the difference between the present value of the financial liability having the new terms and the present value of the original financial liability being recognized in profit or loss. As a result of applying the standard, the carrying amount of a loan whose terms were changed and for which a new effective interest rate was calculated at the time of the change in terms according to IAS 39, was recalculated from the date of the change in terms using the original effective interest rate. Accordingly, the balance of the debentures as of January 1, 2018 was increased by the amount of NIS 17 with a corresponding decrease in accumulated deficit.

The Group has chosen to apply the standard and the amendment to the standard as from January 1, 2018 (in this item: "date of initial application") without amendment of the comparative data with an adjustment to the balance of retained earnings as at the date of initial application.

The tables hereunder present the effects of the retrospective application of the costs of hedging approach on the statement of financial position as at December 31, 2018 and the statements of profit or loss and other comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2018:

Effect on the consolidated statement of financial position as at December 31, 2018

	In accordance with IAS 39	Adjustments	In accordance with IFRS 9
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Current maturities of bank loans and credit and debentures	4,711	13	4,724
Equity deficit attributable to shareholders of the Company	(440)	(13)	(453)

Effect on the consolidated statement of income for 2018

	In accordance with IAS 39	Adjustments	In accordance with IFRS 9
	NIS thousands	NIS thousands	NIS thousands
Financing expenses, net	566	4	570
Loss for the period	(1,913)	4	(1,909)

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 2 - Basis of Preparation (cont'd)

3. Initial application of IFRS 15, Revenue from Contracts with Customers

As from January 1, 2017, the Group has early adopted IFRS 15, Revenue from Contracts with Customers ("IFRS 15"), which sets out guidelines for recognition of revenue.

The Group applied IFRS 15 using the cumulative effect approach without a restatement of comparative figures.

Other than the accounting treatment of Subscriber Acquisition costs, implementation of IFRS 15 had no other material effects on the financial statements. In addition, implementation of IFRS 15 had no effect on retained earnings as at the transition date.

The tables below summarize the effects on the consolidated statement of financial position as at December 31, 2017 and on the consolidated statements of income and cash flows for 2017, assuming that the Group's previous policy regarding subscriber acquisition costs continued during that period.

Effect on the consolidated statement of financial position of the Group as at December 31, 2017:

	In accordance with the previous policy	Change	In accordance with IFRS15
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Net subscriber acquisition asset (stated as deferred expenses and non-current investments)	4	111	115
Equity attributable to shareholders of the Company	1,224	22	1,246
Non-controlling interests	1,778	62	1,840
Total equity	3,002	84	3,086

Effect on the consolidated cash flows statement of the Group for 2017:

	Year ended December 31, 2017		
	In accordance with the previous policy	Change	In accordance with IFRS15
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Net cash from operating activities	3,322	165	3,487
Net cash used in investing activities	(963)	(165)	(1,128)

Effect on the consolidated statement of income of the Group for 2017:

	Year ended December 31, 2017		
	In accordance with the previous policy	Change	In accordance with IFRS15
	NIS	NIS	NIS
General and operating expenses	4,037	(131)	3,906
Salaries	2,041	(34)	2,007
Depreciation and amortization expenses	2,063	54	2,117
Operating profit	1,499	111	1,610
Profit after financing expenses	982	111	1,093
Profit before income tax	977	111	1,088
Income tax	320	27	347
Net profit for the period	657	84	741
Profit attributable to shareholders of the Company	56	22	78
Profit attributable to non-controlling interests	601	62	663
Earnings per share (Basic and Diluted)	1.88	0.74	2.62

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies

The accounting policies set out below have been applied consistently for all periods presented in these consolidated financial statements, and have been applied consistently by Group entities, except as explained in Note 2, Basis of Preparation, under section I, Initial application of accounting standards.

In this Note, where the Group has chosen accounting alternatives permitted in accounting standards and/or in accounting policy where there is no explicit provision in accounting standards, such disclosure is presented in bold. This does not attribute greater importance compared to other accounting policies that are not presented in bold.

3.1 Consolidation of the financial statements

3.1.1 Subsidiaries

Subsidiaries are entities controlled by the Company. The financial statements of subsidiaries are included in the consolidated financial statements from the date that control commences until the date of loss of control.

Control exists when the Group is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the acquire and it has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the acquire. Substantive rights held by the group and others are considering when assessing control.

3.1.2 Transactions eliminated on consolidation

Intra-group balances and income and expenses arising from intra-group transactions, are eliminated in the consolidated statements.

3.1.3 Contingent consideration for business combinations

Subsequent to the acquisition date, the Group recognizes changes in fair value of contingent consideration recognized under business combinations, classified as a financial liability in the statement of income under financing expenses.

3.1.4 Non-controlling interests

On January 1, 2016 the group changed its accounting policy with respect to transactions with non-controlling interests, while retaining control. According to the new accounting policy, the difference between the consideration paid or received for change in non-controlling interests is recognized in retained earnings. The group believes that this presentation provides more relevant information about its distributable earnings.

This change in accounting policy was applied retrospectively and did not have any impact on earnings per share.

3.1.5 Allocation of impairment loss to non-controlling interests

If an impairment loss allocated to non-controlling interests relates to goodwill that was not recognized in the consolidated financial statements, the impairment is not recognized as impairment loss on goodwill. In such cases, only an impairment loss relating to goodwill that was allocated to the owners of the company is recognized as an impairment loss on goodwill.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

For purposes of goodwill impairment testing, when the non-controlling interests are initially measured according to their relative share of the acquirer's net identifiable assets, the carrying amount of the goodwill is adjusted according to the share which the group holds in the cash-generating unit to which the goodwill is allocated.

3.2 Foreign currency transactions

Transactions in foreign currency are translated into the functional currency of the Group at the exchange rate on the dates of the transactions. Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies on the reporting date are retranslated to the functional currency at the exchange rate at that date.

3.3 Financial instruments

2.J.2 As from January 1, 2018, the Group applies IFRS 9, Financial Instruments ("IFRS 9"). The application of IFRS 9 did not have a material effect on the measurement of the Group's financial instruments in 2018, compared to the provisions in the previous standard, and the main effect of application of IFRS 9 in the Group is the use of the expected credit loss model.

3.3.2 Non-derivative financial assets

Non-derivative financial assets comprise mainly investments in deposits, trade and other receivables, and cash and cash equivalents.

The Group initially recognizes financial assets at the date at which the Group becomes a party to contractual provisions of the instrument, meaning the date that the Group undertakes to buy or sell the asset.

A financial asset is initially measured at fair value plus transaction costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition or issuance of the financial asset. A trade receivable without a significant financing component is initially measured at the transaction price.

Financial assets are derecognized when the contractual rights of the Group to the cash flows from the asset expire, or the Group transfers the rights to receive the contractual cash flows on the financial asset in a transaction in which substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset are transferred.

Classification of financial assets into categories and the accounting treatment in each category

Financial assets are classified at initial recognition to one of the following measurement categories: amortized cost; or fair value through profit or loss.

A financial asset is measured at amortized cost if it meets both of the following conditions and is not designated at fair value through profit or loss:

A. It is held within a business model whose objective is to hold assets so as to collect contractual cash flows.

B. The contractual terms of the financial asset give rise to cash flows representing solely payments of principal and interest on the principal amount outstanding on specified dates.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

All financial assets of the Group that are not classified as measured at amortized cost are measured at fair value through profit or loss.

The Group classifies its financial assets as follows:

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash comprises cash balances available for immediate use and call deposits. Cash equivalents comprise short-term highly liquid investments (with original maturities of three months or less) that are readily convertible into known amounts of cash and are exposed to insignificant risks of change in value.

Trade and other receivables and deposits

The Group has balances of trade and other receivables and deposits that are held within a business model whose objective is collecting contractual cash flows. The contractual cash flows of these financial assets solely represent solely payments of principal and interest that reflects consideration for the time value of money and the credit risk. Accordingly, these financial assets are measured at amortized cost.

Subsequent measurement and gains and losses

Financial assets at amortized cost are subsequently measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method. The amortized cost is reduced by impairment losses. Interest income, foreign exchange

gains and losses and impairment are recognized in profit or loss. Any gain or loss on derecognition is recognized in profit or loss.

Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss are subsequently measured at fair value. Net gains and losses, including any interest income or dividend income, are recognized in profit or loss.

3.3.3 Non-derivative financial liabilities

Non-derivative financial liabilities include debentures issued by the Group, loans and borrowings from banks and other credit providers, and trade and other payables.

The Group initially recognizes debt instruments as they are incurred. Financial liabilities are recognized initially at fair value less any attributable transactions costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, these financial liabilities are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method.

Financial liabilities are derecognized when the obligation of the Group, as specified in the agreement, expires or when it is discharged or canceled.

Change in terms of debt instruments

An exchange of debt instruments having substantially different terms, between an existing borrower and lender are accounted for as an extinguishment of the original financial liability and the recognition of a new financial liability at fair value. The entire difference between the amortized cost of the original financial liability and the fair value of the new financial liability is recognized in profit or loss as a financing income or expense.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

The terms are substantially different if the discounted present value of the cash flows according to the new terms, including any commissions paid, less any commissions received and discounted using the original effective interest rate, is different by at least ten percent from the discounted present value of the remaining cash flows of the original financial liability.

3.3.4 CPI-linked assets and liabilities that are not measured at fair value

The value of CPI-linked financial assets and liabilities, which are not measured at fair value, is revaluated in each period according to the actual increase in the CPI.

3.3.5 Offsetting financial instruments

Financial assets and liabilities are offset, and the net amount presented in the statement of financial position when, and only when, the Group currently has a legal right to offset the amounts and intends either to settle on a net basis or to realize the asset and settle the liability simultaneously.

3.3.6 Hedge accounting

The Group holds derivative financial instruments to hedge cash flows for risks to future changes in the CPI in respect of the debentures issued by the Group.

At the inception of the hedging relationship, the Group documents its risk management objective and its hedging strategy. The Group also documents the economic relationship between the hedged item and the hedging instrument, including whether the changes in cash flows of the hedged item and the hedging instrument are expected to offset each other.

Derivatives are recognized initially at fair value. Attributable transaction costs are recognized in profit or loss as incurred. Subsequent to initial recognition, derivatives are measured at fair value, and the effective portion of changes in fair value of the hedging instrument is recognized in a hedge reserve under other comprehensive income. The effective portion of changes in fair value of a derivative, recognized in other comprehensive income, is limited to the cumulative change in fair value of the hedged item (based on present value), from inception of the hedge. The change in fair value in respect of the ineffective portion is recognized immediately in profit or loss.

3.3.7 Economic hedges

In addition, the Group holds derivative financial instruments to hedge cash flows for foreign currency risks. Hedge accounting is not applied for these instruments. The derivative instruments are recognized at fair value; changes in fair value are recognized in profit and loss as incurred, **as a financing income or expense**.

3.3.8 Share capital

a. Ordinary shares

Incremental costs directly attributable to the issue of ordinary shares are recognized as a deduction from equity.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

b. Treasury shares

When share capital recognized as equity is repurchased, the amount of the consideration paid, which includes directly attributable costs, net of any tax effects, is recognized as a deduction from equity. Repurchased shares are classified as treasury shares and are presented as a deduction from total equity. When treasury shares are sold or reissued subsequently, the amount received is recognized as an increase in equity, and the resulting surplus or deficit on the transaction is carried to share premium

3.4 Broadcasting rights

Broadcasting rights are stated at cost, net of rights exercised and impairment losses.

The costs of broadcasting rights acquired for the broadcasting of content include the amounts paid to the rights provider, plus direct costs for adjusting the rights to the broadcast. Broadcast rights are amortized in accordance with the actual broadcasts of the total number of expected broadcasts based on the management's estimate or broadcasts permitted under the agreement (the part that is unamortized at the end of the agreement term is amortized in full upon its termination), or on a straight line basis in accordance with the term of the rights agreement or the economic life, whichever is shorter. Broadcasting rights are assessed for impairment as part of the cash-generating unit to which the broadcasting rights are attributed.

The net adjustment of the broadcasting rights is presented as an adjustment of earnings as part of the ongoing operations in the statements of cash flows.

3.5 Property, plant and equipment

3.5.1 Recognition and measurement

Items of property, plant and equipment are measured at cost less accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses.

Cost includes expenditures that are directly attributable to acquisition of the asset. The cost of self-constructed assets includes the cost of materials, direct labor and financing costs as well as any other cost directly attributable to bringing the asset to the condition for its

use intended by the management, and the estimated costs of dismantling and removing the items and restoring the site on which they are located when the Group has an obligation to vacate and restore the site. The cost of purchased software that is integral to the functionality of the related equipment is recognized as part of the cost of the equipment.

Spare parts, servicing equipment and stand-by equipment are classified as fixed assets when they meet the definition of fixed assets in IAS 16, and are otherwise to be classified as inventory.

When major parts of the fixed assets have different useful lives, they are accounted for as separate items (major components) of the fixed assets.

Gain or loss from the disposal of a fixed asset item is determined by comparing the proceeds from disposal of the asset with its carrying amount. **Gain or loss from the sale of fixed assets is recognized under other income or other expenses, as the case may be, in the statement of income**

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

3.5.2 Subsequent expenditure

The cost of replacing part of a fixed asset item is recognized in the carrying amount of the item if it is probable that the future economic benefit embodied in the new item will flow to the Group and its cost can be measured reliably. The costs of day-to-day servicing are recognized in the statement of income as incurred.

3.5.3 Depreciation

Depreciation is recognized in the statement of income on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of each part of a fixed asset item, since this most closely reflects the expected pattern of consumption of the future economic benefits embodied in the asset. Leased assets under finance lease agreements are depreciated over the shorter of the lease term and their useful lives.

An asset is depreciated when it is ready for use, meaning when it reaches the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management.

Leasehold improvements are generally depreciated over the shorter of the lease term, including the extension option held by the Group and intended to be exercised and the useful life of the leasehold improvements.

The estimated useful lives for the current period are as follows:

	Years
Fixed line and international network equipment (switches, transmission, power)	4-12
Network	12-33
Subscriber equipment and installations	4-8
Equipment and infrastructure for multichannel television	3-15
Vehicles	6-7
Office and general equipment	5-10
Electronic equipment, computers and internal communication systems	3-7
Cellular network	4-10
Passive radio equipment at cellular network sites	up to December 31, 2037
Buildings	25
Seabed cable	4-25 (mainly 25)

Depreciation methods, useful lives and residual values are reviewed at least at each reporting year and adjusted as required.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

3.6. Intangible assets

(1) Goodwill and brand names

Goodwill and brand names that arise upon the acquisition of subsidiaries are included in intangible assets. Subsequent to initial recognition, brand name (Bezeq CGU, Bezeq International CGU and Pelephone CGU) and goodwill are measured at cost less accumulated impairment losses. Goodwill and brand names are measured at least once a year to assess impairment.

(2) Software development costs

Software development costs are recognized as an intangible asset only if the development costs can be measured reliably; the software is technically and commercially feasible; and the Group has sufficient resources to complete the development and intends to use the software. The costs recognized as an intangible asset include the cost of the materials, direct labor and overhead expenses directly attributable to preparation of the asset for its intended use. Other development costs are recognized in the statement of income as incurred.

Capitalized development costs are measured at cost less amortization and accumulated impairment losses.

(3) Software

Software that is an integral part of the hardware, which cannot function without the programs installed on it, is classified as property, plant and equipment. However, licenses for stand-alone software, which adds functionality to the hardware, is classified (mainly) as intangible assets.

(4) Rights to frequencies

Rights to frequencies refer to frequencies assigned to Pelephone for cellular activities, after it won the dedicated tenders of the Ministry of Communications. Depreciation of the asset is recognized in the statement of income on the straight-line method over the term of the allocation of frequencies, which started from the use of the frequencies. The 4G frequencies (LTE) and 3.5G frequencies (UMTS/HSEA) are amortized until August 22, 2028.

(5) Other intangible assets

Other intangible assets acquired by the Group, which have a definite useful life, are measured at cost less amortization and accumulated impairment losses.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

(6) Subsequent expenditures

Subsequent expenditures are recognized as intangible assets only when they increase the future economic benefits embodied in the specific asset to which they relate. All other expenditures, including expenditures relating to generated goodwill and brands, are recognized in the statement of income as incurred.

(7) Amortization

Amortization, except for goodwill, brand names (excluding brands acquired in the DBS business combination) and customer relationships, is recognized in the statement of income on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the intangible assets, from the date on which the assets are available for use. Goodwill and brand names are not systematically amortized but are tested for impairment at least once a year.

Customer relationships are amortized according to the economic benefit expected from those customers each period based on their expected churn rate, which results in accelerated amortization during the early years of the relationship.

Estimated useful lives for the current and comparative periods are as follows:

Type of asset	Amortization period
Frequency usage rights	Over the term of the license up to 2028
Computer programs and software licenses	3 - 10 years according to the term of the license or the estimated time of use of the software
Customer relationships	5 - 7 years based on the estimated customer churn rate (using the accelerated depreciation method)
Brand acquired in a business combination	12

Amortization methods and useful lives are reviewed at least once a year and adjusted if appropriate.

3.7 Leased assets

3.7.1 As set out in Note 2.I.1 above, as from January 1, 2018, the Group early applies International Financial Reporting Standard 16, Leases.

3.7.2 Accounting policy applied in the periods prior to January 1, 2018

Leases, including leases of land from the Israel Land Administration, where the Group assumes substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership are classified as finance leases. Upon initial recognition, the leased asset is measured at an amount equal to the lower of its fair value and the present value of the minimum lease payments. **Subsequent to initial recognition, the assets are measured at cost less accumulated amortization and impairment losses.**

Other leases are classified as operating leases and the leased assets are not recognized in the Group's statement of financial position. Payments made under operating leases are recognized in profit or loss on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

At inception or upon reassessment of an arrangement, the Group determines whether such an arrangement is or contains a lease. An arrangement is a lease or contains a lease if the following two criteria are met:

- A. The fulfillment of the arrangement is dependent on the use of a specific asset or assets.
- B. The arrangement contains rights to use the asset.

If, in accordance with these terms, the Group determines that the agreement does not contain a lease, the agreement is accounted for as a service agreement and payments for the service are recognized in profit or loss on a straight-line basis, over the service period.

3.7.3 Accounting policy applied as from January 1, 2018

Presented below are the principal accounting policies for leases in which the Group is the lessee, which were applied as from January 1, 2018 following the application of the Standard:

3.7.4 Determining whether an arrangement contains a lease

At the inception of the arrangement, the Group determines whether the arrangement is or contains a lease and examines whether the arrangement transfers the right to control the use of an identifiable asset for a period of time in return for payment. When assessing whether the arrangement transfers control over the use of an identifiable asset, the Group estimates, over the lease term, whether it has both rights set out below:

arrangement transfers control over the use of an identifiable asset, the Group estimates, over the lease term, whether it has both rights set out below:

- A. The right to essentially obtain all the economic rewards associated with the use of the identifiable asset.
- B. The right to direct the use of the identifiable asset.

For lease contracts that include non-lease components, such as services or maintenance, which are related to a lease component, **the Group elected to account for the contract as a single lease component without separating the components.**

Leased assets and lease liability

Contracts that award the Group the right to control the use of an identifiable asset over a period of time for a consideration are accounted for as leases. At initial recognition, the Group recognizes a liability at the present value of the future minimum lease payments (these payments do not include variable lease payments that are not linked to the CPI, or to any change in the rate of interest, or any change in the exchange rate), and concurrently, the Group recognizes a right-of-use asset at the amount of the liability, adjusted for lease payments paid in advance or accrued, plus direct costs incurred in the lease.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

Since the interest rate implicit in the lease is not readily determinable, the incremental borrowing rate of the Group is used (the borrowing rate that the Group would be required to pay to borrow the amounts required to obtain an asset at a similar value to the right-of-use asset in a similar economic environment, in a similar period and with similar collateral).

Subsequent to initial recognition, the asset is accounted for using the cost model and it is amortized over the lease term or the useful life of the asset (whichever is earlier).

The lease terms

The lease term is the non-cancellable period of the lease plus periods covered by an extension or termination option if it is reasonably certain that the Group will exercise or not exercise the option.

Variable lease payments

Variable lease payments that are linked to the CPI are initially measured using the index or currency rate at the inception of the lease and are included in the measurement of the lease liability. When there is a change in the cash flows of the future lease payments arising from the change in the index, the liability is adjusted against the right-of-use asset.

Depreciation of a right-of-use asset

After lease commencement, a right-of-use asset is measured on a cost basis less accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses and is adjusted for re-measurements of the lease liability. Depreciation is calculated on a straight-line basis over the useful life or contractual lease period, whichever earlier, as follows:

Type of asset	Weighted average of depreciation period as at January 1, 2018 (years)
Cellular communications sites	6.5
Buildings	7
Vehicles	2

Subleases

In leases in which the Group sublets the underlying asset, the Group assesses the classification of the sublease as a finance or operating lease, for the right-of-use received in the primary lease. the Group assessed the existing subleases on the initial application date, in accordance with the balance of their contractual terms as at that date.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

3.8 Investment property

Investment property is measured at cost. Cost includes expenditure that is directly attributable to the acquisition of the investment property.

3.9 Right of use of capacities

Transactions for acquiring an infeasible right of use of submarine communication cable capacities are mostly accounted for as service transactions. The prepaid expense is amortized on a straight-line basis as stated in the agreement, but for no longer than the expected estimated useful life of those capacities.

Identifiable capacities which serve the Bezeq Group exclusively meet the definition of a finance lease and are recognized in property, plant and equipment. The asset is depreciated on a straight-line basis as stated in the agreement, but for no longer than the expected estimated useful life of those capacities.

3.10 Inventory

The cost of inventories includes the cost of purchase and cost incurred in bringing the inventories to their present location and condition.

Inventories are measured at the lower of cost or net realizable value. **The Group elected to base the cost of inventories on the moving average principle.**

The inventories include terminal equipment and accessories intended for sale and service, as well as spare parts used for repairs in the repair service provided to its customers.

Slow-moving inventory of terminal equipment, accessories and spare parts are stated net of the provision for impairment.

3.11 Impairment

3.11.1 Non-derivative financial assets

As set out in section 3.3 above, as from January 1, 2018, the Group applies IFRS 9, Financial Instruments ("IFRS 9") and performs an assessment for any indications of impairment in accordance with IFRS 9. As set out in Note 2.I.2, in practice, application of the New Standard did not have a material effect on the measurement of impairment of the Group's financial assets in 2018 compared with the previous standard.

The Group has elected to measure the provision for expected credit losses in respect of trade receivables at an amount equal to the full lifetime credit losses of the instrument.

Lifetime expected credit losses are expected credit losses that result from all possible default events over the expected life of the financial instrument.

Expected credit losses are a probability-weighted estimate of credit losses. Credit losses are measured as the present value of the difference between the cash flows due to the Group in accordance with the contract and the cash flows that the Group expects to receive.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

Expected credit losses for receivables in significant amounts are tested individually. Other financial assets are assessed for expected credit losses collectively in groups that share similar credit risk characteristics, taking into account past experience.

The provision for expected credit losses is recognized net of the gross carrying amount of the receivables.

For bank deposits, for which credit risk did not increase significantly from the date of initial recognition, the Group measures the provision for expected credit losses in an amount equal to the expected credit losses in respect of an event of default in a 12-month period.

When determining whether the credit risk of a financial asset has increased significantly since initial recognition, and when estimating expected credit losses, the Group considers reasonable and supportable information that is relevant and available with no undue cost or effort. Such information includes quantitative and qualitative information, and an analysis, based on the Group's past experience and informed credit assessment, and it includes forward looking information.

3.11.2 Non-financial assets

Timing of impairment testing

The carrying amounts of the Group's non-financial assets, other than inventory and deferred tax assets are reviewed at each reporting date to determine whether there is any indication of impairment. If any such indication exists, then the recoverable amount of the asset is estimated.

The Group assesses the recoverable amount of goodwill and brand name once a year, or more frequently if there are indications of impairment.

Measurement of recoverable amount

The recoverable amount of an asset or cash-generating unit is the greater of its value in use and fair value less costs to sell. In assessing value in use, the estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset or cash-generating unit, for which the estimated future cash flows from the asset or cash-generating unit (for which future cash flows were not adjusted).

Determining cash-generating units

For the purpose of impairment testing, the assets are grouped together into the smallest group of assets that generates cash from continuing use that are largely independent of other assets or groups of assets ("cash-generating unit"), see Note 9.

Allocation of goodwill to cash-generating units

For purposes of goodwill impairment testing, cash-generating units to which goodwill has been allocated are aggregated so that the level at which impairment testing is performed reflects the lowest level at which goodwill is monitored for internal reporting purposes, but in any event is not larger than an operating segment. Goodwill acquired in a business combination is allocated to cash-generating units that are expected to generate benefits from the synergies of the combination.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

Recognition of impairment loss

An impairment loss is recognized if the carrying amount of an asset or cash-generating unit exceeds its estimated recoverable amount. Impairment losses are recognized in profit or loss. As regards cash-generating units that include goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized when the carrying amount of the cash-generating unit, after including the balance of goodwill, exceeds its recoverable amount. Impairment losses recognized in respect of cash-generating units are allocated first to reduce the carrying amount of any goodwill allocated to the units and then to reduce the carrying amounts of the other assets in the cash-generating unit on a pro rata basis. To allocate an impairment loss, the assets are not impaired below the higher of their fair value less exercise costs and their value in use (if determinable) or zero. See Note 12.

3.12 Employee benefits

3.12.1 Post-employment benefits

The Group has a number of post-employment benefit plans. The plans are usually financed by deposits with insurance companies and they are classified as defined contribution plans and defined benefit plans.

A. Defined contribution plans

A defined contribution plan is a post-employment benefit plan under which the Group pays fixed contributions into a separate entity and has no legal or constructive obligation to pay further amounts.

The Group's obligations for contributions to defined contribution pension plans are recognized as an employee benefit expense in the statement of income in the periods during which services are rendered by employees.

B. Defined benefit plans

The Group's net obligation in respect of defined benefit pension plans is calculated separately for each plan by estimating the amount of future benefit that employees have earned in return for their service in the current and prior periods. That benefit is presented at its present value, and the fair value of any plan assets is deducted. The calculation is performed annually by a qualified actuary. The discount rate is the yield at the reporting date on high-quality linked corporate debentures denominated in NIS, with maturity dates approximating the terms of the Group's obligations.

Net interest costs on a defined benefit plan are calculated by applying the discount rate used to measure the defined benefit obligation at the beginning of the annual period to the then-net defined benefit liability.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

The Group elected to recognize the interest costs that were recognized in profit or loss under financing expenses.

Remeasurement of the net defined benefit liability comprises actuarial gains and losses and the return on plan assets (excluding interest). Remeasurements are recognized immediately directly in **retained earnings** through other comprehensive income.

When the benefits of a plan are improved or curtailed, the portion of the increased benefit relating to past service by employees or the gain or loss on curtailment are recognized immediately in profit or loss when the plan improvement or curtailment occurs.

3.12.2 Other long-term employee benefits

The Group's net obligation in respect of long-term employee benefits other than pension plans is the amount of future benefit that employees have earned in return for their service in the current and prior periods. The amount of these benefits is stated at its present value. The discount rate is the yield at the reporting date on high-quality linked corporate debentures denominated in NIS, with maturity dates approximating the terms of the Group's obligations. Any actuarial gains or losses are recognized in the statement of income in the period in which they arise. **Any actuarial changes arising from a change in the discount rate are recognized in the financing expenses item, while the other differences are recognized in salary expenses.**

3.12.3 Benefits for early retirement and dismissal

Termination benefits are recognized as an expense when the Group is committed demonstrably, without realistic possibility of withdrawal, to a formal detailed plan to terminate employment before the normal retirement date. Termination benefits for voluntary redundancies are recognized as an expense if the Group has made an offer of voluntary redundancy, it is probable that the offer will be accepted, and the number of acceptances can be estimated reliably.

3.12.4 Short-term benefits

Short-term employee benefit obligations are measured on an undiscounted basis and are expensed as the related service is provided. A liability is recognized for the amount expected to be paid under short-term cash bonus or profit-sharing plans if the Group has a present legal or constructive obligation to pay this amount as a result of past service provided by the employee and the obligation can be estimated reliably.

The employee benefits are classified, for measurement purposes, as short-term benefits or as other long-term benefits depending on the date when the benefits are expected to be wholly settled.

In the statement of financial position, the employee benefits are classified as current benefits or as non-current benefits according to the time the liability is due to be settled.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

3.13 Provisions

A provision is recognized if, as a result of a past event, the Group has a present legal or constructive obligation that can be estimated reliably, and it is more likely than not that an outflow of economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation.

3.13.1 Legal claims

Contingent liabilities are accounted for according to IAS 37 and its related provisions. Accordingly, the claims are classified by likelihood of realization of the exposure to risk, as follows:

- a. More likely than not - more than 50% probability
- b. Possible - probability higher than unlikely and less than 50%
- c. Remote - probability of 10% or less

For claims which the Group has a legal or constructive obligation as a result of a past event, which are more likely than not to be realized, the financial statements include provisions which, in the opinion of the Group, based, among other things, on the opinions of its legal advisers retained in respect of those claims, are appropriate to the circumstances of each case, despite the claims being denied by the Group companies. There are also a few recently filed legal proceedings for which the risks cannot be assessed at this stage, therefore no provisions have been made.

Note 22 describes the amount of additional exposure due to contingent liabilities that are likely to be realized.

3.13.2 Site restoration and clearing costs

A provision in respect of an obligation to restore and clear sites is recognized for those rental agreements where the Group has an undertaking to restore the rental property to its original state at the end of the rental period, after dismantling and transferring the site, and restoring it as necessary. The provisions are determined by discounting the expected future cash flows. The carrying amount of the provision is adjusted each period to reflect the time that has passed and is recognized as a financing expense.

3.13.3 Onerous contracts

A provision for onerous contracts is recognized when the unavoidable costs of a contract exceed the benefits expected to be received from the contract. The provision is measured at the present value of the lower of the expected cost of terminating the contract and the unavoidable costs (net of the revenues) of continuing with the contract. Unavoidable costs are costing the Group cannot avoid as they are subject to a contract (such as incremental costs).

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

3.14 Revenues

3.14.1 As from January 1, 2017, the Group has early adopted IFRS 15, Revenue from Contracts with Customers ("IFRS 15" or "the Standard"). The application of IFRS 15 did not have a material effect on the measurement of the Group's revenue in 2017, compared to the provisions in the previous standard, and the main effect of application of IFRS 15 in the Group is the accounting treatment of incremental costs of obtaining a contract with a customer.

The model for recognizing revenue from contracts with customers includes five steps for analyzing transactions in order to determine when to recognize revenue and in what amount:

- A. Identifying the contract with the customer.
- B. Identifying separate performance obligations in the contract.
- C. Determining the transaction price.
- D. Allocating the transaction price to separate performance obligations.
- E. Recognizing revenue when the performance obligations are satisfied.

The Group recognizes revenue when the customer gains control over the goods or services. The income is measured according to the amount of the consideration to which the Group expects to be entitled in exchange for the transfer of goods or services promised to the customer, other than amounts collected in favor of third parties.

3.14.2 Identifying the contract

The Group accounts for a contract with a customer only when the following conditions are met:

- a. The parties to the contract have approved the contract (in writing, orally or according to other customary business practices) and they are committed to satisfying the obligations attributable to them.
- b. The Group can identify the rights of each party in relation to the goods or services that will be transferred.
- c. The Group can identify the payment terms for the goods or services that will be transferred.
- d. The contract has a commercial substance (i.e. the risk, timing and amount of the entity's future cash flows are expected to change as a result of the contract).
- e. It is probable that the consideration, to which the Group is entitled to in exchange for the goods or services transferred to the customer, will be collected.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

3.14.3 Identifying performance obligations

On the contract's inception date, the Group assesses the goods or services promised in the contract with the customer and identifies as a performance obligation any promise to transfer to the customer one of the following:

- a. Goods or services (or a bundle of goods or services) that are distinct; or
- b. A series of distinct goods or services that are substantially the same and have the same pattern of transfer to the customer.

3.14.4 Determining the transaction price

The transaction price is the amount of the consideration to which the Group expects to be entitled in exchange for the transfer of goods or services promised to the customer, other than amounts collected in favor of third parties. When determining the transaction price, the Group takes into account the effects of all the following: variable consideration, the existence of a significant financing component in the contract, non-cash consideration and consideration to be paid to the customer.

3.14.5 Existence of a significant financing component

In order to measure the transaction price, the Group adjusts the amount of the promised consideration in respect of the effects of the time value of money if the timing of the payments agreed between the parties provides to the customer or the Group a significant financing benefit. In these cases, the contract contains a significant financing component. When assessing whether a contract includes a significant financing component, the Group examines, among other things, the expected length of time between the date the Group transfers the promised goods or services to the customer and the date the customer pays for these goods or services, as well as the difference, if any, between the amount of the consideration promised and the cash selling price of the promised goods or services.

When the contract contains a significant financing component, the Group recognizes the amount of the consideration using the discount rate that would be reflected in a separate financing transaction between it and the customer on the inception date of the contract. The financing component is recognized as interest income or expenses over the period, which are calculated according to the effective interest method.

In cases where the difference between the time of receiving payment and the time of transferring the goods or services to the customer is one year or less, **the group applies the practical expedient included in the standard and does not separate a significant financing component.**

3.14.6 Existence of performance obligation

Revenue is recognized when the Group satisfies a performance obligation by transferring to the customer control over promised goods or services.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

3.14.7 Contract costs

Incremental costs of obtaining a contract with a customer such as sales fees to agents, are recognized as an asset when the Group is likely to recover these costs. Costs to obtain a contract that would have been incurred regardless of the contract are recognized as an expense as incurred, unless the customer can be billed for those costs.

Capitalized costs are amortized in the income statement on a systematic basis that is consistent with the average projected churn rate of subscribers based on the type of subscriber and the service received (mainly over a period of 1 month to 4 years).

Every reporting period the Group examines whether the carrying amount of the asset recognized as aforesaid exceeds the consideration the entity expects to receive in exchange for the goods or services to which the asset relates, less the costs directly attributable to the provision of these goods or services that were not recognized as expenses, and if necessary an impairment loss is recognized in profit or loss.

3.14.8 Principal supplier or agent

When another party is involved in providing goods or services to the customer, the Group examines whether the nature of its promise is a performance obligation to provide the defined goods or services itself, which means the Group is a principal and therefore recognizes revenue in the gross amount of the consideration, or to arrange that another party provide the goods or services which means the Group is an agent and therefore recognizes revenue in the amount of the net commission.

The Group is a principal when it controls the promised goods or services before their transfer to the customer. Indicators that the Group controls the goods or services before their transfer to the customer include, inter alia, as follows: The Group is the primary obligor for fulfilling the promises in the contract; the Group has inventory risk before the goods or services are transferred to the customer; and the Group has discretion in setting the prices of the goods or services.

3.15 **Financing income and expense**

Finance income includes mainly accrued interest income using the effective interest method in respect of the sale of terminal equipment in installments, interest income from deposits and changes in the fair value of financial assets at fair value through profit or loss.

Finance expenses include mainly interest and linkage expenses on borrowings received and debentures issued and financing expenses for provisions arising from legal claims.

In the statements of cash flows, interest received, and dividends received are presented as part of cash flows from investing activities. **The Group elected to present interest and linkage differences paid for loans and debentures under cash flows used for financing activities.**

3.16 **Income tax expenses**

Income tax expense consists of current and deferred tax and is recognized in the statement of income, or in other comprehensive income to the extent it relates to items recognized in other comprehensive income.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)

Current taxes

Current tax is the expected tax payable on the taxable income for the year, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the reporting date. Current taxes also include taxes in respect of prior years.

Uncertain tax positions

A provision for uncertain tax positions, including additional tax and interest expenses, is recognized when it is more likely than not that the Group will have to use its economic resources to pay the obligation.

Deferred taxes

Deferred tax is recognized in respect of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for taxation purposes. The Group does not recognize deferred taxes for the following temporary differences:

- Initial recognition of goodwill.
- Differences arising from investment in subsidiaries and associates, if it is probable that they will not reverse in the foreseeable future and if the Group controls the date of reversal.

Deferred tax is measured at the tax rates that are expected to be applied to temporary differences when they reverse, based on the laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the reporting date.

A deferred tax asset is recognized for carry-forward losses, tax benefits and deductible temporary differences, to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which they can be utilized. Deferred tax assets are reviewed at each reporting date and are reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that the related tax benefit will be realized, See Note 21.

Offsetting deferred tax assets and liabilities

The Group sets off deferred tax assets and liabilities if there is a legally enforceable right to offset the deferred tax liabilities and assets, and they relate to income taxes levied by the same tax authority on the same taxable entity, but they intend to settle the deferred tax liabilities and assets on a net basis or their tax assets and liabilities will be realized simultaneously.

Presentation of tax expenses in the statement of cash flows

Cash flows arising from taxes on income are classified in the statement of cash flows as cash flows from operating activities, unless they can be specifically identified with investing and financing activities.

3.17 Earnings per share

The Group presents basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) data for its ordinary shares. Basic EPS is calculated by dividing the profit or loss attributable to ordinary shareholders of the Company by the weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding during the year. Diluted EPS is determined by adjusting the profit or loss attributable to ordinary shareholders and the weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding for the effects of all dilutive potential ordinary shares, which comprise warrants and share options granted to employees.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 3 - Significant accounting policies (cont'd)**3.18 Dividend**

An obligation relating to a dividend proposed or declared after the reporting date is recognized only in the period in which the declaration was made (approved by the general shareholders' meeting). **In the statement of cash flows, dividend paid is presented as part of cash flows used in financing activities.**

3.19 New standards and interpretations not yet adopted**(1) IFRIC 23, Uncertainty Over Income Tax Treatments**

IFRIC 23 clarifies application of recognition and measurement requirements in IAS 12 when there is uncertainty over income tax treatments. IFRIC 23 will be effective for annual periods beginning on January 1, 2019, with early application being permitted. The Group believes that application of IFRIC 23 will not have a material effect on the financial statements

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 4 - Segment Reporting

The Group operates in four segments in the communications sector and every company in the Group operates in a separate business segment. The primary reporting format, by business segments, is based on the Group's management and internal reporting structure.

Each company provides services in the segment in which it operates, using the property, plant and equipment and the infrastructure it owns (see also Note 26). The infrastructure of each company is used only for providing its services. Each of the companies in the Group is exposed to different risks and yield expectations, mainly with respect to the technology and competition in the segment in which it operates. Accordingly, the separable components in the Group are each company in the Group.

Based on the above, the business segments of the Group are as follows:

- Bezeq - The Israel Telecommunication Corp. Ltd.: fixed line domestic communications
- Pelephone Communications Ltd.: cellular communications
- Bezeq International Ltd.: international communications, internet services and network end point
- DBS Satellite Services (1998) Ltd.: multichannel television

The other companies in the Group are presented under the "Other" item. Other operations include call center services (Bezeq Online) and online shopping and classified ads (through Walla). These operations are not reported as reporting segments as they do not fulfill the quantitative thresholds.

Inter-segment pricing is set at the price determined in a transaction in the ordinary course of business.

The results, assets and liabilities of a segment include items directly attributable to that segment, as well as those that can be allocated on a reasonable basis. The results of the segments are presented net of the impairment losses described in Note 9. This is in accordance with the manner in which the Group's chief operating decision maker evaluates the performance of the segment and makes decisions regarding the allocation of resources to the segment.

Segment capital expenditure is the total cost incurred during the period for acquisition of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 4 - Segment Reporting (cont'd)
A. Operating Segments (cont'd)

	Year ended December 31, 2016						Consolidated NIS
	Domestic fixed-line communications	Cellular communications	International communications and internet services	Multi-channel television	Others	Adjustments	
	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	
Revenue from external entities	4,063	2,587	1,478	1,745	198	-	10,071
Inter-segment revenues	320	43	70	-	20	(440)	13
Total revenue	4,383	2,630	1,548	1,745	218	(440)	10,084
Depreciation and amortization	717	380	137	296	16	615	2,161
Segment results-operating income (loss)	2,076	32	176	264	(34)	(653)	1,861
Finance income	30	52	5	13	4	29	133
Finance expenses	(475)	(6)	(15)	(539)	(2)	(71)	(1,108)
Total finance income (expense), net	(445)	46	(10)	(526)	2	(42)	(975)
Segment profit (loss) after finance expenses, net	1,631	78	166	(262)	(32)	(695)	886
Share in profit (loss) of equity-accounted investee	-	-	1	-	(5)	(1)	(5)
Segment profit (loss) before income tax	1,631	78	167	(262)	(37)	(696)	881
Income tax	399	17	42	(330)	-	314	442
Segment results - net profit (loss)	1,232	61	125	68	(37)	(1,010)	439
Additional information:							
Segment assets	7,111	3,294	1,177	2,026	193	3,642	17,443
Goodwill	-	-	6	-	10	3,050	3,066
Investment in equity-accounted investee	-	-	5	-	1	12	18
Segment liabilities	11,988	569	380	1,434	104	3,315	17,790
Investments in property, plant and equipment and intangible assets	828	277	126	227	13	-	1,471

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 4 - Segment Reporting (cont'd)

A. Operating Segments (cont'd)

	Year ended December 31, 2017						Consolidated NIS
	Domestic fixed-line communications	Cellular communications	International communications and internet services	Multi-channel television	Others	Adjustments	
	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	
Revenue from external entities	3,953	2,500	1,466	1,650	220	-	9,789
Inter-segment revenues	291	46	71	-	17	(425)	-
Total revenue	4,244	2,546	1,537	1,650	237	(425)	9,789
Depreciation and amortization	728	383	135	285	20	566	2,117
Segment results-operating income	1,971	72	174	163	(20)	(756)	1,604
Finance income	36	54	4	10	5	(34)	75
Finance expenses	(439)	(3)	(12)	(81)	-	(117)	(652)
Total finance income (expense), net	(403)	51	(8)	(71)	5	(151)	(577)
Segment profit (loss) after finance expenses, net	1,568	123	166	92	(15)	(907)	1,027
Share in profit (loss) of equity-accounted investee	-	-	-	-	(4)	(1)	(5)
Segment profit (loss) before income tax	1,568	123	166	92	(19)	(908)	1,022
Income tax	396	28	39	336	0	(452)	347
Segment results - net profit (loss)	1,172	95	127	(244)	(19)	(456)	675
Additional information:							
Segment assets	9,086	3,271	1,199	1,502	174	2,655	17,887
Goodwill	-	-	6	-	10	2,921	2,937
Investment in equity-accounted investee	-	-	5	-	(6)	11	10
Segment liabilities	13,901	536	410	1,154	64	2,313	18,378
Investments in property, plant and equipment and intangible assets	851	331	169	237	19	-	1,607

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 4 - Segment Reporting (cont'd)

A. Operating Segments (cont'd)

	Year ended December 31, 2018						Consolidated NIS
	Domestic fixed-line communications	Cellular communications	International communications and internet services	Multi-channel television	Others	Adjustments	
	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	
Revenue from external entities	3,883	2,401	1,338	1,473	226	-	9,321
Inter-segment revenues	313	42	53	-	15	(423)	-
Total revenue	4,196	2,443	1,391	1,473	241	(423)	9,321
Depreciation and amortization	850	655	194	323	20	345	2,387
Segment results-operating income	1,224	(2)	116	(56)	(35)	(2,642)	(1,395)
Finance income	32	56	1	27	-	(22)	94
Finance expenses	(502)	(22)	(16)	(16)	-	(108)	(664)
Total finance income (expense), net	(470)	34	(15)	11	-	(130)	(570)
Segment profit (loss) after finance expenses, net	754	32	101	(45)	(35)	(2,772)	(1,965)
Share in profit (loss) of equity-accounted investee	-	-	1	-	(3)	(1)	(3)
Segment profit (loss) before income tax	754	32	102	(45)	(38)	(2,773)	(1,968)
Income tax	187	8	25	3	-	(282)	(59)
Segment results - net profit (loss)	567	24	77	(48)	(38)	(2,491)	(1,909)
Additional information:							
Segment assets	8,896	4,124	1,332	1,606	157	1,110	17,225
Goodwill	-	-	6	-	-	2,274	2,280
Investment in equity-accounted investee	-	-	6	-	3	-	9
Segment liabilities	14,284	1,425	567	687	84	2,303	19,350
Investments in property, plant and equipment and	902	346	137	318	13	-	1,716

* The results of the multi-channel television segment are presented net of the impairment loss set out in Note 9. The impairment losses in respect of the cellular communications, International communications and internet services, and multi-channel television are presented as part of the adjustments.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 4 - Segment Reporting (cont'd)**B. Adjustments for segment reporting of revenue, profit or loss, assets and liabilities**

	Year ended December 31,		
	2016	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Revenue			
Revenue from reporting segments	10,306	9,977	9,503
Revenue from other segments	218	237	241
Elimination of revenue from inter-segment sales except for revenue from sales to an associate reporting as a segment	(440)	(425)	(423)
Consolidated revenue	10,084	9,789	9,321
	Year ended December 31,		
	2016	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Profit or loss			
Operating income for reporting segments	2,548	2,380	1,282
Financing expenses, net	(975)	(577)	(570)
Share in the losses of equity-accounted investees	(5)	(5)	(3)
Loss for operations classified in other Categories	(34)	(20)	(36)
Depreciation and amortization of intangible assets resulting from the Bezeq PPA adjustments	(442)	(483)	(975)
Loss from impairment of assets (see Note 9)	-	-	(1,638)
Other adjustments	(211)	(273)	(28)
Consolidated profit (loss) before income tax	881	1,022	(1,968)

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 4 - Segment Reporting (cont'd)**B. Adjustments for segment reporting of revenue, profit or loss, assets and liabilities (cont'd)**

	December 31,	
	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS
Assets		
Assets from reporting segments	15,069	15,970
Assets attributable to operations in other categories	178	159
Goodwill not attributable to segment assets	2,921	2,274
Inter-segment assets	269	-
Loss from impairment of assets	-	(1,638)
Intangible assets resulting from the Bezeq PPA, net	1,678	1,477
PPA not attributable to reporting segment	-	1,166
Other adjustments	719	106
Consolidated assets	20,834	19,514
	December 31,	
	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS
Liabilities		
Liabilities from reporting segments	16,001	16,963
Liabilities attributable to operations in other categories	64	84
Inter-segment liabilities	(1,360)	(1,158)
Liabilities resulted from the Bezeq PPA, net	386	247
Liabilities attributable to a non-reportable segment	3,287	3,214
Consolidated liabilities	18,378	19,350

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 5 - Cash and Cash Equivalents

As of December 31, 2017, and December 31, 2018, cash and cash equivalents include mainly bank deposits with a maturity of up to 90 days.

Note 6 - Investments

	December 31,	
	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS
Current investments		
Investments in marketable securities at fair value through profit and loss and others	494	463
Bank deposits	275	1,384
	<u>769</u>	<u>1,847</u>

The deposits are repayable until December 2019 and the investments in marketable securities can be disposed of immediately.

Note 7 - Trade and Other Receivables**A. Composition of trade and other receivables**

	December 31,	
	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS
Trade receivables, net		
Outstanding debts	765	709
Credit cards and checks receivable	428	396
Unbilled receivables	235	237
Current maturities of long-term receivables	472	420
Related parties	15	11
Total trade receivables	<u>1,915</u>	<u>1,773</u>
Other receivables and current tax assets		
Prepaid expenses	66	32
current tax assets	2	104
Other receivables (mainly from real estate sales)	202	136
Total other receivables	<u>270</u>	<u>272</u>
Long-term trade and other receivables		
Trade receivables- open debts	387	339
Long term receivables (from real estate sales)	106	131
	<u>493</u>	<u>470</u>
	<u>2,678</u>	<u>2,515</u>

* The amount of trade receivables is stated net of the provision for doubtful debts

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 7 - Trade and Other Receivables (cont'd)**A. Composition of trade and other receivables (cont'd)**

- (1) Discounted interest rates for long-term trade receivables are based on the estimated credit risk of trade receivables. The discounted interest rates used by the Group in 2018 are 3.4%-4.6% (in 2017: 3.5% - 3.4%).

B. Excepted payment dates for long-term trade and other receivables:

	December 31, 2018 NIS
2020	329
2021	90
2022 and thereafter	51
	<u>470</u>

C. Change in provision for doubtful debts during the year

	December 31 2017 NIS	2018 NIS
Balance at January 1	111	92
Impaired loss recognized	20	23
Bad debts	(39)	(28)
Balance at December 31	<u>92</u>	<u>87</u>

D Aging of trade receivables

The aging of trade receivables at the reporting date was as follow:

	December 31, 2017 Gross NIS	Impairment NIS	December 31, 2018 Gross NIS	Impairment NIS
Not past due	2,153	(6)	1,971	(5)
Past due up to one year	165	(37)	151	(34)
Past due one to two years	44	(27)	38	(16)
Past due more than two years	32	(22)	39	(32)
	<u>2,394</u>	<u>(92)</u>	<u>2,199</u>	<u>(87)</u>

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 8 - Property, Plant and Equipment

	Land and buildings	Switching Transmission, power, Cellular, And satellite equipment	Network equipment	Multi- channel equipment and infrastructure	Subscriber equipment	Office equipment, computers and vehicles	Total
	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS
Cost							
Balance as at January 1, 2017	1,051	5,457	5,740	1,036	1,464	1,003	15,751
Additions	34	408	228	165	278	75	1,188
Disposals	(81)	-	-	(1)	(4)	(2)	(88)
Balance as at December 31, 2017	1,004	5,865	5,968	1,200	1,738	1,076	16,851
Balance as at January 1, 2018	1,004	5,865	5,968	1,200	1,738	1,076	16,851
Additions	22	396	213	247	311	86	1,275
Disposals	(2)	-	-	(1)	(15)	(9)	(27)
Transfer to Investment property	(22)	-	-	-	-	-	(22)
Balance as at December 31, 2018	1,002	6,261	6,181	1,446	2,034	1,153	18,077
Depreciation and impairment losses							
Balance as at January 1, 2017	402	3,472	2,736	369	953	747	8,679
Depreciation for the year	53	481	204	222	187	85	1,232
Balance as at December 31, 2017	455	3,953	2,940	591	1,140	832	9,911
Balance as at January 1, 2018	455	3,953	2,940	591	1,140	832	9,911
Depreciation for the year	73	481	210	214	215	84	1,277
Loss from impairment of assets	22	-	-	526	-	28	576
Balance as at December 31, 2018	550	4,434	3,150	1,331	1,355	944	11,764
Carrying amounts							
As at January 1, 2017	649	1,985	3,004	667	511	256	7,072
As at December 31, 2017	549	1,912	3,028	609	598	244	6,940
As at December 31, 2018	452	1,827	3,031	115	679	209	6,313

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 8 - Property, Plant and Equipment (cont'd)

- A. The residual value of the Bezeq's copper cables is assessed at the end of each quarter. The residual value is NIS 168 as at December 31, 2018 and NIS 188 as at December 31, 2017.
- B. Fixed assets in the Bezeq are derecognized at the end of each year upon reaching full depreciation, except for land, buildings, vehicles, copper cables and specific components for Pelephone's UMTS network, which are derecognized upon their sale. In 2018, the Bezeq derecognized fully depreciated property at a cost of NIS 537 (in 2017, NIS 496).
- C. The Bezeq companies reviewed the useful life of the fixed assets through the depreciation committee, in order to determine the estimated useful life of their equipment. The change is not expected to have a material impact on the depreciation expenses of the Bezeq. Following the findings of the depreciation committees, minor changes were made in the estimated useful life of certain assets.
- D. Most of the real estate assets used by Bezeq are leased under a capitalized lease from the Israel Lands Administration as from 1993 for 49 years, with an option for an extension of another 49 years. Lease rights are amortized over the term of the lease period.
- E. In 2013, Bezeq started to install a fiber optic network that will reach the subscriber's home. In 2017, deployment of the fibers reached the state required for operation when a decision is made on the technology to be used, and Bezeq began to amortize the network. Commercial operation of the network is expected in the future.
- F. In accordance with the Telecommunications Order (Telecommunications and Broadcasts) (Determination of Essential Service Provided by Bezeq The Israel Telecommunication Corp. Ltd.), 1997, approval from the Prime Minister and Minister of Communications is required to confer rights in some of Bezeq's assets (including switches, cable network, transmission network, and information and databases).
- G. For agreements for purchasing fixed assets please refer to Note 23G.
- H. For information about pledges see Note 24.
- I. For information about pledges on loans and borrowings, see Note 15.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 9 - Intangible Assets**A. Composition**

	Goodwill	Computer software and licenses	Right of use in cellular frequencies	Customer relationships and brand names	Others	Total
	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS
Cost						
Balance as at January 1, 2017	3,066	1,779	480	7,479	269	13,073
Acquisitions or additions from independent development	-	227	-	-	-	227
Disposals	-	-	-	-	(48)	(48)
Balance as at December 31, 2017	<u>3,066</u>	<u>2,006</u>	<u>480</u>	<u>7,479</u>	<u>221</u>	<u>13,252</u>
Balance as at January 1, 2018	3,066	2,006	480	7,479	221	13,252
Acquisitions or additions from independent development	-	220	-	-	-	220
Disposals	-	(12)	-	-	-	(12)
Balance as at December 31, 2018	<u>3,066</u>	<u>2,214</u>	<u>480</u>	<u>7,479</u>	<u>221</u>	<u>13,460</u>
Amortization and impairment losses						
Balance as at January 1, 2017	-	1,238	242	4,826	233	6,539
Amortization for the year	-	218	29	530	9	786
Disposals	-	-	-	-	(42)	(42)
Impairment losses	129	-	-	-	-	129
Balance as at December 31, 2017	<u>129</u>	<u>1,456</u>	<u>271</u>	<u>5,356</u>	<u>200</u>	<u>7,412</u>
Balance as at January 1, 2018	129	1,456	271	5,356	200	7,412
Amortization for the year	-	226	20	290	6	542
Impairment losses	659	104	-	505	11	1,279
Balance as at December 31, 2018	<u>788</u>	<u>1,786</u>	<u>291</u>	<u>6,151</u>	<u>217</u>	<u>9,233</u>
Carrying amounts						
As at January 1, 2017	3,066	541	238	2,653	36	6,534
As at December 31, 2017	<u>2,937</u>	<u>550</u>	<u>209</u>	<u>2,123</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>5,840</u>
As at December 31, 2018	<u>2,278</u>	<u>428</u>	<u>189</u>	<u>1,328</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4,227</u>

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 9 - Intangible Assets (cont'd)

B. Total value of goodwill attributable to each cash-generating unit:

	December 31	
	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS
Domestic fixed-line communications	1,548	1,548
Cellular communications	1,163	685
International communications and internet services	181	40
Multi-channel television	33	-
Walla communications	7	-
Others	5	5
Total	2,937	2,278

The valuation was prepared by an external appraiser. Based on the valuation described above, the Group was not required to record amortization for impairment of a domestic fixed-line communications cash-generating unit.

C. Goodwill impairment testing

For the purpose of impairment testing, goodwill is allocated to the Group's cash generating units ("CGU") which represent the lowest level within the Group at which the goodwill is monitored for internal management purposes. Several goodwill balances result from the requirement to recognize a deferred tax liability on business combination, calculated as the tax effect on the difference between the fair value of the acquired assets and liabilities, and their tax bases. For the purpose of testing this goodwill for impairment, any of the related deferred tax liabilities recognized on acquisition that remain at the balance sheet date are treated as part of the carrying amount of the relevant CGU. The annual impairment test date is December 31.

The recoverable amount of each CGU was calculated as the highest between its value in use and its fair value which was based on the Discounted Cash Flow method under the Income Approach.

Domestic fixed-line communications (Bezeq Fixed Line)

The fair value (level 3) of the domestic fixed line cash-generating unit of the Bezeq Group was calculated by discounting future cash flows (DCF) based on a five-year cash flow forecast as at the end of the current period with the addition of the salvage value.

The cash flow forecast is based, among other things, on Bezeq's performance in recent years and assessments regarding the expected trends in the fixed-line market in the coming years (the level of competition, retail and wholesale price levels, regulation aspects, and technological developments).

Main assumptions underlying the forecast: (1) the continued decrease in revenue from telephony (the decrease in the number of lines and erosion of average revenue per line); (2) the relative stability in medium-term revenue from internet, and the return to a direction of long-term growth (in line with market growth and based on high rates); and (3) increase in revenue from data communication and transmission, cloud and digital. Operating expenses, sales, marketing, and investments were adjusted to the scope of activity in the segment and in general, this includes discount forecasts regarding gradual retrenchment in the Company's human resources and severance expenses and the resulting salary expenses.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 9 - Intangible Assets (cont'd)

The nominal capital price taken into account for the valuation is 7.75% (after tax). In addition, a permanent growth rate of 1% was assumed.

The valuation was prepared by an external appraiser. Based on the valuation described above, the Group was not required to record amortization for impairment of a domestic fixed-line communications cash-generating unit.

Cellular communications (Pelephone)

During the second quarter of 2018 the Company assessed the recoverable amount of the cellular communications CGU due to impairment signs. According to the valuation assessed during the second quarter the Company recognized an impairment loss of NIS 333 attributable to shareholders. The impairment loss was fully attributed to goodwill and was included under impairment losses in the statement of income.

As of December 31, 2018, the fair value (level 3) of the cellular communications cash-generating unit of the Bezeq Group was calculated by discounting future cash flows (DCF) based on a five-year cash flow forecast as at the end of the current period with the addition of the salvage value. The cash flow forecast is based, among other things, on Pelephone's performance in recent years and assessments regarding the expected trends in the cellular market in the coming years (the level of competition, price level, regulation, and technological developments). The main assumption underlying the forecast is that competition in the market will continue with high intensity in the short term and that stability and an certain increase will occur in the medium to long term. The revenue forecast is based on assumptions regarding the number of Pelephone subscribers, average revenue per user, and sales of terminal equipment. The forecast of expenses and investments is based, among other things, on assumptions regarding the number of Pelephone employees and the resulting salary expenses, while the other operating expenses and investments were adjusted to the projected volume of operations of Pelephone.

The nominal capital price taken into account for the valuation is 9.25% (after tax). In addition, a permanent growth rate of 3% was assumed.

The valuation was prepared by an external appraiser. Based on the above valuation, the carrying amount of the cellular telephone CGU is NIS 476 higher than its recoverable amount of NIS 5,342 (which includes rights of use asset as a result of implementing IFRS 16). Consequently, an impairment loss of NIS 145 attributable to shareholders was recognized. Due to the impairment of the CGU, the recoverable amount is the same as the carrying amount. The impairment loss was fully attributed to goodwill and was included under impairment losses in the statement of income.

The circumstances that led to the recognition of an impairment loss are the lower revenues resulted from a lower ARPU forecast as a result of the price competition in the cellular market. The forecast also assumes a lower decrease in the expenses of the cellular telephone CGU, as a result of efficiency measures taken by management, however, it does not fully compensate for the expected decrease in the CGU's revenues.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 9 - Intangible Assets (cont'd)

Multi-channel television (DBS)

The value in use of the multi-channel television cash-generating unit of the Bezeq Group was calculated by discounting future cash flows (DCF) based on the eight-year cash flow forecast of DBS as at the end of the current period with the addition of the value arising from the representative year. The forecast period was chosen so that the representative year is the year following the estimated date for completion of the planned migration for internet-based broadcasting instead of satellite, as set out below. The nominal capital price taken into account for the valuation is 8.5% (after tax). In addition, a permanent growth rate of 0% was assumed.

The cash flow forecast was based, among other things, on the performance of DBS in recent years and assessments of the expected trends in the television market for the years ahead, including technology development, consumer preferences, competitors and the level of competition, price levels and regulatory obligations.

The main assumption underlying the forecast is that the relevant future technology will be interactive and two-way, and that a satellite product cannot compete with the IP product (television broadcasts over the internet) over time, due to the growing gap in customer experience. As a result, the multi-year forecast reflects a plan for gradual migration (from satellite broadcasts to OTT internet streaming), and accordingly, assumptions include a gradual replacement of satellite converters with IP converters, upgrade of the broadcasting infrastructure, construction of a support system for customer service, and adaptation of content contracts for OTT broadcasts. As set out above, the forecast period reflects the period of migration from satellite broadcasts to OTT broadcasts, until complete discontinuation of satellite broadcasts. These circumstances, together with expectations for the continuation of intense competition throughout the forecast period and the relatively rigid expenditure structure, resulted in a forecast of significant operational losses and a significant negative cash flow in the coming years, and a low negative cash flow, close to a balance, is expected at the end of the forecast period in the technology and business model of DBS. It should be noted that the plan will be implemented together with an ongoing assessment of market conditions, competition, and the technological environment, and the adjustments that will be required as a result.

The valuation was prepared by an external appraiser. Based on the valuation as described above, the total value of the operations of DBS is negative, amounting to NIS 871. In view of the negative value of the operations, the value of the non-current assets of DBS was determined as the higher of their fair value and zero.

Based on the assessment of the fair value of the non-current assets of DBS, the carrying amount of the depreciable assets (including customer relations and branding attributable to DBS in the business combination) is NIS 1,638 higher than their fair value less disposal costs. Accordingly, the Group recognized an impairment loss of NIS 1,638 in the multi-channel television cash-generating unit (after writing off the tax reserve for customer relations and branding in the amount of NIS 1,524). The impairment loss was attributed to fixed assets, broadcast rights, intangible assets, and subscriber acquisition assets, as set out below, and was included in the impairment loss item in the statement of income.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 9 - Intangible Assets (cont'd)**Attribution of impairment loss to Group assets:**

	NIS
Broadcasting rights, net of rights exercised	403
Fixed assets	559
Intangible assets	106
Subscriber acquisition (assessed under IFRS 15)	29
Rights of use for leased assets	3
Total impairment recognized in the statements of DBS	1,100
Customer relations and branding	505
Goodwill	33
Total impairment loss of assets	1,638
Write-off of deferred tax attributed to customer relations and branding	(114)
Total impairment loss of multi-channel television cash-generating unit after tax	1,524

Below is information about the Group's method for measuring the fair value of the assets of DBS, which were impaired as set out above:

Broadcasting rights: Measurement of the fair value of broadcasting rights took into account legal restrictions on their sale and based on the production stage, the probability of sale, and the expected rate of return on the investment in them.

Property, plants and equipment: The fair value of fixed asset items that are available for sale to a market participant (mainly converters) is based on their estimated selling value on the valuation date less selling costs.

Intangible assets: Material fair value was not attributed to the intangible assets of DBS, since most of the software and licenses of DBS were uniquely adapted to DBS, and therefore they have no material value in a transaction between a willing buyer and a willing seller.

Rights of use for leased assets: The fair value of right-of-use assets is affected by the ability to lease the asset underlying the lease to a third party, the lease fees of the property on the market, and the exit penalties in the lease contract.

International communications and Internet services (Bezeq International) -

The fair value (level 3) of the international communications, internet and NEP services cash-generating unit for Bezeq Group was calculated by discounting future cash flows (DCF) based on a five-year cash flow forecast as at the end of the current period with the addition of the salvage value.

The cash flow forecast is based, among other things, on Bezeq International's performance in recent years and assessments regarding the expected trends in the markets in which it operates in the coming years (the level of competition, price level, regulation, and technological developments).

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 9 - Intangible Assets (cont'd)

The revenue forecast is based on assumptions regarding the number of Bezeq International internet subscribers and the average revenue per subscriber, Bezeq International's operations in the international communications market, and its development in communications solutions for businesses. Operating expenses and level of investments were adjusted to the forecasted scope of Bezeq International's operations.

The nominal capital price taken into account for the valuation is 10.25% (after tax). In addition, a permanent growth rate of 3% was assumed.

The valuation was prepared by an external appraiser. Based on the above valuation, the carrying amount of the cellular telephone CGU is NIS 461 higher than its recoverable amount of NIS 1,200 (which includes rights of use asset as a result of implementing IFRS 16). Consequently, an impairment loss of NIS 140 attributable to shareholders was recognized. Due to the impairment of the CGU, the recoverable amount is the same as the carrying amount. The impairment loss was fully attributed to goodwill and was included under impairment losses in the statement of income.

The circumstances that led to the recognition of an impairment loss are the lower revenues resulted from the fierce competition in the ISP market along with the wholesale market and lower revenues from the International Long Distance market. The forecast also assumes a lower decrease in the expenses of the CGU, as a result of efficiency measures taken by management, however, it does not fully compensate for the expected decrease in the CGU's revenues.

Walla Communications (Walla) -

Due to the increasing competition in online advertising, Walla adjusted its forecasts for the coming years. As a result, Walla estimated the recoverable amount of Walla as a cash-generating unit as at December 31, 2018.

The value in use of Walla was calculated by discounting future cash flows (DCF) based on a five year cash flow forecast as at the end of the current period. The cash flow forecast is based, among other things, on Walla's performance in recent years and assessments regarding the expected trends in the online advertising market (the number of players, level of competition, and price level). The main assumption underlying the forecast is that competition in the market will continue with high intensity and will affect mainly the revenues forecast, and the operating, selling, marketing, and investment expenses were adjusted to the volume of activity of the Company.

Since the expected cash flow for 2019-2023 is negative, the value in use is close to zero.

Consequently, an impairment loss of NIS 37 was recognized. The impairment loss was attributed to goodwill, fixed assets, intangible assets, and broadcasting rights and is included in under impairment losses in the statement of income.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 10 - Deferred Expenses and Non-Current Investments

	December 31	
	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS
Deferred expenses (A)	314	270
Customer acquisition asset, net (D)	115	142
Deposit used as collateral against hedging transactions (B)	67	41
Bank deposit for loans to Company employees (C)	51	48
Investments in equity-accounted investees	11	8
	558	509

- A. For its operations, Bezeq International acquires indefeasible rights of use (“IRU”) from Mediterranean Nautilus (Israel) Ltd. for the acquisition of seabed cable capacities, which are accounted for as service transactions. Under the contract, Bezeq International has the right of use of the capacities until 2022 with an option for an extension until 2027. The amount of the prepaid expense is amortized on a straight line until 2027. The balance of the liability for the agreement with Mediterranean Nautilus is US\$ 13.1.
- B. A deposit used as collateral for hedging transactions is payable in December 2020.
- C. A bank deposit for loans to Company employees without a repayment date.
- D. **Subscriber acquisition assets:**

	Subscriber acquisition assets
	NIS
Cost	
Balance as at January 1, 2017	49
Additions	165
Disposals	(18)
Balance as at December 31, 2017	196
Additions	164
Disposals	(27)
Balance as at December 31, 2018	333
Amortization and impairment losses	
Balance as at January 1, 2017	42
Depreciation	57
Disposals	(18)
Balance as at December 31, 2017	81
Depreciation	108
Disposals	(27)
Impairment loss	29
Balance as at December 31, 2018	191
Carrying amount	
As at January 1, 2018	115
As at December 31, 2018	142

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 11 - Broadcasting Rights, Net of Rights Exercised

	December 31	
	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS
Cost	797	1,010
Less rights exercised	(343)	(547)
Impairment loss (see Note 9)	-	(403)
Total	454	60

For further information about the Group's agreements for acquisition of broadcasting rights, see Note 23, Agreements.

Note 12 - Investees**A. Material subsidiaries held directly and indirectly by the Company****1. General**

	Principal location of the company's activity	Ownership Interest as of December 31, 2018
B Communications Ltd. *	Israel	64.78%
<u>Subsidiaries of B Communications Ltd.</u>		
B Communications (SP1) Ltd. and B Communications (SP2) Ltd. ⁽¹⁾	Israel	100%
Bezeq - The Israel Telecommunication Corp. Limited	Israel	26.34%
<u>Subsidiaries of Bezeq - The Israel Telecommunication Corp. Limited.</u>		
Pelephone Communications Ltd.	Israel	100%
Bezeq International Ltd.	Israel	100%
DBS	Israel	100%
Walla! Communications Ltd.	Israel	100%

* As of May 14, 2019 the ownership interest decreased to 51.95% following B Communications share issuance in January 2019.

(1) Held by B Communication (SP1) Ltd.

2. Details of Group entities**a. B Communications Ltd.**

B Communications Ltd is a majority-owned subsidiary of the Company. B Communications is the sole shareholder of B Communications (SP1) Ltd. which holds B Communications (SP2) Ltd. which directly holds the Bezeq controlling interest.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 12 - Investees (cont'd)

b. Bezeq - The Israel Telecommunications Corporation Ltd.

Bezeq is controlled by SP2 which holds 25.82% of Bezeq's outstanding shares. An additional 0.52% of Bezeq outstanding shares are held by B Communications directly. Bezeq is the largest communications group in Israel.

c. B Communications (SP1) Ltd. and B Communications (SP2) Ltd.

B Communications (SP1) Ltd. ("SP1"), founded in 2010, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of B Communications. SP1 is the sole shareholder of B Communications (SP2) Ltd. ("SP2") which directly holds the Bezeq controlling interest.

d. Pelephone Communications Ltd.

Pelephone Communications Ltd. ("Pelephone") is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bezeq. Pelephone provides cellular communication services and value-added services and markets terminal equipment.

e. DBS Satellite Services (1998) Ltd.

DBS Satellite Services (1998) Ltd. ("DBS") is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bezeq. DBS provides multi-channel television services.

f. Bezeq International Ltd.

Bezeq International Ltd. ("Bezeq International") is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bezeq. Bezeq International provides internet access (ISP) services, international communications services and network end point (NEP) services.

g. Walla Communications Ltd.

Walla is wholly owned by Bezeq. Walla! provides internet, management and media services for a range of populations.

On February 13, 2019, Bezeq Board of Directors approved the request of each of the subsidiaries Pelephone, Bezeq International and DBS to apply to the Ministry of Communications for approval to change the corporate structures according to which the full operations and assets of each of the subsidiaries will be transferred to a separate limited partnership, wholly-owned by Bezeq (Bezeq as a limited partnership and a company separate and different in each partnership wholly owned by the Bezeq as a general partner. Bezeq board of Directors also approved the Company's application to the Tax Authority to approve the transfer of the subsidiaries' operations to the partnerships as a tax-exempt transfer in accordance with the provisions of section 103 of the Income Tax Ordinance, and the request that the assessment agreement dated September 15, 2016, regarding the distribution of the losses of DBS, will also apply to the partnership into which it will merge.

3. Dividend paid by Bezeq to non-controlling interests during 2018 was in the amount of NIS 506 (in 2017: NIS 948).

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 12 - Investees (cont'd)

4. Bezeq's Dividend Distribution Policy:

On August 4, 2009, the Board of Directors of Bezeq approved a dividend distribution policy in which Bezeq will distribute a dividend to its shareholders amounting to 100% of the semi-annual profit (after tax) (profit for the period attributable to the shareholders of Bezeq), in accordance with the consolidated financial statements of Bezeq.

On March 6, 2018, the Board of Directors of Bezeq resolved to revise the dividend distribution policy, such that Bezeq will distribute a dividend to its shareholders, on a semi-annual basis, of 70% of the semi-annual net profit in accordance with the consolidated financial statements of Bezeq, as from the next distribution following the resolution.

On March 27, 2019, Bezeq's Board of Directors resolved to cancel the Company's dividend distribution policy. The resolution was passed after a presenting a clear and transparent position with the shareholders and under the circumstances that arose due to the inability to distribute a dividend due to the expected failure to meet the profit test in the next two years. Accordingly, the Board of Directors resolved that it would not be appropriate to maintain a dividend policy when in practice it is not effective.

The cancellation of the policy will not prevent Bezeq's Board of Directors from assessing, from time to time, the distribution of dividends to its shareholders, taking into consideration, among other things, the provisions of the law, the state of its business and its capital structure, while maintaining a balance between ensuring its financial strength and stability, including its debt level and credit rating, and the continued attribution of value to its shareholders through ongoing distribution of a dividend, all subject to the approval of the general meeting of its shareholders regarding each specific distribution, as set out in Bezeq's articles of association.

On May 10, 2018, Bezeq distributed a cash dividend of NIS 368, representing 70% of its net profit for the second half of 2017. B Communications received NIS 97 as its share of the dividend distribution.

On October 10, 2018, Bezeq distributed a cash dividend of NIS 318, representing 70% of its net profit for the first half of 2018. B Communications received NIS 84 as its share of the dividend distribution.

In 2016, 2017 and 2018, Bezeq declared and paid the following dividends in cash:

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>
	<u>NIS</u>	<u>NIS</u>	<u>NIS</u>
Distribution of a regular dividend			
NIS 0.25 per share	-	-	686
NIS 0.47 per share	-	1,286	-
NIS 0.52 per share	1,441	-	-

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 12 - Investees (cont'd)

5. On February 13, 2019, Bezeq's Board of Directors approved the request of each of the subsidiaries Pelephone, Bezeq International and DBS to apply to the Ministry of Communications for approval to change the corporate structures according to which the full operations and assets of each of the subsidiaries will be transferred to a separate limited partnership, wholly-owned by Bezeq (Bezeq as a limited partnership and a company (separate and different in each partnership), wholly owned by Bezeq as a general partner). Bezeq's Board of Directors also approved the Company's application to the Tax Authority to approve the transfer of the subsidiaries' operations to the partnerships as a tax-exempt transfer in accordance with the provisions of section 103 of the Income Tax Ordinance, and the request that the assessment agreement dated September 15, 2016, regarding the distribution of the losses of DBS (as described in Note 21) will also apply to the partnership into which it will merge.
6. On March 27, 2019, Bezeq's Board of Directors approved the convening of a general meeting to approve an increase in Bezeq's registered share capital by one billion shares of NIS 1 par value each, as a preliminary measure before potential capital raising in the amount of NIS 2 billion by issuing rights, subject to the decisions and approvals that are required (including approval in accordance with the Communications Order, receipt of the permits for the rights issue, and the decisions of the certified organs of Bezeq). On April 8, 2019, Bezeq reported that its Board of Directors decided that the matter of increasing its registered share capital will be removed from the agenda of the Meeting.

B. DBS Satellite Services (1998) Ltd

1. As at March 25, 2015, Bezeq held 49.78% of the share capital of DBS and it held options that confer the right to 8.6% in DBS shares, which Bezeq is unable to exercise. Eurocom DBS Ltd. held the balance of DBS shares. On March 25, 2015, Bezeq exercised the options that were allotted, for no consideration, and on June 24, 2016, Bezeq completed a transaction for the acquisition of the entire holdings of Eurocom DBS in DBS, and all of the owner's loans provided by Eurocom to DBS ("the Acquisition Transaction").

On the completion date, Bezeq transferred the cash consideration of NIS 680 to Eurocom DBS for the Acquisition Transaction.

Under the terms of the Acquisition Transaction, in addition to the cash consideration of NIS 680, the consideration included two additional contingent considerations, as follows: one additional consideration of up to NIS 200, which will be paid in accordance with the tax synergy according to the terms defined in the acquisition agreement ("the First Contingent Consideration"); and another additional consideration of up to NIS 170, which will be paid in accordance with the business results of DBS in the 2015-2017 ("the Second Contingent Consideration").

On completion of the Acquisition Transaction, DBS became a wholly owned subsidiary (100%) of Bezeq. Bezeq consolidates the financial statements of DBS as from March 23, 2015.

In September 2016, Bezeq paid Eurocom DBS NIS 188 (plus interest differences of NIS 10) for the First Contingent Consideration, under the Assessment Agreement and taxation decision of the Tax Authority as set out in Note 21.

On account of the Second Contingent Consideration, two payments of NIS 57 each were paid, one in 2016 and the other in 2017.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 12 - Investees (cont'd)

In accordance with the financial results of DBS for 2017, due to the fact that the final amount of the Second Contingent Consideration was lower than the amount of advances that Bezeq paid Eurocom DBS for the consideration, Eurocom DBS is required to return the difference to Bezeq.

On April 22, 2018, a liquidation order was issued for Eurocom Communications Ltd. which came into effect on May 3, 2018, and a liquidation order was issued for Eurocom DBS Ltd.

According to Bezeq's estimate of December 31, 2018, taking into consideration the solvency of Eurocom DBS, no repayment of the advances is expected. As a result, in 2018, financing expenses in the amount of NIS 43 were recognized for the elimination of the debt balance of the advance payments.

2. In February 2018, Bezeq converted the balance of the DBS debentures in the amount of NIS 422, which it held, to DBS capital. In addition, in 2018, the shareholders' loan to DBS in the amount of NIS 97 was converted to DBS capital, and Bezeq invested an additional NIS 100 in the capital of DBS.
3. In 2018, DBS incurred an operating loss of NIS 1,156 (of which, NIS 1,100 for an impairment loss for assets as set out in Note 9 above) and as at December 31, 2018, DBS had a working capital deficiency of NIS 355. According to the forecasts of DBS, it expects to continue to accumulate operational losses in the coming years and therefore will be unable to meet its obligations and continue operating as a going concern without Bezeq's support.

On February 13, 2019, undertook to provide DBS with a credit facility or capital investments in the amount of NIS 250, upon which DBS can draw for a period of 15 months from that date. Insofar as the support is provided by way of credit, the repayment date of the credit will not be earlier than the end of the term of the credit facility.

In March 2019, Bezeq invested NIS 70 in accordance with the letter of undertaking.

The management of DBS believes that the financial resources at its disposal, will be adequate for the operations of DBS for the coming year.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 12 - Investees (cont'd)

C. Non-controlling interests in subsidiaries

The table hereunder presents summary information of the Group's subsidiaries including fair value adjustments that were made on the date of acquisition, other than goodwill, in which there are non-controlling interests that are material to the Group.

Rate of direct ownership interests held by non-controlling interests	December 31,						Year ended December 31,												Cash flow from financing activities without dividend to non-controlling interests			Dividend paid to non-controlling interests	Total increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents
	Current assets	Non-current assets	Current liabilities	Non-current liabilities	Total net assets	Carrying amount of non-controlling interests	Revenues	Net profit (loss)	Other comprehensive income (loss)	Total comprehensive income (loss)	Profit (loss) attributable to non-controlling interests	Total comprehensive income(loss) attributable to non-controlling interests	Cash flow from operating activities	Cash flow from investing activities									
%																							
2018																							
Bcom Group	35.22	5,162	14,352	7,647	11,703	164	617	9,321	(1,909)	42	(1,867)	(1,192)	(1,158)	3,472	(2,507)	(1,696)	(504)	(1,235)					
2017																							
Bcom Group	35.22	5,334	15,305	4,111	13,442	3,086	2,279	9,789	741	(8)	733	690	684	3,487	(1,128)	213	(948)	1,624					
2016																							
Bcom Group	35.22	3,991	16,154	4,256	12,588	3,301	2,543	10,084	439	(15)	424	641	630	3,462	(948)	(1,271)	(1,062)	181					

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 12 - Investees (cont'd)

D. Increasing Competition and Reducing Concentration, 2013 law

In December 2013, the Knesset passed the Israeli Law for Increasing Competition and Reducing Concentration, 2013 (“Concentration Law”), which: (1) imposes limitations on the control over companies with publicly held debt or equity securities through a pyramidal ownership structure by imposing a limitation on the number of public companies (tiers) in such pyramidal structure; (2) authorizes financial regulators to set forth limitations on the amount of credit that financial institutions are permitted to provide to a corporation or a group of companies under the control of the same controlling shareholder; (3) imposes limitations on the holdings by a significant non-finance company in a significant finance company or the holdings of both kinds of companies under common control; and (4) requires governmental authorities responsible for the award of rights in public assets (including in the communications field) in certain cases to consider control concentration factors and industry-specific competitive factors.

The Company is deemed to be a “first tier” company, B Communications is deemed to be a “second tier” company and Bezeq is deemed to be a “third-tier” company under the Concentration Law. Accordingly, if either the Company or B Communications are unable to redeem any of their publicly held debt and delist their ordinary shares from the TASE (which would require 90-days’ prior notice to the TASE) or go private prior to December 10, 2019, B Communications will not be permitted to control Bezeq after such date and its holdings in Bezeq may be transferred to a trustee for the purpose of selling such holdings.

The Concentration Law sets forth certain mechanisms intended to enable a tier company, which is subject to the prohibition of controlling another tier company, to make various arrangements for the repurchase of its publicly-held shares and the early redemption of publicly-held debt in order to comply with the provisions of the law. These mechanisms enable the repurchase of publicly-held shares and the early redemption of publicly-held debt securities under a Court-approved scheme of arrangement pursuant to the Israeli Companies Law, at fair value and in accordance with the conditions prescribed by the Concentration Law, while providing certain relief from shareholders or debenture holder majority requirements for the approval of the arrangement. Furthermore, if a trustee is appointed, he may seek a district court to order the cancellation of distributions made by Bezeq prior to his appointment if they are deemed not to be in Bezeq’s interest. In addition, beginning six months after the publication of the Concentration Law and during a six years transition period, the board of directors of a company that is a “third-tier” company (such as Bezeq) must be comprised of a majority of “independent directors,” within the meaning of the Israeli Companies Law, and the number of “external directors” pursuant to the Israeli Companies Law shall be at least half the number of the company’s directors less one (rounded upwards) but not less than two. The election of such external directors will be by a majority vote of the shareholders and the controlling shareholder’s vote will not be counted for such purpose. The Israeli Minister of Justice is authorized to enact regulations setting forth a lower number of required external directors, provided that such number will not be lower than one-third of the board members.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 12 - Investees (cont'd)

The Company is examining several alternatives on how to comply with the implementation of the provisions of the Concentration Law:

1. Over the last few weeks, an application has been made to the Ministry of Justice, whose goal is to establish a legal position according to which the Concentration Law does not apply to the current structure of companies (Internet Gold, B Communications and Bezeq). In the Company's opinion, under the present situation, taking into consideration, inter alia, the legal status of Eurocom Communications, and in particular the appointment of the Liquidator and the special managers on behalf of the Court in Eurocom Communications, the control group in Bezeq is no longer a "Pyramid holding", which is prohibited under the provisions of the Concentration Law. In the Company's opinion, Since the entry of Eurocom Communications into the process of liquidation by a court and the appointment of the special managers on behalf of the court, and as long as this is the legal status, Internet Gold is no longer considered a "first layer company" as this term is defined in the law.

The purpose of the Concentration Law was to prevent a situation in which "Ultimate Controlling Shareholder" controls the structure of three public companies, but under the current situation, this situation no longer exists.

These remarks regarding the structure of the companies are reinforced in the light of the current discussions and legal status with the holders of the Company's debentures and the appointment of the representatives of the debenture holders due to the Companies Decision regarding the suspension of payments to the debenture holders at this stage.

The company's request to the Ministry of Justice was made by a leading law firm. The Company and its consultants believe that the request has a well-established nature.

2. Both Internet Gold and B Communications received proposals reflecting a complete solution to the Concentration Law. The proposals were submitted by recognized entities. These proposals include options for resolving the concentration law by several alternative methods presented to the companies:
 - A. Selling Internet Gold shares in B Communications, in a way that "disconnects a public layer".
 - B. Significant capital injection into B Communications, against the issuance of shares in a manner that creates a new controlling shareholder for B Communications, which is not a public company and does not constitute a "layer company" (which is prohibited by the Concentration Law).
 - C. The replacement of existing public debt of Internet Gold with private debt along with the delisting of its shares from trading on TASE - in a manner that constitutes a full legal solution to the Concentration Law.

In practice, all the alternatives will result in an updated corporate structure that resolves the Concentration Law issue through a new controlling shareholder who is not a public company.

No assurance can be given that such alternative solutions will be reached on a timely basis.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 12 - Investees (cont'd)

In the event that a solution to the Concentration Law shall not be implemented until December 2019, a district court may appoint a trustee, who will be awarded the means of control (including voting rights and right to appoint directors) for the purpose of selling such means of control. The trustee shall act pursuant to the orders of the district court with respect to such means of control. The district court may, instead of appointing a trustee and under certain circumstances, order that the means of control held by the controlling shareholder shall not provide any rights whatsoever. Until the appointment of a trustee by the district court, the means of control shall not grant any voting rights.

E. B Communications' control over Bezeq

B Communications has control over Bezeq based on the facts that it holds significantly more voting rights than any other shareholder. Bezeq's other shareholders are widely dispersed and are not allowed to increase their holdings above 5% without regulatory approval, appoint a director or the chief executive officer of Bezeq nor have any influence on Bezeq's day-to-day operational decision-making policies. In addition, the Israeli law and regulations were formulated in order to ensure that no individual or entity will interfere with the control of Bezeq by the holder of the Control Permit. These regulations enable B Communications to nominate the majority of the board of directors of Bezeq.

F. Transactions with non-controlling interests

On January 14, 2016, the Company sold 575,000 ordinary shares of B Communications, representing approximately 1.92% of its issued and outstanding shares. The total proceeds from the sale amounted to approximately NIS 56. As a result of the sale, the Company's ownership interest in B Communications declined to 64.78% of its outstanding shares.

Note 13 - Investment Property

On January 21, 2018, Bezeq signed an agreement for the sale of a real estate asset in the Sakia ("the Sakia property") for a total consideration of NIS 497, plus VAT, which may increase up to NIS 550, if the purchaser, in accordance with its right under the agreement, postpones the date of payment of up to two thirds of the consideration until December 31, 2022.

In 2018, Bezeq received a demand from the Israel Lands Authority ("the ILA") for payment of a permit fee for the asset betterment plan with respect to the Sakia property in the amount of NIS 148 and a demand from the local planning and building committee for betterment tax of NIS 143.5 for disposal of the property by way of a sale.

Bezeq paid NIS 112 on account of the demand and deposited a bank guarantee in the amount of NIS 44 for the balance of the demand plus VAT.

Bezeq filed an objection to the demand for the permit fees and filed an appeal against the demand for betterment tax. In addition, Bezeq sent the ILA a demand for full payment of the betterment tax in accordance with the ILA's undertaking in the settlement agreement. In January 2019, the ILA rejected all of Bezeq's arguments in the objection. If the mechanism for settling disputes set out in the settlement agreement with the ILA does not bring the dispute to an end, Bezeq will file a monetary claim petitioning the court to order the ILA to refund the permit fees paid by Bezeq and to order the ILA to pay the demand for the betterment tax.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 13 - Investment Property (cont'd)

It should be noted that the amount for the permit fee to be determined at the end of the proceedings may also affect the amount of the betterment tax Bezeq will be required to pay to the Planning Committee. Bezeq believes that the amount of the permit fee and the betterment tax that it will be required to pay is expected to be low and possibly even lower than the total amount of the demands. The investment property balance includes Bezeq's estimate of the permit fees and the betterment tax that Bezeq will be required to pay at the end of the appeal proceedings.

In December 2018, NIS 155 was paid to Bezeq on account of the transaction. Upon receipt of the funds, Bezeq undertook that if the buyer's request for a building permit for the property is not accepted by the local committee due to the betterment tax, Bezeq will pay the full amount of the tax or will reach another arrangement with the local committee, which will allow the receipt of the building permit.

Bezeq is expected to record a capital gain on the date on which the conditions for recognition of the sale of the asset are fulfilled in accordance with accounting principles. If, on completion of the appeal proceedings, Bezeq is required to pay the full amount of the betterment tax and the permit fee, the capital gain will amount to NIS 250.

For the completion of the sale of the Sakia property after the balance sheet date, please refer to Note 33H.

Note 14 - Leases

As set out in Note 2.1.1, as from January 1, 2018, the Group early applies IFRS 16, Leases. Under the lease agreements, the Group leases mainly cellular communications sites, structures (including offices, warehouses, communication rooms, and points of sale), and vehicles.

14.1 Right of use asset

	Communications sites	Buildings	Vehicles	Total
	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS
Cost				
Balance as at January 1, 2018	809	538	173	1,520
Additions for new agreements	159	15	146	320
Derecognition for terminated agreements	(45)	(9)	(11)	(65)
Changes in agreements (mainly extension of the agreement periods) and revaluation	43	81	(22)	102
Balance as at December 31, 2018	966	625	286	1,877
Amortization and impairment losses				
Balance as at January 1, 2018	-	-	-	-
Amortization for the year	190	120	113	423
Derecognition for terminated agreements	(18)	(4)	(9)	(31)
Changes in agreements and other	(3)	(1)	(18)	(22)
Impairment loss	-	-	3	3
Balance as at December 31, 2018	169	115	89	373
Carrying amount				
Balance as at January 1, 2018	809	538	173	1,520
Balance as at December 31, 2018	797	510	197	1,504

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 14 - Leases (cont'd)

14.2 Liability for a lease

	Communications sites	Buildings	Vehicles	Total
	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS
Balance as at January 1, 2018	809	538	188	1,535
Additions for new agreements	156	14	146	316
Disposals	(27)	(5)	(2)	(34)
Changes in agreements (mainly extension of the agreement periods) and revaluation	48	87	(5)	130
Financing expenses for employee benefits	14	9	3	26
Payments for a lease	(190)	(124)	(108)	(422)
Balance as at December 31, 2018	810	519	222	1,551
Carrying amount				
Current maturities of a lease liability	203	124	118	445
Long-term liabilities for a lease	607	395	104	1,106
Total balance as at December 31, 2018	810	519	222	1,551

14.3 Analysis of repayment dates of liabilities for the Group's lease (including principal and interest to be paid)

Expected payment dates	December 31, 2018
	NIS
Up to one year	446
1-5 years	852
More than five years	361
Total	1,659

14.4 Options to terminate or extend a lease

In most of its leases, the Group assumed that it is reasonably certain that the extension option in the agreements will be exercised, therefore there are no material liabilities in respect of leases that were not presented in the financial statements.

Most of the lease agreements include an option to cancel the agreement with notice and/or payment of a penalty as set out in the agreements. The Group assumed that it is reasonably certain that the cancellation options will not be exercised.

14.5 Information about material lease agreements not yet included in measurement of the lease liability

In December 2018, Bezeq entered into an agreement to lease part of an office and commercial building. The agreement is for ten years and includes three option periods of up to 24 years and 8 months in the aggregate, as from January 1, 2021. The annual rent amounts to NIS 20.

The right-of-use asset and liability for the lease will be recognized in the financial statements at the date ownership of the asset is transferred, which is expected to be in 2020.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 15 - Debentures, Bank Loans and Credit
A. Composition

	December 31	
	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS
Current liabilities		
Current maturities of debentures	1,263	4,103
Current maturities of bank loans	692	621
	1,955	4,724
Non-current liabilities		
Debentures	8,748	5,537
Bank loans	4,401	4,100
	13,149	9,637
	15,104	14,361

B. Debt terms and repayment schedule

	December 31, 2017		December 31, 2018		Currency	Nominal interest rate
	Par value	Carrying amount	Par value	Carrying amount		
	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS		%
Loans from banks and others:						
Unlinked - Variable interest	675	675	500	500	NIS	P-0.33 to P+0.2
Unlinked - Fixed interest	4,398	4,418	4,208	4,221	NIS	2.40 to 6.85
	5,073	5,093	4,708	4,721		
Debentures:						
Linked to the Israeli CPI - fixed interest	4,695	4,900	3,991	4,191	NIS	2.20 to 5.35
Unlinked - variable interest	734	732	587	586	NIS	Makam for one year + 1.4
Unlinked - fixed interest	4,347	4,379	4,811	4,863	NIS	3.65 to 6.65
	9,776	10,011	9,389	9,640		
Total interest-bearing liabilities	14,849	15,104	14,097	14,361		

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 15 - Debentures, Bank Loans and Credit (cont'd)

C. Debt issued by the Company

(1) Issuance of Series C Debentures

On September 28, 2010, the Company issued Series C Debentures at a par value. The Series C Debentures were listed on the TASE.

The Series C Debentures are payable in four equal annual installments on March 10 of each of the years 2016 through 2019 and pay interest at a fixed annual rate of 4.45% which is payable semi-annually on March 10 and September 10 of each of the years 2011 through 2019 (the first interest payment was made on March 10, 2011, and the last interest payment is payable on March 10, 2019). The Series C Debentures are NIS denominated and are linked to the Israeli CPI.

The Series C Debentures contain standard terms and conditions and are unsecured, non-convertible and do not restrict the Company's ability to issue any new series of debt instruments or distribute dividends in the future.

As of December 31, 2018, the par value of the outstanding Series C Debentures was NIS 20.

For debentures payments withholding after the balance sheet date please refer to Note 33F.

(2) Issuance of Series D Debentures and replacement of debentures

On March 3, 2014 the Company issued Series D Debentures at a par value. The Series D Debentures were listed on the TASE.

The Series D Debentures are repayable in five installments as follows: (i) 10% of the principal amount on September 15, 2018 and 2019; (ii) 30% of the principal amount on September 15, 2020 and 2021; and (iii) the final 20% of the principal amount on September 15, 2022. Series D Debentures bear annual interest of 6% payable on March 15 and September 15 of each of the years 2014-2022, other than the first interest payment which was made on September 15, 2014. Both principal and interest are linked to the Israeli CPI as of January 2014.

The Series D Debentures contain standard terms and conditions and are unsecured, non-convertible and do not restrict the Company's ability to issue any new series of debt instruments. The Series D Debentures contain certain limitation on distribution of dividends by the Company.

In October 2017 the Company completed two private placements of NIS 227 par value of Series D Debentures to certain institutional investors in Israel in exchange for NIS 205 par value of the Company's outstanding Series C Debentures, reflecting an exchange ratio of 1:1.11 (NIS 1.11 principal amount of Series D Debentures for NIS 1 principal amount of Series C Debentures).

As of December 31, 2018, the par value of the outstanding Series D Debentures was NIS 681.

For classification of Series D debentures non-current portion to current maturities and the Company's decision to withhold payments to its financial creditors please refer to Note 33F.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 15 - Debentures, Bank Loans and Credit (cont'd)**D. Debt issued by B Communications**

On September 21, 2010, B Communications issued NIS 400 of its Series B Debentures at par value to the public in Israel. In January 2012 and August 2013, B Communications completed private placements of additional Series B Debentures in the amount of NIS 126 and NIS 180 par value, respectively, to certain Israeli institutional investors.

On April 1, 2016, B Communications completed a private placement of NIS 148 par value of its Series B Debentures to Israeli institutional investors for an aggregate consideration of NIS 162.

As at December 31, 2018 the outstanding par value of the Series B Debentures was NIS 226.

The Series B Debentures are denominated in NIS, bear interest at a fixed annual rate of 6.5% which is payable semi-annually on March 31 and September 30 of each of the years 2011 through 2019 (the first interest payment was made on March 31, 2011 and the last interest payment is payable on March 31, 2019). The principal of the Series B Debentures is payable in four equal installments on March 31 of each year starting from 2016.

According to the financial covenants of the Series B Debentures B Communications is obligated to the following:

1. Not issue any additional Series B Debentures if such increase will decrease the A2 rating of the Series B Debentures.
2. To maintain control of Bezeq.
3. The investors will have the right to require the immediate repayment of the Series B debentures if Eurocom will no longer hold the controlling interest in B Communications.

For debentures payments withholding after the balance sheet date please refer to Note 33F.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 15 - Debentures, Bank Loans and Credit (cont'd)**D. Debt issued by B Communications (cont'd)**

- (1) On February 19, 2014, B Communications issued \$800 of Senior Secured Notes (the “Notes”) due 2021 that bear 7 $\frac{3}{4}$ % annual interest paid semi-annually.

The Notes were offered and sold in the United States to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”) and to certain qualifying investors in offshore transactions, including in Israel, in reliance on Regulation S under the Securities Act. The Notes had senior obligations of B Communications and were guaranteed by its two wholly-owned subsidiaries, SP1 and SP2, on a senior secured basis (“the Guarantees”). The Notes and the Guarantees were secured by first priority pledges over all of the capital stock of SP2, the capital stock of Bezeq held by SP2, and additional collateral. The Notes were admitted for trading on the system of the Tel Aviv Stock Exchange for trading by institutional investors, known as TACT Institutional.

B Communications used the net proceeds from the offering of the Notes to repay all amounts outstanding under the loans received by SP2 and SP1 from Bank Hapoalim Ltd. (“Bank Hapoalim”) and Migdal Insurance and Financial Holdings Ltd. Group (“Migdal”) that were used to purchase the controlling interest in Bezeq and to deposit funds into a debt service account.

Under the terms of the indenture for the Notes funds maintained by SP2 had to be deposited in one or more accounts designated as a lockbox account and pledged as collateral to the security agent for the benefit of the holders of the Notes.

On August 10, 2014, B Communications’s Board of Directors approved the buyback of up to \$50 of the Notes. On January 20, 2016, B Communications completed its \$50 repurchase program and its Board of Directors approved the extension and increase of the program by an additional \$50. During 2015 and 2016, B Communications purchased \$65 par value of the Notes.

On May 26, 2016, B Communications announced that its wholly-owned subsidiary, B Communications (SP4) LP, had invited holders of the Notes to submit tenders to purchase their Notes for cash within a purchase price range of \$1.00 to \$1.07 per \$1.00 nominal amount of Notes. The aggregate par value of the Notes tendered and purchased was approximately \$18.6.

The total loss incurred from the repurchase of the Notes during 2015 and 2016 amounted to NIS 33.

In September 2016, the Notes were fully repaid following B Communications’s issuance of Series C Debentures, as detailed below in Note 13B (3).

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 15 - Debentures, Bank Loans and Credit (cont'd)

D. Debt issued by B Communications (cont'd)

- (2) On September 18, 2016, B Communications issued, at par value, NIS 1.9 billion of Series C Debentures to the public in Israel. The principal of the Series C Debentures will be payable in four equal instalments payable on November 30 of each of the years 2020 through 2023 and one instalment payable on November 30, 2024. Each of the first four instalments will be equal to 7.5% of the principal amount of the aggregate amount of the Series C Debentures issued and the last instalment will equal to 70% of such principal amount. The annual coupon of the Series C Debentures is 3.6% and is denominated in NIS. The interest on the outstanding principal of the Series C Debentures is payable in semi-annual payments on May 31 and November 30 of each year.

The net proceeds from the offering together with cash and cash equivalents of B Communications were used to fully redeem the outstanding Notes and to deposit the interest payment in May 2017 into a trustee account solely for the benefit of the holders of the Series C Debentures.

On January 16, 2017, B Communications completed a private placement of NIS 118 par value of its Series C Debentures to Israeli institutional investors for consideration of NIS 118.

On January 23, 2018, B Communications completed a private placement of NIS 240 par value of its Series C Debentures to Israeli institutional investors for an aggregate consideration of NIS 249.

As at December 31, 2018 the outstanding par value of Series C Debentures was NIS 2,240.

Below are the main undertakings and covenants with respect to the Series C Debentures:

B Communications undertook to refrain from creating in favor of any third party a lien of any ranking whatsoever over its direct and/or indirect holdings of 691,361,036 shares of Bezeq, including any of the rights accompanying such shares (hereinafter, the "Undertaken Shares") without the prior consent of the holders of the Series C Debentures by a special resolution (hereinafter, "Negative Lien Undertaking").

B Communications further undertook to refrain from making any disposition of the Undertaken Shares without the prior consent of the holders of the Series C Debentures by a special resolution. Notwithstanding the foregoing, and subject to the provisions of applicable law and/or permit, B Communications may sell all or a portion of the Undertaken Shares to any third party, provided that in such instance, B Communications uses the net proceeds it receives from such sale, less the taxes, expenses and deductions entailed in the sale of such shares, to make full or partial early redemption, of the Series C Debentures (exclusively) in accordance with the provisions of the Indenture.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 15 - Debentures, Bank Loans and Credit (cont'd)

D. Debt issued by B Communications (cont'd)

Restriction on assumption of additional debt

B Communications undertook to refrain from assuming additional debt, with the exception of:

- a. Financial debt in an amount (principal) which does not exceed NIS 400;
- b. The financial debt is not secured by any collateral and does not have priority over Series C Debentures in creditor ranking upon insolvency.
- c. The total par value of the Series C Debentures together with the total principal amount of the additional debt together with the (principal) amount of the new debt which B Communications intends to assume does not exceed an aggregate of NIS 2.3 billion.

Control of Bezeq

B Communications undertook to hold (directly and/or indirectly) at least 25% of Bezeq's issued and paid-up capital, unless a regulatory permit/approval is received to reduce such shareholding percentage.

Control of the Company

Eurocom Communications, undertook to refrain from transferring control of B communications (directly or indirectly) to a party which has not been authorized in advance by the necessary regulatory entities, to the extent such approvals are required, at the relevant time.

The Group believes that the developments in Eurocom Communications that occurred prior to the reporting date, as described above, do not constitute a "change in control" as set out above.

Minimum equity

B Communications undertook that its equity (capital attributed to B Communications' shareholders, without non-controlling interests) (hereinafter, the "Equity") according to its last consolidated financial statements published, shall not be less than NIS 650 for the duration of two or more consecutive calendar quarters. The indenture for the Series C Debentures includes a mechanism of adjustment of interest rate in the event of a drop below the Minimum Equity or in the event of a downgrade in the rating of the Series C Debentures.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 15 - Debentures, Bank Loans and Credit (cont'd)

D. Debt issued by B Communications (cont'd)

Restriction on distribution

B Communications undertook not to distribute a dividend to its shareholders and/or perform a buyback of its shares and/or any other distribution as defined in the Companies Law unless all the conditions provided in subsections (a) through (f) below are satisfied:

- a. The distribution will not cause a downgrade in the rating of the Series C Debentures.
- b. B Communications is not in violation of any of the covenants.
- c. No grounds for immediate repayment exist at the time a resolution to make a distribution is adopted, and no such grounds exist as a result of such distribution.
- d. B Communications' Equity post-distribution is not less than NIS 800.
- e. Until full repayment of the principal of the Series C Debentures, B Communications shall not distribute a dividend exceeding 75% of the balance of B Communications' distributable surpluses (the surplus balance or surpluses accrued in the last two years, in accordance with the definitions provided in the Companies Law) in accordance with its consolidated financial statements. In addition, B Communications shall not make a distribution if it recorded an aggregate net loss in the last four quarters preceding the distribution date, on the basis of its last financial statements and/or the quarterly financial report published prior to the distribution date.

Ratio of shareholders equity to total balance sheet on separate financial statements

Ratio of unconsolidated equity to total unconsolidated balance sheet: B Communications' equity shall not be less than 15% of the total balance sheet in accordance with B Communications' audited or reviewed (unconsolidated) financial statements (or, alternatively, the quarterly financial report figures, as elected by B Communications), as the case may be, for two or more consecutive calendar quarters.

According to the indenture of the Series C debentures, as a result of Midroog's rating downgrade as of June 3, 2018 from A1.il to A2.il, the annual coupon of the Series C debentures was increased by 0.25% from 3.6% to 3.85% as of December 3, 2018.

For classification of B Communications Series C debentures non-current portion to current maturities and B Communications' decision to withhold payments to its financial creditors please refer to Note 33F.

For rating downgrade and coupon step up of B Communications' debentures after the balance sheet date, please refer to Note 33G.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 15 - Debentures, Bank Loans and Credit (cont'd)

E. Debt issued by Bezeq

Below are details of the terms that Bezeq undertook for the loans that were received and the debentures that were issued:

1. For Bezeq's overall debt, standard grounds were included for immediate repayment of the debentures and loans, including breach events, insolvency, dissolution procedures or receivership. In addition, a right was determined to call for immediate repayment if a third-party lender calls for immediate repayment of Bezeq's debts in an amount exceeding the amount determined.

In addition, Bezeq has undertaken not to create additional liens on its assets unless liens are created at the same time in favor of the debenture holders and the lending banks (negative lien). The lien includes exceptions, including regarding a lien on assets that will be purchased or expanded by Bezeq, if the undertakings underlying the lien are created for the purchase or expansion of those assets and for the matter of a token lien.

2. For Bezeq's public debentures in the amount of NIS 6.25 billion, bank loans in the amount of NIS 2.6 billion as at December 31, 2018, and for loans from financial institutions in the amount of NIS 2.2 billion as at December 31, 2018, Bezeq has undertaken that if it the Company makes an undertaking towards any entity in respect of compliance with financial covenants, Bezeq will also provide the same undertaking to these lenders (subject to certain exceptions).
3. For Bezeq's public debentures and for loans from financial institutions amounting to NIS 2 billion, grounds were included for immediate repayment, if telecommunication ceases to be the Group's core activity.
4. For Bezeq's public debentures and for loans from financial institutions amounting to NIS 2 billion, Bezeq has undertaken to the lenders to take steps so that, to the extent under its control, the debentures will be rated by at least one rating agency, so long as there are debentures of the relevant series in circulation or a balance in loans, as the case may be.

For Debentures (Series 9-10) in the amount of NIS 3.1 billion and for loans from financial institutions in the amount of NIS 1.3 billion, grounds for the immediate repayment of the loans in the event of a change in control were included, following which the current controlling shareholders in Bezeq will cease to be controlling shareholders, with the exception of: (1) transfer of control to a transferee that received approval for control in Bezeq in accordance with the provisions of the Telecommunications Law and/or the Telecommunications Order; or (2) transfer of control in which the transferee holds control together with the current controlling shareholders in Bezeq, provided that the holding rate of the current controlling shareholders in Bezeq in the shares of Bezeq does not fall below 50.01% of the total shares of Bezeq held by the controlling shareholders together; or (3) a change in control to be approved by a meeting of the debenture holders/lenders.

5. In addition, for Debentures (Series 9 and 10) and for loans from financial institutions in the amount of NIS 1.3 billion, grounds were included for immediate repayment of the debentures in the event of the recording of a going concern qualification in Bezeq's financial statements for two consecutive quarters, in the event of a material deterioration in Bezeq's business compared with the situation at the time of the issue, and there is real concern that Bezeq will not be able to repay the debentures/loans on time on time (as set out in section 35(1)1a1 of the Israel Securities Law).

As at December 31, 2018 and the approval date of the financial statements, Bezeq was in compliance with all its liabilities, there were no grounds to call for immediate repayment, and financial covenants were not set out as described above.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 15 - Debentures, Bank Loans and Credit (cont'd)**Movement in liabilities arising from financing activities**

	Debentures (including accrued interest)	Loans (including accrued interest)	Total
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Balance as at January 1, 2017	10,577	3,944	14,521
Changes due to cash flows from financing activities			
Consideration from the issue of debentures and receipt of loans, less transaction costs	635	2,000	2,635
Repayment of debentures and loans	(1,107)	(835)	(1,942)
Interest paid	(425)	(158)	(583)
Net cash generated from (used in) finance activities	(897)	1,007	110
Financing expenses recognized in the statement of income	378	163	541
Balance as at December 31, 2017	10,058	5,114	15,172
Balance as at January 1, 2018	10,075	5,114	15,189
Changes due to cash flows from financing activities			
Consideration from the issue of debentures and receipt of loans, less transaction costs	819	320	1,139
Repayment of debentures and loans	(1,205)	(686)	(1,891)
Interest paid	(374)	(198)	(572)
Net cash generated from (used in) finance activities	(760)	(564)	(1,324)
Financing expenses recognized in the statement of income	366	188	554
Balance as at December 31, 2018	9,681	4,738	14,419

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 16 - Trade and Other Payables

	December 31	
	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS
Open accounts*	1,041	862
Checks payable	21	21
Trade payables	1,062	883
Other payables		
Liabilities to employees and other liabilities for salaries	355	352
Advance payment for the "Sakia property" (see Note 13)	-	155
Institutions	89	82
Accrued interest	66	59
Deferred income	90	103
Options and derivatives	54	43
Other payables	19	37
Total other payables	673	831
Total Trade and Other Payables	1,735	1,714

* Of which, the carrying amount of trade payables that are related parties as at December 31, 2018 amounts to NIS 2 (as at December 31, 2017 – NIS 31).

Note 17 - Provisions

	Customer claims	Additional legal claims	Dismantling and clearing of cellular and other sites	Total
	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS
Balance as at January 1, 2018	59	28	47	134
Provisions created in the period	84	15	1	100
Provisions used in the period	(6)	-	(2)	(8)
Provisions cancelled in the period	(3)	(8)	(2)	(13)
Balance as at December 31, 2018	134	35	44	213
Current	134	35	6	175
Non-current	-	-	38	38

Claims

For details of legal claims, see Note 22.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 18 - Financial Risk Management

A. General

The Group is exposed to the following risks, arising from the use of financial instruments:

- Credit risk
- Liquidity risk
- Market risk (which includes currency, interest, inflation and other price risks)

This Note provides information about the Group's exposure to each of the above risks, an explanation as to how the risks are managed, and the measurement processes.

B. Framework for risk management

The Company's Board of Directors has responsibility for the Company's overall risk management. B Communications Board of Directors has responsibility for its risk management. Bezeq's Board of Directors has responsibility for the Bezeq Group's risk management. The purpose of risk management in the Group is to define and monitor those risks constantly, and to minimize their possible effects arising from the exposure on the basis of assessments and expectations for parameters that affect the risks. The Company's policy is to hedge, in part and where required according to policies determined by the board, exposure from fluctuations in foreign currency rates and in the Israeli CPI rates. Bezeq's policy is to hedge, in part and where required according to policies determined by the board, exposure to fluctuations in foreign currencies and the Israeli CPI.

C. Credit risk

The Company's management monitors the Company's exposure to credit risks on a regular basis. B Communications' management monitors its exposure to credit risks on a regular basis. Bezeq's management monitors the Bezeq Group's exposure to credit risks on a regular basis. Cash and investments in deposits and securities are deposited in highly-rated banks.

Trade and other receivables

Bezeq's management regularly monitors customer debts, and the financial statements include provisions for doubtful debts which properly reflect, in the management's estimation, the loss inherent in doubtful debts. In addition, the balances of trade receivables are widely spread.

Investments in financial assets

The Company's investment policy, which was approved by its Audit Committee, and established by the Company's Board of Directors, seeks to preserve principal and maintain adequate liquidity while maximizing the income received from investments without significantly increasing the risk of loss. According to the Company's investment policy during 2018, a minimum of 75% of the funds were invested in investment-grade securities. Since January 2019 the Company increased the minimum percentage that must be invested in investment-grade securities to 95%. The Company's marketable securities consist of investment grade securities, corporate debt securities and equity investments (stocks). The Company's investment policy imposes limitations on invested amounts by investment ratings, duration, exposure to a single issuer, exposure to a group of issuers with the same ownership, industries, geographic spread and currency exposure, thereby reducing credit risk concentrations. Transactions involving derivatives are made with entities that have high credit ratings.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 18 - Financial Risk Management (cont'd)**C. Credit risk (cont'd)****Investments in financial assets (cont'd)**

Any investments made by Bezeq in securities are made in securities which are liquid, marketable and have low risk. Transactions involving derivatives are made with entities that have high credit ratings.

As of the reporting date there is no significant concentration of credit risk.

D. Market risks

The purpose of market risk management is to manage and oversee the exposure to market risks within accepted parameters to prevent significant exposures to market risks that will influence the Group's results, liabilities and cash flows.

During the normal course of its business, the Group takes full or partial hedging actions. The Group's takes into account the effects of the exposure in its considerations for determining the type of loans it takes and in the management of its investment portfolio.

Israeli CPI risk

Changes in the rate of Israeli inflation affect the Group's profitability and its future cash flows, mainly due to its Israeli CPI-linked liabilities. In applying a policy of minimizing the exposure the Company has invested in bonds that are linked to the Israeli CPI in order to partially hedge the exposure to changes in the Israeli CPI. In addition, the Group enters into forward transactions against the Israeli CPI. The duration of the forward transactions is the same as or shorter than the duration of the hedged exposures. Bezeq applies hedge accounting with regards to its forward CPI hedge transactions.

A considerable portion of the Bezeq's cash balances are invested in deposits, monetary funds or ETF's which are exposed to changes in their real value as a result of changes in the Israeli CPI.

Foreign currency risk

Bezeq is exposed to foreign currency risks mainly due to payments for purchases of terminal equipment and property, plant and equipment which are in or linked to US\$ or Euro. In addition, the Group provides services for customers and receives services from suppliers worldwide for which it is paid and it pays in foreign currency, mainly the US\$.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 19 - Financial Instruments
A. Liquidity risk

Below are the contractual repayment dates of financial liabilities, including estimated interest payments:

The Company:

	December 31, 2018					
	Carrying amount	Contractual cash flow	2019	2020	2021-2023	2024 and later
	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS
Non-derivative financial liabilities						
Trade and other payables	12	12	12	-	-	-
Debentures*	727	804	127	265	251	161
Total	739	816	139	265	251	161

Consolidated:

	December 31, 2018					
	Carrying amount	Contractual cash flow	2019	2020	2021-2023	2024 and later
	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS
Non-derivative financial liabilities						
Trade and other payables	1,570	1,570	1,570	-	-	-
Bank loans	4,721	5,360	771	835	2,221	1,533
Debentures **, **	9,640	10,969	1,519	1,592	4,146	3,712
Total	15,931	17,899	3,860	2,427	6,367	5,245
Financial liabilities for derivative instruments						
Forward contracts on the CPI	138	138	43	47	48	-

For withholding payments of the Company's debentures in the amount of NIS 701 par value after the balance sheet date, please refer to Note 33F. Please also refer to Note 1B regarding the Company's ability to continue as going concern.

For withholding payments of B Communications' debentures in the amount of NIS 2,466 par value after the balance sheet date, please refer to Note 33F.

* The Company's contractual repayments in the tables above reflects the original schedule of the Company's debentures. The Company's debentures including accrued interest in the amount of NIS 739 were classified as current maturities on the statements of financial position as of December 31, 2018, please refer to Note 33F.

** B Communications' contractual repayments in the tables above reflects the original schedule of its debentures. B Communications' debentures including accrued interest in the amount of NIS 2,467 were classified as current maturities on the statements of financial position as of December 31, 2018, please refer to Note 33F.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 19 - Financial Instruments (cont'd)
B. Linkage and foreign currency risks

The Group's exposure to linkage and foreign currency risk was as follows based on notional amounts:

	December 31, 2017		
	Unlinked	Israeli	Foreign
	NIS	CPI-linked	currency
			linked (mainly
			U.S. dollars)
			NIS
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	2,334	-	74
Trade receivables	1,862	36	17
Other receivables	44	154	-
Related party	43	-	-
Investments including derivatives	507	57	205
Total current assets	4,790	247	296
Non-current assets			
Trade and other receivables	423	121	67
Total non-current assets	423	121	67
Total assets	5,213	368	363
Current liabilities			
Debentures, loans and borrowings	1,213	742	-
Trade and other payables	1,346	70	237
Total current liabilities	2,559	812	237
Non-current liabilities			
Debentures and bank loans	9,104	4,045	-
Other liabilities including derivatives	-	159	10
Total non-current liabilities	9,104	4,204	10
Total liabilities	11,663	5,016	247
Total exposure in the statement of financial position	(6,450)	(4,648)	116
Forward transactions	(2,308)	1,994	314

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 19 - Financial Instruments (cont'd)

B. Linkage and foreign currency risks (cont'd)

	December 31, 2018		
	Unlinked	Israeli	Foreign
	NIS	CPI-linked	currency
			linked (mainly
			U.S. dollars)
			NIS
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	1,123	-	50
Trade receivables	1,732	22	19
Other receivables	95	136	-
Investments including derivatives	1,644	74	129
Total current assets	4,594	232	198
Non-current assets			
Trade and other receivables	365	105	-
Investments including derivatives	49	-	41
Total non-current assets	414	105	41
Total assets	5,008	337	239
Current liabilities			
Debentures, loans and borrowings	3,365	1,359	-
Trade and other payables	1,382	65	166
Total current liabilities	4,747	1,424	166
Non-current liabilities			
Debentures and bank loans	6,879	2,758	-
Other liabilities including derivatives	-	95	5
Total non-current liabilities	6,879	2,853	5
Total liabilities	11,626	4,277	171
Total exposure in the statement of financial position	(6,618)	(3,940)	68
Forward transactions	(1,520)	1,350	170

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 19 - Financial Instruments (cont'd)
B. Linkage and foreign currency risks (cont'd)

Information regarding the Israeli CPI and significant exchange rates:

	Year ended December 31			December 31		
	2016	2017	2018	2016	2017	2018
	Rate of change			Reporting date spot rate		
	%	%	%	NIS	NIS	NIS
1 US dollar	(1.5)	(9.8)	8.1	3.845	3.467	3.748
1 euro	(4.8)	2.7	3.32	4.044	4.153	4.291
Israeli CPI in points	(0.3)	0.3	0.9	139.59	140.00	141.26

A change of 1% of the CPI as at December 31, 2018 would have effect of NIS 20 on total equity and net income. This analysis assumes that all other variables, in particular interest rates, remain constant. In addition, A change of 10% in the US\$ exchange rate as at December 31, 2018 would have immaterial effect on total equity and net income.

C. Interest rate risk
1. Profile

At the reporting date the interest rate profile of the Group's interest-bearing financial instruments was:

	December 31	
	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS
Fixed rate instruments		
Financial assets	2,164	2,770
Financial liabilities	(13,697)	(13,286)
	<u>(11,533)</u>	<u>(10,516)</u>
Variable rate instruments		
Financial assets	47	64
Financial liabilities	(1,407)	(1,086)
	<u>(1,360)</u>	<u>(1,022)</u>

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 19 - Financial Instruments (cont'd)**C. Interest rate risk (cont'd)****2. Fair value sensitivity analysis for fixed rate financial liabilities and derivatives**

The Group does not account for any fixed rate financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss, and the Group does not designate derivatives (interest swap contracts) as hedging instruments under a fair value hedge accounting model. Therefore, a change in interest rates at the reporting date would not affect profit or loss.

3. Sensitivity analysis of cash flow for instruments at variable interest

An increase of 100 basis points in the interest rates at the reporting date would have decreased total equity and net income by NIS 8.

D. Cash flow hedge accounting

The Bezeq Group entered into several forward contracts, as described in the table below, in order to reduce its exposure to changes in the CPI for its CPI-linked debentures (Series 6). These transactions hedge specific cash flows of certain of the Bezeq debentures and are recognized as cash flow hedge accounting. The expiry date of these transactions complies with the repayment schedule of the relevant debentures. The fair value of the forward contracts is based on observable market-based data (level 2) in fair value hierarchy.

Hedge item	Repayment date	Number of Transactions	Nominal Value NIS	Fair value NIS	Capital reserve NIS
December 31, 2017:					
Debentures (Series 6)	December 2018 - December 2022	9	1,994	(200)	48
			1,994	(200)	48
December 31, 2018:					
Debentures (Series 6)	December 2019 - December 2022	6	1,350	(138)	12
			1,350	(138)	12

Subsequent to the reporting date, Bezeq entered into four forward transactions for Debentures (Series 10). The transactions amount to NIS 75 each, payable between December 2022 and December 2025.

DBS has forward transaction to reduce exposure to changes in the US\$ exchange rate. As at December 31, 2018, the net fair value of these transactions is an asset of NIS 3 (as at December 31, 2017, a liability of NIS 12).

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 19 - Financial Instruments (cont'd)
E. Fair value
(1) Financial instruments measured at fair value for disclosure purposes only

The table below shows the difference between the carrying amount and the fair value of groups of financial instruments. The carrying amount of other financial assets and liabilities does not differ significantly from their fair value. The fair value of debentures issued to the public is based on their quoted closing price at the reporting date (Level 1). The fair value of loans and non-marketable debentures is based on the present value of future principal and interest cash flows, discounted at the market rate of interest suitable for similar liabilities plus the required adjustments for risk premium and non-marketable at the reporting date (Level 2).

	December 31, 2017		December 31, 2018		Fair value weighted average discount rate
	Carrying amount	Fair value	Carrying amount	Fair value	
	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	
Loans from banks and others					
Unlinked	4,436	4,693	4,235	4,324	3.09
Debentures					
Issued to the public (CPI-linked)	4,911	5,208	4,203	4,110	3.88
Issued to institutional investors (US\$ linked)	4,097	4,322	4,681	4,405	4.70
Issued to institutional investors (unlinked)	15	17	8	8	6.28
Issued to institutional investors (CPI-linked)	302	326	202	211	3.11
	<u>13,761</u>	<u>14,566</u>	<u>13,329</u>	<u>13,058</u>	

(2) Financial instruments measured at fair value

The table below analyses financial instruments carried at fair value, by valuation method.

	December 31, 2017			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS
Financial assets held for trading				
Monetary funds and ETFs	14	-	-	14
Marketable securities	480	-	-	480
Forward contracts	-	(212)	-	(212)
Contingent consideration for a business combination	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(14)</u>	<u>(14)</u>
	<u>494</u>	<u>(212)</u>	<u>(14)</u>	<u>268</u>

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 19 - Financial Instruments (cont'd)
E. Fair value (cont'd)
(2) Financial instruments measured at fair value (cont'd)

	December 31, 2018			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS
Financial assets held for trading				
Monetary funds and ETFs	18	-	-	18
Marketable securities	444	-	-	444
Forward contracts	-	(135)	-	(135)
	<u>462</u>	<u>(135)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>327</u>

- a. The fair value of investments in financial funds and ETFs and marketable securities is determined by reference to their average quoted selling price at the reporting date (Level 1).
- b. The fair value of forward contracts on the CPI or foreign currency is based on discounting the difference between the price in the forward contract and the price of the present forward contract for the balance of the contract term until redemption, at an appropriate interest rate (level 2). The estimate is made under the assumption that a market participant takes into account the credit risks of the parties when pricing such contracts.

F. Offset of financial assets and liabilities

Bezeq has agreements with various communication companies to supply and receive communication services. The table below presents the carrying amount of the balances as stated in the statement of financial position:

	December 31,	
	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS
Trade and other receivables, gross	115	94
Offset amounts	(99)	(83)
Trade and other receivables presented in the statement of financial position	<u>16</u>	<u>11</u>
Trade payables, gross	143	121
Offset amounts	(99)	(83)
Trade and other payables presented in the statement of financial position	<u>44</u>	<u>38</u>

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 20 - Employee Benefits

Employee benefits include post-employment benefits, other long-term benefits, termination benefits, short-term benefits.

A. Liabilities for employee benefits

	December 31,	
	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS
Current liabilities for:		
Holiday	115	112
Sick leave	142	133
Early retirement	16	329
Current maturities of pensioner benefits	7	7
Total current liability for employee benefits	280	581
Non-current liabilities for:		
Voluntary redundancy for employees transferred from civil service	-	241
Liability for pensioner benefits	120	115
Severance compensation (net) (see composition below)	57	54
Early notice	23	23
Pension	72	12
Total non-current liabilities for employee		
Benefits	272	445
Total liabilities for employee benefits	552	1,026
Composition of liabilities for severance pay:		
Liabilities for severance pay	224	218
Fair value of plan assets	(167)	(164)
	57	54

B. Defined contribution plans

- (1) Liabilities for employee benefits at retirement age in respect of the period of their service with Bezeq and its subsidiaries, and for employees to which Section 14 of the Severance Pay Law – 1963 applies, are covered in full by regular payments made by Bezeq and its subsidiaries to pension funds and insurance companies.

	Year ended December 31,		
	2016	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Amount recognized as an expense for a defined contribution plan	209	228	232

The pension rights of the Bezeq Group employees for the period of their employment in the civil service through January 31, 1985, are covered by a pension fund ("the Makefet Fund"), which assumed the State's obligation following an agreement between the severance obligation to employees who leave their employment on terms entitling them to compensation is covered, for the period from February 1, 1985, by regular contributions to such pension funds and insurance companies (in accordance with Section 14 of the Severance Pay Law).

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 20 - Employee Benefits (cont'd)

Severance pay for the period of employment in the civil service through January 31, 1985, is paid by Bezeq Group, and the monies accumulated in the Makefet Fund for that period are kept in a fund that will be used for the employees' rights.

For certain employees, the Bezeq Group has an obligation to pay severance in excess of the amount accumulated in the compensation fund which is in the employees' names. See section below.

C. Defined benefit plans

Obligations for defined benefit plans in the Bezeq Group include the following:

- (1) The severance obligation for the balance of the obligation not covered by contributions and/or insurance policies in accordance with the existing labour agreements, the Severance Pay Law, and the salary components which the managements of the companies believe entitle the employees to receive compensation. For this part of the obligation, there are deposits in the name of the Bezeq Group companies in pension funds and insurance companies. The deposits in pension funds and insurance companies include accrued linkage differences and interest. Withdrawal of the reserve monies is contingent upon fulfilment of the provisions in the Severance Pay Law.
- (2) An obligation in accordance with the collective agreement of 2006 for employees who transferred from civil service to Bezeq, and who are entitled, following retirement, to a supplement in pension payments for the difference between the Civil Service Law and the standard policy of Makefet. In addition, see Note 20.E below for information about the approval of the Board of Directors for the retirement plan for all employees of Bezeq who were transferred to Bezeq from the Ministry of Communications.

Bezeq also has an obligation to a number of senior employees who are entitled to voluntary redundancy terms (pension and retirement grants) which are not dependent on the existing retirement agreements for all employees.

- (3) An obligation in accordance with the employment agreements of some of the senior employees in the Group for payment of a benefit for notice upon severance.
- (4) Bezeq's retirees receive, in addition to pension payments, benefits which consist mainly of a holiday gift (linked to the dollar exchange rate), financing for the upkeep of retiree clubs and social activities. Bezeq's liability for these costs accumulates during the employment period. Bezeq's financial statements include the liabilities for expected costs in the post-employment period.

D. Sick leave provision

The financial statements include a provision in respect of redemption and utilization of sick leave. The right to accumulate sick leave was taken into account for all employees in the Bezeq Group. Only employees eligible under the terms of the employment agreement may redeem sick leave. The provision was computed on the basis of an actuarial calculation, including the assumption of positive accumulation of days by most of the employees and utilization of days in accordance with the last in first out (LIFO) method.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 20 - Employee Benefits (Cont'd)

E. Benefits for early retirement and termination

According to the collective agreement of December 2006, between Bezeq and the employee's union and the Histadrut Federation of Labor, and according to the amendment to the agreement of August 2015, Bezeq may, at its discretion, terminate the employment of 163 long-standing permanent employees in each of the years 2015-2021 (Bezeq's right is accumulated over the years).

The Bezeq Group recognizes expenses for voluntary redundancy when it is committed demonstrably, without realistic possibility of withdrawal, to a defined plan to terminate employment before the defined date, according to a defined plan. The collective agreement allows Bezeq to dismiss employees but does not create a demonstrable commitment without realistic possibility of withdrawal. Accordingly, the expenses for voluntary redundancy are recognized in Bezeq's financial statements at the approval date of the plan.

In the first half of 2018, the voluntary redundancy plan was approved, and 71 employees retired at a cost of NIS 71.

On December 16, 2018, a plan was approved for the implementation of an efficiency plan for 2019 for the retirement of 243 permanent employees (new and old). In addition, a voluntary retirement plan was decided on, by the end of the collective agreement period (the end of 2021) for all employees of Bezeq who were transferred to Bezeq from the Ministry of Communications (94 employees). The financial statements include an expense of NIS 452 for these plans. In addition, in 2018, retirement expenses were recognized in the amount of NIS 15, mainly for employee severance benefits in the Group companies.

The Group companies have collective agreements with the Histadrut Federation of Labor and the employees' committees. The agreements include mechanisms to integrate the employees' committees in decisions regarding the termination of permanent employees and the terms of severance.

For information about the collective arrangement signed by DBS subsequent to the date of the financial statements, see Note 33D

F. Actuarial assumptions

The main actuarial assumptions for defined benefit plans at the reporting date are as follows:

Mortality rates are based on the rates published in Insurance Circular 2017-3-6 of the Ministry of Finance.

Churn rates were determined on the basis of the past experience of the Bezeq Group and the subsidiaries, distinguishing between different employee populations and taking into account the number of years of employment. The churn rates include a distinction between severance with entitlement to full severance compensation and severance without entitlement to this right.

The discount rate (nominal) is based on the yield on linked high-quality corporate debentures with maturity dates approximating those of the gross obligation.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 20 - Employee Benefits (Cont'd)**The main discount rates are as follows:**

	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2018
	Average capitalization rate	Average capitalization rate
	%	%
Severance compensation	3.3	3.73
Retirement benefits	3.6	4.1

Assumptions regarding salary increments for calculation of the liabilities were made on the basis of the management's assessments, distinguishing between the groups of employees. The main assumptions (in nominal terms) regarding salary increases of the main employee groups are as follows:

	Salary increase assumptions
Bezeq permanent employees	Regarding an expected wage increase for 2019-2026, an average update of 7% for young employees, and decreasing gradually to 2.7% at the age of 66
New Bezeq permanent employees	Average update of 3.2% for young employees, decreasing gradually to 1.4% at the age of 66
Bezeq non-permanent employees	6.4% for young employees decreasing gradually to 0.1%, 2% (in real terms) for senior employees. 2% (in real term) for senior employees
Telephone employees	An increase of 3% in 2018 (2017- 3.1%), as set out in the collective agreement at Telephone
Bezeq International employees	An increase of 3%, as set out in the collective agreement at Bezeq International
DBS employees	Rate of increase of 3.5%

Regarding Bezeq's employees, as well as the assumption of the age-dependent wage increase, an expected individual wage growth was assumed for 2019-2026, arising from the collective agreement that was signed in August 2015.

(5) Sensitivity analysis for actuarial assumptions

The following is an analysis of the possible effect of the changes in the principal actuarial assumptions on liabilities to employee benefits. The calculation is made for each assumption separately, assuming that the remaining assumptions remain unchanged.

	Year ended December 31,	
	2017	2018
	Years	Years
Discount rate - addition of 0.5%	(29)	(37)
Rate of future salary increases - addition of 0.5%	40	27
Rate of employees leaving - addition of 5.0%	(17)	(12)

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 20 - Employee Benefits (cont'd)

(6) Average weighted useful life of liabilities for the main severance benefits:

	Year ended December 31,	
	2017	2018
	Years	Years
Severance compensation	10.4	9.9
Retirement benefits	14.7	13.6

Note 21- Income Tax**A. Corporate tax rate**

The corporate tax rate for 2016, 2017 and 2018 was 25%, 24% and 23%, respectively.

Deferred tax balances as at December 31, 2018 were calculated according to the new tax rates expected to apply on the date of reversal. The current taxes for the reported periods are calculated according to the actual tax rates as set out above.

B. Composition of income tax expenses

	Year ended December 31,		
	2016	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Current tax expenses			
Expenses for the current year	437	438	311
Adjustments for prior years	(28)	54	(24)
Total current tax expenses	409	492	287
Deferred tax expenses (income)			
Adjustments for prior years according to an assessment agreement	-	(54)	-
Reversal of temporary differences according to an assessment agreement	-	21	-
Write-off of a provision for tax due to impairment, see Note 9	-	-	(114)
Creation and reversal of temporary differences	(33)	(112)	(232)
Total deferred tax expenses	(33)	(145)	(346)
Income tax expenses (benefit)	442	347	(59)

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 21 - Income Tax (cont'd)
C. Reconciliation between the theoretical tax on the pre-tax income and the tax expense

	Year ended December 31		
	2016	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Profit before income tax	881	1,022	(1,968)
Statutory tax rate	25%	24%	23%
Income tax at the statutory tax rate	220	245	(453)
Effect of changes in tax rate on deferred taxes	67	-	-
Expenses not recognized for tax purposes	47	48	54
Temporary differences for impairment of assets for which no deferred tax assets were created (Note 9)	-	-	160
Current year tax losses and benefits for which deferred taxes were not created	136	54	180
Taxes in respect of previous years	(28)	-	-
Income tax expenses (benefit)	442	347	(59)

D. Recognized deferred tax assets and liabilities

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are attributable to the following items:

	Property, plant equipment, and intangible assets*	Employee benefits plan	Carry- forward losses for DBS	Brand Names and Customers relationship	Others	Total
	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS	NIS
Balance of deferred tax asset (liability) as at December 31, 2016	(348)	178	1,188	(615)	11	414
Recognized in profit or loss	25	(13)	(22)	127	28	145
Recognized in equity	-	-	-	-	1	1
Balance of deferred tax assets (liability) as at December 31, 2017	(323)	165	1,166	(488)	40	560
Balance of deferred tax asset (liability) as at December 31, 2017	(323)	165	1,166	(488)	40	560
Recognized in profit or loss	(14)	101	-	71	(18)	140
Write-off of deferred tax (see Note 9)	-	-	-	207	-	207
Recognized in equity	-	2	-	-	(6)	(4)
Balance of deferred tax assets (liability) as at December 31, 2018	(337)	268	1,166	(210)	16	903

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 21 - Income Tax (cont'd)**E. Unrecognized deferred tax assets and carry-forward tax loss**

As at December 31, 2018, the Company has tax loss carry-forwards in the amount of NIS 165 and capital loss carry forwards in the amount of NIS 378. In addition, the Company's subsidiaries have tax loss carry-forwards in the amount of NIS 71 and capital loss carry-forwards in the amount of NIS 46.

Moreover, deferred taxes of NIS 160 for an impairment loss in DBS are not taken into account since their utilization is not expected.

The calculation of deferred taxes does not take into account the taxes that would be applicable in the case of disposal of investments in subsidiaries and associates, since the Group intends and is able to retain these investments. Deferred taxes in respect of a distribution of profit in subsidiaries and associates were also not taken into account since the dividends are not taxable.

As at December 31, 2018, deferred taxes are presented in the statement of financial position as follows: under deferred tax assets NIS 1,205 (December 31, 2017: NIS 1,019) and under deferred tax liabilities NIS 302 (December 31, 2017: NIS 459).

A. Deferred tax asset for the losses of DBS

The deferred tax asset for the carryforward tax losses of DBS amounts to NIS 1,166. The approval from the Tax Authority for the utilization of the tax asset is subject to approval from the Ministry of Communications for cancellation of the structural separation and requires the extension from the Tax Authority for an additional year as from 2020 every year until the actual merger of DBS and Bezeq (see section G(6) below).

The Ministry of Communications set up a team within the Ministry to review an amendment to the requirement for structural separation. Bezeq applied to the Ministry of Communications for clarification regarding the date of its approval for elimination of the structural separation and petitioned the High Court of Justice against the Ministry of Communications to immediately cancel the structural separation of the Bezeq Group, after the Ministry did not respond to Bezeq's inquiries on the matter, despite the fact that Bezeq believes that it had fulfilled all the conditions that justify and require the elimination of the structural separation, in accordance with the policy document dated May 2, 2012 regarding the expansion of competition in fixed line communications - wholesale market, which was published by the Ministry of Communications.

Assuming utilization of the tax asset, based on the current assessment of Bezeq's management, that taking into account the above measures, it is more likely than not that it will receive approval with conditions to allow the utilization of the tax asset, and that as at the merger date, the merged company is expected to have taxable income that will allow the offsetting of carryforward tax losses and utilization of the tax asset.

As set out in section E above, recognition and measurement of the tax asset is a significant estimate. The amount of the asset recognized in Bezeq's financial statements is sensitive to various assumptions, including: the forecast of the taxable income of Bezeq and DBS (based on Bezeq's forecasts as at December 31, 2018, which are included in the valuations of Bezeq and DBS, using coefficients that reflect the uncertainty involved in the long-term forecasts); the forecast period, in which Bezeq believes that taxable income can be forecasted on a level of certainty of "more likely than not" (up to 25 years); and the date on which it is expected that regulatory approval will be obtained, allowing the utilization of carryforward losses (by the end of 2022). Actual results may differ from this estimate, if the current assessments of Bezeq do not materialize.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 21 - Income Tax (cont'd)**B. Final tax assessments**

- (1) The Company has final tax assessments up to and including 2013.
- (2) B Communications has final tax assessments up to and including 2014.
- (3) On January 22, 2015, B Communications entered into a tax assessment agreement with the Israeli Tax Authority (the "Agreement"), with respect to final tax assessments with respect to: (1) tax years 2007-2009; and (2) the sale of its legacy communications business that was completed on January 31, 2010. According to the Agreement, B Communications will pay the Israeli Tax Authority NIS 148, including interest and CPI linkage differences, in 24 monthly instalments starting in February 2015.
- (4) On April 27, 2017, B Communications entered into a tax assessment agreement with the Israeli Tax Authority (the "Agreement") with respect to final tax assessments for the tax years 2010-2014. The Agreement covers all pending tax assessments and other tax matters with respect to such years. According to the Agreement, B Communications paid the Israeli Tax Authority NIS 25, including interest and CPI linkage differences.
- (5) Bezeq has final tax assessments up to and including 2014.
- (6) On September 15, 2016, parallel to signing the assessment agreement ending the disputes between Bezeq and the tax assessor that ended the dispute between Bezeq regarding the financing income for the shareholder loans to DBS, the Tax Authority granted approval for tax purposes for the merger of DBS with and into Bezeq, in accordance with section 103(B) of the Income Tax Ordinance. According to the approval, the losses of DBS as at the merger date may be offset against the profits of the absorbing company, provided that in each tax year, it will not be permitted to offset an amount exceeding 12.5% (spread over eight years) of the total losses of the transferring company and the absorbing company, or 50% of the taxable income of the absorbing company in that tax year prior to offsetting the loss from previous years, whichever is lower.

The Approval was granted in accordance with the applicable tax laws in effect at the time. Without derogating from the amount of the losses set out in the Assessment Agreement, if there is any change in the applicable tax laws, the Income Tax Authority will reconsider the taxation decision in accordance with the tax laws applicable at the merger date. However, it is clarified that the Approval is effective until December 31, 2019. The Income Tax Authority will extend the date of the Approval each year by an additional year, subject to the declaration of Bezeq and DBS that there has been no material change in their business affairs and subject to the terms of the taxation decision, and subject to the interpretation given to the tax laws, provided that such interpretation is published in writing. Any change in the tax laws that does not require a change in the Approval will not result in any such change.
- (7) The tax losses of DBS as at December 31, 2018 amount to NIS 5 billion.
- (8) Pelephone has received final tax assessments up to and including 2014.
- (9) Bezeq International has received final tax assessments up to and including 2015.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 21 - Income Tax (cont'd)

(10) DBS has received final tax assessments up to and including 2013.

(11) Walla has final tax assessments up to and including 2012 and a best judgment assessment for 2014 for the payment of additional tax in the amount of NIS 19 regarding the disposal of the holdings in Coral Tel Ltd.

Note 22 - Contingent Liabilities

A. Legal proceedings against the Company

B Communications, the company and five members of B Communications' Board of Directors were named as respondents in a motion to certify a claim as a derivative claim instituted in the Tel Aviv District Court (Economic Affairs Division) on July 28, 2016. The plaintiff has alleged that NIS 113 out of the dividends distributed by us in May 2016 was distributed unlawfully as such amount was not included in B Communications profit and loss report, and therefore does not qualify as a "surplus" that may be lawfully distributed as dividends under the Israeli Companies Law. A pretrial hearing was held in March 2017, in which the court allowed B communications to file an additional brief response and a supplementary expert opinion, in order to respond to the arguments. B communications filed the additional responses on in June 2017. The court further held that the parties should consider the possibility of a constructive dialogue regarding the issues in dispute and instructed the parties to inform the court about the results of this dialogue, and whether they want to set a date for an evidentiary hearing or additional preliminary motions. The dialogue process failed, and accordingly, the court set dates for the evidentiary hearing (as part of the motion to certify) for January 6, 2019. On January 6, 2019, evidentiary hearings were held. The court decided that B Communications summaries must be submitted by May 28, 2019, and the reply on behalf of the plaintiff must be submitted by June 10, 2019. B communications believe it is likely that the motion will be approved since the burden of proof at this preliminary stage of the derivative claim is very low.

B. Legal proceedings against B Communications

On June 29, 2017, Plaintiff Lynne P. Maleeff commenced litigation on behalf of a purported class of all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired our shares between March 18, 2015 and September 6, 2017. The original defendants were B Communications, Doron Turgeman (IGLD CEO), Itzik Tadmor (our CFO) and Ehud Yahalom (our former CFO). On December 8, 2017, lead plaintiffs filed an amended complaint adding ten new defendants: Shaul Elovitch, Or Elovitch, Ron Eilon, Stella Handler, David Mizrahi, Micky Neiman, Allon Raveh, Linor Yochelman, DBS and Eurocom Communications.

The amended complaint alleges a single cause of action against B Communications for violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and SEC Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder. The complaint alleges that B Communications made false and misleading statements and omissions in its SEC filings.

On February 20, 2018, B Communications moved to dismiss the litigation for failure to state a claim or, alternatively, to stay the litigation pending the outcome of criminal investigations in Israel. Our motion to dismiss asserted that plaintiffs failed to allege that B Communications had the required knowledge or scienter about the purported wrongdoing by other defendants and that B Communications did not make any materially false statements. Plaintiffs filed their opposition to the motion.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 22 - Contingent Liabilities (cont'd)

The court issued a decision dated September 27, 2018 granting in part and denying in part B Communications motion to dismiss. The court dismissed all claims against B communications company relating to our code of ethics, internal controls, and compliance with laws generally and all claims relating to the Bezeq subcommittee reviewing the Bezeq-Yes transaction except for certain allegations relating to statements in one particular filing and to allegations regarding our statements about B Communications or Bezeq's free cash flow. The court denied our motion to stay without prejudice to our ability to seek a stay in the future if circumstances change. On July 12, 2018, motions to dismiss were filed by (1) defendants Doron Turgeman, Itzik Tadmor, and Ehud Yahalom, all former officers of our company, (2) Ron Eilon, Micky Neiman and DBS; and (3) Stella Handler, Allon Raveh, Linor Yochelman, and David Mizrahi, officers of Bezeq.

On March 28, 2019, the court concluded that the complaint failed to allege claims against B communications executive officers for either primary violations of the U.S. securities laws or "control person" liability for the alleged violations by others of the U.S. securities laws. The court therefore dismissed the complaint against Doron Turgeman, Itzik Tadmor and Ehud Yahalom. The court also concluded that the complaint failed to adequately allege personal jurisdiction against certain executive officers of Bezeq and DBS. The court therefore dismissed the complaint against the DBS and Bezeq defendants for lack of personal jurisdiction.

The claims against B Communications have stayed under the governing statute pending the outcome of the other defendants' motions to dismiss. While B Communications have solid arguments in favor, it is impossible to predict how the court will ultimately rule.

Similar class action lawsuits were filed in Israel and are described in section D below.

D. Legal proceedings against the Bezeq Group

During the normal course of business, legal claims are filed against the Bezeq Group companies or there are pending claims against the Bezeq Group ("in this section: "Legal Claims").

In the opinion of the managements of the Bezeq Group companies, based, among other things, on legal opinions as to the likelihood of success of the claims, the financial statements include adequate provisions (as described in Note 17), where provisions are required to cover the exposure resulting from such claims.

In the opinion of the managements of the Bezeq Group companies, the additional exposure (beyond these provisions) as at December 31, 2018 for claims filed against Group companies on various matters and which are unlikely to be realized, amounted to NIS 5.1 billion. There is also additional exposure of NIS 4.6 billion for claims, the chances of which cannot yet be assessed.

In addition, motions for certification of class actions have been filed against the Bezeq Group companies, for which the Bezeq Group has additional exposure beyond the aforesaid, since the exact amount of the claim is not stated in the claim.

The amounts of the additional exposure in this note are linked to the CPI and are stated net of interest.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 22 - Contingent Liabilities (cont'd)

- A. Following is a detailed description of the Bezeq Group's contingent liabilities at December 31, 2018, classified into groups with similar characteristics.

Claims group	Nature of the claims	Balance of provisions	Amount of additional exposure	Amount of exposure for claims for which the amount of exposure cannot be assessed
		NIS	NIS	NIS
Customer claims	Mainly motions for certification of class actions concerning contentions of unlawful collection of payment and impairment of the service provided by the Group companies.	134	4,954	*730
Claims by enterprises and companies	Claims alleging liability of the Group companies in respect of their activities and/or the investments made in various projects.	4	13	**, ***3,822
Claims of employees and former employees of Group companies	Mainly individual lawsuits filed by employees and former employees of the Group, regarding various payments.	-	3	-
Claims by the State and authorities	Various claims by the State of Israel, government institutions and authorities ("the Authorities"). These are mainly procedures related to regulations relevant to the Group companies and financial disputes concerning monies paid by the Group companies to the Authorities (including property taxes).	31	21	-
Supplier and communication provider claims	Legal claims for compensation for alleged damage as a result of the supply of the service and/or the product.	-	65	9
Claims for punitive damages, real estate and infrastructure	Claims for alleged physical damage or damage to property caused by Group companies and in relation to real estate and infrastructure. The additional amount of exposure for punitive damages does not include claims for which the insurance coverage is not disputed.	-	71	-
Total legal claims against the Bezeq Group companies		169	5,127	4,561

* Including exposure in the amount of NIS 300 against a subsidiary and against four additional defendants.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 22 - Contingent Liabilities (cont'd)

** Including exposure of NIS 2 billion for a motion for certification as a class action filed by a shareholder against Bezeq and officers in Bezeq, referring to alleged reporting omissions by Bezeq regarding the wholesale market and the reduction of interconnect fees, which the plaintiff estimates at NIS 1.1 billion or NIS 2 billion (depending on the method used to calculate the damage). On August 27, 2018, the court certified the claim as a class action. On October 28, 2018, Bezeq filed a motion for a rehearing of the certification ruling. Subsequently, the court decided to stay the proceedings until a ruling is made on the motion for a rehearing.

*** Two motions for certification of a class action seeking a total of NIS 1.8 billion, filed in June 2017 against Bezeq, officers in the Group and companies in the group of Bezeq's controlling shareholders regarding the transaction relating to Bezeq's acquisition of DBS shares from Eurocom DBS Ltd. In accordance with the court's decision, a joint motion is expected to be filed instead of these two motions. The proceeding was stayed due to the investigation. The court approved the request of the Attorney General to inform the court by October 31, 2019, of the continued conduct of the proceedings in view of the ongoing investigation.

(1) In 2017 and in June 2018, Bezeq's shareholders filed motions against Bezeq and DBS for discovery of documents prior to filing a motion for certification of a derivative action in accordance with section 198A of the Companies Law, regarding an interested party transaction between DBS and Spacecom and regarding a transaction for the acquisition of DBS shares by Bezeq. Further to the request of the Israel Securities Authority, in view of the investigation, the procedures have been stayed, at this stage, until April 1, 2019.

It should be noted that in addition to these motions, there is a pending claim and motion for certification as a derivative action against Bezeq, its controlling shareholder in the relevant period and the directors in the relevant period, from 2015, concerning the transaction for Bezeq's acquisition of the entire holdings and shareholder's loans of Eurocom DBS in DBS. Further to the position of the ILA, the court ordered a stay of proceedings in the case until December 31, 2018, and on that day, notice was submitted on behalf of the Israel Securities Authority requesting an additional 90-day extension.

(2) In February 2018, a motion for certification of the claim as a derivative action was filed against Bezeq as a formal respondent, and against directors of Bezeq at the times relevant to the motion and against the controlling shareholders in Bezeq at the times relevant to the motion. The motion relates to Bezeq's engagement in an assessment agreement with the Tax Authority, which was signed on September 15, 2016, according to which Bezeq paid taxes in the amount of NIS 462 to the Tax Authority for financing income from loans to DBS. On the other hand, it was agreed, among other things, that the losses of DBS for the financing expenses for the shareholders' loans of Bezeq to DBS will be recognized in full for Bezeq after the merger between Bezeq and DBS. At the request of the Israel Securities Authority, the proceedings were stayed due to the investigation until December 31, 2018, and it requested an extension of the stay in proceedings until April 1, 2019.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 22 - Contingent Liabilities (cont'd)

- (3) In December 2018, a claim was filed against Walla, alleging that Walla had biased press coverage on its website in favor of the Prime Minister, allegedly in exchange for excessive regulatory benefits granted to its controlling shareholder - Bezeq and its (former) controlling shareholder. The amount of the class action is unknown. At this stage, Walla is unable to assess the chances of the claim.
- (4) Subsequent to the balance sheet date, two claims were filed against the Bezeq Group companies without an exact amount and one claim was filed with exposure of NIS 15. As at the approval date of the financial statements, the chances of the claims cannot be assessed. In addition, claims with exposure of NIS 406 and another three claims without an exact amount came to an end.

Note 23 - Agreements

- (1) DBS has agreements for the acquisition of space segments, content, and copyrights, up to the end of 2028. The amounts of future agreements for these contracts as at December 31, 2018 are as follows:

Year ended December 31	Space segments	Content and copyright	Total
	NIS	NIS	NIS
2019	85	495	580
2020	85	354	439
2021	85	260	345
2022	82	225	307
2023 onwards	485	64	549
Total	822	1,398	2,220

- (2) In accordance with the agreement with the 2013 Space Communications Ltd. ("Spacecom") of 2013, as amended, DBS leases twelve space segments in the Amos satellites ("the Spacecom Agreement") according to the distribution among the satellites set out in the agreement for different periods. The term of the Spacecom Agreement is up to 2028 (subject to the options for early termination described below).

In accordance with the Spacecom Agreement, DBS leases space segments on the Amos 3 satellite (which is expected to end its service at the beginning of 2026), as well as on the Amos 7 satellite, in which Spacecom has the right to lease space segments under an agreement with the holder of rights in this satellite, which was leased to DBS until February 2021. Spacecom undertook to exercise the option granted to it by the holder of the satellite rights to extend the lease for an additional year, and on the deadline for exercising this option, if it will be clear that Amos 8 a new satellite will not be in service by February 2021.

Under the Spacecom Agreement, Spacecom has undertaken to make the most reasonable efforts to deploy the new satellite, Amos 8, by February 2021, and in this event, DBS will lease space segments from that date in Amos 3 and in Amos 8, and from the end of life of Amos 3, in Amos 8 only. If Amos 8 is not deployed by February 2022, DBS will lease ten satellite segments in Amos 3 until the end of its life, and will have the right, if it so chooses, to lease space segments in Amos 8, to the extent it is deployed at a later stage.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 23 - Agreements (cont'd)

The Spacecom Agreement stipulates the right to early termination without cause, subject to advance notice of 12 months and payment of the consideration in accordance with the prescribed mechanism. The agreement also stipulates the right to early termination due to a delay in the entry into force of the agreement for construction of Amos 8, and early termination at the end of the lifespan of Amos 3 due to non-availability of Amos 8, without payment of compensation and under the conditions set out in the agreement.

In September 2018, Spacecom announced that the agreement for the construction of the Amos 8 satellite will not take effect and it will be canceled and that it is assessing the feasibility of several alternatives. DBS believes that cancellation of the agreement may result in a delay in the start of the Amos 8 satellite activity compared to the timetable under this agreement.

In December 2018, Spacecom was notified that DBS would not act to amend the Spacecom Agreement, and that it reserves the right not to lease segments on the Amos 8 satellite, if there is a delay in its deployment as set out above.

The total amount of agreements for space segments includes full payment of NIS 236 for the use of the Amos 8 satellite.

For information about the agreement with Spacecom, see Note 30.3.2(3) regarding the agreements with related parties. In addition to the resolution of the Board of Directors to approve a plan for the migration of DBS from satellite broadcasts to internet streaming.

- (3) In October 2016, Pelephone's new agreement with Apple Distribution International ("Apple") came into effect for the acquisition and distribution of iPhone devices. In accordance with the agreement, Pelephone is required to purchase a minimum number of devices for an additional three years at the prices in effect at the manufacturer at the actual purchase date.
- (4) As at December 31, 2018, Pelephone has obligations to acquire terminal equipment amounting to NIS 78 (as at December 31, 2017, NIS 147).
- (5) The cellular infrastructure equipment in the UMTS/HSPA and LTE networks is manufactured by LM Ericsson Israel Ltd. ("Ericsson"), which serves as a supplier to Pelephone for the deployment of a fourth-generation radio network (LTE). Ericsson is also a material supplier to Pelephone of microwave transmission equipment. Pelephone has multi-annual agreements for maintenance, support and upgrade of software for the UMTS/HSPA network and an agreement for acquisition of 4G network (LTE) equipment with Ericsson, and Pelephone believes that it may dependent on Ericsson for network support and its expansion. As at December 31, 2018, Pelephone has agreements with Ericsson for the acquisition of terminal equipment and the receipt of services, in a total amount of NIS 23.
- (6) In 2011, Bezeq International entered into an agreement with CYTA to replace indefeasible rights of use (IRU). Under the transaction, Bezeq International undertook to acquire additional IRU in the amount of US\$ 4.65. Bezeq International has not yet exercised this undertaking.
- (7) As at December 31, 2018, the Bezeq Group companies have agreements for the acquisition of fixed assets, intangible assets, additional assets, and routine services amounting to NIS 392.
- (8) For information about transactions with related parties, see Note 32.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 24 - Securities, Pledges and Guarantees

The Bezeq Group's policy is to provide tender, performance and legal guarantees. In addition, Bezeq provides bank guarantees, where necessary, for banking obligations of subsidiaries.

- A. The Bezeq Group companies have provided guarantees of NIS 158 in favor of the Ministry of Communications to secure the terms of their licenses (of which an amount of NIS 32 is linked to the CPI and NIS 37 is linked to the US\$ exchange rate).
- B. The Bezeq Group companies have provided bank guarantees of NIS 109 in favor of third parties.
- C. In accordance with its cellular license, Pelephone is not permitted to sell, lease or pledge any of its assets used for the implementation of the license, without the consent of the Minister of Communications, except for:
 - 1) A pledge on one of the license assets in favor of a bank operating lawfully in Israel, to receive bank credit, provided that it submitted notice to the Ministry of Communications regarding the pledge it intends to register, noting that the pledge agreement includes a clause ensuring that in any event, exercise of the rights by the bank will not impair, in any way, the services provided under the license.
 - 2) Sale of items of equipment when implementing an upgrade, including sale of equipment by the trade-in method.
- D. For information about the conditions for loans and borrowings, see Note 15.

Note 25 - Capital and Capital Reserves

	Authorized December 31 2017 and 2018 Number of shares	Registered and paid up December 31	
		2017	2018
Ordinary shares of NIS 0.01 par value each	501,000,000	19,203,186	28,003,186

As of December 31, 2018, 5,862,615 ordinary shares of the Company have been purchased pursuant to a share buyback program which was authorized by the Company's Board of Directors.

In June 2018, the Company conducted a private placement of 8,800,000 of its ordinary shares by means of a tender offer in Israel, to certain institutional, "qualified" and private investors. The Company's net proceeds from the offering were NIS 97, based on a price of NIS 11.25 per share.

The Company also issued the investors warrants to purchase 4,400,000 additional ordinary shares of the Company. The Warrants were issued, without further consideration, under a warrant agreement. The exercise price of the Warrants is NIS 11.00 per share. The exercise period of the Warrants will terminate on June 30, 2019.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 26 - Revenues

	Year ended December 31		
	2016	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Domestic fixed line communications- Bezeq fixed-line			
Fixed line telephony	1,352	1,255	1,130
Internet – infrastructure	1,461	1,488	1,525
Transmission and data communication	835	775	769
Cloud and digital services	203	230	260
Other services	213	205	199
	<u>4,064</u>	<u>3,953</u>	<u>3,883</u>
Cellular communications - Pelephone			
Cellular services and terminal equipment	1,777	1,743	1,713
Sale of terminal equipment	811	757	688
	<u>2,588</u>	<u>2,500</u>	<u>2,401</u>
International communications, internet services and NEP- Bezeq international	<u>1,480</u>	<u>1,467</u>	<u>1,338</u>
Multi-channel television - DBS	<u>1,745</u>	<u>1,650</u>	<u>1,473</u>
Others	<u>207</u>	<u>219</u>	<u>226</u>
	<u>10,084</u>	<u>9,789</u>	<u>9,321</u>

Note 27 - Salaries

	Year ended December 31		
	2016	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS	NIS
salaries and incidentals	2,546	2,579	2,574
Less – salaries recognized in investments in property, plant and equipment and in intangible assets	<u>529</u>	<u>571</u>	<u>579</u>
	<u>2,017</u>	<u>2,008</u>	<u>1,995</u>

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 28 - General and Operating Expenses

	Year ended December 31		
	2016	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Terminal equipment and materials	831	855	737
Interconnectivity and payments to domestic and international operators	825	805	789
Maintenance of buildings and sites*	605	584	286
Marketing and general expenses	709	615	581
Services and maintenance by sub-contractors	261	260	277
Vehicle maintenance expenses*	164	156	82
Content services expenses	629	636	653
	<u>4,024</u>	<u>3,911</u>	<u>3,405</u>

* See Note 3.a for information about early adoption of IFRS 16, Leases.

Operating and general expenses are presented net of expenses of NIS 46 recognized in 2018 for investments in fixed assets and intangible assets (in 2017 NIS 65 and in 2016 NIS 64).

Note 29 - Other Operating Expenses, Net

	Year ended December 31		
	2016	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Provision for severance pay in early retirement, see note 20E	96	23	559
Capital gain from sale of property plant and equipment	(86)	(27)	1
Profit from sale of an associate	-	-	(15)
Provision for claims	-	19	91
Others	11	5	(1)
	<u>21</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>635</u>

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 30 - Financing Expenses, Net

	Year ended December 31		
	2016	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Linkage and exchange rate differences, net	(19)	-	-
Income on bank deposits, investments and others	(20)	(8)	(6)
Change in fair value of financial assets measured at fair value through profit or loss	(29)	(7)	(27)
Income in respect of credit in sales, net of discount commission	(42)	(35)	(30)
Other financing income	(23)	(25)	(31)
Total financing income	<u>(133)</u>	<u>(75)</u>	<u>(94)</u>
Interest expenses on financial liabilities	924	503	514
Linkage and exchange rate differences, net	38	49	65
Change in contingent consideration in a business combination	55	(14)	43
Change in fair value of financial assets measured at fair value through profit or loss	24	43	-
Financing expenses for employee benefits, net	15	35	9
Reduction of the provision for assessor interest expenses	-	-	26
Other financing expenses	52	36	7
Total financing expenses	<u>1,108</u>	<u>652</u>	<u>664</u>
Financing expenses, net	<u>975</u>	<u>577</u>	<u>570</u>

Note 31- Loss per Share

The calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share was based on profit (loss) attributable to ordinary shareholders, and on a weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding, calculated as follows:

	Year ended December 31		
	2016	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Loss attributable to ordinary Shareholders			
Basic loss for the year	(202)	(15)	(717)
Effect of diluted per share loss in a subsidiary	-	-	-
Diluted loss for the year	<u>(202)</u>	<u>(15)</u>	<u>(717)</u>
	Year ended December 31		
	2016	2017	2018
	Thousands of shares of NIS 0.01 par value	Thousands of shares of NIS 0.01 par value	Thousands of Shares of NIS 0.01 par value
Weighted average number of ordinary shares (basic and diluted)	<u>19,203</u>	<u>19,203</u>	<u>24,097</u>

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 32- Transactions with Related Parties

A. Identity of related parties

The Company's related parties are as defined in IAS 24 (2009) - Related Party Disclosures and include: Eurocom, other Eurocom Group companies, related parties of Eurocom, directors and key management personnel in the Company, the Eurocom Group companies and persons who are close to a family member of any of these individuals.

In the ordinary course of business, some of Bezeq's subsidiaries and affiliates engage in business activities with each other. Such business activities are primarily between Bezeq and its subsidiaries and between other Eurocom Group companies, Spacecom, Gilat Satcom and to a lesser extent other affiliated company.

Such business activities primarily relate to the provision, purchase or sale of communications or digital services and products, including, the provision of related satellite or broadcast services, cellular and electronic products and equipment, and Internet and telephony services.

The transactions among these related parties are made at prices and on terms equivalent to those charged in transactions with unrelated parties under similar conditions.

Ordinary course of business transactions are aggregated in this Note. This Note also includes detailed descriptions of material related party transactions.

B. Balances with related parties

	December 31,	
	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS
Receivables - associates	8	7
Liabilities to related parties, net *	(23)	6
Advanced payment to Eurocom DBS (not including interest) for contingent consideration	99	99

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 32 - Transactions with Related Parties (cont'd)**C. Transactions with related parties**

	Year ended December 31,		
	2016	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Revenues			
From associates	7	8	6
From related parties	13	23	31
Expenses			
To related parties	111	122	*54
To associates	2	5	5
Investments			
Related parties	59	28	1
Acquisition of DBS	55	** (70)	-
Revised fair value of the excess advance payments for acquisition of DBS	-	**56	**43

* Related-party expenses include amounts paid by DBS to Space Communications Ltd. ("Spacecom") up to May 3, 2018. It should be noted subsequent to this date, the Company believes, based on information it received, that Spacecom ceased to be a related party. For further information, see section 30.3.2 below. In 2018, DBS paid a total of NIS 74 to Spacecom.

** Adjustment of the liability for contingent consideration for a business combination with DBS and adjustment of the fair value estimate of the amount expected to be returned to the Company from the excess of the advance payments that it paid, recognized as financing income, net.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 32 - Transactions with Related Parties (cont'd)**D. Transactions listed in section 270(4) of the Companies Law****Approval date of the general meeting (after approval of Bezeq's audit committee and Board of Directors)**

	Nature of the transaction	Amount of the transaction
December 8, 2015 – see (1) below	Amendment to the framework agreement between Pelephone and Eurocom Cellular Communications Ltd., so that it will be extended to other products and brands, including related services for all products and its extension until December 31, 2018 (or three years after the acquisition date of any additional products or brands, whichever is earlier).	Annual scope of up to NIS 50 (for all the products)
June 30, 2016 – see (2) below	Extension of the amended agreement with Eurocom Communications Ltd. ("Eurocom Communications") for ongoing management and consultation services for the Company for a period of three years. The management agreement was terminated in practice on April 25, 2018.	For the period between January 1, 2018 and April 25, 2018, an amount of NIS 800 thousand was not paid and was offset against a debt.
April 3, 2017 – see (3) below	Approval of Bezeq's vote at the general meeting of DBS in favor of the agreement between DBS and Space Communications Ltd. ("Spacecom" and "the Parties" respectively) with an amendment/addendum to the existing agreement between the parties dated November 4, 2013, for the lease of satellite segments in Spacecom's satellites ("the Agreement"), including in favor of implementation of the Agreement. The validity of the Agreement remains the same as the original agreement, namely, until the end of 2028.	A total nominal cost of up to US\$ 263 for the entire term of the Agreement (until December 31, 2028), reflecting an average annual cost of US\$ 21.9. It should be noted that the overall cost of the Agreement may be lower if surplus revenue sharing mechanisms are applied and/or the assumptions set out in the amendment to the Agreement. For further information, see Note 23 above.

The financial value of the transactions described above, which were carried out in 2018 were as follows:

	Amounts included in the consolidated financial statements NIS
Expenses	28
(1) Bezeq has a personal interest in the transaction, since Eurocom Cellular Communications Ltd. (a party to the transaction), is controlled by Eurocom Communications, which is the ultimate controlling shareholder of Bezeq.	

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 32 - Transactions with Related Parties (cont'd)

- (2) The management agreement stipulated that Eurocom Communications will provide the services of Shaul Elovitch, who will serve as executive chairman of the Board of Directors of Bezeq and its subsidiaries, with a position of 70%. In addition, it was determined that Eurocom Communications will provide directors on its behalf, to serve on the boards of directors of Bezeq and the subsidiary companies. Eurocom will also provide ongoing consulting services as follows: (A) directors' compensation, consisting of annual participation compensation and actual participation compensation based on a maximum amount for one meeting (as this term is defined in the Companies Regulations (Rules for Compensation and Expenses of an External Director), 2000), based on the relevant rating of Bezeq of the subsidiary/sub-subsidiary (as the case may be) at that date, for the participation of the directors serving on behalf of Bezeq's controlling shareholders, as part of their membership and their position as directors in Bezeq and/or its subsidiaries and the various committees, subject to adjustments in accordance with their number and presence at meetings; (B) NIS 3.5 per year for the service and activities of Shaul Elovitch as active chairman of the Board of Directors of Bezeq and its subsidiaries; and (C) NIS 432 thousand per year for ongoing consultation services.

On July 26, 2018, Bezeq Board of Directors resolved that the provision of all components of the services under the Management Agreement was de facto discontinued on April 25, 2018, and determined the amount of NIS 800 thousand due to Eurocom Communications from the Bezeq, for the period between January 1, 2018 and April 25, 2018 should not be paid, due to the restrictions imposed on the activities of Shaul Elovitch and other directors who serve or who served on the Board of Directors of Bezeq and its subsidiaries on behalf of Eurocom Communications in the reporting year, in connection with the investigation conducted by the ISA and the Israel Police. The amount was not paid to Eurocom Communications in practice but was deferred and offset against the debt of Eurocom Communications to Bezeq.

- (3) Bezeq had a personal interest in the transaction as at the date of its approval, since, as at the date of the transaction, Spacecom was controlled by Eurocom Communications, the ultimate controlling shareholder of Bezeq. To the best of Bezeq's knowledge and in accordance with information provided to Bezeq by Eurocom Communications, the link between Eurocom Communications and Spacecom has been severed, since the court appointed a receiver for the shares of Spacecom held by Eurocom Communications ("the Spacecom Shares under Receivership"), which holds the full voting rights, and in view of the fact that as Bezeq was informed, the value of the collateral held by the receiver, including the value of the Spacecom Shares under Receivership, does not exceed the amount of the debt underlying the appointment of the receiver.

E. Agreements with the Eurocom Group and B Communications

The Company both receives various services and products from, and provides various services and products to, related parties at market rates and in the ordinary course of business. Other than the transactions described below, none of these related party transactions are material to the Company or to the Company's related parties.

If a related party wishes to supply products or services to the Company, the Company generally obtain a bid from a third party to enable us to determine whether the related party's bid is on arm's-length terms. Any of such transaction is subject to the approval of the Company's audit committee and the Company's board of directors (and in some circumstances, The Company's shareholders). In addition, generally the Company will not purchase a particular type of product or service solely from related parties, but will also have non-related vendors. Prices offered by non-related vendors are compared to those offered by related parties to ensure that the related parties are offering arm's length terms.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 32 - Transactions with Related Parties (cont'd)

E. Agreements with the Eurocom Group and B Communications (cont'd)

In the ordinary course of business, some of the Company's subsidiaries and affiliates engage in business activities with each other. Such business activities are primarily among Bezeq, other Bezeq Group companies and Eurocom Group companies, such as Eurocom Digital, Eurocom Cellular, Spacecom, Satcom, and to a lesser extent other affiliated company. Such business activities primarily relate to the provision, purchase or sale of communications and digital services and products, including the provision of satellite or broadcasting services, cellular and electronic products and equipment, and Internet and telephony services. The transactions among these related parties are made at prices and on terms equivalent to those charged in transactions with unrelated parties under similar conditions.

1. In June 2017, our shareholders approved the Company's entering into a Services Agreement with Eurocom Communications pursuant to which it will provide us with the services of its Legal Department in consideration of a monthly fee of NIS 15.5 thousand, plus an annual fixed amount of up to NIS 6 thousand in respect of various other expenses to be paid against receipts and documentation. Based on our prior experience, the Company estimated that the scope of the legal services provided to our company averaged approximately 48 hours per month on an annual basis. The legal services' portion of the Services Agreement was terminated on March 31, 2018.
2. In February 2018, our Board of Directors appointed Adv. Ami Barlev to serve as a director and as Acting Chairman of the Company's Board of Directors until the next annual shareholders' meeting. In January 2019, after the balance sheet date, Mr. Barlev has resigned from being Chairman of the Board of Directors. Mr. Moshe Lusky, a director of our company, was appointed to serve as Chairman of the Board instead of Mr. Barlev.
3. In March 2018, Eurocom and the Company terminated the rest of the Services Agreement. The Company's Compensation Committee and Board of Directors have confirmed, among other things, that upon termination of the Services Agreement, Messrs. Felix Cohen, Yosef Elovitch and Ami Barlev will be entitled to compensation as directors pursuant to the "Fixed" statutory amount in accordance with the Companies Regulations (Rules Regarding Compensation and Expenses for an External Director) 5760-2000 for companies within the range of the Company's size. In addition, the directors will be entitled to receive coverage under the insurance policy that applies to all of the Company's directors and officers, and to receive indemnification letter.
4. The Company and B Communications leased the Company's principal offices from Eurocom Holdings for an annual rent of NIS 110 thousand for both companies till November 2018. The offices were sold by Eurocom Holdings to a third party in November 2018 and the Companies continue to rent their principal offices from the new owner at the same terms.
5. The Company entered into an arrangement with B Communications according to which B Communications' employees provide services to both companies and B Communications will pay 2/3 and the Company will pay 1/3 of their compensation. In addition, other general and operating expenses such as computing, rent and office maintenance are 2/3 attributable to B Communications and 1/3 to the Company.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 32 - Transactions with Related Parties (cont'd)

6. The Company's key management personnel compensation comprised:

	Year ended December 31		
	2016	2017	2018
	NIS	NIS	NIS
Employee benefits	2	2	2

Note 33 - Subsequent Events

- A. On January 16, 2019, the Company reported receipt of indicative offers for its B Communications shares in an amount that was insufficient for the Company to pay its liabilities, as a result the Company's Board of Directors determined to withhold payments to the Company's financial creditors until further notice. This withholding led to significant discussions with the Company's debenture holders while attempts to resolve the current financial predicament.

In view of the circumstances, including the outcome of the sale process and the financial results of Bezeq as of December 31, 2018, the Company decided to classify the non-current portion of its debentures as current maturities in its financial statements, as in the Company's position, the above circumstances are in fact a material deterioration as of December 31, 2018 and a presumption that such deterioration constitute grounds for the repayment of the debt.

- B. On January 20, 2019, after the balance sheet date, B Communications conducted a private placement of 7,385,600 of its ordinary shares, NIS 0.1 par value, to certain institutional, "qualified" and private investors in Israel. B Communications received gross proceeds from the offering of NIS 118, based on a price of NIS 16 per share. The Company did not participate in B Communications' share issuance and as a result its share in B Communication decreased to 51.95% and its shareholders equity was increased by NIS32.
- C. On March 13, 2019, Bezeq's Board of Directors approved a resolution of the Board of Directors of DBS to approve a plan for migration from satellite broadcasts to broadcasts over the internet, in a gradual, process that is expected to continue over several years.
- D. On March 14, 2019, DBS signed a collective arrangement with the Histadrut Federation of Labor and the employees' representatives regarding retrenchment and synergy procedures, commencing on June 1, 2010 until December 31, 2021 ("the Arrangement"). During the term of the arrangement, DBS will be entitled to terminate the employment of up to 325 employees and employees who are not included in the retirement plan will receive a one-time grant. The estimated cost of the Arrangement is NIS 68, assuming full exercise of the rights of DBS to retrench and the fulfilment of conditions for providing additional financial benefits to the employees. In addition, according to the Arrangement, DBS may also retrench by not recruiting employees to replace employees whose employment has terminated.
- E. On March 27, 2019, Bezeq Board of Directors approved the filing of an application for a permit to publish a prospectus for completion, based on its financial statements as at December 31, 2018, together with a first draft of a prospectus that includes an option for an exchange tender offer for Bezeq traded debentures and the issuance of new debentures of the Bezeq.
- F. In March 2019, B Communications announced that the aggregate material decline in its assets and the equity attributable to its shareholders is expected to be in a cumulative range of NIS 700-800 (as a result of all the write downs to date).

B Communications' Board of Directors decided at its meeting held on the evening of March 19, 2019, that as a result of the foregoing to enter into a dialogue with its debenture holders in order to examine financial possibilities for strengthening its shareholders' equity or to obtain adjustments to the current Deeds of Trust governing its debentures.

B Communications' Board further determined to withhold payments to its financial creditors until such agreements are finalized. This withholding led to significant discussions between B Communications and its debenture holders who are now being consulted on every future move of B Communications while attempts to resolve the current financial predicament.

In light of the above, B Communication's auditors included a going concern emphasis of matter in their audit opinion on the financial statements of B Communication as of December 31, 2018.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(All amounts are in millions except where otherwise stated)

Note 33 - Subsequent Events (cont'd)

In view of the above circumstances, B Communications decided to classify the non-current portion of its debentures as current maturities in its financial statements, taking into account the following reasons:

In B Communications' position, if the events described above were known on December 31, 2018 it would result in the immediate repayment of B Communications' debentures at that time, due to the following:

1. In B Communications' position, the "deep" violation of covenants and a significant deterioration that couldn't be "cured" within a period of a few months.
2. In B Communications' position, the above circumstances are in fact a material deterioration and a presumption that such deterioration constitute grounds for the repayment of the debt. For example, in B Communications' position, in the current market conditions and those that prevailed in December 2018, capital raising that would have enabled the "cure" of the covenants of B Communications, i.e. about NIS 400 million, would be an uncertain challenge and not necessarily applicable in a short period of time.
3. In addition, if the above events were known in December 2018 and would have been reported to the public, in B Communications' position, it is reasonable to assume that B Communications would have encountered a clear difficulty in raising cash to remedy the violations within a reasonable time.

In B Communications' position, these significant accounting and economic events could not be cured within a reasonable time period or within the healing period, even if they were known on December 31, 2018.

- G. During January 2019, Midroog downgraded the rating of B Communications' debentures (Series B and C) twice by two notches from A2.il to Baa2.il and retained the rating outlook of credit review with negative implications.

In March 2019, following the decision of B Communications' Board of Directors to withhold payments to its financial creditors, Midroog downgraded the rating of its debentures (Series B and C) from Baa2.il to Caa2.il and changed the rating outlook to credit review with uncertain trajectory. The downgrades reflect Midroog's assessment of a high certainty of default (as defined by Midroog standards).

According to the indenture of B Communications' Series C debentures, as a result of the rating downgrade mentioned above, the annual coupon of the Series C debentures will increase by 1% to 4.6%. In addition, as a result of B Communications' equity attributable to shareholders decrease to below the threshold of NIS 750 as mentioned in the indenture of the Series C debentures for two consecutive quarters starting December 31, 2018, the annual coupon of the Series C debentures will increase by additional 0.25% to 4.85%.

- H. On May 5, 2019, after the balance sheet date, the Sakia property sale was completed and Bezeq received NIS 377 million (including VAT), representing the entire balance of the consideration for the property. As a result, Bezeq is expected to record a capital gain in its financial statements for the second quarter of 2019. The capital gain that will be recorded under the assumption that Bezeq will be obliged to pay the full permit fees and improvement levy is NIS 250 million, compared with NIS 450 million in case all of Bezeq's claims in its objections are accepted. Bezeq is still assessing the capital gain to be recorded in its financial statements.

Rights Attached to Shares

Our authorized share capital consists of 501,000,000 ordinary shares of a nominal value of NIS 0.01 each. All of our issued and outstanding ordinary shares are duly authorized, validly issued, fully paid and non-assessable. Our ordinary shares are not redeemable and do not have preemptive rights.

Dividend and Liquidation Rights. The holders of the ordinary shares will be entitled to their proportionate share of any cash dividend, share dividend or dividend in kind declared with respect to our ordinary shares. Our board of directors may declare a dividend to be paid to the holders of ordinary shares in proportion to the paid up capital attributable to the shares that they hold. Dividends may only be paid out of our profits and other surplus funds, as defined in the Israeli Companies Law, as of the end of the most recent fiscal year or as accrued over a period of two years, whichever is higher, provided that there is no reasonable concern that a payment of a dividend will prevent us from satisfying our existing and foreseeable obligations as they become due. If we do not meet the profit requirement, we may seek the approval of the court to distribute a dividend. The court may approve our request if it is convinced that there is no reasonable risk that a distribution might prevent us from satisfying our existing and anticipated obligations as they become due.

Under the Israeli Companies Law, a dividend declaration must be approved by the board of directors and does not require the approval of the shareholders of a company unless the company's articles of association provide otherwise. Our articles of association do not require shareholder approval of a dividend distribution.

In the event of our liquidation, after satisfaction of liabilities to creditors, our assets will be distributed to the holders of ordinary shares in proportion to the paid up capital attributable to the shares that they hold. Dividend and liquidation rights may be affected by the grant of preferential dividend or distribution rights to the holders of a class of shares with preferential rights that may be authorized in the future.

Exceptional Holdings; Principal Shareholders. Under the Communications Order, no person may hold, directly or indirectly, "significant influence" over Bezeq or 5% or more of any particular class of means of control in Bezeq, nor may any person, together with any other person, appoint, elect or dismiss the general manager of Bezeq or cause the election, appointment or dismissal of any director of Bezeq, without the prior written consent of the Prime Minister of Israel and the Israeli Minister of Communications. Subject to certain exceptions, prior written approval of such Ministers is also required to increase the holdings or other rights in excess of those determined in the initial approval, including by means of an agreement (including a voting agreement). Furthermore, under the Communications Order, no person may transfer control, "significant influence" or means of control in Bezeq to another, if, as a result of the transfer, the holdings of the transferee would require approval pursuant to the Communications Law or Communications Order and the transferee is not in possession of the requisite approval. Any such unauthorized acquisition is referred to as "Exceptional Holdings." For the foregoing purposes, "significant influence" means the ability to significantly influence the activity of a corporation, whether alone or together with or through others, directly or indirectly, other than as a result of holding "means of control" in that corporation or in another corporation, and including ability derived from the corporation's articles of association, a written, oral or other kind of agreement, or from any other source. In this context, the right to appoint an officer and holding 25% of our "means of control" is presumed to confer significant influence. "Means of control" means the right to vote at a general meeting of Bezeq, to appoint a director or general manager of Bezeq, to participate in the profits of Bezeq or a share of the remaining assets of Bezeq after payment of its debts upon liquidation. We received explicit governmental approval to keep the Control Permit even at a level of a 25% ownership interest.

In compliance with the Communications Law and Communications Order following our acquisition of the controlling interest in Bezeq, our Articles of Association provide that Exceptional Holdings will not entitle the holder to any rights in respect of such holdings, unless and to the extent permitted under the Communications Order. Accordingly, Exceptional Holdings will not have any voting rights at a general meeting of shareholders. Each shareholder participating in a general meeting of shareholders will be required to certify to us prior to the vote or, if the shareholder is voting by a proxy or any similar instrument, on such proxy card or similar instrument, as to whether or not his or her holdings in our company or his or her vote require the approval of the Prime Minister of Israel and the Israeli Minister of Communications, pursuant to the Communications Law and Communications Order. In addition, no director may be appointed, elected or removed from office by virtue of the vote of a holder of Exceptional Holdings. If a director is appointed, elected or removed from office by virtue of the vote of a holder of Exceptional Holdings, such appointment, election or removal from office shall have no effect.

Under our Articles of Association, any person holding a number of our shares that requires approval under the Communications Order shall notify us, Bezeq, the Prime Minister of Israel and the Israeli Minister of Communications of such holdings in writing, no later than 48 hours from the date of acquiring such holdings.

Our Articles of Association include reporting requirements applicable to “Principal Shareholders,” meaning a holder, directly or indirectly, of 5% of our issued and outstanding share capital. Any person who, after acquiring, directly or indirectly, shares in our company becomes a “Principal Shareholder,” is required, no later than 48 hours after becoming a Principal Shareholder, to notify us in writing, specifying the number of our shares held by such shareholder and the date on which such shareholder became a Principal Shareholder. Any person who ceases to be a Principal Shareholder is required, no later than 14 days thereafter, to notify us in writing of the date on which such person ceased to be a Principal Shareholder. In addition, a Principal Shareholder is required to notify us in writing of any aggregate change in its holdings of our shares in an aggregate amount equal to 1% or more of our outstanding share capital compared to the last notice of holdings submitted by such Principal Shareholder, no later than 48 hours after such change. In the event a Principal Shareholder fails to provide any required notice, as discussed above, then until such Principal Shareholder provides us with the requisite notice, the Principal Shareholder will not be entitled to any rights in respect of such shares and the provisions of the Communications Order with respect to the exercise of rights underlying Exceptional Holdings will apply, and the undisclosed holdings shall also be deemed “dormant shares,” as defined under the Israeli Companies Law.

Under our Articles of Associations, we are required to notify the Prime Minister of Israel and the Israeli Minister of Communications of any Exceptional Holdings immediately upon becoming aware of such event. We are also required to notify such Ministers in the event a shareholder becomes a Principal Shareholder and regarding any change in the holdings of a Principal Shareholder within 48 hours of becoming aware of such change.

Voting Rights. Holders of ordinary shares have one vote for each ordinary share held on all matters submitted to a vote of shareholders, subject to the restrictions described above relating to Exceptional Holdings and Principal Shareholders. Such voting rights may be affected by the grant of any special voting rights to the holders of a class of shares with preferential rights that may be authorized in the future.

Election of Directors

Our ordinary shares do not have cumulative rights for the election of directors. Rather, under our articles of association, our directors (other than the external directors) are elected by a vote of the holders of a majority of the voting power represented and voting at our annual general meetings of shareholders, and hold office until the next annual general meeting of shareholders and until their successors have been elected. See Item 6C. “Directors, Senior Management and Employees - Board Practices - Election of Directors.” For information regarding the election of external directors, see Item 6C. “Directors, Senior Management and Employees - Board Practices - External Directors.” All the members of our Board of Directors (except the external directors) may be reelected upon completion of their term of office.

Under our Articles of Association, provisions relating to the election and removal of directors who are not external directors, within the meaning of the Israeli Companies Law, may not be modified without the prior written consent of the Israeli Minister of Communications.

Regulations promulgated under the Communications Law require that our chief executive officer, any member of our board of directors holding an executive role, as well as a majority of the members of the board of directors, be citizens and residents of the State of Israel.

Annual and Extraordinary Meetings

Under the Israeli Companies Law and our articles of association, our board of directors must convene an annual meeting of shareholders at least once every calendar year and within 15 months of the last annual meeting. Depending on the matter to be voted upon, and subject to the Israeli Companies Law and regulations thereunder, notice of at least 14 days or 21 days or 35 days prior to the date of the meeting is required. Our articles of association provide that notice of a general meeting of shareholders will be delivered to all eligible shareholders by publication in two daily Hebrew language newspapers in Israel that have a reasonably-sized readership. Our board of directors may, in its discretion, convene additional meetings as “special general meetings.” In addition, the board must convene a special general meeting upon the demand of: (a) two of the directors or 25% of the directors in office, (b) one or more shareholders having at least 5% of the outstanding share capital and at least 1% of the voting power in the company, or (c) one or more shareholders having at least 5% of the voting power in the company. The chairperson of the board of directors presides at each of our general meetings. The chairperson of the board of directors is not entitled to a vote at a general meeting in his capacity as chairperson.

Quorum

The quorum required for any general meeting is the presence, in person or by proxy, of shareholders holding or representing, in the aggregate, at least one third of the voting rights. No business shall be considered or determined at a general meeting, unless the requisite quorum is present within half an hour from the time designated for the general meeting. If within half an hour from the time designated for the general meeting a quorum is not present, the general meeting shall stand adjourned to the same day in the following week, at the same time and place, or to such other time as designated in the notice of such adjourned meeting. If within half an hour from the time designated for the adjourned meeting a quorum is not present, any number of shareholders present will constitute a quorum. However, if the general meeting was convened on the demand of shareholders, the adjourned meeting shall take place only if there are present at least the number of shareholders required to convene a general meeting under our articles of association (as discussed above).

A general meeting in which a quorum is present may resolve to adjourn the meeting, the discussion or the vote on a matter included in the agenda to such other time and place as it may determine. Only matters that were on the agenda and in respect of which no resolution was passed shall be discussed at the adjourned meeting.

Resolutions

An ordinary resolution requires approval by the holders of a simple majority of the voting rights represented at the meeting, in person, by proxy or by written ballot, and voting on the resolution.

Under the Israeli Companies Law, unless otherwise provided in the articles of association or applicable law, all resolutions of the shareholders require a simple majority. A resolution for the voluntary winding up of the company requires approval by holders of 75% of the voting rights represented at the meeting, in person, by proxy or by written ballot and voting on the resolution.

Modification of Class Rights

Under the Israeli Companies Law and our articles of association, any amendment, conversion, cancellation, expansion, addition to or other change in the rights, preferences, privileges, restrictions or provisions attached to any particular class of shares issued to shareholders of our company, shall require the written consent of holders of all issued shares of such particular class, or authorization by an ordinary resolution adopted at an extraordinary meeting of such class.

Limitations on the Rights to Own Ordinary Shares in Our Company

None of our memorandum of association, our articles of association or the laws of the State of Israel restrict in any way the ownership or voting of ordinary shares by non-residents, except that shares held by citizens of countries which are in a state of war with Israel will not confer any rights to their holders unless the Minister of Finance consents otherwise.

In addition, pursuant to the Communications Order, so long as we (through B Communications) hold the control permit in Bezeq, any state, government corporation or a corporation controlled by a government corporation cannot control our company. Ownership of our shares, directly or indirectly, by a government corporation requires the prior written approval of the Israeli Prime Minister and Israeli Minister of Communications, provided that the government corporation's total direct and indirect holdings in Bezeq does not exceed more than 5% of any type of "means of control" (as such term is described above) of Bezeq. Ownership by a government corporation, directly or indirectly, of 5% or more of Bezeq's outstanding shares or a "significant influence" (as such term is described above) in Bezeq requires the approval of the Prime Minister of Israel and the Israeli Minister of Communications as well as the consent of the Israeli Minister of Defense. A "Hostile State," as such term is defined in the Communications Order, a citizen or resident of a Hostile State, a corporation incorporated in a Hostile State or controlled by a resident or citizen of a Hostile State is not allowed to hold, directly or indirectly, 5% or more or a "significant influence" (as described above) in Bezeq.

Anti-Takeover Provisions; Mergers and Acquisitions

Full Tender Offer. A person wishing to acquire shares, or any class of shares, of a publicly traded Israeli company and who would as a result hold over 90% of the company's issued and outstanding share capital, or a class of shares which are listed, is required by the Israeli Companies Law to make a tender offer to all of the company's shareholders for the purchase of all of the remaining issued and outstanding shares of the company, or any class of shares, as the case may be. If (i) less than 5% of the outstanding shares are not tendered in the tender offer and the majority of the offerees who have no personal interest in the acceptance of the tender offer have accepted the offer; or (ii) less than 2% of the outstanding shares are not tendered in the tender offer, all of the shares that the acquirer offered to purchase will be transferred to the acquirer by operation of law. However, the shareholders may petition the court within six months after receipt of the offer to alter the consideration for the acquisition. The Israeli Companies Law allows an acquirer to determine in the terms of the offer that offerees who accepted the offer will not be entitled to appraisal rights. If the terms described above for the completion of a tender offer are not met, the acquirer may not acquire additional shares of the company from shareholders who accepted the tender offer if following such acquisition, the acquirer would then own over 90% of the company's issued and outstanding share capital.

Special Tender Offer. The Israeli Companies Law provides that an acquisition of shares of a public company be made by means of a special tender offer if as a result of the acquisition the purchaser would hold 25% or more of the voting rights at the company's general meeting, unless one of the exemptions described in the Israeli Companies Law are met. This rule does not apply if there is already another shareholder who holds 25% or more of the voting rights at the company's general meeting. Similarly, the Israeli Companies Law provides that an acquisition of shares in a public company must be made by means of a tender offer if as a result of the acquisition the purchaser would hold more than 45% of the voting rights of the company, if there is no other shareholder of the company who holds more than 45% of the voting rights in the company. A tender offer is not required in the following circumstances: (i) the purchase was made in a private placement that was approved by the shareholders as a private placement and was meant to grant the purchaser 25% or more of the voting rights of a company in which no other shareholder holds 25% or more of the voting rights, or to grant the purchaser more than 45% of the voting rights of a company in which no other shareholder holds more than 45% of the voting rights, (ii) the purchaser would hold 25% or more of the voting rights after purchasing shares from a person that held 25% or more of the voting rights, or (iii) the purchaser would hold more than 45% of the voting rights after purchasing shares from a person that held more than 45% of the voting rights.

Merger. The Israeli Companies Law permits merger transactions if approved by each party's board of directors and, unless certain requirements described under the Israeli Companies Law are met, the majority of each party's shares voted on the proposed merger at a shareholders' meeting called on at least 35 days' prior notice. Under the Israeli Companies Law, if the approval of a general meeting of the shareholders is required, merger transactions may be approved by holders of a simple majority of the shares present, in person or by proxy, at a general meeting and voting on the transaction. In determining whether the required majority has approved the merger, if shares of the company are held by the other party to the merger, or by any person holding at least 25% of the outstanding voting shares or 25% of the means of appointing directors of the other party to the merger, then a vote against the merger by holders of the majority of the shares present and voting, excluding shares held by the other party or by such person, or anyone acting on behalf of either of them, is sufficient to reject the merger transaction. If the transaction would have been approved but for the exclusion of the votes of certain shareholders as provided above, a court may still approve the merger upon the request of holders of at least 25% of the voting rights of a company, if the court holds that the merger is fair and reasonable, taking into account the value of the parties to the merger and the consideration offered to the shareholders. Upon the request of a creditor of either party to the proposed merger, the court may delay or prevent the merger if it concludes that there exists a reasonable concern that, as a result of the merger, the surviving company will be unable to satisfy the obligations of any of the parties to the merger and the court may also provide instructions to assure the rights of creditors. In addition, a merger may not be completed unless at least 50 days have passed from the date that a proposal for approval of the merger was filed with the Israeli Registrar of Companies and 30 days from the date that shareholder approval of both merging companies was obtained.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, a merger is not subject to shareholders approval of (i) the target company, if it is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the acquiring company and (ii) the acquiring company, if no changes are required to its articles and memorandum of association and it is not issuing to the shareholders of the target company more than 20% of its voting rights and no person will become, as a result of the issuance, a controlling shareholder of the acquiring company, subject to certain limitations relating to the continuing of the votes, at a meeting of the shareholders of a company that is a party to the merger, of any entity or person that is either the other party to the merger or a control person thereof.

**OFFICERS' COMPENSATION POLICY
INTERNET GOLD - GOLDEN LINES LTD.
Adopted February 21, 2019**

1. General Background

- 1.1 This Compensation Policy (hereinafter: the “**Compensation Policy**”), as defined in the Companies Law, 5759 - 1999 (hereinafter: the “**Companies Law**” or the “**Law**”) is a policy regarding the terms of office and employment of the officers of Internet Gold - Golden Lines Ltd. (hereinafter: the “**Company**”). The “**Officers**” and “**Terms of Office and Employment**” - as this term is defined in the Law from time to time.
- 1.2 The Compensation Policy takes into account the Company’s characteristics, its business strategy and its objectives, the characteristics of the area of its activities and the Company’s policy to ensure the recruitment and retention of top-quality officers at the Company.
- 1.3 Compensation Policy Approval Proceeding: at its meetings, the Compensation Committee discussed the proposal for the Compensation Policy which had been formulated by the Company’s management, with the assistance of professional entities, and after the discussions, during which the members of the Committee made comments, asked questions and received answers from the Company’s management on various matters contained in the Compensation Policy, the Compensation Committee recommended that the Board of Directors of the Company approve the Compensation Policy. The Board of Directors of the Company approved the Compensation Policy, after considering the Compensation Committee’s recommendations.
- 1.4 It should be clarified that the rules set forth in this Policy form an upper threshold for the Officers’ Terms of Office and Employment. It should be emphasized that the Company is not obligated to grant the Officers all of the components set forth in this Policy, nor is it obligated to grant the maximum rate in any of the components of the Terms of Office and Employment set forth in this Policy. The contents of this Policy do not create any right for any officer whatsoever of the Company, and the rights of each Officer shall be those set forth in the employment agreement applicable between the said Officer and the Company.

2. Objectives of the Compensation Policy

- 2.1 The Company attaches great importance to devising a correct and appropriate Compensation Policy for the Company’s Officers, *inter alia*, by creating appropriate incentives for the Company’s Officers, promoting the Company’s objectives, its work plans and its policy, for both the long and short term, taking into consideration, *inter alia*, the Officers’ areas of responsibility, and also the risks applicable to the Company’s activities.

2.2 Emphases Regarding the Company’s Activities

The Company attaches the utmost importance to retaining the Company’s Officers. As of the present date, the Company has only two officers, whose activities require expertise, professional stability, extensive know-how, extensive experience in working with the Group’s interfaces, and so forth. Beyond this, the activities of the Officers at the Company require the management of a stable, efficient and productive work interface with the “Bezeq” Group (which constitutes the Company’s underlying asset, and held by the Company’s subsidiary), both at the level of the numerous interfaces that exist with regard to the groups’ financial systems, and also at the level of the various management interfaces between the Company and the “Bezeq” Group. These activities require stability and preservation over time. Beyond this, the Company’s activities involve providing support for capital/debt-raising and issue processes, providing support for various processes with the capital markets, providing support for complex financing processes, and also providing continuous support, on a day-to-day basis, of the management of the Group’s activities with the financing entities and the Company’s capital market interfaces, which require skill, extensive experience and know-how which have been acquired over the years. In view of this, the Company attaches the utmost importance to and places a vital emphasis on retaining the Company’s Officers.

It should be noted that in view of the parallel nature and activities of B Communications Ltd. (“BCOM”), the Company’s subsidiary, and of the Company *per se*, which manage many similar and parallel activity interfaces, at the level of fiscal management, financial management, activities in the capital markets, corporate headquarter activities, etc., the activities of the Company’s Officers are divided between BCOM and the Company at a ratio of one-third (the Company) to two-thirds (BCOM), based on an activity assessment performed by the Company’s management and considering that the scope and complexity of BCOM’s debt are significantly greater, as well as its equity value, both in terms of the division of time and resources and also in terms of the division of the Officers’ salary. This being the case, the Officers’ salary is, generally speaking, low, on average. In addition, the scope of office of the Officers is divided in the manner described above between the Company and BCOM, and therefore the compensation data presented in this Policy reflect the said division, and create significant savings in the costs of the two companies.

- 2.3 The Company has formulated the Compensation Policy for its Officers, whilst considering the following objectives:
- 2.3.1 Enhancing the Officers' sense of identification with the Company and with its activities.
 - 2.3.2 Increasing the Officers' satisfaction and motivation, for the purpose of advancing the Company's business and improving the Company's financial capabilities.
 - 2.3.3 Retaining the top-quality officers at the Company for the long-term.
- 2.4 In addition, the Compensation Policy is designed to create a uniform and clear general framework for setting a personal compensation plan for each one of the Officers, based on joint principles and whilst making the relevant adjustments to the Officer's experience, the characteristics of his job and the manner of performance of the position by him.
3. **Guiding Considerations in Setting the Compensation Policy**
- 3.1 In accordance with the provisions of section 267b(a) of the Companies Law, below are the considerations that guided the Company in setting the Compensation Policy:
 - 3.1.1 Promoting the Company's objectives, its work plan and its policies, from a long-term perspective.
 - 3.1.2 Creating appropriate incentives for the Officers of the Company, taking into consideration, inter alia, the Company's risk management policy.
 - 3.1.3 The high degree of responsibility required of Officers in their work with the reporting authorities in Israel and in the USA.
 - 3.1.4 The size of the Company, the complexity of its financial structure, its profits and the nature of its activities.
 - 3.1.5 As regards Terms of Office and Employment which contain variable components - the Officer's contribution to the achievement of the Company's targets and the maximization of the Company's profits, all from a long-term perspective and in accordance with the Officer's position.
 - 3.2 In addition, at the time of determining the terms of compensation for the Officers, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors may set additional, relevant criteria, besides the guiding considerations set forth above, and they may also refer to additional data besides the data set forth below, taking into consideration the Company's best interests, its situation and its plans.

4. Key Elements of the Compensation Policy

4.1 Components of the Compensation

The total compensation of the Company's Officers comprises a number of components (in whole or in part)¹:

- 4.1.1 Fixed monthly salary (for details, see section 5 below).
- 4.1.2 Related terms and conditions - such as officers' liability insurance, indemnity and release from liability (for details, see section 7.2 below); various social benefits (except for directors) such as contributions to executives' insurance policies and continuing education funds; sick days, vacation days and convalescence days, a company car or the reimbursement of car maintenance expenses (for details, see section 7 below).
- 4.1.3 Variable Compensation:
 - 1. "Retention" components - i.e., payment of a bonus which is contingent upon the amount of time the Officer has served and stayed at the Company, during such period as determined.

It should be clarified that in view of the unique nature of the Company's operations, it has been determined that the Compensation Policy will comprise the incorporation of long-term retention compensation, with the aim of providing an incentive to the Officers to maintain their activities and the quality of their work at the Company (such as the retention plans).

It is worth noting that the Company's results as a holding company are primarily derived from the results of the Bezeq Group, and therefore, there is an inherent difficulty in imposing a direct connection between the Company's financial results and the Officers' compensation. On the other hand: the Officers' work at the Company is intensive, it demands expertise and extensive acquired experience, and it also entails extremely significant challenges which require, in the opinion of the Company's management, the formulation of stable employment agreements, with long-term retention attributes.

Moreover, the character of the professional activities of the Company's Officers is designed, for the most part, to preserve the Company's stability, by implementing various stable work interfaces with financing entities, institutional investors, etc. These activities, by nature, require the Company to act in order to retain its Officers, *inter alia*, for the purpose of reinforcing such work interfaces on a proper and stable basis.

- 2. In addition, the Company may determine a particular scope of bonuses on an annual basis - bonuses which are contingent upon the achievement of specific targets at the level of the Company, based on the Company's strategy, as reflected in the Company's budget and/or bonuses which are contingent upon the achievement of personal targets, which are defined for each Officer in accordance with his position and his contribution to the Company, and in accordance with the Company's strategy and its targets (for details, see section 6 below). Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors may in individual cases approve at their discretion a discretionary bonus, subject to a cap of up to three salaries, for individual achievements, for specific achievements in the course of the year or for the advancement of material/strategic issues and/or delegate their authority to do so, subject to the provisions of the law.

¹ It should be noted that in view of the parallel nature and activities of BCOM, and of the Company, which manage many similar and parallel activity interfaces, at the level of fiscal management, financial management, activities in the capital markets, corporate headquarter activities, etc., the activities of the Company's Officers are divided between BCOM and the Company, as detailed above, both in terms of the division of time and resources and also in terms of the division of the Officers' salary. This being the case, the Officers' salary is, generally speaking, low, on average. In addition, the scope of office of the Officers is divided between the Company and BCOM, as detailed above, and therefore the compensation data presented in this Policy reflect the said division.

3. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a non-material change in the Terms of Office and Employment of an Officer who is subordinate to the Company's CEO shall not require the approval of the Compensation Committee, if it was approved by the Company's CEO and all the following are fulfilled:
- 3.1 A non-material change in the Terms of Office and Employment of an Officer as stated in section 272(c) of the Law, within a limit of up to 15% per year, relative to the year before, of the Officer's terms, shall be approved by the Company's CEO and by any other organ as obligated by law (according to the minimum required forum).
- 3.2 The Terms of Office and Employment conform to the Company's Compensation Policy.
- 4.2 The Data to be Examined

In their examination and approval of the Terms of Office and Employment of an Officer, and on a case-by-case basis, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors shall address the following matters:

- 4.2.1 All of the compensation components, including monthly salary, related terms and conditions, employment termination bonuses (bonus, payment, remuneration, compensation or any other benefit granted to the Officer in connection with the termination of his position at the Company, including the advance notice period), and also any benefit, payment or payment undertaking or grant of such benefit, if any, which are granted in respect of such office or employment.
- 4.2.2 The economic value of the total compensation package, including all the components thereof, whilst taking into consideration the Company's business results, and if the compensation package is based on targets - the examination of these targets.
- 4.2.3 The compensation components will be challenging, however, they will not encourage the taking of risks beyond the range of risk desired by the Company, and they shall not cause the Officer to act against the Company's interests.
- 4.2.4 In order to ensure consistency between all of the compensation components set forth in the Policy, all of the components of the Officer's compensation package shall be presented to the Company's organs, during their discussion of the approval of each of the compensation components for an Officer of the Company. In addition, the ranges of the salary and the rest of the Terms of Office and Employment of the Company's Officers shall be determined, *inter alia*, in accordance with comparative data for officers of companies with similar characteristics to those of the Company, as set forth below and insofar as practicable ("**Comparative Data for Similar Companies**"). The Comparative Data for Similar Companies will address the entirety of the components of the Terms of Office and Employment, or part thereof, as the case may be, insofar as practicable and provided that the information is available. The Comparative Data for Similar Companies will be prepared by the Company internally, or through an external consultant, in the discretion of the Compensation Committee, in accordance with such methodology as the Company shall deem appropriate and reasonable. In addition, the Comparative Data for Similar Companies will be prepared whilst relating to the base salary separately, and also, whilst relating to the total compensation, insofar as relevant, and if such information exists.
- 4.2.5 The comparison shall be made in relation to the compensation granted to an officer in a similar position at three public companies and/or private companies, at least, which are comparable, *inter alia*, in all or some of the following characteristics:
 - (a) Their total assets are similar to the Company's total assets.
 - (b) Their market value is similar to the Company's market value.
 - (c) Their scopes of managed debt are similar to the Company's scopes of managed debt.
 - (d) The companies are committed to the level of reporting of dual-listed companies, in terms of the degree of detail and liability, i.e., they are subject to the SEC's rules and regulations.

- 4.2.6 The Officer's education, qualifications, expertise, professional experience and his activities and contribution to the achievement of the Company's business targets and the Company's compliance with its work plans (in his current or previous position), based on data pertaining to the Company's operating results in various aspects relating to the Officer's areas of responsibility and the market conditions existing at the time of and prior to the examination.
- 4.2.7 The Officer's position, his areas of responsibility and previous salary agreements signed with him. In addition, insofar as relevant, comparative data shall be presented regarding former or current officers at the Company in the same position or in similar positions, in relation to all of the components of the Terms of Office and Employment. In addition, if relevant, any material changes that have taken place in his powers and in his areas of responsibility during the year, if any - will be taken into account.
- 4.2.8 Employment and the salary² of the rest of the Company's employees, and in particular, the ratio to the average salary and to the median salary of such employees, and the effect of the disparities between the said salary data on the employment relations at the Company. The Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors will examine the ratio between the Terms of Office and Employment of each Officer and the salary of the rest of the Company's employees, and they will note whether, in their opinion, it is a reasonable and appropriate ratio taking into consideration, *inter alia*, the Company's nature, its size, the mix of the personnel employed by the Company, and the area of its business, and they will check that these ratios will not be detrimental to the employment relations at the Company.
- 4.2.9 As of the date of approval of this Compensation Policy, the current ratio between the base salary of the various Officers of the Company and the average and median salary of all the Company's employees, and the ratio between the Terms of Office and Employment (cost of salary, including bonuses) of each one of the Officers and the cost of the average salary and the median salary of the rest of the Company's employees, are as set forth below:

Position	Ratio of Base Salary to Average Salary	Ratio of Base Salary to Median Salary	Ratio of Cost of Salary to Average Cost of Salary	Ratio of Cost of Salary to Median Cost of Salary
CEO	1: 3	1: 6.6	1: 2.8	1: 5.5
CFO	1: 0.7	1: 1.5	1: 0.75	1:1.5

In determining these ratios the Company took into account the salary of the officers.

According to the assessment of the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors, the above-mentioned ratios are appropriate and reasonable, taking into consideration the Company's characteristics, and they will not be detrimental to the employment relations at the Company, particularly in view of the fact that only five employees are employed at the Company, including the two Officers, and the position of the other three employees is relatively minor, to a significant extent, to the Officers' position.

Should the Company deviate from the ratio, in a scope exceeding 40% of the discrepancies described above, then the matter shall be brought for further discussion by the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors, and they shall examine whether any changes are necessary in view of the said deviation, and the Company shall make disclosure to this effect, insofar as the deviation is material. Any deviation within these limits has been defined by the Company's organs as reasonable.

² "Salary" - as this term is defined in the Companies Law from time to time; as of the present time - the income in respect of which National Insurance payments are made pursuant to Chapter O of the National Insurance Law [Consolidated Version], 5755 - 1995.

- 4.2.10 The ratio between the variable components and the fixed components to be granted to the Officer shall be determined, in any event, in a manner that will not encourage the taking of unreasonable risks.

The desired ratio between the variable components and the fixed components of the various Officers at the Company for any given year shall be as set forth below:

Position	Fixed Components (including related terms) (%)	Variable Components (bonuses and payments based on retention targets) (%)
CEO	55% - 100%	0% - 45%
CFO	55% - 100%	0% - 45%

It should be emphasized that the intention is to the planned ratio only, assuming receipt of the target bonus, as stated in this Policy. The actual ratio in any given year between the components of the compensation package may vary, due to underperformance or due to over performance, which might affect the variable compensation as stated in this Policy. In addition, it should be clarified that in view of the unique nature of the Company's operations and the importance of preserving the many permanent work interfaces at the Company, the Company attaches, as a matter of principle, importance to strengthening the fixed compensation components for the Officers, and accordingly, the aforesaid ratios have been determined as part of the entirety of the total considerations.

Should the Company deviate from the ratio from the ratio, in a scope exceeding 40% of the discrepancies described above, then the matter shall be brought for further discussion by the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors, and they shall examine whether any changes are necessary in view of the said deviation, and the Company shall make disclosure to this effect, insofar as the deviation is material. Any deviation within these limits has been defined by the Company's organs as reasonable.

5. Salary Component

The salary to which the Officer is entitled is a fixed component which shall be determined, insofar as practicable, by the date of commencement of his service in the relevant position at the Company, and shall be updated from time to time in accordance with the Compensation Policy.

5.1 Salary of CEO and Officers (who are not directors)

- 5.1.1 The amount of the salary of the Company's CEO and the other Officers shall be determined in accordance with the relevant considerations and criteria, as enumerated in sections 2, 3 and 4 above, and it shall be approved by the Company's competent organs, in accordance with the provisions of the law.
- 5.1.2 Based on the relevant considerations and criteria, as enumerated in sections 2, 3 and 4 above, the levels of the base monthly salary have been determined for the Company's Officers, as set forth below:

Position	Maximum (in NIS) (gross) per month, and assuming retention of scopes of office and divisions of office as of the present time	Maximum (in NIS) (gross, not cost value) per month, assuming full scope of position
CEO	45,000	55,000
CFO	25,000	40,000

These ranges shall be examined by the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors in the course of the annual examination of the Compensation Policy in accordance with section 11 below, and they shall be updated insofar as necessary, *inter alia*, in keeping with the Comparative Data for Similar Companies and in keeping with the Company's business situation and the personnel employed at the Company or in accordance with other considerations.

Any deviation beyond the ranges specified above shall be brought for approval by the Company's competent organs, in accordance with the provisions of the law.

5.2 **Directors' Fees**

5.2.1 Directors of the Company (both external directors and others) shall be paid annual remuneration, participation remuneration and the reimbursement of expenses in accordance with the provisions determined in the Companies Regulations (Rules Regarding Remuneration and Expenses for External directors), 5760 - 2000 (hereinafter: the "**Remuneration Regulations**"), in accordance with the rank at which the Company is classified pursuant to the said Regulations. The fees to be determined shall not exceed the maximum remuneration permitted in the Remuneration Regulations³.

5.2.2 Notwithstanding the foregoing, a waiver by a director (who is not an external director) of the remuneration due to him pursuant to the Remuneration Regulations shall not be deemed to be a deviation from this Policy⁴.

6. **Variable Bonus**⁴

Variable Compensation, Retention:

6.1 In view of the unique nature of the Company's operations and the importance of retaining the Company's Officers, the Company's Board of Directors and Compensation Committee may set "retention bonuses" for the Company's Officers, in a total amount of up to: (a) NIS 500,000 for the Company's CEO, which shall be accumulated gradually over a period of up to 5 years; (b) NIS 150,000 for the Company's CFO, which shall be accumulated gradually over a period of up to 5 years; and all in view of the reasons specified above. It shall be clarified that in any event, the situation shall not arise where several retention plans exist, concurrently, for the same Officer.

Designated Annual Bonus:

6.2 So as to create a correlation between the Officers' variable compensation and the Company's results and its performance from a long-term perspective, taking into consideration the Company's best interests, its situation and its plans, an annual bonus plan may be devised for the Company's Officers (except for the directors). The annual bonus will be contingent upon compliance with targets to be set by the Board of Directors, subsequent to the Compensation Committee's recommendation, in accordance with a bonus plan which shall be brought each year, or on a multi-annual basis, for approval by the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors. The bonus plan, if any, will be devised in accordance with that stated in this Compensation Policy, including the threshold conditions and the restrictions specified below, and in accordance with the relevant considerations and criteria, as enumerated above.

6.3 Should an annual bonus plan be devised, the Company's Officers (as of the present time, the CEO and the CFO) shall be entitled to an annual bonus based on measurable quantitative targets, which are contingent upon the achievement of the Company's objectives and business targets, from a long-term perspective. The targets shall include, *inter alia*, the following components:

6.3.1 Quantitative targets at the level of the Company.

6.3.2 Measurable, personal targets, which shall be set for each Officer personally, in accordance with his job and the extent of the Officer's contribution to the Company's business, and in accordance with the Company's strategy and work plan, and from a long-term perspective.

6.3.3 The internal division between the relative weight of the quantitative estimates, based on the Company's targets, and the personal quantitative targets, shall be adjusted to suit each Officer separately, in accordance with the characteristics of his position, the areas of his responsibility, and his degree of influence over the achievement of the Company's targets and its profits. A relative weight in the variable bonus component shall be set for each target.

3 As of the present time, the Company pays the directors and external directors compensation equal to the fixed statutory amount for companies of our size set forth from time to time in the Israeli Companies Regulations (Rules Regarding Compensation and Expenses of an External director), 5760-2000

4 For officers who are not directors. The Company's results shall be pursuant to the Company's audited financial statements.

- 6.3.4 The evaluation of performance by the Company's Board of Directors which shall address, *inter alia*, the Officer's contribution and performance, and also criteria which cannot be objectively quantified. The qualitative indices (the evaluation by the Board of Directors) shall constitute 25%, at the most, of the basis for the annual bonus, which, in the opinion of the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors, represents an insubstantial part, as compared with the total variable components granted to the Officers, or up to three salaries for any Officer, whichever the higher. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the share of such discretionary components may be at a higher rate, up to the maximum extent permitted by law, as in effect from time to time, specifically, with respect to officers which are not the CEO.

Below are several examples, in principle, of the above-mentioned targets (without derogating from the right of the Board of Directors to determine additional targets, in accordance with the criteria as set forth in this Policy):

- (a) A target for the decrease in the Company's financing expenses, as a percentage of the Company's financial debt (effective financing rate), in the year in which the measurement shall be made. The financing expenses mean: the amount of the Company's full financing expenses, net. The calculation shall include all of the full financing costs, less the financing income and the income from securities. The financial debt: the average, gross balance of the Company's full financial debt. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the financing expenses shall be in real terms - i.e., net of any index effects;
- (b) A target for the decrease in the Company's net financial debt, as compared with the Company's budget in the relevant year in respect of which the measurement was made. This target is a derivative of the Company's net cash flow, plus dividends received by the Company - and net of financing expenses, current expenses, etc.
- (c) The Company's net profit target in the year in which the measurement was made. The measurement of this target shall be performed according to the net profit stated in the Company's consolidated financial statements.
- (d) An improvement in the Company's rating level; the rating of the companies/ the debt, is vital for all of the companies' investors, shareholders and bondholders alike, for the purpose of measuring the Company's strength, its financial flexibility and the economic projections regarding the long-term. Generally speaking, a significant part of the rating is frequently based on the activities directly performed by the Company's managers directly. The rating companies examine the manner of management of the Company's debt, its financial flexibility, its ability to make improvements regarding financing, its ability to refinance debt, and so forth. All of these activities are activities which are performed by the Company directly, and by the Company's managers.
- (e) Meeting the time schedules for the filing of reports, financial statements, success in the annual audit conducted on companies of the same type as the Company, by the SEC. As far as the Company's organs are concerned, success in the periodic audits conducted by the regulator, as aforesaid, is an important index.
- (f) A target for the return on the securities portfolio and the Company's liquid balances, as compared with the Company's budget in the relevant year in respect of which the measurement was made and/or as compared with the reference indices in the market. This target shall be measured according to the reference index derived from the various stock exchange indices (the Tel Bond Index, the Tel Aviv Yeter Index, etc.).
- (g) Targets involving an improvement in the prices of the Company's share or involving the share's trading volumes and the identity of its shareholders.
- (h) The return on the Company's securities portfolio relative to corresponding reference indices, the performance of managed portfolios maintained by the Company and the performance of indices and relevant ETFs.

These targets shall be set, based on the Company's strategy, as reflected in its annual budget, as devised and approved each year by the Board of Directors of the Company (hereinafter: the "**Annual Budget**"), and they shall be adjusted to the Company's performance in the course of the year for which the bonus is being paid.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors may in individual cases approve at their discretion a discretionary bonus, subject to a cap of up to three salaries, for individual achievements, for specific achievements in the course of the year or for the advancement of material/strategic issues and/or delegate their authority, in accordance with the provisions of the law.

6.4 The Board of Directors shall determine the text of the targets in advance, whilst determining the various components thereof.

The Board of Directors shall have discretion and flexibility in determining the weights and the targets, and they shall be reviewed by it once a year as aforesaid, in accordance with the recommendations of the Compensation Committee in that regard. For purposes of this matter, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors shall consider the recommendation of the Company's CEO regarding the mix of targets and weights for the managers subordinate to him and the recommendation of the Chairman of the Board of Directors regarding the mix of targets and weights for the CEO. It is further clarified that, to the extent allowed by law, the Board of Directors upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee may increase with respect to any of the Company's Officers the discretionary component and even determine that this will be the only component for purposes of calculating the performance-dependent bonus for the relevant Officer, all as aforesaid and subject to any law.

6.5 The Company's targets, as aforesaid, shall be determined whilst taking the following principles into consideration:

6.5.1 Compliance with these targets provides an incentive for achieving the Company's objectives, targets, business plans and strategies, and for increasing the Company's future profits.

6.5.2 Compliance with these targets will give rise to an improvement in the Company's performance from a long-term perspective.

6.5.3 The Company aspires to reward its Officers, in a fair and appropriate manner, for their contribution and their achievements, as reflected in the Company's results and in its long-term business development.

6.5.4 The remuneration based on the Company's targets is in keeping with the Company's best interests, the advancement of its business objectives and its work plan, and there are no concerns that the said targets will create an incentive for managers to take unnecessary risks.

6.6 Furthermore, in addition to the annual bonus as stated above, the Board of Directors may, subsequent to the Compensation Committee's recommendation, decide that the Company shall pay to any of the Officers, including at the end of a relevant calendar year, but without derogating from the provisions of section 6.8.7 below, a bonus in respect of special projects or special achievements, as arise from their activities and their contribution to the Company, in accordance with the Company's long-term work plan (such as: the achievement of strategic objectives, special issues, special financing agreements or the signing of material agreements for the Company's operations, etc.) (hereinafter: the "**Special Bonus**"). It should be clarified that in addition to the foregoing, the Special Bonus is subject to the rest of the provisions of this Compensation Policy, and, *inter alia*, to the threshold conditions and to the restrictions set forth in section 6.

6.7 It is further clarified that the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors may approve, from time to time, the conclusion of management agreements with the controlling shareholders of the Company, directly or indirectly, subject to individual approvals as determined from time to time by the Company's organs including the general meeting of the Company and based on well-ordered comparative data.

6.8 **Threshold Conditions for Payment of the Annual Bonus**

Notwithstanding that stated in this section 6 above and below, the annual bonus shall not be distributed to any of the Officers of the Company in any of the events set forth below:

6.8.1 In respect of the achievement of a target which is lower than the minimum rate to be determined each year for compliance with each one of the targets (the lower limit).

6.8.2 If payment of the bonuses would place the Company in a situation that constitutes cause for immediate payment of any series of bonds that has been or shall be issued by the Company.

6.8.3 Upon approval of the bonus plan, if approved, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors may determine additional threshold conditions, whether quantitative or otherwise, taking into consideration the Company's targets, its strategy and its situation - whereby upon satisfaction of the said conditions, the annual bonus shall not be distributed to any of the Officers of the Company.

6.9 Restrictions Regarding the Annual Bonus

Furthermore, the annual bonus, if determined, shall be subject to the restrictions set forth below:

- 6.9.1 The Officer's entitlement to those parts of the annual bonus attributed to each one of the targets to be determined for the Officers, may be determined (a) on an "absolute" basis, i.e., failure to comply with any target whatsoever shall not entitle the Officer to compensation in respect thereof; or (b) the entitlement may be determined in accordance with the degree of the Officer's compliance with the various targets to be determined for him, relative to the targets as approved in the Company's budget for the relevant year, in a linear manner, so that precise compliance with 100% of a specific target to be defined for the Officer in the relevant year - shall entitle the said Officer to the full amount of the bonus in respect of this target, and partial compliance with the said target (whilst "marking" a lower target) - shall entitle the said Officer to a relative part of the amount of the bonus attributed to this target, all pursuant to the terms and conditions determined in the bonus plan for the said year. In addition, the rate shall be determined out of the bonus to be paid in respect of the achievement of the target at the lower limit and also a ceiling for the amount of the bonus (the higher limit, which constitutes the "excellence" target, beyond the threshold of 100% of the compensation).
- 6.9.2 The total amount of the annual bonus shall be limited as set forth below:
- (a) **CEO** - shall not exceed three salaries (including the Special Bonus as set forth in section 6.6 above).
 - (b) **CFO** - shall not exceed two salaries.

According to the assessment of the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors, the ceiling for the annual bonus reflects targets which do not create an incentive to take increased risks.

- 6.9.3 The amount of the annual bonuses for all of the Officers of the Company in respect of a particular year, as shall be actually distributed, shall not exceed 0.2% of the Company's income. In the event of a deviation from the threshold determined - *a pari passu* distribution shall be implemented.
- 6.9.4 An annual bonus may be given to Officers who have worked or provided services to the Company for at least 12 (twelve) months prior to the approval of the financial statements for the said year, except in the event that the Officer resigned or was dismissed due to circumstances which negate the entitlement to receive severance pay. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event of a new officer who has worked for less than 12 months at the Company, the Board of Directors may, at the recommendation of the Company's CEO, determine his entitlement to a bonus *pro rata* to the said Officer's period of employment at the Company.
- 6.9.5 The grant of an annual bonus to the Officers of the Company is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors of the Company, which may decide to reduce the amount of the bonus or not to distribute a bonus at all to any of the Officers of the Company, in a particular year, at any time as it shall choose during the said year, including after termination thereof, should the Board of Directors find that there relevant considerations, such as financial or other considerations, which, paying heed to the Company's situation at the said time, justify, in the opinion of the Board of Directors, the reduction or cancellation of the bonuses of the Company's Officers, even if retroactively.
- 6.9.6 Any Officer entitled to a bonus based on any financial data whatsoever undertakes to reimburse the Company for any amounts paid to him, if any, based on data which transpired to be erroneous and which were restated in the Company's financial statements. Such an Officer shall sign his consent that the Company may offset the amount due to it from him, from any amount which he is entitled to receive from the Company, subject to the provisions of the law.
- 6.9.7 The annual bonus, if determined, shall be paid to the Officers once a year, after approval of the audited financial statements of the relevant year by the Board of Directors of the Company, and in accordance with the Company's actual results for the said year, and in the event that data needs to be calculated - in accordance with the financial statements of the said relevant year.
- 6.9.8 In special cases, the CEO (or the Board of Directors, in the event of an advance payment to the CEO) may approve the acceleration of payment on account of the bonus due to any Officer, provided that the advance payment shall not exceed two salaries. For the sake of caution it is hereby clarified that if, in the said year, it is determined that the said Officer is not entitled to a bonus or is entitled to a bonus which is lower than the amount of the advance payment, the Company shall demand that the Officer refund the advance payment made as aforesaid.
- 6.9.9 In addition to that stated in this section 6 above, the bonus plan may include additional provisions pursuant to which a mechanism shall be determined for the scheduling or conditioning of part of the payment of the annual bonuses, based on the achievement of a measurable long-term target/ measurable long-term targets during a period of two or three calendar years, and also rules for the calculation of the entitlement to the said multi-annual bonus, at the end of the multi-annual period of measurement. The rules and the conditions for the said multi-annual bonus, if applicable, shall be determined and brought for approval by the Company's competent organs, in accordance with the provisions of the law.

7. **Related Terms and Benefits**

Should an Officer's Terms of Office and Employment include provisions regarding the matters set forth below, they shall be determined in accordance with the relevant considerations and criteria, as enumerated in sections 2, 3 and 4 above, and in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth below:

7.1 **Related Benefits Granted to All The Officers (except for directors)**

- 7.1.1 The Officers employed at the Company are entitled to contributions for managers' insurance, disability insurance and a continuing education fund, in keeping with standard practice at the Company.
- 7.1.2 The Officers employed at the Company are entitled to sick days, vacation days and convalescence days in keeping with standard practice at the Company for senior employees and in accordance with their length of service at the Company, and in any event, not less than that set forth in the law, and not more than 28 vacation days per year of work.
- 7.1.3 The Company may provide any Officer with a car, for the purpose of performing his duties. Should a company car be provided to the Officer, as aforesaid, the Company shall bear the fixed expenses entailed in use, for the maintenance of the car, in keeping with the procedures generally applied at the Company. The Officer shall undertake to bear any fines or tickets in respect of use of the car, if any. The Company may gross up the value of use of the vehicle for tax purposes.
- 7.1.4 Should the Officer's Terms of Office and Employment include a cell phone, the Officer shall be entitled to the reimbursement of cell phone expenses, as per the Company's decision, and in its sole discretion. The Officer shall bear the payment of any tax that may be applicable to him due to use of the cell phone. The Company may gross up the value of use for tax purposes.
- 7.1.5 Should the Officer's Terms of Office and Employment include the reimbursement of expenses, the Officer shall be entitled to the reimbursement of reasonable expenses as incurred by him in the course of performance of his duties, against presentation of receipts, and in accordance with the Company's policy.
- 7.1.6 Should the Officer's Terms of Office and Employment include *per diem* expenses for trips overseas, the Company shall bear the payment of the *per diem* expenses for the Officer during the period of his stay overseas for work purposes, in keeping with the Company's procedures.
- 7.1.7 The Company's Officers may be entitled, in accordance with and subject to their personal terms of employment, to the payment of full severance pay at the time of the termination of the employer - employee relationship for any reason whatsoever, including following resignation, except in the event of dismissal under "grave circumstances" as defined below, or to the payment of severance pay pursuant to the provisions of section 14 of the Severance Pay Law, 5723 - 1963.
- 7.1.8 Subject to the approval of the Compensation Committee, the Company may grant the Company's Officers additional benefits at a rate not exceeding 10% of the monthly cost of the fixed component of the relevant Officer of the Company (on an annual scope).

7.2 **Insurance, Release and Indemnity**

- 7.2.1 The Company has insurance to cover the liability of officers and directors who are serving and/or shall serve at the Company from time to time, including directors who have control, or a relative thereof, and also letters of release from liability and an undertaking to indemnify officers and directors of the Company (who are not controlling shareholders, or a relative thereof).
- 7.2.2 The Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors may authorize Management to approve any renewal, extension contracts or replacements of insurance policies to cover the liability of officers and directors, such as the controlling shareholder and his relatives, who serve or will serve as officers of our company or its subsidiaries from time to time, without the approval of the shareholders, provided that (i) the liability coverage does not exceed \$35,000,000 (for each claim and in the aggregate) and the aggregate annual premium does not exceed \$500,000, the side "A" directors and officers liability coverage does not exceed \$25,000,000 (for each claim and in the aggregate) and its aggregate annual premium does not exceed \$250,000; and (ii) the insurance is on market terms and shall not have a material impact on our profitability, assets or liabilities." Side "A" coverage is only for the benefit of the Company's directors and executive officers and only in situations where coverage under the General Policy has been exhausted or is otherwise insufficient or unavailable.
- 7.2.3 Subject to the approval of the Compensation Committee (and, if required by law, by the Board) the Company shall be entitled to purchase a "run off" Insurance Policy of up to seven (7) years, with the existing insurance carrier or any other insurance company, including but not limited to in case of a merger, consolidation or insolvency claims involving the Company, a change of control in the Company, sale of all or most of the Company's assets, or any other circumstances determined by the Compensation Committee, which policy shall comply with the following:
- The liability coverage shall not exceed a per-occurrence limit and an aggregate limit (for one year period) of \$35 million in addition to reasonable litigation expenses;
 - The total premium shall not exceed 450% of the annual premium the Company paid for the previous applicable year;
 - The amount of the participation fee which shall be determined in any policy purchased as stated shall not deviate from that customary in the market for insurance policies of the type and the scope and at the time of the engagement in the policy;
 - The purchase of such Insurance Policy shall be approved by the Compensation Committee (and, if required by law, by the Board) which shall determine that the Insurance Policy reflects the current market conditions and that it shall not materially affect the Company's profitability, assets or liabilities.

- 7.2.4 The Company may release the Company's Officers, in advance, from liability for breach of the duty of care to the Company, in accordance with any law, including any Officer of the Company who is the controlling shareholder or a relative thereof, subject to the receipt of approvals in accordance with any law. Such a release shall not apply to a resolution or transaction in which the controlling shareholder or any Officer of the Company (including an Officer other than the one to whom the release is granted) has a personal interest. Such limitation on the release regarding resolutions or transactions in which the controlling shareholder or any Officer of the Company has a personal interest shall be included in the Company's Articles of Association and in the indemnity/release letters or agreements provided to all Officers.

8. **Terms of Termination of Office**

- 8.1 An Officer shall be entitled to advance notice at the time of termination of employment, as shall be determined in the employment agreement or in the agreement for the provision of services between the Company and the Officer, in accordance with that set forth below (in such a manner that shall not be less than the minimum required by law):

Position	Maximum Period
CEO	Up to 6 months
CFO	Up to 4 months

- 8.2 The advance notice period shall be determined in accordance with the relevant considerations and criteria, as enumerated in sections 2, 3 and 4 above, and it shall be approved by the Company's competent organs, in accordance with the provisions of the law.
- 8.3 The Officers employed at the Company may be entitled to receive the full benefits pursuant to the employment agreement or the redemption thereof, as if they had continued to be employed at the Company, even if the advance notice period (or part thereof) is redeemed.
- 8.4 During the advance notice period, the Officer is required to continue to perform his duties at the Company (as per the Company's decision).

8.5 **Termination Bonus**

- 8.5.1 In addition to the foregoing, it is proposed to determine that the Company may approve for the CEO/CFO a termination bonus/ an adjustment bonus in an amount of up to 6 salaries and of up to 3 salaries (respectively), in the event of dismissal by the Company (except in the event of dismissal under grave circumstances) or in the event of resignation, respectively. The amount of the termination bonus shall be solely the amount of the component of the Officer's monthly salary (exclusive of related benefits, bonus, etc.), multiplied by the number of months granted to the said Officer. This bonus is similar to the situation that exists at present.
- 8.5.2 The termination bonuses shall be brought for the approval of the competent organs at the Company, in accordance with the provisions of the law, prior to the execution of the employment agreement or the agreement for the provision of services, and the bonuses shall be determined in accordance with the relevant considerations and criteria, as enumerated in sections 2, 3 and 4 above, and subject to the Officer's compliance with all of the following terms and conditions:
- 8.5.2.1 He was employed at the Company or he provided services to the Company for at least ~~three~~ seven years.
- 8.5.2.2 During the period of his employment, he made a significant contribution to the advancement of the Company's business and the maximization of its profits.
- 8.5.2.3 The circumstances of the termination of the Officer's employment do not justify the negation of severance pay.

9. **Commercial Protections**

The employment agreements and the agreements for the provision of services by the Officers shall contain provisions whose purpose is to protect the Company's intellectual property rights and also confidentiality and non-competition stipulations, and the wording thereof shall be adjusted to suit the relevant Officer, in accordance with the sensitivity of his position and his importance to the Company.

10. **Additional General Terms and Conditions**

- 10.1 The Officers who are subject to the Compensation Policy may be employees of the Company or independent contractors who provide services to the Company. In the event that the Officer provides services to the Company as an independent contractor, the provisions of the Compensation Policy shall apply to him *mutatis mutandis*, the compensation for the said Officer shall be paid against an invoice, and the compensation components shall be normalized, so that from a total economic point of view, they shall be consistent with that stated in this Policy, provided that this shall not be detrimental to the Company's best interests, its situation or its plans.
- 10.2 The provisions of this Compensation Policy shall not derogate from any provision which exists and/or provision which shall be determined in any law (including, without derogating from the generality of the foregoing, the provisions of the Companies Law and/or the regulations and/or orders pursuant thereto), and any concession and/or exemption and/or additional exercise of discretion to any of the Company's organs as shall be determined in any such statutory provision, including after the approval of this Policy, shall apply to the Company and shall be deemed to form part of this Compensation Policy, after the Compensation Committee or the Board of Directors shall resolve to add them, in whole or in part, to this Policy - without it requiring the approval of the Company's shareholders' meeting.
- 10.3 The Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors may approve a deviation of up to 5% per calendar year from any ceiling, restriction or any other provision set forth in this policy document, and such a deviation shall be deemed to be in compliance with the Compensation Policy.

However, non-material changes in the Terms of Office and Employment of Officers of the Company shall require the prior approval of the Compensation Committee only, where the latter confirmed that a particular change in the Terms of Office and Employment is non-material. In this regard, it has been determined that the total of non-material changes in the Terms of Office and Employment of an Officer of the Company that may be approved by the Compensation Committee in any reporting year may not exceed 5% (in real terms) of the total of the Terms of Office and Employment of an Officer of the Company that were approved by the Company's competent organs for that reporting year.

11. **Validity**

The Compensation Policy shall be in full force and effect for three years from the date of approval thereof by the general meeting as aforesaid, in accordance with the provisions of section 267a(d) of the Law.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Board of Directors of the Company shall examine from time to time, and at the latest, each year, the Compensation Policy and also its consistency with the provisions of the law, insofar as any material change shall take place in the circumstances which existed at the time of determination hereof or for other reasons. Subject to that stated in section 10.2 above, changes to the Compensation Policy, if any, shall be approved in accordance with the provisions of the law.

In addition, the Compensation Committee shall examine the application of the Compensation Policy, from time to time; and should the Committee so deem fit, it shall recommend that the Board of Directors update the Compensation Policy.

**Amendment of Permit to Control Bezeq The Israel Telecommunication Corp. Ltd. Issued to Corporations in the Eurocom Group on
April 13, 2010 (29 Nissan 5770)**

By the authority vested in me¹ under Section 4D of the Communications Law (Telecommunications and Broadcasts), 5742-1982, and under Section 3 of the Communications Order (Telecommunications and Broadcasts) (Determination of Essential Service Provided by Bezeq The Israel Telecommunication Corp. Ltd.), 5757-1997, I hereby amend the permit to control Bezeq The Israel Telecommunication Corp. Ltd. issued to corporations in the Eurocom Group on April 13, 2010 (29 Nissan 5770) (hereinafter – the "**Permit**"), as set out below:

1. In Section 2.2 of the Permit, the words "subject to the corporate family tree dated January 22, 2019" are added at the end of the section. Attached hereto is the corporate family tree dated January 22, 2019.

2. The following is added after Section 4.6 of the Permit:

"4.6.1 Within 75 days from the date of this amendment, the Articles of Internet Gold shall be amended in a manner establishing the obligation of Eurocom Communications Ltd. to hold each of the means of control in Internet Gold at the rate specified in Section 4 (a)(2)(a) of the Communications Order."

3. Section 8(c) of the Permit is replaced by the following:

"(c) The holding percentage of the holder of the control permit for individuals in Internet Gold and the holding percentage of Eurocom Communications Ltd. in Internet Gold exceeds at all times 35% of the means of control in each of them, and the holding percentage of Internet Gold in B-Com exceeds at all times 50% of the means of control therein."

4. This amendment will become effective on the day of its signature.

January 22, 2019

16 Shevat 5779

(-)

Ayoob Kara

Minister of Communications

¹ On October 14, 2018 the Government resolved to transfer the Prime Minister's powers in this regard to the Minister of Communications, in accordance with Section 31(b) of the Basic Law: The Government. On October 15, 2018 the Knesset approved the Government's resolution.

Amendment of Approval to Be Considered an "Israeli Entity" within the Meaning of the Communications Order, Issued on February 3, 2019

By the power vested in us under Subsection (3) of the definition of "Israeli Entity" in Section 1 of the Communications Order (Telecommunications and Broadcasts) (Determination of Essential Service Provided by Bezeq The Israel Telecommunication Corp. Ltd.), 5757-1997, and pursuant to an application of B-Communications Ltd. submitted to the Ministry of Communications on January 28, 2019,² we hereby amend the approval to be considered an Israeli Entity issued on February 3, 2019 (the "**Approval**"), as follows:

1. In Section 1(c) of the Approval, "50%" is replaced by "35%."

2. The following is added after Section 1(e) of the Approval:

"(f) No Joint Appointment as defined in Section 1 of the Communications Order may be made in B-Com, without prior written approval from the Ministers as defined in the Communications Order.

(g) The Articles of B-Com do not contain a provision that prevents Internet Gold from meeting its obligation to hold each of the means of control in B-Com at the rate specified in Section 4(a)(2)(a) of the Communications Order."

(-)

(-)

Ayoob Kara

General (res.) Udi Adam

Minister of Communications

Director General of the

Ministry of Defense

February 26, 2019

21 First Adar 5779

¹ On October 14, 2018 the Government resolved to transfer the Prime Minister's powers in this regard to the Minister of Communications, in accordance with Section 31(b) of the Basic Law: The Government. On October 15, 2018 the Knesset approved the Government's resolution.

² Email message from Mr. Barlev to Adv. Neufeld dated February 4, 2019; email message from Adv. Engelberg-Teller to Adv. Herman and Mr. Barlev dated February 4, 2019; supplements to the application in email message from Mr. Barlev to Adv. Neufeld dated February 5, 2019; email message from Adv. Herman to Adv. Mendelson dated February 6, 2019; email message from Mr. Barlev to Adv. Neufeld dated February 6, 2019; email message from Adv. Barlev to Adv. Engelberg-Teller dated February 11, 2019; email message from Adv. Barlev to Adv. Mendelson dated February 11, 2019; letter from Adv. Herman to Adv. Neufeld dated February 18, 2019.

**Amendment of Permit to Control Bezeq The Israel Telecommunication Corp. Ltd. Issued to Corporations in the Eurocom Group on
April 13, 2010 (29 Nissan 5770)**

By the authority vested in me¹ under Section 4D of the Communications Law (Telecommunications and Broadcasts), 5742-1982, and under Section 3 of the Communications Order (Telecommunications and Broadcasts) (Determination of Essential Service Provided by Bezeq The Israel Telecommunication Corp. Ltd.), 5757-1997, pursuant to an application of B-Communications Ltd. submitted to the Ministry of Communications on January 28, 2019,² I hereby amend the permit to control Bezeq The Israel Telecommunication Corp. Ltd. issued to corporations in the Eurocom Group on April 13, 2010 (29 Nissan 5770) (hereinafter – the "**Permit**"), as set out below:

1. In Section 2.2 of the Permit, the words "subject to the corporate family tree dated January 22, 2019. Attached hereto is the corporate family tree dated January 22, 2019." – are replaced by the following: "subject to the corporate family tree dated February 11, 2019. Attached hereto is the corporate family tree dated February 11, 2019."
2. The following is added after Section 4.5 of the Permit:

"4.5.1 This Permit is conditional on there being no provision in the Articles of B-Com that prevents Internet Gold from meeting its obligation to hold each of the means of control in B-Com at the rate specified in Section 4(a)(2)(a) of the Communications Order."
3. Section 8(c) of the Permit is replaced by the following:

"(c) The holding percentage of the holder of the control permit for individuals in Internet Gold, the holding percentage of Eurocom Communications Ltd. in Internet Gold and the holding percentage of Internet Gold in B-Com exceeds at all times 35% of the means of control in each of them."
4. This amendment will become effective on the day of its signature.

February 26, 2019

21 First Adar 5779

(-)

MK Ayoob Kara

Minister of Communications

¹ On October 14, 2018 the Government resolved to transfer the Prime Minister's powers in this regard to the Minister of Communications, in accordance with Section 31(b) of the Basic Law: The Government. On October 15, 2018 the Knesset approved the Government's resolution.

² Email message from Mr. Barlev to Adv. Neufeld dated February 4, 2019; email message from Adv. Engelberg-Teller to Adv. Herman and Mr. Barlev dated February 4, 2019; supplements to the application in email message from Mr. Barlev to Adv. Neufeld dated February 5, 2019; email message from Adv. Herman to Adv. Mendelson dated February 6, 2019; email message from Mr. Barlev to Adv. Neufeld dated February 6, 2019; email message from Adv. Barlev to Adv. Engelberg-Teller dated February 11, 2019; email message from Adv. Barlev to Adv. Mendelson dated February 11, 2019; letter from Adv. Herman to Adv. Neufeld dated February 18, 2019.

Yaron Herman, Adv.
Attorney of Internet Gold

Dear Sir,

Re: **Approval to be considered an "Israeli Entity," within the meaning of the Communications Order**

- Reference: 1. Letter from Adv. Yaron Herman and Adv. Yoav Friedman to Adv. Neufeld dated April 9, 2018
2. Letter from Adv. Mendelson to Adv. Yaron Herman and Adv. Yoav Friedman dated June 19, 2018
3. Legal opinion from Adv. Herman and Anijar dated July 11, 2018
4. Legal opinion from Adv. Herman and Anijar dated October 28, 2018
5. Letter of Adv. Yaron Herman dated October 23, 2018
6. Letter of Adv. Yaron Herman dated December 1, 2018
7. Letter of Adv. Guy Gissin dated January 1, 2019
8. Email message from Adv. Herman to Adv. Mendelson dated January 17, 2019
9. Email message from Adv. Barlev to Adv. Mendelson dated January 20, 2019
10. Email message from Doron Turgeman to Adv. Mendelson dated January 21, 2019
11. Email message from Adv. Herman to Adv. Mendelson dated January 22, 2019

Further to your application as set out in the referenced letters (the "**Application**"), we hereby notify you as follows:

1. By the power vested in us under Subsection (3) of the definition of "Israeli Entity" in Section 1 of the Communications Order (Telecommunications and Broadcasts) (Determination of Essential Service Provided by Bezeq The Israel Telecommunication Corp. Ltd.), 5757-1997, after examining the Application, and subject to compliance with the conditions set out below, we hereby permit B-Communications Ltd. ("**B-Com**") and Internet Gold – Gold Lines Ltd. ("**Internet Gold**," together – the "**Companies**") to be considered an Israeli Entity.
 - (a) Control of the Companies must be held by a citizen and resident of Israel.
 - (b) The joint holdings of Mr. Shaul Elovitch and Mr. Yossef Elovitch in Internet Gold may not at any time be less than 35% of the issued share capital and of any type of means of control.
 - (c) The holdings of Internet Gold in B-Com may not at any time be less than 50% of the issued share capital and of any type of means of control therein.
 - (d) The Companies may not transfer their holdings in Bezeq The Israel Telecommunication Corp. Ltd. to anyone other than an Israeli Entity within the meaning of the Communications Order, subject to the approvals required under any law.

¹ On October 14, 2018 the Government resolved to transfer the Prime Minister's powers in this regard to the Minister of Communications, in accordance with Section 31(b) of the Basic Law: The Government. On October 15, 2018 the Knesset approved the Government's resolution. On January 31, 2019 the Minister of Defense's powers in this regard were delegated to the Director General of the Ministry of Defense, in accordance with Section 33(b) of the Basic Law: The Government.

- (e) Within 75 days from the date of issuance of this approval, the Articles of Internet Gold shall be amended by adding a provision that prohibits making a Joint Appointment, as defined in Section 1 of the Communications Order, without prior written approval from the Ministers as defined in the Communications Order.
2. This approval is based on the assumption and subject to the condition that the information set out in the referenced letters is complete and accurate.
3. Failure to amend the Articles as stated in Section 1(e) above within 75 days from the day of issuance of the approval, or any change in the aforesaid amended provision in the Articles, shall be grounds for the revocation of this approval.
4. The approval replaces the approval to be considered an "Israeli Entity" within the meaning of the Communications Order, dated April 13, 2010 and November 3, 2010.

(-)

Ayoob Kara
Minister of Communications

(-)
[stamp:]
General (res.) Udi Adam
Director General of the
Ministry of Defense

~~Minister~~ Director General of the
Ministry of Defense
General (res.) Udi Adam

LIST OF SUBSIDIARIES

Name	Place of Incorporation	Percentage of Ownership
B Communications Ltd.	Israel	51.95%
Subsidiaries of B Communications Ltd.		
Bezeq - The Israel Telecommunications Corp. Ltd. (TASE: BZEQ)	Israel	26.34%
B Communications (SP1) Ltd.	Israel	100%
B Communications (SP2) Ltd.	Israel	100%

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended

I, Doron Turgeman, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 20-F of Internet Gold - Golden Lines Ltd.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The company's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the company and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The company's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the company's auditors and the audit committee of the company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the company's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 14, 2019

/s/ Doron Turgeman
 Doron Turgeman
 Chief Executive Officer

* The originally executed copy of this Certification will be maintained at the Company's offices and will be made available for inspection upon request.

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended

I, Itzik Tadmor, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 20-F of Internet Gold - Golden Lines Ltd.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The company's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the company and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The company's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the company's auditors and the audit committee of the company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the company's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 14, 2019

/s/ Itzik Tadmor

Itzik Tadmor

Principal Financial Officer

* The originally executed copy of this Certification will be maintained at the Company's offices and will be made available for inspection upon request.

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the annual report of Internet Gold - Golden Lines Ltd. (the "Company") on Form 20-F for the period ending December 31, 2018 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Doron Turgeman, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

/s/ Doron Turgeman
Doron Turgeman
Chief Executive Officer

May 14, 2019

- * The originally executed copy of this Certification will be maintained at the Company's offices and will be made available for inspection upon request.

This certification accompanies this Annual Report on Form 20-F pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and shall not be deemed filed by the Company for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates it by reference

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the annual report of Internet Gold - Golden Lines Ltd. (the "Company") on Form 20-F for the period ending December 31, 2018 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Itzik Tadmor, Principal Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

/s/ Itzik Tadmor

Itzik Tadmor

Principal Financial Officer

May 14, 2019

- * The originally executed copy of this Certification will be maintained at the Company's offices and will be made available for inspection upon request.

This certification accompanies this Annual Report on Form 20-F pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and shall not be deemed filed by the Company for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates it by reference.